User Experience in Virtual Reality.

Om Patil
Computer Sciene Department
California State University, Chico
Chico, CA, USA
ompatil@csuchico.edu

INTRODUCTION

The field of reality (VR) has experienced growth moving from a specialized interest to a widely used media platform. Among the VR devices available the Meta Quest 2 stands out for its affordability, ease of access and immersive capabilities. However it is essential to grasp the user experience (UX) when engaging with technology to drive its continued advancement and adoption. This research seeks to explore the UX of utilizing the Meta Quest 2 by examining and evaluating users interactions, satisfaction levels and overall involvement, with the device.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

While virtual reality (VR) technology offers the allure of immersing users in realities there remains a lack of understanding regarding how individuals interact with these gadgets, in real world scenarios beyond controlled research environments. This study aims to fill this knowledge gap by conducting a survey involving 30 participants who have used a VR headset specifically focusing on the Meta Quest 2 model. The objective is to gain insights into the functionality immersive appeal and any potential discomfort or hurdles encountered by users. Acquiring an in depth understanding of these facets is crucial, for refining the VR experience and making it more user friendly, engaging and inclusive for a demographic.

PURPOSE

The purpose of this investigation is not only to collect empirical data on Meta Quest 2's UX but also to analyze how different factors such as content quality, device ergonomics, and user interface design influence overall user satisfaction and immersion. By identifying patterns and common feedback from users, this study aims to propose actionable insights for developers and researchers to refine VR technologies further. Additionally, exploring the UX of Meta Quest 2 will provide valuable feedback for future VR device designs, content creation, and the development of more user-friendly VR environments.

SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS

The study is, about examining the user experience (UX) of Meta Quest 2 through a survey involving 30 participants. The primary aim of this investigation is to uncover insights concerning usability, immersion and user satisfaction. It's important to take into account

limitations such as sample size, potential biases in self reported data and the narrow focus on Meta Quest 2 that may not be applicable to VR devices. Relying solely on surveys may overlook behaviors that could be captured through studies. To overcome these challenges there will be an emphasis, on crafting questionnaires and analyzing data meticulously to identify meaningful patterns and insights.

DEFINATION OF TERMS

- Virtual Reality (VR) is an experience that can simulate real world environments or create new ones using devices, like headsets.
- User Experience (UX) refers to the experience individuals have when using a product, system or service focusing on ease of use, efficiency and emotional reactions.
- The Meta Quest 2 is a VR headset created by Oculus, a division of Facebook Technologies, LLC.
- Immersion involves feeling as if you are physically present in a world, by engaging the users senses.
- Ergonomics is the study of how people work in their environment and is often used in product design to enhance well being and overall system performance.

LITREATURE REVIEW

As we shift our research focus to delve into the user experience of Virtual Reality (VR) using Meta Quest 2 it is crucial to direct our literature review towards exploring VR interaction dynamics, user engagement measures and the ergonomic aspects of VR devices. This adjustment involves examining studies analyzing user feedback and comparing various VR technologies, especially those that provide immersive experiences, like Meta Quest 2. The goal is to gather insights that highlight how users interact with VR factors affecting their satisfaction and the overall impact of VR on user well being and accessibility to content. This refined approach not follows the trends, in VR usability research but also aims to fill gaps in existing literature by presenting a detailed analysis of the user experience with Meta Quest 2.

This paper [1] provides an insightful examination into the complexities and opportunities within user experience (UX) research, discussing the relationship between traditional usability methods and UX practices. It emphasizes the dynamic and multifaceted nature of UX, highlighting the importance of evaluating UX across different time spans and through various methods. The work aims to bridge the gap between academic theories and industrial applications of UX, offering a holistic understanding of UX concepts and evaluation strategies. Hassenzahl and Tractinsky's article [2] establishes a research agenda for user experience (UX) within the field of human-computer interaction (HCI). The paper argues that while UX has become a buzzword, its definition remains elusive, encompassing a range from usability to emotional and aesthetic aspects of technology use. The authors advocate for empirical research to advance theoretical understanding and practical application of UX, proposing that UX research should move beyond traditional task-oriented usability to include the broader, more complex facets of user interactions with technology. Marc Hassenzahl [3]

explores the intricate relationship between users and products, emphasizing the importance of both pragmatic and hedonic qualities in shaping user experience. He introduces a model that delineates how users perceive and interact with products, influencing their satisfaction, pleasure, and overall appeal. This work underscores the dynamic nature of user experience, highlighting its dependency on both the characteristics of the product and the context of its use. Hassenzahl's model serves as a valuable framework for understanding and enhancing the interaction between users and technology.

This paper [4] offers a comprehensive overview of deep generative models, including Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Normalizing Flows, and Energy-Based Models. It compares these techniques in terms of their training and test speed, sample quality, and scalability, providing insights into their respective strengths and limitations. The review aims to elucidate the underlying principles of each model, their interrelations, and current state-of-the-art advancements, serving as an invaluable resource for researchers navigating the complex landscape of generative modelling. This paper [6] conducts a systematic review to understand user experience (UX) within virtual reality (VR) systems, addressing the need for continuous UX research due to evolving VR technologies and interaction methods. It presents a taxonomy for evaluating UX in VR, considering factors such as user characteristics, device types, and user activities. The review highlights current research trends, identifies gaps, and suggests future directions, emphasizing the importance of varied UX studies to accommodate new VR contexts and enhance user experiences.

RESEARCH PLAN

Studying the user experience of Virtual Reality (VR) using Meta Quest 2 requires an approach that focuses on strength to ensure thorough data collection and analysis. The research environment will be designed to replicate a variety of VR experiences that users typically encounter, spanning genres of VR content to evaluate usability, immersion and interaction nuances, across applications. For this study essential tools include the Meta Quest 2 headset itself a selection of VR applications encompassing educational, entertainment and simulation categories as analytical software like SPSS or R for survey data analysis. User experience (UX) assessment tools such as the System Usability Scale (SUS) and User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ) will play a role in measuring the aspects of VR interaction. Creating a controlled testing setting that mirrors real world usage scenarios while minimizing factors that could impact the users VR journey is equally vital. This controlled environment will allow for evaluation of user responses and interactions with Meta Quest 2 yielding insights, for actionable outcomes.

DATA ACQUASIATION

To gather data on exploring the user experience of VR with Meta Quest 2 we will conduct a study that involves a structured survey given to 30 participants chosen to represent a range of VR users. The survey will be carefully crafted to gather feedback on aspects of the VR experience, such, as usability, comfort, immersion and overall satisfaction. Participants will

be invited to interact with a curated selection of VR experiences. Their feedback will be collected using survey tools for accuracy and easy data compilation. This method ensures we obtain a dataset that captures the experiences of users across different types of VR content.

METHODOLOGIES

We plan to conduct structured interviews, with a selected group of survey participants to delve deeper into their VR encounters with Meta Quest 2. This approach aims to uncover themes originating from the survey responses enabling a grasp of user engagements, viewpoints and the emotional significance of VR. The insights gained from these interviews will be scrutinized using analysis to pinpoint shared experiences and user apprehensions providing a nuanced perspective, on the VR user journey that goes beyond what numerical data can convey.

For a qualitative approach focusing on user experiences with Meta Quest 2, the following questions can offer deep insights:

- How would you sum up your time with the Meta Quest 2?
- Which VR content stood out to you the most and what made it so engaging?
- Did you face any discomfort while using the Meta Quest 2? If so please elaborate.
- What are your thoughts, on the user interface and controls in terms of intuitiveness?
- Can you recall any standout moments or experiences you had while using the device?
- In your opinion how does the Meta Quest 2 stack up against VR devices you've tried?
- Were there any setup or gameplay issues that you encountered?
- How likely are you to recommend the Meta Quest 2 to others and what factors influence your decision?
- Did the VR experience meet your expectations? If not what aspects fell short or exceeded them?
- What enhancements or features would you like to see incorporated in VR devices?
- What is your take on the quality and range of content available for use with the device?
- Describe how immersive your experience was, with the Meta Quest 2.
- Were there features of the Meta Quest 2 that notably enriched your VR experience?
- How do you envision VR technology impacting your daily routines or hobbies moving forward?
- Are there any obstacles that may hinder a broader adoption of VR technology in your life?

For the quantitative approach, surveys with scaled questions, multiple-choice questions, and Likert scale items can be used to systematically measure user experience aspects with Meta Quest 2. Examples include:

- Please share your satisfaction level, with the Meta Quest 2 on a scale of 1 to 5.

- How comfortable did you find the headset during prolonged use? (Rate from 1 to 5).
- Share your rating on the ease of setting up and using the Meta Quest 2 (Scale of 1 5).
- In your opinion how immersive is the VR experience with Meta Quest 2? (Rate from 1 to 5).
- Rate the quality of graphics and visuals you experienced using Meta Quest 2 (On a scale of 1 5).
- How often do you experience discomfort or motion sickness while using Meta Quest 2? (Choose from options; Never to Always).
- What is your satisfaction level with the variety of VR content for Meta Quest 2? (Rate from 1, to 5).
- Would you recommend Meta Quest 2 to others? Please rate on a scale of 1 5.
- Share your satisfaction rating regarding user interface and navigation on Meta Quest.
- How often do you use Meta Quest 2? (Daily to Monthly).
- Rate the performance and responsiveness of the device (1-5).
- Satisfaction with the social features and multiplayer experience (1-5).
- How well does Meta Quest 2 meet your VR expectations? (1-5).
- Rate the audio quality and experience (1-5).
- Level of agreement: "Meta Quest 2 offers a good value for its price." (1-5).

TEST PLAN

The plan to test the user experience of VR using Meta Quest 2 includes testing sessions, with users trying out VR content. Participants will take part in these sessions their interactions will be. Recorded after obtaining consent. Surveys will be given before and after the experience to gauge feelings of immersion, usability and satisfaction. Information, on discomfort, ease of use and overall engagement will also be gathered. The analysis will compare user feedback to spot patterns and exceptions giving an evaluation of the user experience with Meta Quest 2 across types of content.

The initial survey, for the Meta Quest 2 user study will ask about participants VR knowledge, expectations and any particular interests or worries related to VR tech. This will assist in grasping the starting point of participants VR involvement laying the groundwork for their feedback after the experience. Queries might touch on use of VR devices, motivations for being interested in VR and their anticipated takeaways, from using the Meta Quest 2.

SCHEDULE

Over the 40 days the research plan will progress with preparations engaging participants collecting data analyzing findings and presenting results. The first two weeks will involve refining research tools such, as surveys and interview guides recruiting participants and setting up a VR environment with a variety of content. Weeks three and four will be dedicated to conducting user testing sessions to gather both qualitative feedback. The subsequent two weeks will focus on delving into data analysis to uncover insights about the VR experience offered by Meta Quest 2. As we approach the day milestone efforts will be

concentrated on consolidating findings into a report and preparing a presentation to share actionable insights obtained from the study in order to guide future improvements, in VR user experience design.

A. Challenges:

- Participant recruitment and diversity to ensure a representative sample.
- Ensuring consistent VR experience quality across sessions.
- Technical issues with VR hardware or software.
- Participant discomfort or motion sickness during VR experiences.
- Data privacy and confidentiality concerns.
- Bias in self-reported data from surveys and interviews.
- Analysis complexity due to mixed-methods approach.
- Keeping engagement high for the duration of the study.
- Adapting research protocols for any unforeseen global or local events.
- Time constraints for completing the study within 40 days.

B. Risks:

- Low participant turnout affecting the validity of results.
- Technical failures disrupting user sessions.
- Data loss or breach compromising participant confidentiality.
- Misinterpretation of data leading to incorrect conclusions.
- Potential health risks to participants from prolonged VR use.

C. Mitigation Strategies:

- For low turnout, employ diverse recruitment channels and incentives.
- Have technical support and backup equipment ready for failures.
- Implement rigorous data security protocols and regular backups.
- Use triangulation and peer review in data analysis to ensure accuracy.
- Monitor participant health and limit session lengths to mitigate health risks.

HYPOTHESIS

The impact of user interface design, on satisfaction and continued usage of Meta Quest 2 among diverse user groups will be discussed in this hypothesis. This hypothesis will delve into the correlation between the quality of VR content and the level of immersion experienced by users suggesting that higher content quality leads to an experience. An investigation into how the ergonomic design of Meta Quest 2 affects users physical comfort during extended use will be conducted, with a prediction that ergonomic design reduces discomfort and motion sickness. Furthermore an exploration of how social features and

multiplayer capabilities influence the user experience, in VR will be undertaken, with the belief that these features enhance engagement and promote user retention.

The methods to support the hypotheses from the Research Plan involve:

1. Structured surveys for quantitative data on usability and content quality.
2. Semi-structured interviews to gather qualitative insights on immersion and social interaction.
3. Observational studies to assess physical comfort during VR use.
4. Data analysis using statistical tools for hypothesis testing and thematic analysis for qualitative data to understand user experiences deeply.

REFERENCES

Journal of Information Systems Research and Innovation.

[1] Allam, A. H., Che Hussin, A. R., & Mohamed Dahlan, H. (Year). User Experience: Challenges and Opportunities. Retrieved from http://seminar.utmspace.edu.my/jisri/

Research Paper

[2] Hassenzahl, M., & Tractinsky, N. (2006). User experience - a research agenda. Behaviour & Information Technology, 25(2), 91-97. https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290500330331

Research Paper

[3] Hassenzahl, M. (2018). The thing and I: Understanding the relationship between user and product. In Funology 2: From Usability to Enjoyment (pp. 301-313). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68213-6_19

Research Paper

[4] Bond-Taylor, S., Leach, A., Long, Y., & Willcocks, C. G. (2022). Deep Generative Modelling: A Comparative Review of VAEs, GANs, Normalizing Flows, Energy-Based and Autoregressive Models. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.04922

Research Paper

[5] Zheng, K., Cheng, Y., Kang, X., Yao, H., & Tian, T. (2020). Conditional Intro-spective Variational Autoencoder for Image Synthesis. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3018228

Research Paper

[6] Kim, Y. M., Rhiu, I., & Yun, M. H. (2019). A systematic review of a virtual reality system from the perspective of user experience. International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2019.1699746