A Classified Account of J. F. Needham's *A Collection of A Few Môshâng Naga Words*

Kellen Parker van Dam, Universität Passau, Germany. kellenparker@gmail.com
Wanglung Kelim Mossang, Arunachal Pradesh, India (no affiliation)

Submission type: Source material

A Classified Account of J. F. Needham's A Collection of A Few Môshâng Naga Words¹

Author, Affiliation

Author, Affiliation

Abstract

J. F. Needham's 1897 publication provides the first written account of Muishaung

(Glottolog mosa1240), and one of the first ever accounts of any language within the

Tangsa-Nocte group. While not completely free of typographic oddities, the text

serves as an invaluable record of language change of an otherwise

under-documented language within the Sino-Tibetan family. This paper presents a

detailed account of the text, including lexical items and grammatical structures of the

original, with a comparison to the modern form of the language as spoken in the

Tərit Valley of Arunachal Pradesh, India at the Burmese border.

Keywords:

Legacy materials, J. F. Needham, Tibeto-Burman, Tangsa-Nocte, Muishaung

Introduction

Descriptions of the languages of eastern Arunachal Pradesh and northwestern

Myanmar are few, despite the incredible linguistic diversity of the region. Early

descriptions are even rarer, with only a very small handful of accounts from before

the 1900s. Perhaps the most significant contributor of such descriptions was Jack

Francis Needham, who published word lists and sketches of the Hkamti variety of

Southwestern Tai, still spoken in Northeast India and Myanmar today, as well as the

Tibeto-Burman varieties Mising, Singpho, and Muishaung Tangsa. His description of

Muishaung — which includes occasional references to the neighbouring and closely

related Shecyü (Shangke) Tangsa variety — is the first account of any of the

_

¹ This paper was originally presented at [removed for blind review]. The authors thank the attendees for their comments, as well as to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

languages within the Tangsa-Nocte group to include grammatical analysis; the few earlier published records were limited to the type of word lists such as is found in Brown (1851). Along with his description of Singpho, he produced the first accounts of any Patkaian (Northern Naga) variety available to the outside world. It would be almost a century before additional works beyond lexical collections would come out in the work by Das Gupta (1978; 1980).

Needham's account of Muishaung is still invaluable as a record of linguistic data today, providing a window into a version of the language from nearly a century and a half ago and a clear account from which a number of significant changes in phonology, lexicon and morphosyntax can be timed. However, its value goes beyond that of the data itself. Just under the surface, his *Collection of A Few Môshâng Naga Words* also gives the modern fieldwork a glimpse into some very familiar pitfalls of fieldwork data collection that they may also be dealing with. A number of words in the text have incorrect glosses, but ones for which it is easy to imagine the elicitation methods. Some are relatively straightforward, such as "sky" being given when asking for "up" rather than the actual directional term, while others evoke the likely scene that played out when Needham visited the speakers. One of these cases is "wild cat" being given when eliciting "flea", suggesting perhaps the presence of an actual cat with fleas which was used as a reference to incorrectly elicit the desired term.

Other cases exemplify some of the difficulties faced by linguists and community members alike when attempting to have consistently transcribed orthographic representations of speech. Examples include regular omission of glottal stop codas (but description of such syllables as "sharp"), inconsistent transcription of an underlying palatal nasal phoneme perhaps due to Needham mishearing or the speaker misspeaking or simply the existence of some sort dialectal / idiolectal variation. When taken not as a text full of errors made by typographers, translators or Needham himself — all explanations for the mismatches with today's speech which the authors have encountered over the years — but instead looked at with a careful eye to the patterns which emerge in the transcription, to anyone who has

undertaken linguistic fieldwork Needham's account exists as something like an ethnography of the ethnographer. The inconsistencies, errors and accompanying prose tell us a great deal about Needham, perhaps almost as much as the text tells us about Muishaung as a language.

With that as the context, this paper presents much of the original data as published in 1892, with additional analysis and commentary on the work relating to both the data and the collection methodology as much as it can be reconstructed through quirks of transcription, glossing, or commentary in the text. We also provide parallel data from the modern language as spoken in the Tərɨt Valley, around 27°05'N 95°53'E where the headwaters of the Namchik River are to be found, and of which one of the authors of this paper is a native speaker.

While in many cases, Needham's account closely matches the modern form of the language. However, a significant number of differences are to be found, sometimes minor and sometimes the result of significant miscommunications. Even in cases where the differences are substantial or where miscommunications have occurred when the data was originally collected in 1888, there is much to be learned relating to how this under-documented language of only around 2,000 speakers between India and Myanmar has changed in the past 136 years in terms of phonology, lexicon, semantics, and morphosyntax.

As mentioned, the account provided by Needham also presents a glance at the period of time in which he was collecting the data. The specific errors in concept elicitation and transcription give the data a very human flavour, and in his work much of modern struggles in fieldwork data elicitation are also evident, but also a sense of the salience of concepts not only to Needham but the Muishaung community as well back in 1888 when the data were collected.

Language background

Written as (Môshâng) in the original text, the name of the community and their language is today spelt (Muishvung) in the official Roman orthography and

pronounced $/m_{\frac{1}{2}}[eu\eta_2]$. The other common spelling under which it is known is (Mossang).

The Muishaung community is today believed to be one the largest of the dozens of Tangsa-Nocte subtribes in India today. They are classified among the Scheduled Tribes in India, and are also found throughout northwestern Sagaing Region in Myanmar, in the greatest numbers around the Lake of No Return, as well as in Nanyun and Hkamti Townships. In Arunachal Pradesh, India, the population originally settled in the Tərɨt Valley along the modern national border, where their villages appear on the oldest maps produced by the British Survey of India. Speaker numbers are estimated to be around 2,000-3,000, however reliable numbers are not available.

In terms of resources on the language, a brief un-published sketch grammar has been compiled (Morey, 2019) and a community-driven dictionary is currently in development. Bible and Hymnal translations are in use within the community, and children still regularly learn the language as their mother tongue today. A handful of partial descriptions can also be found in the literature, including in Morey (2015, 2018) and van Dam (2020).

The Glottolog code for the language is mosa1240.

Lexicon

This section presents each of the concepts in the word list section of the original 1897 publication. Entries are organised under Needham's glosses, with adjustments made for clarity to the reader; "Ditto" is replaced with the appropriate term, and cases where Needham used local Indic terms, more modern spellings may be used or the term has been replaced entirely with or supplemented by a suitable English equivalent for the sake of the reader. When a Shecyü (Shangke) form is given in the original text, it has also been included.

Modern orthographic forms are given in (angle brackets). When occurring in the prose rather than section headers, Needham's orthography is given in (double angle brackets), which are otherwise skipped in entry headers. Bold headers indicate

Needham's original transcription. Modern phonemic forms are given in slashes with broad IPA transcription, in which subscript numerals represent tone categories. Subscript zeroes indicate unstressed semi-syllables which do not carry a tone. Glosses in the prose are in single quotes. Fully reconstructed proto forms are prefixed with an asterisk, while potential proto-language etyma which are not reconstructed are prefixed with a hash (#).

above · rang

⟨rvungx⟩·/reuŋ₂/

This stem is given as 'above', but is rather the stem for 'sky', today (rvungx) /reu η_2 /. In order to mean 'above', it would need to be 'sky'-Loc, (rvungxnuex) /reu η_2 n χ_2 /.

This is an early form for the proto-Tangsa *-aŋ rime, in this case not showing the sound change in Needham's transcription that the rime has since fully undergone by today.

'Above' is otherwise commonly (htvuk)

/theuk4/ today, from proto form *thak4,

a stem within the checked-tone

counterpart to the *-an rime.

acid · â'hî

⟨vhix⟩ · /ə₀hi₂/

The base verb $\langle hix \rangle / hi_2 /$ 'to be acidic' prefixed with nominalising particle $\langle v- \rangle / a_0 - l$. Adjectival modifiers are forms in

Tangsa-Nocte languages by nominalising stative verb stems with this prefix. Nearly all lexemes in Muishaung which might be translated as adjectives in English will composed in this manner. Additionally, colexification of 'sharp' and 'sour' is common across the region.

This is the first instance of Needham describing a sound as 'sharp' in the margin notes, referring to the 'checked' quality of words ending in a glottal stop. Any time a word is referred to in this way, it can be understood as having a CV? syllable structure.

Significantly, however, certain stems were described at being sharp which today would not have a glottal stop coda. However, in closely related languages, the cognate stem does

have such a coda, indicating that the stem in Muishaung may have either undergone a sound change in losing the coda on those stems, with Needham's description being indication of the timing, or that he simply misheard an unintentional glottalisation by the speaker, i.e. a production error. An example of the etymon found elsewhere in Patkaian be /hi?²²/ in would northern Khiamniungan, today meaning 'to sharpen a blade'. In Muishaung today, all glottalisation is lost, and the stem occurs with tone 2, the reflex of *modal CV syllables in the proto language. That it occurs today with tone 2 also excludes the possibility of an error in elicitation for which verb stem alternation (suppletion) would have occurred, as the suppletive counterpart to tone 4 (checked) stems is tone 1. In other words, if instead the modern form were to be tone 1, one might rightfully suspect suppletion Rather than full elision of the coda.

all · wa´tong

(wvtvungx) · /βə₀teuŋ₂/

In the modern Roman orthography, (v

> represents /ə/ in prefix position and /ɐ/ in stem nuclei, with a measurable difference in formant frequencies.

This entry is the first in Needham's text which shows an intermediate stage of what was at the time an ongoing sound change within proto-Tangsa-Nocte *-an rimes. This change /-euŋ/ modern to in Muishaung is nearly fully complete at the present day with only rare exceptions, but at the time of Needham's data collection in 1888, this change was found only for a handful of stems. These *-aŋ stems variously transcribed were by Needham as «-ang» , «-ong» or « -aung) . Some stems occurred only with the «-aung» spelling, indicating having completed the change, while others were given with multiple spellings, indicating alternation as the change was still ongoing.

A small number, including (wa'tong), instead have (o) in the rime. This may represent an intermediate stage, although other words are transcribed with both (-ang) and (-aung) in the

text, and not with ((-ong)). More likely, this was a feature of the speakers idiolect, or a transcription error on Needham's part.

alive · â-ta´rong

(vthvrvungx) · /ə₀tৣə₀reuŋ₂/

The bare verb is $/\text{reu}_{02}/$, with caus prefix $/\frac{1}{2}$ and NoMz prefix $/\partial_0$. Note that caus is more generally $/\partial_0$ with a non-dental onset, however a handful of verbal stems have undergone dentalisation of the causative prefix. This is very rare at the present time, and the result of an irregular change, but has been confirmed with multiple speakers. It may be a change which is still occurring and thus possibly something to be discussed by future scholars.

Needham's remark is 'Is alive?' as a verb phrase, suggesting additional attention on his part to the morphology.

anger · an 'yürr

⟨vnyerx⟩ · /ə₀per₂/

A number of terms given have slightly different meanings than given, such as $\langle vnyerx \rangle / \partial_0 per_2 / .$ The actual

meaning of this is to avoid someone as a sign of being emotionally hurt, more properly translated as 'to shun', rather than being angry. More typically, 'angry' is (thuinzkhvyx) /tɨn₁khɐi₂/, 'liver+bitter'.

This entry is one of a handful of /p/ onsets on which Needham has misidentified syllable boundaries. The prefix is nominaliser $/\partial_0$ -/.

arm · yok´phûm

(yvukphumz) · /ʒeuk₄pʰum₁/

The stem (yvuk) /ʒeuk₄/ typically refers to the whole arm and hand. For compounds in which it is not the semantic head, it will more typically mean 'hand', such as in 'finger'. It is also used for 'leaf'.

The Shangke form is given as 《yâ phûm》. The difference in the initial vowel is due to *-ak rimes shifting in parallel with *-aŋ rimes as their fourth-tone equivalent, thus the parallelism with the second syllable of 《wa´tong》 'all'. Additionally, the stem still retains a coda in Shangke, with the full form of the first morpheme being /ja?/, once more a case of

Needham not transcribing glottal stop codas.

This is the first entry which has a form for Shangke, given as (ya'phûm).

arrow · lâ'san

(lagsanz) · /la?₄san₁/

Here (laq) /la?₄/ means 'bow', and (san) /san₁/ refers to any narrow rod-like item. The same (san) /san₁/ morpheme is found on names for the parts of looms, guns, or anything else which may have such a shape.

ash · tap´thâ

(tvphtaq) · /tep₄tha?₄/

This is specifically the ash that is produced at the hearth. Other ash can also be called by this name, but is more appropriately called (wvrz buenx $\langle \beta \text{er}_1 \text{byn}_2 \rangle$.

aunt · î´sî

⟨izsuiyz⟩ · /i₁sɨi₁/

The /i₁-/ prefix here is a first person possessive, so this will actually mean 'my aunt'. This term will only refer to one's mother's sister. 'Paternal aunt' is instead (nguiyz) /ŋɨi₁/, as is 'mother-in-law'. Little attention was

paid in the original text to the differences between English and Muishaung kinship systems, in which relative age and gender both play a significant role.

axe · mang´kî

(muingxkix) · /mɨŋ² ki²/

Here, Needham's «ang» does not match either the *-aŋ or *-aŋ rimes. Nearly all Tangsa-Nocte varieties will have a stem similar to /miŋ/ for 'axe', while the rest of Patkaian instead has something more similar to /βa/.

bad · â'bâ

(vbac) · /ə₀ba₃/

bag · ya´khâk

(nyackhak) · /na₃khak₄/

A sachet, literally 'tobacco'+'bag'. This term would not apply to something like a larger bag such as for rice, nor modern day backpacks or duffel bags. The Shangke form is given as (khâk).

bamboo · wân

 $\langle wawx \rangle \cdot /\beta z_2/$

While not explained in the text, the underlined (n) indicates nasalisation,

likely of the preceding vowel. This is the most likely analysis of its intended use, rather than any sort of segmental feature, based on its occurrence elsewhere such as in 'bee' below.

Two stems occur for 'bamboo' in modern Muishaung, being /βɔ₂/ and /βaʔ₄/. The former, which is the stem given in Neeham's account, shows an important intermediate step in a sound change which today has completed. Nasalisation on the vowel at Needham's time derives from a velar coda, which has been completely lost by now. No phonemic vowel nasalisation is found today, but clearly existed in 1888. The /ɔ/ vowel is the modern reflex of the *-αη rime.

Note the Shangke form (wâ) likely represents the other stem given the height of the vowel in Needham's account.

basket, man's · phâng´phai

(phangxphvyc) · /pʰaŋ₂pʰei₃/

This is not actually a basket but rather a type of carrying bag, thus a more accurate lexeme for what may otherwise be interpreted by the reader for ((yâ'khak)) above.

basket, woman's · khên

 $\langle khec \rangle \cdot / k^h e_3 /$

This term represents the largest of multiple basket types. The (khec) /khe₃/ basket is typically used for carrying paddy rice. Nasalisation is again found on cognate terms elsewhere in Patkaian, such as in northern Khiamniungan, where the basket for carrying paddy is known as /khan⁵⁵/.

basket, small flat · phê

⟨phec⟩ · /pʰe₃/

This is a rare case where the modern form has a potential match with a liquid coda but Needham's does not, although in modern Muishaung a liquid-less form also occurs. Related stems are (pherx) and (phenx) occurring in certain compounds.

bead · lik´sâ

(luikthuiyz) · /lɨk₄t̪ɨi₁/

Needham's 《lik´sâ》 (today (luik.saz) /lɨk₄sa₁/ means a type of woman's necklace which is worn closely around the neck, with little slack. For 'beads'

the term is (luikthuiyz) /lɨk₄tɨi₁/. This is another instance where it is easy to imagine elicitation through pointing to objects around the speakers.

bear · cha'bâ

(jvpbawx) · /teep₄bɔ₂/

This is a very usual lexeme across Tangsa-Nocte varieties, most typically with /ba/ as the final morpheme. Interestingly, however, the modern form in Muishaung suggests the *-αη rime, despite most other Tangsa varieties not showing any similar likeness. An exception is Hahcheng, form which has the /sap.ben/, Shangwan with /[ap.ban/, and Tikhak with /tha.ban/. All other varieties suggest an *-a rime.

For Shangke, Needham gives ((châb'bî)).

bee · yân

(nyaq) · /ɲaʔ₄/

Despite the apparent dissimilarity between the modern form and Needham's transcription this is a clear match with the modern form, /pa?₄/. Needham consistently transcribes as /j/ ⟨y⟩ what are /p/ onsets. In some

cases, palatal nasal onsets prefixed with nominaliser ((a-)) are incorrectly syllabified in Needham's text. Despite the lack of nasal onset, the stem is transcribed with final '-n'.

In all cases where underlined 《n》 occurs, it corresponds with a nasal coda in the proto language which has been lost in Muishaung, and can be understood to mark vowel nasalisation. This provides an excellent snapshot of the timing of nasal coda loss in Muishaung first described in van Dam ().

In this case, the nasalisation is rather from the palatal onset, but was interpreted as part of the rime. Needham also consistently fails to transcribe glottal stop codas, but often describes such syllables as 'sharp' as discussed above.

Notably, confusion caused by misinterpretation of Needham's transcription resulted in the incorrect identification of the etymon in STEDT https://stedt.berkeley.edu/ as being #2788: PTB *s-b-(r/y)aŋ FLY (n.) / BEE.

belly · vak

 $\langle wuk \rangle \cdot / \beta uk_4 /$

bird · vû

 $\langle wuz \rangle \cdot / \beta u_1 /$

As with most languages of the region, as well as elsewhere in Southeast Asia, the simplest unmarked stem for bird will often refer to chickens in the default context. Here Needham has given the gloss as 'bird', but in modern Muishaung the general class will be \langle wuzshawx \rangle $/\beta u_1 \int 2^2 / 1$, as is the case elsewhere in Tangsa-Nocte as well.

black · ân'yak

(vnyvuk) · /ə₀neuk₄/

Here syllabification is incorrect, but the form is otherwise as today.

bite, v·kok

(kvuk) · /keuk₄/

This is one of two forms of the stem in Muishaung. As with much of Tangsa-Nocte, Muishaung has a system of verbal stem alternation, where nominalised verb stems will either remain unchanged, or show a change in tone (typically to third tone), or, as is the case here, undergo a much more substantial change. The

nominalised/gerundive stem in Muishaung is (kuiuz) /kɨu₁/.

bitter · â'krip

(vkhuip) · /ə₀khip₄/

This form for 'bitter' specifically refers to flavour, such as of the traditional tea. Another stem which is additionally used in emotive contexts is (khvyz, khaq) /khei₁, kha?₄/, a verbal stem with suppletive forms. This alternate form is additionally used as a pairing word for (khuip) /khip4/ in poetic phrases, a common feature of Muishaung in which related stems or phonologically parallel nonce words are used in elaborate expressions.

blood · ta'gri

(tvqhuiyz) · /tə₀ɣɨi₁/

Needham describes the (r) transcription as significantly strong, often trilled, and makes note that at times he has written (rr) to further emphasise this. The use of (r) is not otherwise described in a way as to make cases such as ((â´krip)) for 'bitter' or ((ta´gri)) for 'blood' particularly clear to the reader. These should not be interpreted as the trilled /r/ here, but

rather a deviation from his own orthographic conventions. Instead, the ⟨ri⟩ digraph indicates something along the lines of /əi/, with ⟨r⟩ serving more to indicate vowel quality, similar to it's use in British spelling conventions for terms such as 'Burma' or 'Myanmar' in English, which are not in any way representative of /ɹ/ or similar sounds but rather indicate neighbouring vowel quality.

The Shangke form is given as (tê'yai)

bone · â'rân

 $\langle vrawz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 r \partial_1 /$

This is another case of the *- $\alpha\eta$ stem at an intermediate stage along the sound change, with nasalisation represented by $\langle \underline{n} \rangle$.

bow · lâ

([kazlic]laq) · /[ka₁li₃]laʔ₄/

bough · pûlphâk

(pulxphak) · /pul₂phak₄/

While there is nothing notable about Needham's transcription here, it is very rare that Muishaung words will end in /-ak/, as nearly all morphemes with *-ak in the proto language have

shifted to /-euk/ in the modern language. Few exceptions are to be found, but this is one such example where the sound change has not completely spread in the lexicon. Whether this stem will also change in the future remains to be seen.

bracelet · yok´sân

(yvuksanx) · /ʒɐuk₄san₂/ Here again we see «ok» from *-ak.

bridge · hî

 $\langle hix \rangle \cdot /hi_2/$

brother, elder · î´phû

 $\langle vphuz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 p^h u_1 /$

Here as with 'younger brother' below, the ((i-)) prefix is possessive. Likewise in the Linguistic Survey of India (Grierson 1928) give it in the possessed form. The general kinship term will instead have the schwa prefix when not specifically referring to one's brother.

brother, younger · î´nô

 $\langle vnox \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 no_2 /$

As with other Patkaian varieties, there is no lexical distinction between younger sister and younger brother.

The $\langle nox \rangle /no_2 /$ stem here applies to any younger sibling. Sex is only distinguished for older siblings. One can explicitly mark a younger sibling as male or female with an additional gender marking suffix, but this is not obligatory.

buffalo · ngâ

⟨ngac⟩ · /ŋa₃/

This is one of the few nominal stems which take third tone. In most cases, third tone is the result of derivation from a now-lost nominalising *-h suffix. It has been suggested by Weidert that this may be a borrowing from Tai, thus accounting for breathiness. The potential for borrowing was investigated in AUTHOR, (YEAR) and shown to be plausible, but can not be clearly shown to be due to borrowing for the other third-tone nominal stems.

Needham gives ((nî)) for the Shangke form.

bullet · chûn´dî

(junxthuiyz) · /tɕun₂t̪ɨi₁/

Given as ((chûn'thî)) in Shangke.

bury, v · lîp

⟨luip⟩ · /lɨp₄/

buy, v · rî

(ruiyx, vrez) · /rɨi₂, ə₀re₁/

The bare verb stem ⟨ruiyx⟩ /rɨi₂/undergoes suppletion to⟨rez⟩ /re₁/when nominalised. This would be a case like 'blood' and 'bitter' above where Needham's ⟨⟨ri⟩⟩ corresponds to the modern /-ɨi/ pronunciation, however as the syllable onset is also ⟨⟨r⟩⟩, and ⟨⟨rr⟩⟩ would indicate a trill by Needham's own conventions, we may still assume Needham had the 1888 equivalent of /-ɨi/ in mind when transcribing.

calf of leg · yavuin

(yazwuenc) · /ʒa₁βγn₃/

call, v·ka´châ

(kvjaz) · /kə₀tɕa₁/

The actual meaning of this is 'to say'. 'To call' would be instead $\langle ghvlz \rangle / \gamma = 1_1/.$

cane (small kind) · rî

⟨ruiyx⟩ · /rɨi₂/

cane (large kind) · het

(het) · /het₄/

catch hold of, v · lû

 $\langle luz \rangle \cdot / lu_1 /$

caoutchouc · nî türr

(nuiyxtuirx) · /nɨi₂tɨr₂/

Natural rubber from the rubber tree.

child (able to walk) · nô ´yân .

(nox yawx) · /no₂ ʒɔ₂/

child (baby) · nô´shân

 $\langle nox shawx \rangle \cdot /no_2 \int_2^2 /$

The second morpheme here is also that found on 'bird' when referring to the general class, and not chickens more specifically. Needham's inclusion of two phonologically similar forms here is not a mistake, but reflects an actual phonemic distinction. This is worth noting, as typesetting errors have at times been suggested by modern speakers in cases where Needham's account may not match modern forms.

chillic · ma´kât

(muitkat) · /mɨt₄kat₄/

This term refers specifically to chili pepeprs, whereas (thoyz) /toi₁/ refers to the spicy taste sensation caused by capsaicin.

claw · yok´thin

(yvukhttuinx) · /ʒeuk₄thin₃/

This is specifically the fingernail of a human hand, the $\langle\langle yok\rangle\rangle\rangle$ stem being 'hand'. A claw of an animal would be $\langle\langle khuingx\rangle\rangle/\langle khig_2\rangle$.

climb, v · dü

⟨duiz⟩ · /dɨ₁/

cloth (chudder) · khaithûm

(khvyzhtumc) · /khei₁thum₃/

This will also refer to any blanket. (Htumc) $/t^hum_3$ / refers to any rectangular piece of cloth.

cloud · rông

⟨-⟩ · /-/

In earlier sources for Tangsa-Nocte varieties, albeit none as old as Needham's, there is a stem typically transcribed ((rong)) which refers in some way to rain. It is often distinct from the 'sky' stem, typically (rang). Here it occurs as 'cloud', quite possibly a rain cloud. After investigation into numerous communities by the author, no version of this stem is to be found today in any of the Tangsa-Nocte varieties surveyed for this term. It may be a case of an irregular sound

change corresponding with a split from the 'sky' stem, which was then lost.

'Cloud' in Muishaung today is (phuemx) /phym₂/, an etymon found throughout the region and related languages referring to either clouds, fog/mist or both. If it is the case that an earlier 《rong》 form fell out of fashion, it may be due to the concept of rain cloud being absorbed by this more general cloud/fog stem.

cold · rong'shûng

⟨rvungxsungc⟩·/reuŋ₂suŋ₃/

«Rong» here is again the term for 'sky', today ⟨rvungx⟩ /reuŋ₂/, and not the «rông» of cloud, above. This term for 'cold' will refer specifically to coldness of weather, and not of objects.

comb · chû

⟨tsuz⟩·/tsu₁/

come, v·kâ´lô

 $\langle kazloq \rangle \cdot /ka_1lo?_4/$

Here ((kâ)) is the verb meaning 'to go (downriver)'. Muishaung and other Tangsa-Nocte varieties have

topographical sensitivity in their verbs for 'to go'. The suffix $\langle l\hat{o} \rangle$ is a cislocative marker, indicating directionality toward the speaker. This form of 'come' as given by Needham will be appropriate in cases where a speaker is at a lower altitude relative to the listener. Otherwise the verb \langle wvungz \rangle / β eu η_1 / will be used in place of / ka_1 /.

corpse · mî-tî kâ

(miq thuiyc kaz) · /mi?₄ tɨi₃ ka₁/

In the margin notes, Needham mentions that this may be person-die, which is correct. 'Corpse' is $\langle mvungx \rangle / meu\eta_2 /$ or $\langle miqmvungx \rangle / mi?_4 meu\eta_2 /$ for humans specifically.

cooking pot · tik

(tuik) · /tɨk₄/

cotton · rî´pü

(ruiyzpuic) · /rɨi₁pɨ₃/

cow · mân´sû

(manxsuiux) · /man₂sɨu₂/

The suffix ((sû)) will be replaced by sex suffixes when specifying female or male. The form given here is the general form for domestic cattle.

cry · â´kwarr

 $\langle khorx \rangle \cdot / k^hor_2 /$

This is an early example of vowel fracture on /o/, a process which is nearly complete today. A salient feature of Muishaung which is not found other varieties of in Tangsa-Nocte is the high frequency of diphthongisation of vowels which would be monophthongs elsewhere in the branch. This change is ongoing with /o/, and in the approximately 10 years the first author has been working on the language, lexemes which were given without diphthongs at the start of the work will today reflect the shift. The sound change appears to also have followed in some way the frequency of the words', with lower frequency lexemes such as 'squirrel' (ratpop) only having shifted in this most recent decade.

cross bow · kong ´kî

⟨-⟩ · /-/

This term is not known to speakers today. However, many Tangsa-Nocte varieties spoken in Myanmar will still have similar forms, most frequently /la?.kon/, such as is the case in

Rangsa, Nahen, Henchin and Chamkok.

crow · wû'khâ

 $\langle wuzkhax \rangle \cdot /\beta u_1 k^h a_2 /$

dance, v · va´nerm

 $\langle wvnuemz \rangle \cdot /\beta \partial_0 n\gamma m_1 /$

Needham uses ((r)) in a number of places, typically as part of digraphs in the nucleus, which to not correspond to the pronunciation guide given at the start of the text. This is one such case, where instead it indicates a difference in vowel quality.

daughter · ya´shiksha'/ya´shikshan

(yalxjiksaz) · /ʒal₂tɕɨk₄sa₁/

Daughter is given as both ((yâ'shikshâ ») and ((yâ´shikshân)), providing a good indication of the timing of nasalisation loss. It is clear that the original stem had a nasal coda at some point in the past, which today is entirely lost. That both forms are given by Needham indicates that the change occurring at that time, whereas other stems such as with 'dog' below had not begun to lose their yet nasalisation to the same extent. Based this. we can conclude that nasalisation loss began around the 1880s, and was completed by the time Das Gupta () provided sketches of the varieties.

to-day · ta'nî

(tvnhuiyq) · /tə₀nii?₄/

There are different ways of saying 'today' in Muishaung based on the time of day of the speech event. The form /təonɨiʔ₄/ is said in the morning, and /mnɨiʔ₄/ in the afternoon. A more general way can also be used, /meinteɨu₃ reun₂nɨiʔ₄/, which literally means 'current day' as a general term. This is the form given for Shangke, 《m´sa râ´nî》.

morrow · î´nap

(iznvp) · /i₁nep₄/

dhao · yaung

⟨yvungz⟩ · /ʒɐuŋ₁/

Here the form given reflects the completed sound change for *-aŋ stems. Needham gives the Singpho equivalent as 《yaung-hi》, with the 《u》 present. This nearly matches to the more general term for 'knife' in Muishaung, whereas in modern

Singpho, machete or dao (dha) is /n.tʰu/.

daily · varong nî

⟨wvrvungxnhuiyq⟩ · /βə₀reuŋ₂nɨiʔ₄/

deer · ngam

⟨ngvmx⟩·/ŋem₂/

Given as 'deer', ((ngam)) instead refers to animals in general, as well as flesh or meat. This may suggest that deer is somehow the least marked animal, as is the case with the chicken among birds, or that there was a slight miscommunication between the attendees.

dhan (paddy) · sam

(tsvmz) · /tsem₁/

On a number of stems, the modern form has an affricate onset where Needham gives a fricative for Muishaung and often Shecyü, as in this case. There is a distinct dialectal difference of affricate vs stop onsets for some terms, but not ones which would correspond to Needham's ((s)). It may be the case that this reflects something like fortition at that place of articulation resulting in affricates

becoming stops and fricatives becoming affricates.

different · adai

⟨vdvyx⟩ · /ə₀dei₂/

dog · gui´hen

(ghuizhex) · /γui₁he₂/

The suffix ((-hen)) was previously productive as a plural marker for animals. It has fossilised on 'dog', and is not transparently a number marker today. Nasalisation is fully lost.

The Shecyü form given by Needham is an Assamese loan, commonly borrowed by languages in the region which have had close contact with Assamese.

door · khâ'lü

⟨kacluix⟩ · /ka₃lɨ₂/

drink · ning

⟨nuingx⟩ · /nɨη₂/

This is another stem which undergoes suppletion, although in this case the only change is a shift to third tone for the gerundive/nominalised stem.

Segmentally, no change occurs

drinking cup (of bamboo) · khap

(khap) · /khap₄/

Two stems are commonly attested for 'cup'. Cross linguistically, there is often with a distinction between modern cups and traditional bamboo cups. Here (khap) /khap4/ is given, which in other varieties often refers to modern cups, but in Muishaung refers to jugs. The other stem in Muishaung is (kok) /kok4/, which means 'cup' here but often restricted to traditional bamboo cups in related languages. A similar phonological form for both stems is found throughout Tangsa-Nocte, as well as in other Patkaian varieties, as is the case in Cholim and Longri.

The /kok₄/ stem also shows close similarities to Tai, such as Shan စွစ်း /káuk/ and Phake ကွက် /kauk₁/. In northern Khiamniungan, the final /-p/ form /kap²²/ is believed by speakers to be a borrowing from English.

drum · nûng

⟨nungx⟩ · /nun₂/

beat drum · nungtim

(nungx tuimz) · /nun₂ tɨm₁/

dung · dî

 $\langle diq \rangle \cdot / di_4^2 /$

Another stem which is described by Needham as 'sharp', corresponding to final /?/.

dust · mût´gui

(bokkhuiyx) · /bok₄khii₂/

ear · nâ

(nac) · /na₃/

earring · nâchî

(nacjic) · /na₃tsi₃/

earth · gâ

⟨ghaq⟩ · /γa?₄/

This is again a stem marked as 'sharp' which corresponds to a modern final glottal stop.

eat · sâ

 $\langle saq \rangle \cdot / sa?_4 /$

While having final /?/ today as the verbal stem with (svyz) /sei₁/ as the suppletive nominal, Needham did not transcribe this stem as being 'sharp'. That is, there was no indication of it as a checked tone. The stem has final /?/ in all varieties of Tangsa-Nocte, as well as in elsewhere in related languages such as Makyam. It is most likely that

Needham simply missed the glottalisation or failed to mark it as 'sharp'. Note in some varieties, it is instead the form cognate with the gerundive stem that is found, as is the case in both Lainong and Pounyiu.

egg·wû′dî

 $\langle wuztiz \rangle \cdot / \beta u_1 ti_1 /$

The stem (tiz) /ti₁/, derived from 'juice' or 'water', is pronounced with affricate onset by some modern speakers, as mentioned above. This is considered non-standard and dialectal. However, this may shed some light on the ts/s alternation previously mentioned.

empty · shok thü

(shvukhttuiz) · /[euk4thi1/

eye · mak

(muik) · /mɨk₄/

face · thal

 $\langle htvlx \rangle \cdot /t^h el_2 /$

false · â'rot

(vrovt) · /ə₀roet₄/

Vowel fracture has occurred on the nucleus since the time of Needham's transcription.

elephant · jân

(jawz) · /tɕɔ₁/

This stem was first pointed out in **AUTHOR** (2018) as a good candidate for Tai borrowings, expanded upon in AUTHORS (2019). An additional form for 'elephant' is found throughout Tangsa-Nocte, /bok.la/. This is not attested in Muishaung, which only uses the Tai-like stem. Note that in Needham's transcription the final ((n)) is segmental, and not vowel nasalisation, as it lacks the underline. Needham also points out the connection to Tai, marking this as being borrowed from Tai Hkamti.

Given his familiarity with Hkamti, it is likely the lack of an underline is not an oversight. This may suggest that loss of nasal codas in favour nasalisation was not yet complete, or it may just be that Needham was unnecessarily biased toward matching the Tai form which retains the coda. It is certainly not the case that the borrowing occurred within Needham's lifetime, however, so that should at least be eliminated from the list of possible explanations. It is more likely that there was either a typographical error with the missing underline, or that Needham transcribed it as the Tai Hkamti form. The indication for Tai borrowing would instead place the borrowing event at likely many centuries before the present.

fat (not lean) · â´pü

 $\langle vpuiz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 p_{i_1} /$

Needham's glossing here is as in 'overweight' but the term which was given in Muishaung rather means fat on a piece of meat. The word for 'not lean' is instead (vphenz) $\partial_0 p^h en_1$.

far · â´jâl

⟨yalz⟩ · /ʒal₁/

Final /l/ is retained in the transcription, where it is often absent or variable on other stems.

father · vâ

 $\langle vwaz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 \beta a_1 /$

fear · â'hî

⟨vhiz⟩ · /ə₀hi₁/

feather · mûl

(mulz) · /mul₁/

The stem here is more generally 'fur' or 'body hair'. Today /mul₁/ will be

unspecified, whereas $/\beta u_1 mul_1/$ will be explicitly referring to feathers, where $/\beta u_1/$ means 'bird'.

female · yâl´shik/yâ´shik

(yalxjuik) · /ʒal₂tɕɨk₄/

The initial syllable here today has final /-l/, but is given in the text both with and without the coda. This may have been simply phonetic alternation on the part of the speaker. While many Tangsa-Nocte varieties have lost *-l codas, Muishaung is particularly conservative regarding codas.

finger · yok'shî

⟨yvukshix⟩ · /ʒɐuk₄∫i₂/

fire · varr

 $\langle wvrz \rangle \cdot / \beta er_1 /$

fire-place · varrkun

(tapkhux) · /tap₄khu₂/

The first syllabe here is 'fire'. The second syllable is less clear. Today we might expect (khux) /khu²/, from 'head'. The transcribed (kun) is anomalous, both for nasalisation and lack of aspiration, should it be tied to the modern term. Marrison (1967) repeats this form as (varkun), likely

adapted directly from Needham. Across Patkaian, the only formally potential matches are in similar Khiamniungan, for which 'hearth' is /ao.khan/, again with aspiration. Here /khan⁴¹/ refers to a hole or pit, which may give some suggestion for how this term came into the text in case the elicitation was done around a dug-out fire pit and not a flat in-home hearth. However, in that case, the Muishaung term would be (khurx) /khur₂/, again with aspiration, as is the cognate in Jinghpaw, /khun33/. Thus, Needham's transcription were it to fit would need to have omitted aspiration, either in his own writing or in the typesetting, and the final nasalisation would have to be in parallel with that of 'flame'.

This is the most likely explanation for the given form. That is, we are likely looking at a compound which originally meant 'fire pit', with extant cognates elsewhere in Patkaian, but which has since been replaced by the modern term.

See 'flame' below for additional possibilities in light of potentially anomalous transcriptions from Needham.

fire-gun · gâp

(ghap) · /γap₄/

This term is related to that for 'to kick', and in many varieties they are homophonous if not polysemous. Needham specifies 'gun' here, but it will apply as well to firing arrows. An irregular sound change has occurred in many varieties differentiating between 'shoot' and 'kick', but these were clearly a single etymon in the distant past.

fish · ngâ

(ngaq) · /ŋa?₄/

Another case of a 'sharp' syllable matching a glottal stop coda.

flame · vân

⟨⟩ ⋅ //

This form is identified as an error by modern Muishaung speakers, however there are a few notable issues to point out. First, in many varieties, coda /-r/ is dis-preferred if not entirely impossible, often

resulting in a shift to final /-n/. The same occurs for final /-l/, which is often even less common than /-r/. It is possible therefore that Needham's consultant was one who may have had experience with other varieties (which was likely the case regardless) and thus presented a form that was less accurate for Muishaung, but acceptable elsewhere. Additionally, there may have been non-Muishaung speakers present, resulting in possible priming. At any rate, Needham would surely mistaken not have his well-described ((rr)) for ((n)), and so we can trust that the transcription is most likely accurate, despite not being the actual term for flame Muishaung.

This may shed light on 'hearth', then, as well. Since we know Needham often transcribed nasality incorrectly in terms of phonemic syllabification, or as nasalisation on the nucleus when it should have been the onset as in 'bee', it may be the case that his consultant/s for this work did have alternating nasalisation on $/\beta er_1/$, which may have carried over into the

second syllable of 'hearth'. The lack of aspiration is still problematic, but this may at least be a possible answer for why 'hearth' looks as it does.

Since Needham left absolutely nothing about his speaker's speakers' background, we cannot know what other possible factors may have been at play. Still, for as careful as Needham was generally, it is more likely that there was either some phonetic variation going on, or other complicating factors which would account for his transcriptions as they are.

flea · kar´khî

⟨tvlic⟩ · /tə₀li₃/

This is simply a mistake. The lexeme (khvrxkhix) /kher₂khi₂/ is 'wildcat' and not flea. Likely a simple mistake on Needham's part, or perhaps we can speculate that this was elicited by pointing to a cat with fleas and not being understood.

flint striker · varrpai

(wvrzpayc) · /βer₁pai₃/

This instead means to light a fire with flint. Flint striker should instead be (

payclungz) /pai₃luŋ₁/, literally 'fire+stone'.

fly, v · bâ

(baz) · /ba₁/

fog · pharm

⟨phuemx⟩ · /pʰɣm₂/

Today is ⟨tvpuk⟩ /tə₀puk₄/, and ⟨phuemx⟩ is instead 'cloud'. The modern form for fog appears similar to a verbal stem with a causative prefix, but an appropriate verb stem was not found which may explain this as such a construction.

foot · ya´phâ

(yazphac) · /ʒa₁pʰa₃/

Here $/3a_1$ / is the general term for both leg and foot, and $/p^ha_3$ / is the form used for 'palm' when compounded with hand. This thus specified foot as distinct from the larger leg-foot concept.

forget · â'lok

(vlvuk) · /ə₀leuk₄/

Derived from *lak₄, with the sound change expected for that rime.

forest · ling

 $\langle luingx \rangle \cdot / li\eta_2 /$

_

forehead · khâng

⟨khvungxkuimx⟩ · /kheuη₂kɨm₂/

fowl · wû

 $\langle wuz \rangle \cdot / \beta u_1 /$

As 'bird' above.

friend · î-hî´wâ

⟨-⟩ · /-/

This lexeme does not clearly match anything in the modern lexicon. The «î-» prefix appears to be the possessive prefix, and «-wâ», cognate with 'father', occurs as an agentive marker – today more commonly /tsi₂/'grandfather' serves this role – but it's unclear what «-hî-» is here, and discussions with speakers did not resolve the issue.

get up, v (rise) · ka´ten

(kvtenc) · /kə₀ten₃/

 $\langle Tenc \rangle$ /ten₃/ means to pull up or raise, and $\langle kv- \rangle$ /kə₀-/ is the reflexive prefix.

girl · yâ'shikshâ

(yalxjuiksaz) · /ʒal₂tɕɨk₄sa₁/

As 'daughter' above.

give · kû

(kuq, kuyz) · /ku?₄, kui₁/

This stem undergoes suppletion when nominalised. The form given by Needham is likely the bare verbal stem, but for which the 'sharp' marking was not given. It is possible that stem alternation developed in its somewhat current state more closely related recently, as Tangsa-Nocte varieties which show stem suppletion do not undergo the same patterns on the same stems.

go away, v·ka´thot

(kvhttovt) · /kə₀thoet₄/

This means to move slightly or gradually. It is not specifically to depart or leave, nor is it imperative.

goat · kir´kî

(khelxkhix) · /khel₂khi₂/

gong · nyâm

(nyamx) · /pam₂/

good · â'hal

(vhvlx) · /ə₀hel₂/

granary · sam´tâp

(tsvmztap) · /tsəm₁tap₄/

Also ⟨tsvmztumz⟩ /tsəm₁tum₁/ in the modern language.

gun · kon

 $\langle koz \rangle \cdot / ko_1 /$

This term is almost certainly a loan from Tai, where it means 'pipe' or 'gun', being ကွင် /kauŋ₃/ in Phake and ရွင်း /kāung/ in Shan

gunpowder · nâm´van

 $\langle namxwanx \rangle \cdot /nam_2 \beta an_2 /$

flint for ditto · palûng

(payclungz) · /pai₃luŋ₁/

Literally 'igniting stone'.

hail · ting´ür

 $\langle nyerxlox \rangle \cdot / ner_2 lo_2 /$

Syllabification is incorrectly identified in the original text, and should be \langle ti'ngür \rangle , the first morpheme in Needham's case being that of 'water, juice' /ti₁/.

hair · kû'mûl

 $\langle khuxmulz \rangle \cdot / k^h u_2 mul_1 /$

Literally 'head fur'.

hand · yok´phâ

(yvukphac) · /ʒeuk₄pʰa₃/

hat · khû′phûk

(khuxphuk) · /khu₂phuk₄/

$he \cdot \hat{a}'p\hat{i}$

⟨vpiq⟩ · /ə₀piʔ₄/

Another case of a checked syllable not marked as 'sharp'. The stem all checked in of varieties Tangsa-Nocte. Notably this is not the case in other Patkaian branches, nor are reflexes of the coda attested in other branches such as Lainong or Chen where the glottal stop coda was largely lost, but for which reflexes of the CV? syllable are a consistent toneme with 44: contour. It is possible that the coda is innovative in Tangsa-Nocte, since it is absent elsewhere. However, this would still be an oversight to Needham's were he to be consistently marking -? codas, as the development have must happened at the proto-Tangsa-Nocte stage.

here · â'nü

⟨vnuex⟩ · /ə₀nɣ₂/

here · â´yü

⟨-⟩ · /-/

Needham transcribed a number of palatal nasal onsets with $\langle\!\langle y\rangle\!\rangle$, either due to the speaker's production or his own interpretation, so it is likely this was simply a palatalised /n/ due to effects of the nuclear vowel. Therefore it is likely that this form was a case of allophonic variation.

head · khû

 $\langle khux \rangle \cdot / k^h u_2 /$

heavy · â'lî

 $\langle vliz \rangle \cdot /\partial_0 li_1 / li?_4 /$

Adjectives in Muishaung are derived from nominalised stative verbs. The form given by Needham – marked as 'sharp' – is the gerund form of to be heavy . When used as a nominal predicate, translatable as a simple adjectival clause modifying a nominal subject, this form will be used. This stem undergoes verbal suppletion when the meaning changes to 'weight', in which case it should be $\frac{1}{200} \ln \frac{1}{1}$ rather than $\frac{1}{200} \ln \frac{1}{4}$. This stem is of particular interest more generally

for being a clear case of suppletion affecting meaning.

hill · kû

 $\langle kuz \rangle \cdot / ku_1 /$

horn · â'rûng

 $\langle vrungx \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 ru\eta_2 /$

hot · â'kâl

⟨vkalx⟩ · /ə₀kal₂/

house · yim, yüm

 $\langle yuimx \rangle \cdot /3im_2/$

The high central vowel often shows alternation between /i/ and /u/ in many Tangsa-Nocte varieties. This is often allophonic. Today 'house' is /ʒɨm²/ in Muishaung. Needham included two spellings, possibly to account for allophony.

notched steps leading up to house · hĩ khû

 $\langle hixkhux \rangle \cdot / hi_2 k^h u_2 /$

Literally 'bridge head'

how · m´khô´lâ

 $(mz'khoc lac) \cdot /m_1k^ho_3 la_3/$

high · yûng

 $\langle yungx \rangle \cdot / \exists u\eta_2 /$

This is also 'big, large'. Likely this

would have been elicited with reference to some landmark such as a mountain, for which 'big' would have been appropriate.

I · ngâ

⟨ngaz⟩ · /ηa₁/

ill · â´tû

(vthuiuz) · /ə₀tiu₁/

Indian corn · powûng

 $\langle puixwungx \rangle \cdot / p_{i_2} \beta u \eta_2 /$

Needham's $\langle \langle o \rangle \rangle$ vowel will be influences by the following $\langle \langle w \rangle \rangle$.

iron · yân

⟨yanx⟩ · /ʒan₂/

jungle · ya´rü, ja´rü

(yayzruix) · /ʒai₁rɨ₂/

The /ʒ/ phoneme is represented in the modern orthography as ⟨y⟩ but is realised anywhere between /j/ and /ʒ/, with some Tangsa-Nocte varieties also having /dʒ/ as an allophone. Needham's transcription shows an awareness of this alternation, still present today.

kill · tat

(thvt) · /tet₄/

This is the plain verb stem for the etymon. The nominalised form is $/\frac{1}{2}i_3$. The dentalised $/\frac{1}{2}i_3$ is a reflex of proto-Tangsa * δ -.

knee · long´kü

(lvungxkuix) · /leuŋ₂kɨ₂/

knife · yân'hî

(yanxhix) · /ʒan² hi²/

((Yân)) here is 'metal, iron'.

kochu · dü

⟨tuiz⟩·/tɨ₁/

large · â´dil

⟨vdvlz⟩ · /ə₀del₁/

laugh, v · nî

⟨nhuiyx⟩ · /nɨi₂/

Dentalisation of nasal onsets is an innovation in Muishaung not seen in other Tangsa-Nocte varieties. It likely occurs through analogy of /t̪/. It sometimes occurs as a result of semantic splits, such as between 'two' /nɨi₃/ and the dual pronominal marker /nɨi₃/. Today the distinction is phonemic and lexically specified.

leech · ta'wat

 $\langle tvwvt \rangle \cdot /t \partial_0 \beta et_4 /$

This is specifically terrestrial leeches. Aquatic leeches are $/na_2pi\eta_2/$, a compound of two borrowed Tai stems, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$: '*na:A' for wet rice field and $\delta \dot{\mathfrak{c}}$ '*pli: $\eta^{A'}$ for leech.

leaf · nâm´yok

(namxyvuk) · /nam₂ʒeuk₄/

The stem /ʒeuk₄/ here is polysemous with 'hand'. The initial component in the compound acts as a semantic head. In this case, Needham's form with ⟨⟨nâm⟩⟩ limits this to plants which are not trees, ⟨⟨nâm⟩⟩ being the term for shrubs, grasses and other jungle plants.

leg · yâ

 $\langle yaz \rangle \cdot / \langle a_1 \rangle$

This is the most general term and includes the foot.

lightning · rong'lep

(rvungxlep) · /reuŋ₂lep₄/

This refers to lightning in the sky, not a lightning strike. This is a distinction found throughout Patkaian. Lightning striking would be (pheq) /phe?₄/ in Muishaung.

lip · na'warr

(nuixbuirz) · /nɨ₂bɨr₁/

The modern form is (nuixbuirz) $/n_{12}b_{11}/.$ The second morpheme, (buirz), is best translated as lobe and occurs in compounds for both 'earlobe' as well as 'buttocks'.

light (not heavy) · â´chân

⟨vjawz⟩·/ə₀tɕɔ₁/

loin cloth · rî

(ruiyq) · /rɨiʔ₄/

This is again a case where if the onset were not $\langle\!\langle r \rangle\!\rangle$ we may expect Needham's $\langle\!\langle ri \rangle\!\rangle$ nucleus transcription. While many of the conventions are consistently used, even if not explicitly described, often there are enough exceptions that would make it nearly impossible for a reader to work out the pronunciation of a word based just on its spelling.

look, v·lân

 $\langle lawx \rangle \cdot /lo_3 /$

Like 'elephant', this is another instance of the *- $\alpha\eta$ rime written with a segmental nasal rather than the vowel nasalisation signified by the underlined $\langle\!\langle\underline{n}\rangle\!\rangle$. In the case of

'elephant' where Needham attributed it to being a Hkamti borrowing, the segmental /n/ transcription may have been due to the influence of Hkamti on Needham himself. However in this case we may suspect it as a typographical error. There is not a reasonable pathway for *-αη to have become /ɔ/ that would have included an intermediate stage of final /n/ that did not also result in a merger. Thus, this transcription should be assumed to be erroneous, and instead intended as ⟨⟨lân⟩⟩.

louse · thik

(httuik) · /t̪ʰɨk₄/

make, v · gür

⟨ghuir⟩ · /γɨr₃/

This verbal stem is also common in compounds referring to occupations. 'Carpenter' will be house + /ɣɨrȝ/ + SPEC. 'Mason' will be 'stone'+/ɣɨrȝ/+SPEC. As with most Sino-Tibetan languages, word formation in Muishaung is largely through compounding.

male · mî´wâ

 $\langle miqwaz \rangle \cdot / mi7_4 \beta a_1 /$

Person /mi?₄/ combined with the spec ('father' / βa_1 /. Today 'grandfather' / $t \epsilon i_2$ / is a more productive specifier, but previously / βa_1 / was common, and still occurs today as a masculine marker on humans.

many · â'pâng

(vpvungc) · /ə₀peun₃/

mat · dam

 $\langle dvmx \rangle \cdot / dem_2 /$

monkey · vî´sil

 $\langle wixsuilx \rangle \cdot /\beta i_2 sil_2 /$

mortar for pounding paddy · thim, thüm

(htuimz) · /thim₁/

pestle · thim´yâ

(htuimzyaz) · /tʰɨm₁ʒa₁/

mother · n´yû

(nyuiuz) · /nɨu₁/

Nearly all cases where a palatal nasal occurs in the data, Needham marks syllabification as if it is /n.j/. In some cases, the nasal is missed entirely, as is the case for 'bee'. This is frequent

enough that it may be indicative of a feature of the speaker's pronunciation, rather than Needham's ear, such as the speaker under-pronouncing the nasality and therefore overcompensating.

moon · yâ′pî

⟨yacpix⟩ · /ʒa₃pi₂/

mod · choâl

(jovlz) · /teoel₁/

This is the traditional fermented rice drink previously common across cultures in the region, but which has fallen somewhat out of favour after conversion to Baptist Christianity.

mouth · nürr

 $\langle \text{nuix} \rangle \cdot /\text{ni}_2 /$

A significant phonological departure from the modern variety, Needham transcribes this with double ((r)) indicating a strong trill. For terms which today end in coda /r/, the transcription is an appropriate choice. However, today 'mouth' is /nɨ²/ for the native speaking author. There is potential dialectal variation also encoded in the text as compared to modern pronunciations, particularly

with coda (I) and (r). In some such cases Needham's transcription does not directly match that of speakers who were consulted by the authors. However, coda /r/ and /l/ are particularly unstable across Tangsa-Nocte varieties when looked at diachronically, and a shift in the pronunciation between dialects should not be surprising.

mud · bok

(bok) · /bok₄/

nail · yok'thin

⟨yvukhttuinc⟩ · /ʒeuk₄thin₃/

name · ming

 $\langle \text{muingc} \rangle \cdot / \text{min}_3 /$

near · ân´yek

(vnyek) · /ə₀nek₄/

Again syllabification splitting a palatal nasal onset. The $\langle (\hat{a}) \rangle$ prefix is the nominaliser, forming an adjectival modifier from a stative verb stem.

nest · wûshanchîan

(wuzshawxjez) · /βu₁∫ɔ₂tɕe₁/

net · châk

(jak) · /tsak₄/

now · mâ´chû

(mvyzjuiuc) · /mei₁tɕɨu₃/

old · â-kîon

 $\langle vkez \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 ke_1 /$

As with 'nest', the final nasalisation is from a loss of *-ŋ coda. The cognate in Joglei is /keŋ¹/, with similar cognates in some other Tangsa-Nocte varieties. In most, however, the coda has likewise been lost. It is /ki/ in Rëra, /kɨ/ in Chamchang (Kimsing), and /ke/ in Gaji.

old man · vî-kânwâ

(lixkawx waz) · /li₂kɔ₂ βa₁/

old woman · vî´krû

(wizkuiux nyuiuz) · /βi₁kɨu₂ pɨu₁/

petticoat · nî´sâ

(nhuiyqsaz) · /nɨiʔ₄sa₁/

Another instance of dentalisation of a nasal onset analogous to the dental plosive.

woman's chudder · khaipân

(khvyzparx) · /khei₁par₂/

This is another instance like 'flame' where a nasal vowel is given in place of what is today /r/, further supporting the analysis that these

were in alternation for the speaker consulted by Needham. Given the strength of articulation of /r/ in modern times as well as in Needham's description, it is unlikely to be a simple mistake.

pig · vak

⟨wvuk⟩ · /βeuk₄/

pipe · yâ'tik

(nyactuik) · /ɲa₃tɨk₄/

There is again a lack of nasalisation here, using only $\langle\!\langle y\rangle\!\rangle$ for a palatal nasal onset. Likely the speaker simply did not enunciation the nasalisation clearly, as evidenced by a number of other words in the list. However, Needham's interpretation may also be the culprit.

place or put, v·tâ

(taq) · /ta?₄/

poison · la´pû

(lvpuz) · /lə₀pu₁/

This is poison gathered from leaves and then put on spears or arrows, rather than the type used for fishing.

post · thung

(thungz) · /thun₁/

plantain · yâm ngâ

(nyapngak) · /pap₄ŋak₄/

Initially ((yâm ngâ)) does not look like particularly good match /nap4nak4/. In spite of this, it likely is. The use of (n) adjacent to a syllable with ((y)) onset likely accounts for the palatal onset, frequently missed in the text. Final (m) on the first syllable occurs due to underlying assimilation of /p/ to the following nasal manner of articulation. The ⟨⟨ngâ⟩⟩ is /ηa/, but with the nasalisation (n) having been conflated with the velar onset. Then the only unaccounted for aspect is the final /k/. This can often come across as a glottal stop in less careful speech, especially when in isolation, and Needham never accounts for final glottal stops except on monosyllables which he then describes as being 'sharp'. Based on this, Needham's « yâm ngâ) almost certainly is an effort at transcribing /pap4nak4/ when taking into account the various other near misses in transcription elsewhere in the text.

rice · vûng

 $\langle wungx \rangle \cdot / \beta u \eta_2 /$

This is rice which has been husked, as opposed to paddy, for which other terms are given.

cooked rice · dûng

⟨dungc⟩ · /duη₃/

rain · rang

⟨rvungxyunc⟩ · /reuŋ₂ʒun₃/

Historically it is likely that there was a #ran stem for rain, distinct from the sky *ran stem, attested in some older texts. This has been lost throughout many Rangpangic varieties, while the meaning of 'rain' for such a stem is still widely attested across Tibeto-Burman. It is likely this developed due to a semantic split (as described in van Dam 2022), or simply is a case of polysemy which has now been lost.

See also (rông) 'cloud', for which the vowel is distinctly different in Needham's transcription.

red · kaishân

 $\langle vshawx \rangle \cdot /[5_2/$

Here ((shân)) is another case where

the final nasal is expected to be underlined ((n)). The modern form of this morpheme is /ʃɔ/, the rime derived from *-αη. The initial ((kai)) is less transparent, however compare /ko.xin/ in Gagkat, a Southwestern Patkai variety closely related to Wancho, as well as /nan.khai/ in Kaisan and /nan.ki/ in Konnu, where /nan/ is likely a Tai loan, common in varieties in the Kaisan-Kon subgroup. This morpheme closely resembles *khal, 'hot', possibly а connection to 'red-hot'.

ring · yoktû´op

⟨yvuktop⟩ · /ʒeuk₄top₄/

Here again evidence of vowel fracture is apparent based on syllabification marking in Needham's transcription.

ripe · â'muin

 $\langle \text{muinx} \rangle \cdot / \text{min}_2 /$

Transcription of earlier forms of /ɨ/ are not fully consistent. In some cases, when a monophthong occurs in the modern variety, Needham uses 《ui》 to transcribe the corresponding segment. Coincidentally, this is also the orthographic convention used for

the sound in the modern Roman orthography, first developed by Rev. Gam Win based on missionary orthographies used in Myanmar in the past.

river, also water · yeng

 $\langle yuix \rangle \cdot /3i_2/$

The final nasal here is not accounted for. It may be a reduction of /pɨu₁/ 'mother', a typical augmentative. Such assimilation of /pɨu₁/ (or cognate stems) is common in Patkaian, often rendered semantically opaque to speakers.

road · lam

⟨lvmx⟩ · /lem₂/

roof of house · ship, shup

(shuip) · /[ip₄/

In the modern variety, $/[ip_4]$ refers to the action of covering the roof beams with leaves. The modern lexeme for 'roof' is $\langle wuxkhux \rangle / \beta u_2 k^h u_2 /$, the latter part being 'head' and the former a morpheme used on a great many compounds relating to the roof.

run∙yû

 $\langle yuz \rangle \cdot / \langle u_1 / u_2 \rangle$

salt · shûm

(shumz) · /[um₁/

sand · phân

 $\langle phawx \rangle \cdot / p^h z_2 /$

This is one of a couple of sand words. This one refers to sand or gravel found at the bank of a river. Again the underlined ((ân)) ending corresponds to the *-an rime.

see, v·khî

 $\langle khiz \rangle \cdot / k^h i_1 / k^h i_3 /$

seek, v·thî

⟨thuiyx⟩ · /tɨi₂/

seize, v·lû

⟨luz⟩ · /lu₁/

sell, v · shong

(shvungz) · /[eun₁/

send, v·târ

(tvqhurx) · /tə₀γur₂/

Here Needham likely elicited the same lexeme as is found in the modern language, but with ellision of the velar. This is today typically a fricative, but can occur as an obstruent.

sharp · â´thî

⟨vhttuiyx⟩ · /ə₀thi₂/

shoot, v · gâp

(ghap) · /γap₄/

similar (alike) · âdam, dam

 $\langle vdvmz, dvmz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 dem_1, dem_1 /$

sing, v · â'shir

 $\langle \text{shic} \rangle \cdot / [i_3 (\text{song}) / i_3 (\text{song$

The equivalent stem today $/ \int_{3}^{2} r \, ds$ refers to a song rather than the verb 'to sing'. Needham transcribes this with final $\langle r \rangle$, also not present today.

sit down, v · nong

⟨ngvungc⟩ · /ŋeuŋ₃/

skin · â'krü

⟨shuix⟩ · /k^hi₂/

Based on other transcriptions this is expected to be ((â'kri)), however ((ü)) is commonly used for what Needham describes as /y/, suggesting difficulty hearing the 1888 equivalent of /i/ as a Muishaung clear phoneme. is unique today in somewhat the number of central vowel phonemes, and a distinction between /ɣ/ and /ɨ/ which is often not entirely clear in casual speech, often becoming more like [x] and [x] respectively, the latter

often erroneously transcribed as /ɯ/ in the literature anyway.

sky · rong

⟨rvungx⟩ · /reun₂/

This is a case of the *-an rime with ((o)) indicating transcription either intermediate step in the sound change, or just an inconsistency in Needham's transcription This term historically was and still todav rhyming with the 'machete' stem, now /ʒeuη₂/, aside from a difference in tone which has not affected the phonological development of the rime.

This stem is homophonous with 'wing'

slave · dâ

 $\langle dax \rangle \cdot / da_2 /$

slippery · ân´yâr

(vnyelz) · /ə₀pel₁/

Here there is incorrect syllabification with the nominalising prefix $/\partial_0/$. Significantly, this again shows a potential dialectal variation between his speakers and one of the current authors of this comparison in the

liquid coda. A similar case is seen in 'young man' and 'sun' below.

sleep, v · voil

 $\langle wovlc \rangle \cdot / \beta oel_3 /$

This does not mean 'sleep' exactly, but rather the act of lying down flat. Stems for 'sleep' today are $\langle yuip \rangle / 3ip_4 / and \langle tsovlx \rangle / tsoel_2 / .$

small · â-phûn´sâ

(vphuinc saz) · /ə₀pʰɨn₃ sa₁/

 $smell \cdot \hat{a}'thing$

(thuingx) · /t̪ɨŋ₂/

smoke · varrkrû

(wvrzkhuiuq) · /βer₁kʰɨuʔ₄/

Use of $\langle\!\langle r \rangle\!\rangle$ on the nucleus again corresponding to central vowels. No mention if given of the glottal coda, but again words are only described as 'sharp' when they are monosyllabic.

snake · pû

⟨puiuc⟩ · /pɨu₃/

By comparison with other entries, 《 prû》 would be expected here. The 'snake' stem is found throughout Patkaian, and often with a diphthong involving a central vowel followed by /u/. It is therefore surprising that

Muishaung, a variety with substantially more diphthongs than any other in Patkaian, would have a monophthonic /pu/ even in Needham's time.

Compare Hahcheng and Joglei /pəu/, Khalak and Chamchang /pau/, Lochhang /pao/, Mungre /poi/, as well as Khiamniungan and Pounyiu /pau/ and Lainong /pio/.

snow · thik hek

(tsikhek) · /tsɨk₄hek₄/

This is a case where Needham gives an obstruent, but the modern version has an affricate. There is alternation between /ts/ and /t/ in modern Muishaung, which this may also be a case of.

son · mĩ vâshâ, mĩ vâshân

(miqwazsaz) · /miʔ₄βa₁sa₁/

Needham's $\langle shann \rangle$ is seen elsewhere in the text and would correspond to $/[5_2]$ today, as seen in 'child' $\langle noxshawx \rangle /no_2[5_2]$ above, as well as being the second part of the general category term for 'bird', $\langle wuzshawx \rangle /\beta u_1[5_2]$. It is distinct from the $\langle saz \rangle /sa_1/$ diminutive found in the language

today. This $/sa_1/$ is a diminutive marker and also means 'son' or 'child' on it's own. The nasalisation is not a mistake, and is found throughout the text.

'Child' $\langle noxshawx \rangle / no_2 \langle o_2 \rangle / has a$ cognate in Ngaimong as /nau2sen2/, but can also be said as noxsaz /no₂sa₁/, for which the non-nasalised 《 mî vâshâ) is likely a representation. Thus, the two forms given here are allophonic variation of not nasalisation, but rather represent two entirely different morphemes which also occur with a tonal distinction. Both stems occurred in 1888 serving as diminutives or possibly nominalisers, similar to the way 子 has developed in Sinitic.

sow, v · win

 $\langle wuinc \rangle \cdot /\beta_{i}n_{3}/$

spear · pâ

(paq) · /pa?₄/

spark · varrpü

(wvrzpuic) · /βer₁pɨ₃/

spirit (evil) · thâng, thâung

(thvungc) · /theun₃/

Here Needham gives both a pre- and post-change form of the word, showing that the two pronunciations coexisted and were perhaps in free variation.

stand · châp

⟨jvp⟩·/teep₄/

star · rî´sî

(ruiyzsuiyq) · /rɨi₁sɨiʔ₄/

stay, v · gal

 $\langle ghuilc \rangle \cdot / \gamma i l_3 /$

stead · â´gû, â´grû

 $\langle vghuez \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 \chi \chi_1 /$

It is unclear what was meant here, but this is likely meant to be $/\partial_0 \gamma \gamma_1/$, 'to lack', as the only potential verb which will have the correct pronunciation and a possible semantic connection, although this is speculative.

stone · lûng

⟨lungz⟩ · /luη₁/

sun · rong´shârr

(rvungxshalx) · /reun₂[al₂/

Here again is a case of alternation

between /l/ and /r/. This is only attested as having coda /-l/ today, which is also the more conservative reading of the morpheme. That the ⟨r⟩ is doubled indicates it was clearly a trilled /r/ for Needham, therefore dialectal variation has to be assumed. In Shangwan, which has lost final *-l (and for which the final /n/ in 'Shangwan' is a replacement strategy), 'sun' will be /rəŋ.ʃar/.

tail · â´mî

 $\langle vmiz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 mi_1 /$

take, v·lam´van

(lvmc wvnc, lvmz wvnc) \cdot /lem₃ β en₃, lem₁ β en₃/

that · ka'rau, ka'rü

⟨kvruex⟩ · /kə₀rɣ₂/

them or they · thing shî

(tsuingcshiq) · /tsɨη₃[iʔ₄/

there · ga'nü

⟨kvnuex⟩ · /kə₀nɣ₂/

The initial $\langle \langle g \rangle \rangle$ in Needham's transcription is an error, and should be $\langle \langle k \rangle \rangle$.

thief · â´grûwâ

 $\langle vghuiuqwaz \rangle \cdot /\partial_0 \chi iu ?_4 \beta a_1 /$

This is Nomz-steal-father/man, which is an interesting case of SPEC being $/\beta a_1/$ 'father, man' rather than $/t\epsilon i_2/$ 'grandfather' as is common today.

thin (not thick) · â'vâr

 $\langle vwarx \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 \beta ar_2 /$

thin (not thick) · â gü

An appropriate modern equivalent was not able to be found for this form as given by Needham. The intended meaning and provided form combination does not resemble any currently used terms.

thin (not fat) · â'rê'ân

 $\langle vrex \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 re_2 /$

this · â'rau, â'rü

 $\langle vruex \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 r \gamma_2 /$

thorn · shû

(shuiuq) · /ʃɨuʔ₄/

Notably, this is not referred to as 'sharp' in Needham's account, possibly due to the diphthong.

thumb · yok'shî

(yvukshix) · /zeuk₄[i₂/

This is simply 'finger'. The full form of 'thumb' today is /ʒɐuk₄ʃi₂kɨu₂/, the final morpheme also being the feminine marker for certain animals, calling back to the use of 'mother' as an augmentative used elsewhere in the languages of the region.

thunder · rong´mûk

(rvungxmuk) · /reuŋ₂muk₄/

tiger · châ

(jaq) · /tɕaʔ₄/

Even more notably than 'thorn', this is not marked as 'sharp'. The recognition and indication of /-?/ codas is largely not consistent. It can be stated at least that where mentioned, Needham is entirely correct, but more often than not, checked syllables are not indicated as such.

tobacco · nyâ

(nyac) · /na₃/

toe · yâ´shî

(yazshix) · /ʒa₁ʃi₂/

tongue · la´lî

⟨tvlix⟩ · /tə₀li₂/

The initial ((I)) of the first syllable is likely a mistake, either a production error by the speaker or having been misheard by Needham. Given the similarity in place of articulation, either explanation would be reasonable.

tooth · vâ

 $\langle wac \rangle \cdot / \beta a_3 /$

touch wood · mü

⟨**-**⟩ · /**-**/

An equivalent modern form was not found for this, and it is not clear what was meant by the English gloss.

track, v·yür

 $\langle yurc \rangle \cdot / zur_3 /$

The actual meaning here is 'to chase' rather than to track.

true · â'sing

⟨vsuingz⟩ · /ə₀sɨŋ₁/

tree · pûl

 $\langle pulx \rangle \cdot /pul_2 /$

uncle · î´vong

 $\langle vwvungx \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 \beta e u \eta_2 /$

This is specifically one's paternal uncle. Maternal uncle is $/\gamma u_1/$. The $\langle\langle \hat{i} \rangle\rangle$ prefix is possessive, as with other kinship terms collected here, although absent on other kinship terms provided by Needham such as 'father'.

unripe · â´thing

 $\langle vhttuingx \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 \underline{t}^h \underline{i} \eta_2 /$

village · kî´an

 $\langle \text{kez} \rangle \cdot / \text{ke}_1 /$

A more common term for village today is $\langle ghaqshungc \rangle$ / γa ? $_4 \int u \eta_3 / .$ Nasalisation in Needham's transcription is of uncertain source.

war · râ'lûng

⟨rvlungc, rvkhvt⟩ · /rə₀luŋ₃, rə₀khet₄/

what? · yam'lû

 $\langle yvmxlix \rangle \cdot / \exists em_2 li_2 /$

where · m´jû´lâ

(mzyuqlac) · /m₁ʒuʔ₄la₃/

white · â'lü

 $\langle luiz \rangle \cdot / \partial_0 l_{1}^{i} /$

who? · u'lâ

(uzlac) · /u₁la₃/

why? · kham'lâ

(khvmc lac) · /khem₃ la₃/

wing · wûrong

(wuzrvungx) · /βu₁reuŋ₂/

worm · chil´bâ

(jvlxbaz) · /teel2ba1/

This term is specifically used for worms which give some feeling of disgust, and would not typically be used for grubs that could be a food source. It also serves – in that capacity as linked to disgust – as a temporary name for infants in order to ward off evil.

yesterday · ma´yâ

 $\langle mzyac \rangle \cdot /m_1 za_3 /$

Computer-readable word list

The entirety of the above word list from Needham's text is available in CLDF format along with the modern pronunciation and notes consistent with the following section. It can be downloaded at [removed for blind review].

Grammatical notes

Following the word list, Needham provided a very brief sketch grammar with example sentences. It is only a few pages long, but provides additional insight into changes that the language underwent during the past century.

you · m'nang

(mznvungz) · /m₁neun₁/

young man · ya´rôwâ

 $\langle yalxroxwaz \rangle \cdot / 3al_2ro_2\beta a_1 /$

Another case of /l/ as «r» but also /l/ is often lost in Needham's transcription when in coda position. Compare 'young woman' «yâr'tû» . The final syllable «wâ» is the 'father' stem which occasionally occurs as a specifier or agentive marker.

young woman · yâr´tû

⟨yalxtux⟩ · /ʒal₂tu₂/

As with 《yâ'rôwâ》, here coda 《r》 for what is /l/ in the modern variety.

Gender

Grammatical gender is not a feature of Muishaung or related Tangsa-Nocte varieties. It is typically not a part of pronominal systems, except in cases where such distinctions have been innovated in the third person singular pronominal forms. Gender marking is typically restricted to overt marking through the addition of 'man' or 'woman' to terms. Table 1 shows terms where gender specification is semantically specified, adapted from the original.

Table 1: Semantically gendered terms

These terms are explained by Needham as terms for which gender is inherent in the semantics. The alternation between $\langle\!\langle w\rangle\!\rangle$ and $\langle\!\langle v\rangle\!\rangle$ on *father* reflects the likely underlying realisation of the phoneme back in 1888 as β , the same as it is today. The same type of alternation is found on $\langle\!\langle y\rangle\!\rangle$ today, realised anywhere between β and β .

Needham describes the terms in Table 1 as being used to explicitly mark sex of human concept such as *slave* or *child* by appending the term for that concept to the terms for 'man' or 'woman' above. The examples given by Needham are shown in Table 2.

```
child
((dâ))
            slave
                        «no'shân»
«mî vâ dâ male slave «mî vâ no shân male child
                                                      «mî vâ shân»
                                                                      son
》
                        >>
«yâ′shik
            female
                        《yâ´shik
                                         female
                                                      ((yâ'shik shâa)) daughte
dâ»
                        no'shân)
                                         child
            slave
                                                                      r
```

Table 2: Gender-marked compounds

In the original printing, the forms for 'son' and 'daughter' are printed as shown in Table 2, with the underline missing on $\langle \underline{n} \rangle$ in 'daughter' and printed as $\langle a \rangle$ on 'son'. These are almost certainly typographical errors introduced at the time of printing, given the clear compositional nature of the compounds which was the focus of the section in the text.

The description of gender continues with examples for marking what he calls 'inferior animals', for which different strategies are given. These are $\langle l\hat{a} \rangle$ and $\langle p\hat{u}ng \rangle$ for males, today $\langle la_1 \rangle$ and $\langle pun_1 \rangle$, and $\langle sh\hat{a}rr \rangle$ or $\langle kr\hat{u} \rangle$ for female, today $\langle la_2 \rangle$ and $\langle kiu_2 \rangle$. Needham provides the forms shown in Table 3.

```
((vak))
           pig
                        ⟨⟨vî´sî⟩⟩
                                   monkey
                                                    《gui hên》
                                                                    dog
«vak lâ»
           male pig
                        ⟨vî lâ⟩⟩
                                   male monkey
                                                    《gui hên lâ》
                                                                    male dog
«vak
           female pig
                       «vî krû»
                                   female monkey (qui hên krû)
                                                                    female dog
shârr》
«kê'kî»
           goat
                        ((châ)
                                   tiger
«kê'pûng
           male goat
                        «châ lâ»
                                   male tiger
)
«kê'shârr
           female
                        «châ krû female tiger
>>
           goat
                        )
```

Table 3: Gender-marked animal terms

The strategies described by Needham are still present today, but with some additional complexity. Needham presents the terms in a way which may suggest that these are lexically specified. This is not the case, and instead the terms for female animal differ based on whether it has given birth or not. Feminine $/\int ar_2/is$ typically used for female animals which have not yet given birth. Thus today $\langle wvuksharx \rangle /\beta euk_4 \int ar_2/is$ a female pig which has not, and $\langle wvukkuiux \rangle /\beta euk_4 k_4 u_2/is$ will refer to a female pig which has previously given birth.

Despite this system, female goat is instead (khelxkuiux) /khel₂kɨu₂/ today regardless, with Needham's (kê'shârr) rejected by speakers as being incorrect.

In spite of the brevity of the section, Needham largely captures the extent to which gender is a feature of the language.

Adjectives

The subsection on adjectives includes a very short collection of glossed examples of adjective use. Needham states that it can occur before or after the noun, which is correct in one sense. However, in Muishaung, as in other Tangsa-Nocte varieties, adjective-like nominal modifiers are formed from stative verbs that take the nominaliser prefix $/\partial_0$ -/. When preceding the noun, as with $(\hat{a}'hal \ v\hat{a})$ good+man, the result is a noun phrase which cannot serve as an independent clause.

Examples (1a) and (2a) are adapted from Needham, preserving the original glossing in bold, while (1b) and (2b) are the modern-day equivalents.

(1a)
$$\hat{a}$$
 \hat{h} \hat{a} \hat{h} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{a} \hat{b} $\hat{$

Despite the order described as flexible, when following the noun as in the other three examples provided by Needham, the result instead is a subject-predicate construction, and forms an independent clause. Thus $\langle\langle v\hat{a} \hat{a}'hal\rangle\rangle\rangle$ would be understood as 'the father/man is good'. Such constructions lack any sort of copula. A similar commonly used construction adds a focus marker $\langle kv_2\rangle\rangle$ between the subject and predicate. The omission of $\langle kv_2\rangle$ in Needham may suggest that this is something which has increased in frequency since his time.

â′thî â'lu (3a) yân'hî vâ (4a) khai vâ knife, cloth, sharp long 'a sharp knife' 'a long cloth' (3b) $3an_2hi_2$ $\partial_0 - \underline{t}^h i i_2$ βа? (4b) kʰei₁ ∂_0 -lu₁ Ва? knife иомz-sharp cloth иомz-long INT INT '[A] knife is sharp' '[A] cloth is long'

Significantly, Needham includes $\langle\!\langle v\hat{a}\rangle\!\rangle$ in all examples. In the first it is glossed as 'man'. In the other examples, this can not be, and instead it is almost certainly βa_4 , an intensifier. This leads the authors to suspect the $\langle\!\langle v\hat{a}\rangle\!\rangle$ in 'good man' may also possibly be the intensifying βa_4 rather than 'father, man' βa_1 . No explanation is given for the additional occurrences of $\langle\!\langle v\hat{a}\rangle\!\rangle$. Without more information on the original methodology, it may not be an answerable question.

Personal pronouns

This section includes a single table of the pronominal system with a valuable footnote: *'I am not sure that the plural is right'*. It is an excellent example of the type of transparency which is so badly needed to be able to properly interpret texts from this era. Needham's account is seen in Table 4 adapted from the original text.

	SG	PL	
1	ngâ	nî´shî	
2	m´nang	nüm	
3	â′pî	thing´shî	

Table 4: Muishaung pronouns according to Needham

The modern-day pronominal system is as in Table 5. Our analysis of the pronominal system treats overt dual, trial, and plural marking as affixes. These are pragmatically conditioned and not necessary for the bare stem to be interpreted as non-singular.

1	ŋa₁	neuŋ₁	n i i₁	−ü i i³	− <u>ţ</u> im₂	–∫iʔ₄, –he₁
2	m₁neuŋ₁	n i m₁		−៉i³i³	−ţɨm₂	–∫iʔ₄, –he₁
3	ə₀pi?₄	ts i n₃		−n i i₃	− <u>t</u> im₂	-[iʔ₄, -he₁

Table 5: Modern Muishaung pronouns

Despite Needham's concerns, he was fully correct in his analysis. The plural forms given were the exclusive first person singular, and the overt plural marker $/-\int_{1}^{2} f^{2} dt$ was not included on the second person plural, but it is also not necessary.

Verbs

The section on verbs is brief in terms of prose but contains many examples. Imperatives are rightfully identified as being marked by (\sinh) and (\hbar) , today $/\frac{1}{3}$ and $/\frac{1}{4}$. The role of these two is never commented on, although from the examples given it is clear that this is a distinction of transitivity, as it is today as well. The transitivity distinction is not made in other Tangsa-Nocte varieties, and is surely an innovation in Muishaung which predates Needham's visit. Morey (2019:170) provides a comparative account of the imperative markers across varieties, and in no other is such a distinction attested.

Some examples of the imperative are given in (5–8). The modern forms and translations match those given by Needham and are included in the second line of the examples.

(5)
$$\hat{a}'p\hat{i}'$$
 dat' $sh\hat{u}$ (6) $kong$ $g\hat{a}p'$ $sh\hat{u}$
 $\theta_0pi?_4$ $\underline{t}et_4$ $\int_{}^{i}u_1$ ko_1 yap_4 $\int_{}^{i}u_1$

3sg hit IMP.T gun fire IMP.T

R

'Hit him'

'Fire the gun'

(7) $ch\hat{a}p$ $kr\hat{u}$ (8) $y\hat{u}$ $kr\hat{u}$

$$t \in ep_4$$
 $k \nmid u_1$ $3u_1$ $k \nmid u_1$ $stand$ $IMP.IN$ $IMP.IN$ T T 'Stand $[up]'$ 'Run away'

Note in (6) 'gun' is given as $\langle kong \rangle$ whereas before in the word list it was given as $\langle kong \rangle$, further indicating the state of flux that loss of nasal codas were in at the time.

Transitivity is distinguished for the imperative, but not shown elsewhere in the sentences, as in (8-9).

In the modern language, transitivity occurs in these cases, as in (9) and (10), having replaced the earlier (khâung).

(9)
$$ga_1 ext{ ka}_1 ext{ theun}$$
 (10) $ga_1 ext{ feug}_3 ext{ feug}_2$

1sg go fut 1sg sell fut
'I will go' 'I will sell'

The situation around transitive marking verbs in Muishaung as it relates to Needham's account has previously been discussed in Morey (2019), which states:

This distinction in future marking between transitive and intransitive appears to be a relatively recent innovation. Muishaung is the only Pangwa Tangsa variety for which we have substantial historical records dating back more than a short time. Needham (1897:10) recorded ... that the future was marked by verbal operator kh- used for both transitive and intransitive.

The (khâung) /kheuŋ2/ form still exists today but as a first person singular hortative.

The other major difference between Needham's sentence examples and the modern variety is the frequent use of $\langle k\hat{a} \rangle /ka_1 /$ 'to go' at the end of declarative sentences, as in (11). examples

(11a)
$$sam$$
 $muin$ $k\hat{a}$ (b) $tsem_1$ m_1n_2 ka_1 rice ripen REAL 'The rice is ripe' 'The rice has become ripe'

This is not used for simple declaratives as translated by Needham but instead means that the ripening of the rice has come to pass.

Numerals

The final section of the text gives the numbers 1-13, and then all multiples of 10 up to 100 in Muishaung, followed by 1-10 in Shangke, with the final note from the author that '...the rest [of Shangke numbers] are so similar to the Môshâng ones, that I did not think it worth while writing them down'.

Conclusion

Fieldwork documentation provides ample opportunities to the one collecting the data to realise one's past mistakes. At the time of writing, the authors of this paper have worked closely together on a single language variety for a full decade, and in that time have had many chances to revisit past discussions in the form of audio or video recordings and to build upon those past interpretations with new knowledge and improved language skills.

As part of that process, many amendments, additions, and total corrections of past understandings have occurred. Needham's text provides a rare and wonderful snapshot not just of the language as spoken by his main contact/s in 1888 — precisely the outcome Needham himself would have intended — but also an intriguing picture of all the complications that fieldworkers have faced from the start. There are difficulties in understanding the important features of an unfamiliar language, difficulties of communicating the data one may wish to elicit, difficulties in

understanding what a researcher from far away may be asking in the first place, and of course difficulties in capturing the sounds one may be hearing, especially with the limitations of 1888's technology.

In this way is not only an invaluable record of language change, but a particularly humanising document about its author, about whom so little has survived to the present time. Little remains of the details behind Jack Francis Needham's time travelling through the areas, and even fewer details — hardly any at all — of the people he spoke to in the communities through which he passed. Instead we are left attempting to forensically reconstruct his methods, for which we fortunately have at least some clues.

The importance of legacy materials is clear, both for understanding not only the historical background of language documentation as well as the state of the modern languages. By approaching these documents with the goal of working out the underlying systems which may otherwise be dismissed simply as mistakes on the original author's part, we can shed new light into important changes in the language, while also better understanding which aspects have remained the same.

References

Brown, Nathan (1851). "Specimens of the Naga language of Asam". In: Journal of the American Oriental Society 2, pp. 157–165.

Dam, Kellen Parker van (2019). *Horsing around: The Complicated State of Tangsa-Nocte* *an Rhymes. Paper presented at the Himalayan Languages Symposium. Sydney, AU.

- (2020). "The syntax of intensifiers in Muishaung". In: Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 43.1, pp. 19–36.
- (2022). Semantic Splits in Northern Naga: Lexical disambiguation through partial sound changes in polysemes. Presented at the 2nd International Conference of the Tibeto-Burman Association of Northeast India.

Das Gupta, Kamalesh (1978). "A note on the Tangsa language". In: Resarun: journal of the Research Department, Government of Arunachal Pradesh 4.2, pp. 6–16.

— (1980). *The Tangsa language: a synopsis*. Shillong: The Philology Section, Research Department, North-East Frontier Agency, p. 77.

Grierson, George Abraham (1928). *Linguistic Survey of India. Comparative Vocabulary*. Calcutta: Government of India Central Publication Branch.

Morey, Stephen (2015). "The internal diversity of Tangsa: vocabulary and morphosyntax". In: Language and culture in Northeast India and beyond, p. 23.

- (2018). "Verb stem alternation in Pangwa Tangsa". In.
- (2019a). "Pangwa Tangsa agreement markers and verbal operators". In: Himalayan Linguistics 18.1.
- (2019b). Preliminary Muishaung Grammatical Sketch.

Needham, Jack Francis (1897). A Collection of A Few Môshâng Naga Words. Shillong: Assam Secretariat Printing Office.