

Please note that there are a total of six numbered questions. You do not have to answer using complete sentences, and you do not need to copy down the questions – we know what the questions are. When submitting on Gradescope, be sure to complete the step where you identify which page contains each question – if you skip this step your grade on the assignment will be 0.

1. [17 pts]

Consider the following truth table:

p	q	r	output
1	1	1	0
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	0
1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1

- a. [6 pts] Give a statement in propositional logic that corresponds to the last column.
- b. [11 pts] Draw a circuit that corresponds to this truth table. (You should have one input for each variable, and just a single output.)
2. [9 pts] Consider constructing a circuit for an “adder” (as shown in class) that will add together two 64-bit operands.
 - a. How many output bits would there be?
 - b. How many “half adders” would be used?
 - c. How many “full adders” would be used?
3. [8 pts] Perform the following number conversions:
 - a. Write 1100011_2 in base 10.
 - b. Write 181_{10} in binary.
4. [24 pts] Let the predicate $L(p, f)$ mean “person p likes eating food f ”. Let P refer to the domain of “all people” and let F refer to the domain of “all food”. Translate each of the following English sentences into a statement of predicate logic.
 - a. There is a food that someone likes.
 - b. There is someone who likes all foods.
 - c. Each food is liked by some people.
 - d. There is no food that everyone likes.
 - e. There is a food that everyone dislikes.
 - f. Everyone dislikes every food.
 - g. There is a person who likes exactly one food.
 - h. There is a person who likes at least two foods.

5. [24 pts] For each of the following statements:

- Find a *finite but non-empty* domain where it is true, or if no such domain exists, write the word “IMPOSSIBLE”.
- Find an *infinite* domain where it is true, or if no such domain exists, write the word “IMPOSSIBLE”.

Your domains must contain only numbers, and we are assuming that the less than symbol ($<$) is being interpreted in the usual way.

- $(\forall x)(\forall y)[x = y]$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)[x < y]$
- $(\exists y)(\forall x)[x < y]$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\exists z)[x < y \rightarrow x < z < y]$
- $(\forall x)(\exists y)[y^2 = x]$
- $(\exists x)(\exists y)(\forall z)[x < y \wedge x \leq z \leq y]$

6. [18 pts] Recall that an “interpretation” in predicate logic consists of two things:

- Specifying a domain.
- Defining what the predicate symbol(s) mean.

For each of the following statements give an interpretation that makes the statement true, and give an interpretation that makes the statement false.

- $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)]$
- $(\forall x)(\forall y)[P(x, y) \rightarrow P(y, x)]$
- $(\forall x)P(x, x)$