Version 2005-Oct-06 Codebook _____ VARIABLE DESCRIPTION FILE 2000 PRE-POST STUDY (2000.T)_____ VERSION Process.1. ANES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA Numeric Process1. VERSION NUMBER ______ This is the 2-digit number corresponding to version of the data file. (The initial release of the raw data file is data version 01.) See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset". DSETID Process.2. ANES DATASET NUMBER Character Process2. ANES DATASET NUMBER Dataset number 2000.T. See the introductory section of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset" numbers. ICPSRNO Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131 Numeric Process2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131 This is the study number within the ICPSR archive. V000001 Process.4. Case ID Numeric Process.4. ANES 2000 CASE ID ANES 2000 Case ID corresponds to the Pre-election ID. There are gaps in the numbering (0001-1812)

V000001a Process.4a. Post ID
Numeric

Process.4a.

POST ID

There are gaps in the numbering.

0001-1557 Pos Interviews 2001-2254 Post non-interviews

V000002 Process.5. Sample weight
Numeric DEC 4

Process.5.

Sample weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

V000002a Process.5a. Post weight

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Process.5a.

Sample weight for Post variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW

V000003 Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post Numeric

Process.6.

Pre only or Pre-and-Post

- 0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews
- 1. Pre-Election Interview only

0 1 ----- -----Count 1555 252 V000004 Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW Numeric

Pre-Admin.1.

PRE: Mode of Pre IW

- 1. Personal (FTF)
- 5. Telephone

1 5 ----- -----Count 1006 801

V000005a Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section Numeric

Pre-Admin.2a.

PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H.

- 0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H V001410-V001417]
- 1. Section E administered in Pre [V000398-V000406]

0 1 ----- -----Count 880 927

V000005b Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample Numeric

Pre-Admin.2b.

PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample

The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre

- O. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
- 1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [V000431-V000438]

0 1 ----- -----Count 902 905

V000005c Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15 Numeric

Pre-Admin.2c.

PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

- 1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective V000807-V000846]
- 2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits [V000847-V000861]

1 891 916 Count

V000005d Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con Numeric

Pre-Admin.2d.

PRE: Form - G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T or G6.E-G10.E Lib/Con format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental

format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone). SELF PLACEMENT

Standard format FTF = V000439 G1a Gla.T Standard format Ph = V000439a

CLINTON PLACEMENT

Standard format FTF = V000448 G2.T Standard format Ph = V000448a

G7.E-G7b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000450-452

G6.E-G6c.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000442-445

GORE PLACEMENT

G3 Standard format FTF = V000455 Standard format Ph = V000455a G3.T G8.E-G8b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000459-461

GW BUSH PLACEMENT

G4Standard format FTF = V000465 G4.T Standard format Ph = V000465a G9.E-G9b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000469-471 BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

G5 Standard format FTF = V000475 G5.T Standard format Ph = V000475a G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000479-481

- 1. Standard format G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T (FTF/phone)
- 2. Experimental format G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone)

V000005e Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2e.

PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H1 [V000488a]
- 2. Experimental format H1.E [V000488b]

V000005f Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2f.

PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H1 [V000492a]
- 2. Experimental format H1.E [V000492b]

1 2 ----- -----Count 901 906

V000005g Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2g.

PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard

(H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H4 [V000496a]
- 2. Experimental format H4.E [V000496b]

V000005h Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2h.

PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H11 [V000511a]
- 2. Experimental format H11.E [V000511b]

V000005j Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

- 1. Standard format H12 [V000513a]
- 2. Experimental format H12.E [V000513b]

V000005k Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

- 1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [V000608a/V000610a]
- 2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [V000608b/V000610b]

V000005m Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

- 1. Standard format L6 [V000671a]
- 2. Experimental format L6.E [V000671b]

1 2 ---- -----Count 880 927

V000005n Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs Numeric

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

- 1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [V000707a/V000709a]
- 2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [V000707b/V000709b]

V000005p Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2p.

PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

- 1. Standard format N1 [V000741a]
- 2. Experimental format N1.E [V000741b]

V000005q Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role Numeric

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is Pla; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.E.
PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is Pla.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.TE.

- 1. FTF Standard Pla / Phone Version 1 Pla.T [V000754a/V000756a]
- 2. FTF Experimental Pla.E/Phone Version 2 Pla.TE [V000754b/V000756b]

1 2 ---- -----Count 902 905

V000006 Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW Numeric

Pre-Admin.3.

PRE: Month of Interview

```
09. September
```

10. October

11. November

```
9 10 11
---- ---- -----
Count 831 714 262
```

V000007 Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW

Numeric

Pre-Admin.4.

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

V000008 Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)

Character

Pre-Admin.5.

PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

V000009 Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election

Numeric

Pre-Admin.6.

PRE: Number of days before election

Election day was November 7, 2000.

1-63 days

V000010 Pre.Admin.7. IW length

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

PRE: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes.

Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

V000011 Pre.Admin.8. IW number

Numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01 - 53

V000012 Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file

Numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

- 0. VQ dated 8/15
- 1. VQ dated 8/30
- 2. VQ dated 9/5
- 3. VQ dated 9/6
- 4. VQ dated 9/8
- 5. VQ dated 9/25
- 6. VQ dated 9/28
- 7. VQ dated 9/29
- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	6	45	41	183	430
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	103	26	191	338	444

V000013 Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

- 0. VQ dated 8/15
- 1. VQ dated 8/30
- 2. VQ dated 9/5
- 3. VQ dated 9/6
- 4. VQ dated 9/8
- 5. VQ dated 9/25
- 6. VQ dated 9/28
- 7. VQ dated 9/29
- 8. VQ dated 10/5
- 9. VQ dated 10/19
- 99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	5	31	34	171	426	101
	6	7	8	9	99	
Count	24	196	342	471	6	

V000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

- 0. No change
- 1. Change in VQ
- 9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

V000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?

MD: EQ 9

Numeric

Pre-Admin.12.

PRE: Advance letter sent?

- 0. No advance letter sent
- 1. Advance letter sent
- 9. NA

	0	1	9
Count	335	1467	5

V000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Pre-Admin.13.

PRE: Payment amount

- 00. R requested no payment
- 20. Twenty dollars
- 40. Forty dollars
- 99. NA

	0	20	40	99
Count	8	1396	389	14

V000017 Pre.Admin.14. Payment mode

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Admin.14.

PRE: Payment mode

- 0. R requested no payment
- 1. Check
- 2. Cash
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	9
Count	8	1615	169	15

V000018 Pre.Admin.15. Payment date

MD: EQ 9999 Character

Pre-Admin.15.

PRE: Payment date (MMDD)

9999. NA; R requested no payment

V000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?

Numeric

Pre-Admin.16.

PRE: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes

5. No

1 5 ---- ----Count 161 1646

V000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification

Numeric

Pre-Admin.17.

PRE: Verification

Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.

Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter (FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/ response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the interview and whether or not the interview was interesting; in addition , 3 questions were asked about content of the interview. NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered a non-match.

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification

- 1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
- 2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
- 3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
- 4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
- 5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification latter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
- 6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

	0	1	2	3
Count	1509	15	246	22
	4	5	6	
Count	3	10	2	

V000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation Numeric

Pre-Admin.18.

PRE: Evaluation

- 0. Not evaluated
- 1. Flagged
- 2. Evaluated
- 3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
- 4. Cannot evaluate

	0	1	2	4
Count	1694	1	102	1.0

V000022 Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator Numeric

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final

result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

- 0. Not a refusal conversion situation
- 1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

0 1 ----- -----Count 1534 273

V000023 Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Admin.20.

PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

- 0. No persuasion letter sent
- 1. Persuasion letter sent
- 9. NA

0 1 9 ---- ----Count 1716 90 1

V000024 Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested

MD: EQ 9999 Character

Pre-Admin.21.

PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

9999. No persuasion letter requested

V000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent

MD: EQ 9999 Character

Pre-Admin.22.

PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

V000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
       Numeric
 Pre-Admin.23.
    PRE: Type of persuasion letter
    1. A. Too busy
    2. B. Too personal
    3. C. Not interested
    4. D. Too old
    5. E. Evasive
    6. F. Gatekeeper
    7. G. Not at home
    9. NA
    0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)
           1 2 3
---- --- ----
1717 33 4
      Count
            4 6
                       7
                  _____
            4
                  3 23
      Count
V000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
      Numeric
 Pre-Admin.24.
    PRE: Number of telephone calls
    ______
    00-42
V000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
      Numeric
 Pre-Admin.25.
    PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls
    _____
    00 - 22
V000029 Pre.Admin.26. Final result
      Numeric
 Pre-Admin.26.
    PRE: Final result
    ______
```

1. Interview, complete

5. Interview, partial 1801 Count V000030 Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1) Pre-Admin.27. Sample release (all 1) _____ All cases coded 1. There was a single release of the entire sample. 1. Released at start of the field period 1 Count 1807 V000031 Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English) Numeric Pre-Admin.28. PRE: Language of IW (all English) ______ All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1) 1. English 1 Count 1807 V000032 Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS Numeric Pre-CSheet.1.

PRE - COVERSHEET:

Flag- missing Coversheet

- 0. Coversheet not missing
- 1. Missing coversheet

V000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.2.

PRE - COVERSHEET: Color of coversheet

FTF coversheets were blue or green.

Telephone coversheets were yellow.

GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the listed address.

- 1. Blue
- 2. Yellow
- 4. Green FTF type II updating
- 9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

	1	2	4	9
Count	984	794	5	24

V000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:

Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business, a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence. (Which is it?)

- 1. Business
- 2. Home
- 3. Both
- 4. Group headquarters
- 5. Cell phone
- 9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

	Count	1006	2	735	4	44	16
	Pre.CS	E====== Sheet.4. PH EQ 0, MD2 .c	- busines	s with re	esidence		
Pre-C	Sheet.4.						
	LEHONE RI SINESS PH	D: ONE NUMBER	:				
D	oes anyon	ERSHEET Ite	re on the	premises	;? 		
	. Yes						
	. NA . Inap, 2	2,3,4,5,9 i	n Pre-Csh	neet.3; as	ssigned F	TF mode	
		0	1				
	Count	1805					
	Pre.CS	E====== Sheet.5. PH EQ 0, MD2	- bus res	has pers	sonal#		
Pre-C	Sheet.5.						
IF BU		DD: IONE NUMBER YES ON THE					
D	o (they/y	CRSHEET Ite rou) have a ur) residen	differen				
	. Have ot						
		6,9 in Pre- ed FTF mode		2,3,4,5,	9 in Pre	-Csheet.3	;
		0	2				
	Count	1805	2				

0 1 2 3 5 9

V000037 Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6: Household listing obtained from:

- 1. HU member
- 2. Neighbor
- 3. Apt. manager
- 4. Landlord
- 5. Observation
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	980	3	1
	4	7	9	
Count	1	4	17	

V000038 Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.7.

PRE - COVERSHEET HOUSEHOLD LISTING: Selection table

The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults.(An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.

After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel

columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the total number of eligible adults in the household; in the second column (same row) is identified the Number" of the person who will be the respondent.

There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are randomly assigned. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables appears below.

PERSONS	PERSON		SELECTED		(person		n "Nu	"Number")	
	 А	B1	в2	C	D	E1	E2	F	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	
3	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
4	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	
5	1	2	2	2	4	3	5	5	
6 or more	1	2	2	2	4	5	5	6	

- 1. Selection table A
- 2. Selection table B1
- 3. Selection table B2
- 4. Selection table C
- 5. Selection table D
- 6. Selection table E1
- 7. Selection table E2
- 8. Selection table F
- 9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	274	152	135	304	299
	6	7	8	9	
Count	161	149	309	24	

V000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.

1-7

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	607	989	161	44
	5	6	7	
Count	3	2	1	

V000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.9.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

Number ineligible adults

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

0-6

9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	1743	51	1	1	2	9

V000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.10.

PRE - COVERSHEET HOUSEHOLD LISTING: Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married $(30,40,\,50-52)$. Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11,12,21,22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner

is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question.

In cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381, 1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question.

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

- 10. 1 adult male HHR
- 11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 20. 1 adult female HHR
- 21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
- 22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
- 40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
- 50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
- 51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
- 52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
- 55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
- 60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
- 65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
- 70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
- 85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
- 90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
- 95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR

99. NA

	10	11	12	20	21
Count	216	25	7	347	15
	22	30	40	50	51
Count	3	879	135	44	6
	55	60	65	70	80
Count	2	32	1	7	50
	85	90	95	99	
Count	1	19	6	12	

V000042 Pre.CSheet.11. R person number

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.11.

PRE - COVERSHEET HOUSEHOLD LISTING:

R person number

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1107	617	64	12	1	6

V000043 Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.12.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:

Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

- 1. Mobile home
- 2. Detached single family
- Multi-family
- 4. Apartment house
- 5. Condo complex
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	38	658	47
	4	5	7	9
Count	166	35	32	30

V000044 Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.13.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:

Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

1. Yes

- 2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
- 5. No
- 9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
- 0. Inap, assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	5	9
Count	801	36	33	876	61

V000045 Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.14.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

- 1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
- 2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	7	9
Count	1738	15	2	47	5

V000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.15.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes 5. No 9. NA 1 5 9 ----- ----Count 458 1322 27 _____ Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric Pre-CSheet.16. IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON: PRE - COVERSHEET CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1): Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE 1. Marked 5. Not marked 9. NA 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15 0 1 5 9 10 342 106 1349 Count V000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric Pre-CSheet.17. IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON: PRE - COVERSHEET CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2): Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL 1. Marked 5. Not marked 9. NA 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15 5 0 1

Count 1349 20 332 106

V000049 Pre.CSheet.18. Contact resist-confidentiality

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):

Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1349 40 312 106

V000050 Pre.CSheet.19. Contact resist-not interested politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):

Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN

POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1349 81 271 106

V000051 Pre.CSheet.20. Contact resist-too busy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.20.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):

Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	125	227	106

V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.21.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):

Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	15	337	106

V000053 Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist-other

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.22.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):

Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	163	189	106

V000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:

Did R refuse initially?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA

V000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:

Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more
- 9. NA

0 1 2 9 ---- ---- ----- Count 1522 200 67 18

V000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.25.

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3q:

If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5	9
Count	1349	281	97	80

V000057 Pre.CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.26.

SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

- 1. Yes, some resistance identified
- 5. No resistance identified
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	1	5	9
Count	330	1450	27

V000058 Pre.CSheet.27. R resist-waste time

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):

Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD

EXPERIENCE

```
1. Marked
```

5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

	0	1	5
Count	1630	1	176

V000059 Pre.CSheet.28. R resist-too personal

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):

Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

V000060 Pre.CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):

Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

V000061 Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):

Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1630 12 165

V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):

Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.32.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

```
1. Marked
```

5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

```
0 1 5
---- ---- -----
Count 1630 3 174
```

V000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-CSheet.33.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):

Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1630 15 162

V000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):

What is the estimated income of R's household?

- 1. Under \$10,000
- 2. \$10,000-25,000
- 3. \$25,000-50,000
- 4. \$50,000-105,000
- 5. \$105,000 and above
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

0 1 2 3

Count	801	98	202	264
	4	5	8	9
Count	249	72	49	72

V000066 Pre.CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.35.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):

What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. American Indian
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	764	131	4
	4	7	8	9
Count	17	36	2	52

V000067 Pre.CSheet.36. Certainty of race (CSMS)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.35

	0	1	5	9
Count	855	915	31	6

V000068 Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):

Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000184d, V000184e (Post version of these variables) and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	801	84	863	7	52

V000069 Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-CSheet.38.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION (F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a): Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite

```
5. Probable
```

9. NA

0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

	0	1	5	9
Count	860	911	33	3

V000070 Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record Numeric

Pre-IWR.1.

PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

V000071 Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor Numeric

Pre-IWR.2.

PRE: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

V000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.3.

PRE: Interviewer gender

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. NA

1 2 ---- ----Count 226 1581

V000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.4.

PRE: Interviewer education

- 1. 1-8 grades
- 2. Some high school
- 3. High school graduate
- 4. Some college
- 5. College degree
- 6. Master's degree
- 7. Ph.D.
- 9. NA

	3	4	5	6	9
Count	333	574	572	294	34

V000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.5.

PRE: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other
- 9. NA

	1	2	7
Count	1684	100	23

V000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.

PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other
- 9. NA

	0	1	7
Count	1561	61	185

V000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.7.

PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0. NA

0

Count 1807

V000077 Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Pre-IWR.8.

PRE: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	449	385	148	214	22	133
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	60	64	78	82	38	15
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	38	13	4	29	12	23

V000078 Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-IWR.9.

PRE: Interviewer age (bracketted)

- 1. 18-34 years
- 2. 35-40 years
- 3. 41-45 years

- 4. 46-50 years
- 5. 51-55 years
- 6. 56-60 years
- 7. 51-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	113	179	273	314
	5	6	7	8
Count	255	287	133	253

V000079 Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Pre-Sample.1.

ICPSR state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

NEW ENGLAND	43. Florida
01. Connecticut	44. Georgia
02. Maine	45. Louisiana
03. Massachusetts	46. Mississippi
04. New Hampshire	47. North Carolina
05. Rhode Island	48. South Carolina
06. Vermont	49. Texas
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	BORDER STATES
11. Delaware	51. Kentucky
12. New Jersey	52. Maryland
13. New York	53. Oklahoma
14. Pennsylvania	54. Tennessee
EAST NORTH CENTRAL	55. Washington DC
21. Illinois	56. West Virginia
22. Indiana	MOUNTAIN STATES
23. Michigan	61. Arizona
24. Ohio	62. Colorado
25. Wisconsin	63. Idaho
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	64. Montana
31. Iowa	65. Nevada
32. Kansas	66. New Mexico
33. Minnesota	67. Utah
34. Missouri	68. Wyoming
35. Nebraska	PACIFIC STATES
36. North Dakota	71. California
37. South Dakota	72. Oregon
SOLID SOUTH	73. Washington
40. Virginia	EXTERNAL STATES
41. Alabama	81. Alaska

```
96. Misidentified location
                        99. NA
_____
V000080 Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code
                                   MD: EQ 99
                                    Numeric
          Pre-Sample.2.
                        FIPS state code - interview location
                        ______
                       Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but
                        should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-
                        Summary.24 (V000260).
                    01. Alabama 30. Montana
02. Alaska 31. Nebraska
04. Arizona 32. Nevada
05. Arkansas 33. New Hampshire
06. California 34. New Jersey
08. Colorado 35. New Mexico
09. Connecticut 36. New York
10. Delaware 37. North Carolina
11. Washington DC 38. North Dakota
12. Florida 39. Ohio
                     11. Washington DC
12. Florida
13. Georgia
140. Oklahoma
15. Hawaii
16. Idaho
17. Illinois
18. Indiana
19. Iowa
19. Iowa
19. Iowa
19. Iowa
19. Kansas
19. Kentucky
19. Kentucky
19. Tennessee
11. Kentucky
19. Utah
19. Utah
19. Utah
19. Utah
19. Wermont
19. Wermont
19. Wermont
19. West Virginia
19. West Virginia
19. West Virginia
19. Winginia
19. Winginia
19. West Virginia
19. Winginia
19. W
                        28. Mississippi
                                                                                                     56. Wyoming
                        29. Missouri
                        96. Misidentified location
                        99. NA
_____
V000081 Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD
                                    Character
          Pre-Sample.3.
                        2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district
                        number - interview location
                        _____
                       This variable provides the state (2-character Postal
```

82. Hawaii

42. Arkansas

```
abbreviation) and congressional district number of the
      location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Pre-
      election interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this
      variable.
      Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
      have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary.24
      (V000260).
V000082 Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
         MD1: EO 9999, MD2: GE 0
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.4.
      2000 FIPS state code and congresional district number -
      interview location
      FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
      last 2 digits.
      Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
      have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24
      (V000260).
      9996. Misidentified location
      9999. NA
      0000. Washington D.C.
V000083 Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
         MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 0
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.5.
      Congressional district number - interview location
      Codes 1-52.
      Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should
      have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24
      (V000260).
      96. Misidentified location
      99. NA
      00. Washington D.C.
V000084 Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
         MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.6.
      ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview
      location
      _____
      ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
```

last 2 digits.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location

9999. NA

0000. Washington D.C.

V000085 Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?

- 0. R voted in state and CD of interview
- 1. R did not vote in state and CD of interview
- 8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3)
- 9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)

	0	1	8	9
Count	1131	22	28	626

V000086 Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0

Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7) 0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

V000087 Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.

V000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha) Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

NOTE: This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post-Election C3 (variable V001243), which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent.

It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, the V000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers.

V000088 Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name Character

Pre-Sample.10.

Primary area name - interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name.

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

V000089 Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-Sample.11.

Primary area code - interview location

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. The 44 PSU codes listed below (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order.

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

```
230. Milwaukee, WI
         110. Boston, MA
120. New York, NY
         120. New York, NY

121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ

122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY

130. Chicago, IL

131. Detroit, MI

132. Cleveland, OH

133. Cleveland, OH

141. St Louis, MO-IL

150. Mashington, DG-MD-VA

239. Wheelng-Steubenvl OH

240. Des Moines, IA

250. Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA

251. Jacksonville, FL

252. Lakeld-Wntr Haven FL

260. Knoxville, TN
         150. Washington, DC-MD-VA 262. Birmingham, AL
         152. Baltimore, MD 273. Waco, TX
154. Miami-Hialeh, FL 274. McAllen, TX
170. Houston, TX 280. Salt Lake C-Ogden UT
         171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX 292. Fresno, CA
         181. Denver, CO

293. Eugene-Springfld, OR

190. Los Angeles, CA

434. Saginaw, MI

191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA

464. Gardner, MA

194. Anaheim, CA

466. Decatur Co., IN
         194. Anaheim, CA 466. Decatur Co., IN
196. San Francisco, CA 470. Mower Co., MN
211. New Haven, CT 474. DeSoto Parish, LA
         213. Manchester-Nashua NH 477. Chicot Co., AR
220. Buffalo, NY 480. Montgomery Co., VA
226. Atlantic City, NJ 482. El Dorado Co., CA
         000. Telephone sample (RDD)
V000090
              Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)
              MD: EO 0
              Numeric
    Pre-Sample.12.
         Segment number (blanked) - interview location
         ______
         NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
         Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
         identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
         This variable has been blanked to protect the
         confidentiality of the Respondent.
         3-digit code and:
         000. Telephone sample (RDD)
V000090a Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)
              Character
    Pre-Sample.13.
         Segment name (blanked) - interview location
         See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
         NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
         Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
         identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
         This variable has been blanked to protect the
         confidentiality of the Respondent.
```

Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Sample.14.

Number of household unit

Codes 1-5 and:

9. NA

0. Phone IW

	0	1	2	3	5	9
Count	801	983	2	3	1	17

V000092 Pre.Sample.15. Census region

Numeric

Pre-Sample.15.

Census region - interview location

- 1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
- 2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
- 3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
- 4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

V000093 Pre.Sample.16. Belt code

MD: EO 0 Numeric

Pre-Sample.16.

Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th edition.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

- Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
- 2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
- 3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSAs/MSAs $\,$
- 4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
- 5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
- 6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city
- 0. Telephone sample (RDD)

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	99	185	168
	4	5	6	
Count	241	264	 49	

V000094 Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996

Numeric

Pre-Sample.17.

Population in 1000s - interview location

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

Actual population in 1000s and:

9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

9999. 9,998,500 persons or over

0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

V000095 Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.18.

Census size of place - interview location

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place

consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.

Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worchester MA MSA.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

THE SIX LARGEST CMSAs PLUS THE 15 NEXT LARGEST CMSAs/MSAs (I.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6]

- 11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST
- 20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population
- 21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)
- 22. 10,000-29,999
- 23. 30,000-49,999
- 24. 50,000-99,999
- 25. 100,000-149,999
- 26. 150,000-349,999
- 27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11 CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):
- 30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities
- 31. 50-000-99,999
- 32. 100,000-149,999
- 33. 150,000-349,999
- 34. 350,000 and over

SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000

- 40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)
- 41. 2,500-9,999
- 42. 10,000-29,999
- 43. 30,000-49,999
- 44. 50,000-99,999
- 45. 100,000-149,999

```
46. 150,000 and over
        "NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"
     50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
     51. 2,500-9,999
     52. 10,000-29,999
     53. 30,000-49,999
     00. Telephone sample (RDD)
V000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
        MD: EO 9
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.19.
     Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)
     ______
     NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
     Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
     identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
     0. Enumeration district
     1. Tracted
     9. Telephone sample (RDD)
Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.20.
     2000 Sampling Error code
     ______
     See Sampling documentation in this codebook.
     The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum
     code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or
     Sampling Error Computation Unit code.
_____
V000098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.21.
     2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location
     ______
```

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

- 360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
- 520. Atlanta, GA

```
560.
        Atlantic City, NJ
720.
        Baltimore, MD
875.
        Bergen-Passiac, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040
        Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
1000.
        Birmingham, AL
1123.
        Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
1125.
        Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
1280. Buffalo, NY
1600.
      Chicago, IL
1680.
      Cleveland OH
       Columbus, GA-AL
1800.
1920.
       Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
2000. Dayton, OH
2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
2120.
      Des Moines, IA
2160.
       Detroit, MI
2400.
       Eugene-Springfield, OR
2760.
       Fort Wayne, IN
2800.
        Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
2840.
       Fresno, CA
3000.
       Grand Rapids, MI
3360.
      Houston, TX
       Jacksonville, FL
3600.
       Kansas City, MO-KS
3760.
       Knoxville, TN
3840.
3965.
        Lake County, IL PMSA
3980.
        Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
4480.
        Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
4720.
        Madison WI
4763.
        Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
4880.
        McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh, TX
5000.
        Miami, FL
5015.
        Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ PMSA (former code and
        title: 5460 New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville NJ)
5080.
        Milwaukee, WI
5120.
        Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
5350.
        Nashua, NH PMSA
5380.
        Nassau-Suffolk, NY
5480.
        New Haven-West Haven, CT
5483.
        New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
5600.
        New York, NY-NJ
5640.
       Newark, NJ
5700.
      Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
       Oakland, CA PMSA
5775.
6160.
       Philadelphia, PA-NJ
6200.
        Phoenix, AZ
6280.
        Pittsburgh, PA
6453.
        Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
6760.
        Richmond, VA
6780.
        Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
6920.
        Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
6960.
        Saginaw, MI
7040.
        St. Louis, MO-IL
7160.
        Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
7360.
        San Francisco-Oakland, CA
7600.
        Seattle-Everett, WA
8003.
        Springfield, MA NECMA
8080.
        Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV
```

8200.

Tacoma, WA

```
8800.
              Waco, TX
      8840.
              Washington, DC-MD-VA
      9000.
              Wheeling, WV-OH
      9243.
              Worcester-Fitchburn-Leominster, MA NECMA
              INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
              Telephone sample (RDD)
V000099
       Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
          Numeric
  Pre-Sample.22.
      2000 CMSA - interview location
      ______
      See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
      CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
      The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.
      NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
      Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
      identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
      07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
      10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
      14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
      28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
      31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were
          made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added
      34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made
          separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
      35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
      42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
      49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
      56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
      63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
      70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
      77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
      78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
      84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
      91. Seattle-Tacoma WA
      96. Telephone sample (RDD)
      00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32
          (Dayton Springfield, OH) which is no longer
          consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are
          combined in a single MSA in 1990)
V000099x Pre.Sample.22x. 2000 MSA
          MD1: EQ 0
          Numeric
```

Pre-Sample.22x.

2000 MSA - interview location

```
This is the 2000 MSA for the full sample, including the
      the RDD telephone component.
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
      confidentiality of the Respondent.
     Metropolitan Statistical Area code and:
     00. INAP, Location not in MSA.
V000100 Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.23.
      2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)
      ______
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
_____
V000101 Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.24.
      2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)
     NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census tract.
Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
V000102
         MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.25.
      2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
V000103
      Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
         MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
         Numeric
  Pre-Sample.26.
      2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)
     NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census block.
```

```
V000104
      Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.27.
     2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)
     _____
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
_____
      Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
V000105
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.28.
     2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
V000106
        Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
        MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
  Pre-Sample.29.
     2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)
     ______
     This variable has been blanked to protect the
     confidentiality of the Respondent.
V000107
      Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
        MD: EQ 999.99
        Numeric DEC 2
  Pre-Summary.1.
     PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
     ______
     Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
     between the beginning and ending of the section as
     recorded by the instrument application, and may be
     affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
     application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
     Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
     999.99 NA
V000108 Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
        MD: EQ 999.99
```

Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000109 Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.3.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000110 Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.4.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000111 Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99

Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.5.

```
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E
```

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

V000112 Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000114 Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000115 Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000116 Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.10.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000117 Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.11.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time

between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000118 Pre.Summary.12. Pre timing - section N

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.12.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.13.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000120 Pre.Summary.14. Pre timing - section Q

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.14.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure,

application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000121 Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.15.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000122 Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000123 Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000124 Pre.Summary.18. Pre timing - section Y

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000125 Pre.Summary.19. Pre timing - section Z

MD: EQ 999.99 Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview

See Post-Admin.1a.

5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF, used telephone logic in the interview.

- 1. Personal
- 5. Telephone
- 7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone but administered as Personal interview

0. No post IW

	0	1	5	7
Count	252	693	857	5

V000126a Post.Admin.la. Mode switch flag Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

- 1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
- 2. Assigned Phone
- 3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

V000127a Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.2a.

POST: Form - section H administered in Post

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre

section E or Post section H.

Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

- 0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E V000398-V000406]
- 1. Section H administered in Post [V000410-V000417]
- 9. No Post IW

V000127b Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.2b.

POST: Form - K2 format

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [V001446a-V001454]. NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (V000262) for description

NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (V000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

- 0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
- 1. K2a-K2d administered with probes
- 9. No post IW

0 1 9 ---- ---- -----Count 1025 530 252

V000128 Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.3.

POST: Month of interview

- 11. November
- 12. December
- 00. No Post IW

0 11 12 ---- ---- -----Count 252 1147 408

V000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.4.

POST: Day of interview

Coded 1-31 and:

0. No Post IW

V000130 Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD) MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999 Character Post-Admin.5. POST: Month and day (MMDD) This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format. '0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA V000131 Post.Admin.6. No. days after election MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99 Numeric Post-Admin.6. POST: Number of days after election 96. INAP, no Post IW 99. NA Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession MD: EO 9 Numeric Post-Admin.7. POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession ______ Gore concession: December 13, 2000 0. Before 1. Day of concession (Dec. 13) 2. After 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW 1 2 9 ----1462 16 77 252 Count V000133 Post.Admin.8. IW length MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric DEC 2 Post-Admin.8. POST: Interview length ______

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes.

Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW 000.00 No Post IW

V000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

99. NA

00. INAP, no Post Interview

V000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

- 1. 11/7
- 2. 11/10
- 3. 11/16 (1)
- 4. 11/16 (2)
- 5. 11/18
- 6.11/27
- 0. No Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	140	351	13
	4	5	6	
Count	201	282	568	

V000136 Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.11.

POST: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

- 1. 11/7
- 2. 11/10
- 3. 11/16 (1)
- 4. 11/16 (2)
- 5. 11/18
- 6.11/27
- 0. INAP, partial IW; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	105	352	13
Count	230	103	332	13
	4	5	6	
Count	158	318	605	

V000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.12.

POST: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

- 0. No change
- 1. Change in VQ
- 9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1429	122	256

V000138 Post.Admin.13. Release

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST: Release

Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7

- 2. Release 2 November 15
- 3. Release 3 November 21.
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

1 2 3 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1147 319 340 1

V000139 Post.Admin.14. Payment amt

MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Admin.14.

POST: Payment to R - amount

- 00. R requested no payment
- 20. Twenty dollars
- 40. Forty dollars
- 96. INAP, no Post IW
- 99. NA

	0	20	96	99
Count	4	1525	252	26

V000139a Post.Admin.14a. Interviewer incentive

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.14a.

INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE

Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid \$10 for each

completed interview.

- 0. Post interview date not in incentive period
- 1. Post interview date in incentive period.
- 9. INAP, no Post IW

0 1 9 ---- ---- -----Count 1236 319 252

V000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

POST: Payment to R - mode

- 0. R requested no payment
- 1. Check
- 2. Cash
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

0 1 2 9 ---- --- ---- Count 4 1511 14 278

V000141 Post.Admin.16. Payment date

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999

Character

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

V000142 Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's

permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	5
Count	252	78	1477

V000143 Post.Admin.18. Verification

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification

See notes in Pre-Admin.17 (V000020).

- O. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
- 1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
- 2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
- 3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
- 4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
- 5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification latter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
- 6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1332	55	142	18
	5	6	9	
Count	4	2	254	

V000144 Post.Admin.19. Evaluation

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.19.

POST: Evaluation

- 0. Not evaluated
- 1. Flagged
- 2. Evaluated
- 3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
- 4. Cannot evaluate
- 9. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	9
Count	1477	1	77	252

V000145 Post.Admin.20. Conversion ind.

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

- 0. Not a refusal convesion situation
- 1. Refusal conversion situation indicated
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1529	26	252

V000146 Post.Admin.21. Persuasion letter

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Admin.21.

POST: Persuasion letter - flag

- 0. No persuasion letter sent
- 1. Persuasion letter sent

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

0 1 9 ---- ---- -----Count 1517 95 195

V000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999 Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.

V000148 Post.Admin.23. Date sent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999 Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.

V000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter

- 1. A. Too busy
- 2. B. Too personal
- 3. C. Not interested
- 4. D. Too old
- 5. E. Evasive
- 6. F. Gatekeeper
- 7. G. Not at home
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1712	9	28	51	7

V000150 Post.Admin.25. Tel calls

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

V000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Post-Admin.26.

POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

V000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result

Numeric

Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code

- 01 IW complete
- 05 IW partial
- 51 Refusal by R
- 52 Refusal by person other than ${\tt R}$
- 54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
- 61 No contact
- 63 Noninterview, permanent reason
- 66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
- 67 Noninterview, other reason
- 68 Could not Locate R

	1	5	51	52	54
Count	1551	4	99	5	20
	61	63	66	67	68
Count	23	5	1	79	20

V000153 Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999

Character

Post-Admin.28.

POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)

'0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

V000154 Post.Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch

Built from V000004 and V000126.

Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered by phone in the Post.

- 1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
- 2. Pre phone and Post phone
- 3. Pre FTF and Post phone
- 0. INAP, no post IW

0 1 2 3 ---- --- ---- -----Count 252 698 693 164

V000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)

Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)

All interviews were conducted entirely in English

1. English

1 -----Count 1807

V000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS

Numeric

Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:

Flag- missing CS

0. Coversheet not missing

1. Coversheet missing

0 1 ----- -----Count 1780 27

V000157 Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET: Color of coversheet

Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer. NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

- 1. Blue
- 2. Yellow
- 3. Pink
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 2 3 ---- --- ---- -----Count 27 936 831 13

V000158 Post.CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1: Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 27 1512 41 227

V000159 Post.CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:

IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:

New phone number available

- 1. Available
- 2. Not available
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

	0	1	2	9
Count	1793	8	2	4

V000160 Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:

Address is:

STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Out of range
- 3. Within range
- 5. Can't find
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); Phone mode

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1780	1	17	7	2

V000161 Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:

Describe the type of structure in which the respondent

live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Mobile home
- 2. Detached single family
- 3. Multi-family
- 4. Apartment house
- 5. Condo complex
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	926	26	501	31
	4	5	7	9
Count	106	35	24	158

V000162 Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.7.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:

Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases

data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	5	9
Count	898	58	21	637	193

V000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:

Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

- 1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the buiding (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
- 2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

	0	1	2	7	9
Count	1728	10	2	35	32

V000164 Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.9.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:

Was there resistance from any contact person?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 27 222 1454 104

V000165 Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):

Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;

PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- ---- ----- Count 1585 10 202 10

V000166 Post.CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.11.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):

Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1585 2 210 10

V000167 Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.12.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):

Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1585 1 211 10

V000168 Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):

Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN

POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1585 20 192 10

V000169 Post.CSheet.14. Contct resist-too busy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):

Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

V000170 Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.15.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):

Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	16	196	10

V000171 Post.CSheet.16. Contct resist-other

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	111	107	4

V000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:

Did R refuse initially?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	27	98	1573	109

V000173 Post.CSheet.18. R break appts

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.18.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:

Did respondent break any appointments

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two or more
- 8. NA
- 9. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	2
Count	1444	273	90

V000174 Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:

If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	1585	152	15	55

V000175 Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

- 1. Yes, some resistance identified
- 5. No resistance identified
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	5	9
Count	131	152	1454	70

V000176 Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.21.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1): Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE 1. Marked 5. Not marked 9. NA 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1) 0 Count 1792 15 V000177 Post.CSheet.22. R resist- too personal MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric Post-CSheet.22. IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a: POST- COVERSHEET CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2): Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL 1. Marked 5. Not marked 9. NA 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1) 0 Count 1792 15 Post.CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

V000178

Post-CSheet.23.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3): Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked

NA
•

0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

```
0 9
----- -----
Count 1792 15
```

V000179 Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):

Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
 missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

V000180 Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

- 1. Marked
- 5. Not marked
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
 missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	9
Count	1792	15

```
V000181 Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
          Numeric
  Post-CSheet.26.
  IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
      POST- COVERSHEET
      CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
      Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
      1. Marked
      5. Not marked
      0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
        missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
                 0 9
                1792
                         15
         Count
V000182 Post.CSheet.27. R resist- other
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
          Numeric
  Post-CSheet.27.
  IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
      POST- COVERSHEET
      CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
      Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)
      1. Marked
      5. Not marked
      0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet
         missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
                 0 9
         Count
                1792
                         15
V000183
         Post.CSheet.28. Ever make contact (noninterview)
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
          Numeric
  Post-CSheet.28.
```

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:

Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this

housing unit?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

V000183a Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.28a.

POST- COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:

Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing
 (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

V000184 Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.29.

IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
What was the reason for no contact?

- 1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
- 2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.

- 3. Nobody answered door but think somone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
- 4. Could not obtain access to HU
- 7. Other (specify)
- 9. NA
- 0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

	0	1	2	3
Count	1770	14	1	1
	4	7	9	
Count	1	15	5	

V000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-CSheet.30.

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):

What is the estimated income of R's household?

- 1. Under \$10,000
- 2. \$10,000-25,000
- 3. \$25,000-50,000
- 4. \$50,000-105,000
- 5. \$105,000 and above
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	98	201	263
	4	5	8	9
Count	247	72	49	76

V000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-CSheet.31.

IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):

What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. American Indian
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	801	759	133	4
	4	7	8	9
Count	17	36	2	55

V000184c Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheet.31

	0	1	5	9
Count	858	912	31	6

V000184d Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-CSheet.33.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):

Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000068, V000069 (Pre version of these variables) ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	801	85	860	6	55

V000184e Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET

CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION

(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):

Is this definite or probable?

- 1. Definite
- 5. Probable
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

V000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record

MD: EQ 9999

Numeric

Post-IWR.1.

```
POST: Interviewer of record ID
    ______
    4-digit ID
    9999. NA
V000186 Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
     MD: EQ 9999
      Numeric
 Post-IWR.2.
    POST: Supervisor ID
    ______
    4-digit ID
    9999. NA
V000187 Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
      MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
      Numeric
 Post-IWR.3.
    POST: Interviewer gender
    ______
    1. Male
    2. Female
    9. NA
    0. INAP, no Post IW
           1 2 9
           200 1606 1
      Count
V000188 Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
      MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
      Numeric
 Post-IWR.4.
    POST: Interviewer education
    _____
    1. 1-8 grades
    2. Some high school
    3. High school graduate
    4. Some college
    5. College degree
    6. Master's degree
    7. Ph.D.
```

- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	3	4	5	6	9
Count	385	596	533	254	39

V000189 Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-IWR.5.

POST: Interviewer race

- 1. White
- 2. Black
- 3. Native American
- 4. Asian
- 7. Other
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

V000190 Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity

- 0. No ethnic identity coded
- 1. Hispanic
- 7. Other
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

V000191 Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
Numeric

Numerr

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0 ----Count 1807

V000192 Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Post-IWR.8.

POST: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	445	391	161	205	20	176
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	66	68	36	68	37	28
	12	13	14	15	17	99
Count	42	19	3	29	12	1

V000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketted)

- 1. 18-34 years
- 2. 35-40 years
- 3. 41-45 years
- 4. 46-50 years
- 5. 51-55 years
- 6. 56-60 years7. 51-64 years
- 8. 65-79 years
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

1 2 3 4 5

```
Count 114 187 260 297 255
                  6 7
                                          9
                                  8
                 ----- ----- -----
                         142
                                 240
         Count
                 311
_____
V000194 Post.Cand.1. House race type
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
         Numeric
  Post-Cand.1.
      House race type
      ______
      For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
      this is a Pre-only case.
      DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
        12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
        13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
        14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
        19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
      REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
        21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
        23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
        24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
        29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
      OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
        31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
        32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
        34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
        35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
        36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
        37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
        39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
      NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
        51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
        56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
        57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
        59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
        61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
        65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
        66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
        67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
        69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
        96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
        99 NA
        00 Washington DC
                       12 13 14 19
---- --- ----
                         ____
```

Count	4	632	3	111	12
	21	23	24	29	31
Count	717	9	126	8	3
	32	55	65	96	99
Count	1	25	152	3	1

V000194a Post.Cand.la. Retiring House Repr name Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000194b Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.1b.

Retiring House Representative code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
- 42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

	0	41	42	96	99
Count	1626	25	152	3	1

V000194c Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.1c.

Retiring House Representative gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	1626	141	36	3	1

V000194d Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election

MD: EQ 99 Numeric

Post-Cand.1d.

Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of interview

- 30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
- 31. Democratic candidate in open race
- 32. Republican candidate in open race
- 33. Democratic incumbent
- 34. Republican incumbent
- 35. Democratic challenger
- 36. Republican challenger
- 39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate
- 99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
- 00. Washington DC

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	4	42	135	753	823
	35	36	39	99	
Count	37	 5	4	4	

V000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election Numeric

Post-Cand.1e.

Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of interview

- 09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
- 08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
- 11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12 Republican candidate in open Senate race

```
13 Democratic Senate incumbent
```

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)

00. No race in state; Washington CD

	0	11	12	13	14	15
Count	417	251	 5	420	411	 159
Couric	417	231	J	420	411	137
	16	31	33	35	99	
Count	91	2	36	11	4	

V000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.

Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's district

1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning margin of the elected candidate.

- 1. Least competitive
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. Most competitive
- 9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1); Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	375	300	361	362	401	8

V000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Cand.1q.

Open seat in R's district in 2000?

- 0. NO
- 1. YES
- 9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1) Washington D.C.

¹⁴ Republican Senate incumbent

¹⁵ Democratic Senate challenger

¹⁶ Republican Senate challenger

	0	1	9
Count	1697	102	8

V000195 Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name Character

Post-Cand.2.

House Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000196 Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.3.

House Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Democratic candidate; Washington DC

	0	31	33	35	96	99
Count	140	180	758	725	3	1

V000197 Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.4.

House Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

- 9. NA
- 0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	140	1367	296	3	1

V000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000199 Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

	0	32	34	36	96	99
Count	121	178	860	644	3	1

V000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9 NA
- 0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	121	1574	108	3	1

V000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name Character

Post-Cand.8.

House Independent/third party candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand.9.

House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

	0	30	39	96	99
Count	1767	32	4	3	1

V000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party Character

Post-Cand.9a.

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

V000203 Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.10.

House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	8	9
Count	1767	36	3	1

V000204 Post.Cand.11. Senate race type

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

STATES WITH SENATE RACE STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE

Arizona
California
Connecticut
Delaware
Florida
Georgia
Indiana
Maine
Maryland
Massachusetts
Michigan
Minnesota

Minnesota Mississippi Missouri

Montana Nebraska Nevada New Jersey New Mexico New York North Dakota

Ohio

Pennsylvania

Alabama
Arkansas
Colorado
Idaho
Illinois
Iowa
Kansas
Kentucky
Louisiana
New Hampshire
North Carolina
Oklahoma

Oregon

South Carolina South Dakota

```
Rhode Island
Tennessee
Texas
Utah
Vermont
Virginia
Washington
West Virginia
Wisconsin
Wyoming
```

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;

```
this is a Pre-only case.
DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
  13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
  14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
  19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
  21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
  23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
  24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
  29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
  31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
  32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
  34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
  35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
  36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
  37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
  39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
  51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
  56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
  57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
     Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
  61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
  65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
  66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
  67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
  69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
NO RACE IN STATE
  81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
  82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
  85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
  96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
```

	0	12	19	21	23
Count	4	256	255	584	13

99 NA

00 Washington DC

	24	55	69	81	82
Count	22	164	92	58	165
	85	96	99		
Count	190	3	1		
Count	190	3	Τ.		

V000205 Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name Character

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000206 Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	11	13	15	96	99
Count	452	256	511	584	3	1

V000207 Post.Cand.14. Senate Democr cand gender

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	452	924	427	3	1

V000208 Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name Character

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000209 Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	12	14	16	96	99
Count	417	256	619	511	3	1

V000210 Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	417	1245	141	3	1

V000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name Character

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

- ** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
- 09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
- 10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **
- 96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 99. NA
- 00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	10	96	99
Count	1443	360	3	1

V000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party Character

Post-Cand.19a.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	1443	109	251	3	1

V000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

- ** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
- 08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)

99. NA

00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	8	96	99
Count	1612	191	3	1

V000215a Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000216 Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
- 9. NA
- 0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

	0	2	8	9
Count	1612	191	3	1

V000217 Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

V000218 Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	31	33	35	99
Count	1785	3	9	2	8

V000219 Post.Cand.26. Outside Hse Dem cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	1	2	9
Count	1785	11	3	8

V000220 Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name Character

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

V000221 Post.Cand.28. Outside Hse Rep cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	32	34	36	99
Count	1785	3	2	9	8

V000222 Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.29.

House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of ${\tt IW}$

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	1	2	9
Count	1785	11	3	8

V000223 Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name

Character

Post-Cand.30.

House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

V000224 Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	96	99
Count	1785	14	8

V000225 Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Post-Cand.32.

House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote outside CD of ${\tt IW}$

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	8	9
Count	1785	14	8

V000226 Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
 - 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
 - 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
 - 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
 - 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
 - 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
 - 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
 - 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 - 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 - 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 - 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 - 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 - 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 - 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 - 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 - 96. Washington DC
 - 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
 - 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	12	21	55	65	99
Count	1785	9	2	1	2	8

V000226a Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.33a.

Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
 - 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER

- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

- 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
- 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
 - 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 - 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 - 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
 - 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
 - 61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
 - 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
 - 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
 - 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
- 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS NO RACE IN STATE
 - 81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 - 82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 - 85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
 - 96. Washington DC
 - 99. R vote in another district but not another state
 - 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

	0	12	19	21
Count	1785	2	1	3
	69	85	99	
Count	1	3	12	

V000227 Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name Character

Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

V000228 Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of $\ensuremath{\mathtt{IW}}$

- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	11	13	15	96	99
Count	1785	1	3	3	3	12

V000229 Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state of TW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

	0	1	2	9
Count	1797	4	3	3

V000230 Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name Character

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

V000231 Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IW}}$

- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- 96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	12	14	16	96	99
Count	1785	1	3	3	3	12

V000232 Post.Cand.39. Outside Sen Rep cand gendr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state of ${\tt IW}$

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

V000233 Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Indl cand name Character

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

V000234 Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Indl cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

- 09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
- 96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	10	96	99
Count	1785	2	8	12

V000235 Post.Cand.42. Outside Sen Indl cand gend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
- 0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

	0	1	2	9
Count	1797	1	1	8

V000236 Post.Cand.43. Outside Sen Ind2 cand name Character

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

V000237 Post.Cand.44. Outside Sen Ind2 cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent
- 96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- 99. R voted in another district but not another state
- 00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

	0	8	96	99
Count	1785	1	9	12

V000238 Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
- O. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

V000239 Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000243 Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.5.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000244 Post.Summary.6. Post timing - section F MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.6.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000245 Post.Summary.7. Post timing - section G MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.7.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000246 Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.8.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

V000247 Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000248 Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99

Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.13.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000252 Post.Summary.14. Post timing - section P MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.14.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000253 Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.15.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000254 Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.16.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000255 Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.17.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000256 Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.18.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000257 Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.19.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V

```
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
```

V000258 Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99

Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000259 Post.Summary.21. Post timing - section Z MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99 Numeric

Post-Summary.21.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA 000.00 No Post IW

V000260 Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Summary.22.

POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?

NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs 900,1016,1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. V000079, V000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated

with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

- 0. No misidentification of CD
- Wrong CD identified (administered)
- 9. No Post IW

V000261 Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Summary.23.

POST: Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

- 0. No error in Preload
- 1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
- 2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)
- 9. No Post IW

0 1 2 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1550 3 3 251

V000262 Post.Summary.24. Flag-Post rand failure

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

The randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

- 0. No randomization failure
- 1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview
- 9. No Post IW

	0	1	9
Count	1051	504	252

V000301 Al. Attention R paid to campaigns

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
- 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
- 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 3 5 525 886 396 Count

V000302 A2. Does R care about Pres election MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

- 1. CARE A GOOD DEAL
- 3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	8
Count	1402	397	8

V000303 A3. Did R vote in 1996 election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as an independent candidate.

Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. YES, VOTED

5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE --> SKIP TO A4/A5

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP A4/A5

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1264 530 12 1

V000304 A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A3a.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

- 1. BILL CLINTON
- 3. BOB DOLE
- 5. ROSS PEROT
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 543 665 408 138

	7	8	9
Count	27	9	17

A4.

(Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.)

V000305 A4a. Does R like anything about Gore MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A4a.

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO A4c

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4c

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 912 878 13 4

V000306 A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9995

Numeric

A4b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

```
MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a
_____
V000307
       A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A4b(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
      Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
           further mentions
V000308
        A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A4b(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
      Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
```

```
8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
            further mentions
_____
V000309
        A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  A4b(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
      Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
            further mentions
A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
V000310
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  A4b(5).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al
      Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no
            further mentions
A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
```

A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> A5a Or A6
- 8. Don't Know --> A5a Or A6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 5 8 9 ----- ---- ----- Count 860 934 10 3

V000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001 Numeric

A4d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

```
A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000313
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A4d(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
      make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
           further mentions
A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000314
         MD: EO 0
         Numeric
  A4d(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
      make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
      _____
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
           further mentions
A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A4d(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
      make you want to vote against him?
```

```
(What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
            further mentions
A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
V000316
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  A4d(5).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:
      Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might
      make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no
            further mentions
V000317 A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
  A5a.
      Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
      George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
      The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
      Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
      Bush) was randomized.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
```

```
1. YES
```

5. NO --> SKIP TO A5c

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A5c

- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	822	970	13	2

V000318 A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001

Numeric

A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

V000319 A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

```
Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
           further mentions
V000320 A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A5b(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
      George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
           further mentions
_____
V000321
         A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A5b(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
      George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
           further mentions
V000322 A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
         MD: EQ 0
```

Numeric

A5b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

V000323 A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 885 899 20 3

V000324 A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001 Numeric

```
A5d(1).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
      that might make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c
_____
V000325
          A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
          MD: EO 0
          Numeric
  A5d(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
      that might make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
            further mentions
V000326 A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  A5d(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
```

```
that might make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
           further mentions
A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
         MD: EO 0
         Numeric
  A5d(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
      that might make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no
           further mentions
_____
        A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
V000328
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  A5d(5).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:
      Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
      that might make you want to vote against him?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
```

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

V000329 A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Αб.

How many days in the past week did you watch the national network news on TV?

- 0. NONE --> SKIP TO A7
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	483	165	201	179	99
	5	6	7	8	
Count	135	27	515	3	

V000330 A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet. How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT

- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	486	235	364	513	190	19

V000331 A7. Days R watched early local news MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

A7.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?

- 0. NONE
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	562	110	176	140
	4	5	6	7
Count	115	163	22	519

V000332 A8. Days R watched late local news

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

A8.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows in the late evening?

- 0. NONE
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS

- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	727	130	182	157	106
	5	6	7	8	
Count	127	39	338	1	

V000333 A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.

How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	327	192	316	558	347	67

V000334 A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A9.

Do you have either cable or satellite television?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 5 ----- ------Count 1418 389

V000335 Al0. Days R read a daily newspaper

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

A10.

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?

- 0. NONE --> SKIP TO All
- 1. ONE DAY
- 2. TWO DAYS
- 3. THREE DAYS
- 4. FOUR DAYS
- 5. FIVE DAYS
- 6. SIX DAYS
- 7. EVERY DAY
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	468	216	181	130
	4	5	6	7
Count	74	92	36	610

V000336 A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A10a.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO A11

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO All

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----Count 468 790 548 1

V000337 Al0b/Al0b.T. Attention to newspaper articles MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

FTF:

(Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Al0a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1017	121	202	352	107	8

V000338 All. Did R see ads for candidates on tv

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A11.

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates on television this fall?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. Don't Know
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8
Count	1377	427	3

V000339 Al2. Approve/disappr Clinton job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1177	565	55	10

V000340 Al2a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	65	1145	587	8	2

V000341 Al2x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A12x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from Al2 and Al2a.

1. Approve strongly

- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
- 9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	745	425	162	400	63	12

V000342 B1. Did R care about House election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

в1.

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

- 1. VERY MUCH
- 2. PRETTY MUCH
- 3. NOT VERY MUCH
- 4. NOT AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8
Count	501	669	475	155	7

V000343 B2. Does R remember names of House cands MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

в2.

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Washington D.C.

	1	5	8
Count	526	1277	4

V000344 B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B2a.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1281	6	15	104	133
	35	36	97	98	
Count	8	11	234	 15	
Count	0	T T	23 4	T 2	

V000345 B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1281	245	238	20	23

V000346 B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2ax1.

IF R SAYS \mbox{HE}/\mbox{SHE} RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

- 1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
- 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
- 3. Other (30,39)
- 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
- 8. DK name but know party
- 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
- 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1281	118	159	234	15

V000347 B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2

	0	1	2	3
Count	1281	241	25	11
	4	5	6	
Count	222	12	15	

V000348 B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list or this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1520	6	4	16	14
	35	36	97	98	
Count	23	16	141	67	

V000349 B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
 no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1521	121	120	35	10

V000350 B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

- 1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
- 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
- 3. Other (30,39)
- 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
- 8. DK name but know party
- 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
- 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1520	45	34	141	67

V000351 B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	3
Count	1520	68	9	2
	4	5	6	
Count	132	9	67	

V000352

B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

B2c.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	32	33	34
Count	1728	1	1	5
	35	97	98	
Count	1	38	33	

V000353 B2c1. #3 recall party, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B2c1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 7. Other Party (specify)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1732	28	14	31	2

V000354 B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

- 1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
- 2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
- 3. Other (30,39)
- 7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
- 8. DK name but know party
- 9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
- 0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1728	2	6	38	33

V000355 B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- 3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party

- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

	0	1	2	4	5	6
Count	1728	7	1	32	6	33

V000356 B3. Congress job approve/dissapr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

В3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.

Congress has been handling its job?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

V000357 B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

В3а.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/

IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?)

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

V000358 B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove not strongly
- 8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
- 9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	285	648	299	318	246	11

.....

C1/C1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 2 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the

cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one. V000359 Cla/Cla.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Cla/Cla.T. : 979 (Looking at page 2 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) Bill Clinton TELEPHONE: The first person is: Bill Clinton Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton? THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administerd as the last (10th) name. 0 - 100995. NA 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE 998. DK WHERE TO RATE 999. RF _____ V000360 Clb/Clb.T. Thermometer Gore MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Numeric C1b/C1b.T. FTF: (Looking at page 2 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) Al Gore TELEPHONE: The next person is: Al Gore (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V000361 Clc/Clc.T. Thermometer George W Bush MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)

George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:

The next person is:

George W. Bush

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administerd as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

```
variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0 - 100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V000362 Cld/Cld.T. Thermometer Buchanan
         MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  Cld/Cld.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
      (How would you rate:)
      Pat Buchanan
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is:
      Pat Buchanan
      (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him
      THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
      YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
      SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were
      administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
      Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
      C1h-C1j were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
      Clk was administerd as the last (10th) name.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.q. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V000363 Cle/Cle.T. Thermometer Nader
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  Cle/Cle.T.
```

FTF:

```
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
       (How would you rate:)
      Ralph Nader
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is:
      Ralph Nader
       (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
      THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER
       [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
      YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
      SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were
      administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
      Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
      Clh-Clj were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
      Clk was administerd as the last (10th) name.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
       "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
       998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V000364 Clf/Clf.T. Thermometer Mccain
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  Clf/Clf.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
       (How would you rate:)
      John McCain
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is:
      John McCain
       (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?
      THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
      YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
       SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were
```

http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2000prepost/anes2000prepost_var.txt

administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and

Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V000365 Clg/Clg.T. Thermometer Bradley MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997 Numeric

Clg/Clg.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:

The next person is: Bill Bradley

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

```
Clh/Clh.T. Thermometer Lieberman
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  Clh/Clh.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
      (How would you rate:)
      Joseph Lieberman
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is::
      Joseph Lieberman
      (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
      THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
      YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
      SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were
      administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
      Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
      Clh-Clj were randomly adminstered as the 8th or 9th names.
      Clk was administerd as the last (10th) name.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
_____
          Clj/Clj.T. Thermometer Cheney
V000367
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  C1j/C1j.T.
      (Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
      (How would you rate:)
      Dick Cheney
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is::
      Dick Cheney
      (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
```

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V000368 C1k/C1k.T. Thermom

Clk/Clk.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997

Numeric

C1k/C1k.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)

(How would you rate:)

Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:

The next person is::

Hillary Clinton

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE 999. RF

C2.

Still using the thermometer:

V000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:) the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:) the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

```
variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
         MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  C2c.
      (How would you rate:)
      Reform Party
      THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY
      C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
      administered last.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A51.
      0 - 100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
          Numeric
  C2d.
      (How would you rate:)
      Political parties in general
      THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
      C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
      administered last.
      0-100
      995. NA
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
```

999. RF

.....

DO.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties.

V000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D1.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO D2

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D2

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1018 766 20 3

V000374 D1a(1). #1 like Dem Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001

Numeric

D1a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?

```
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1
V000375 D1a(2). #2 like Dem Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D1a(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO. 1
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
V000376
         Dla(3). #3 like Dem Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D1a(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
```

```
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
Dla(4). #4 like Dem Party
V000377
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D1a(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
______
V000378 D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party
         MD: EO 0
         Numeric
  D1a(5).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  D2.
```

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO D3 or D5

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D3 or D5

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 782 996 25 4

V000380 D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001

Numeric

D2a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? (What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

V000381 D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party

MD: EQ 0

```
Numeric
  D2a(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions
_____
V000382 D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D2a(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Democratic party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      _____
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions
V000383 D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D2a(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:
```

Is there anything in particular that you don't like

about the Democratic party?

(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

V000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

V000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D3.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

```
8. DON'T KNOW
                       --> SKIP TO D4
      9. RF
      0. NA
                1 5 8 9
---- --- ----
808 975 20 4
               808
         Count
______
V000386 D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
         Numeric
  D3a(1).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3
V000387 D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D3a(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
      _____
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
```

--> SKIP TO D4

5. NO

```
Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions
V000388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D3a(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions
______
V000389 D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D3a(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you like about the
      Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions
V000390 D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
         MD: EO 0
         Numeric
```

D3a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

V000391 D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D4.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 915 867 21 4

V000392 D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001

Numeric

```
D4a(1).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO. 1
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4
V000393
         D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D4a(2).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
V000394 D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D4a(3).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
```

```
about the Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
V000395 D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
         MD: EO 0
         Numeric
  D4a(4).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
_____
V000396
       D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  D4a(5).
  IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:
      Is there anything in particular that you don't like
      about the Republican party?
      (What is that?)
      MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
```

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

V000397 D5. Preference for divided government MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?

- 1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
- 3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
- 5. IT DOESN'T MATTER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	8	9
Count	420	926	420	38	3

V000398 E1/E1.T. Better/worse off in last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E1/E1.T.

FTF:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

TELEPHONE:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> Skip to Elb
5. THE SAME --> Skip to E2

8. DK --> Skip to E2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	880	312	130	482	3

V000399 Ela. How much better off- last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Ela.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. Much Better --> E2

5. Somewhat Better --> E2

8. DK --> E2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1495	117	194	1

V000400 Elb. How much worse off- last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Elb.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. Much Worse
- 5. Somewhat Worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

	0	1	5
Count	1677	41	89

V000401 Elx. Summary R econ situation last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Elx.

Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

Built from E1/E1.T and E1a/E1b.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK (in El or Ela/Elb)
- 9. RF (in El or Ela/Elb)
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	2	3
Count	880	117	194	482
	4	5	8	
Count	89	41	4	

V000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E2/E2.T.

FTF:

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for

administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	5
Count	880	278	649

V000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E3/E3.T.

FTF:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> Skip to E3B
 5. THE SAME --> Skip to F1
- 8. DK --> Skip to F1
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	880	369	53	479	26

V000404 E3a. How much better off- next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
- 8. DK --> SKIP to F1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1438	117	251	1

V000405 E3b. How much worse off- next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E3b.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1754	18	33	2

V000406 E3x. Summary R econ situation next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a/b.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Just about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
- 9. RF (in E 3 or E3a/E3b)
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample

	0	1	2	3
Count	906	117	251	479
	4	5	8	
Count	33	18	3	

FO.

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

V000407

Fla. Angry- Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Fla.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series , and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. YES
```

- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	524	1272	9	2

V000408 Flaa. Angry- how often Gore affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Flaa.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Fla

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1283	94	102	221	107

V000409 F1b. Hopeful- Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1b.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects

^{5.} NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization

is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	853	934	18	2

V000410 F1bb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1bb.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1b

	0	1	2	3
Count	954	141	254	379
	4	8	9	
Count	77	1	1	

V000411 Flc. Afraid- Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1c.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind

of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F1 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> Next F1 In Randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	333	1462	10	2

V000412 F1cc. Afraid- how often Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F1cc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very Often
- 2. Fairly Often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Flc

0 1 2 3 4 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1474 73 74 134 52

V000413 Fld. Proud- Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Fld.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	608	1174	23	2

V000414 Fldd. Proud- how often Gore affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F1dd.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very Often
- 2. Fairly Often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Fld

	0	1 	2	3	4	8
Count	1199	111	198	250	48	1

V000415 F2a. Angry- Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	487	1303	14	3

V000416 F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2aa.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a

0 1 2 3 4 8

Count	1320	79	97	209	101	1

V000417 F2b. Hopeful- Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2b.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 774 1014 17 2

V000418 F2bb. Hopeful- how often Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

F2bb.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1033	124	227	348	74	1

V000419 F2c. Afraid- Bush affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2c.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 418 1374 13 2

V000420 F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often

- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1389	73	93	176	75	1

V000421

F2d. Proud- Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	542	1239	24	2

V000422 F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1265	96	148	250	46	2

V000423 F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3a.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	197	505	67	1

V000424 F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1610	53	40	82	22

V000425 F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3b.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	114	588	67	1

F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3bb.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1693	10	17	61	26

V000427 F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3c.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	153	551	65	1

V000428 F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3cc.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1654	46	34	51	22

V000429 F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3d.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. Yes
- 5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, question dropped

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1037	78	623	68	1

V000430 F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3dd.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. Very often
- 2. Fairly often
- 3. Occasionally
- 4. Rarely
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1729	8	23	33	14

V000431 F6(1). #1 most important problem MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 991 Numeric

F6(1).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7. See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

Codes 001-899 and:

```
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
      991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
      992. "There was no campaign in my district"
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see
           Pre-Admin.2b, V000005b=0)
F6(2). #2 most important problem
V000432
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  F6(2).
      What do you think are the most important problems facing
      this country?
      MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
      [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
      See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
      Codes 001-899 and:
      990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
      000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention
_____
V000433 F6(3). #3 most important problem
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  F6(3).
      What do you think are the most important problems facing
      this country?
      MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
      [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
      UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
      See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
      Codes 001-899 and:
      990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
      000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention
_____
V000434 F6(4). #4 most important problem
          MD: EQ 0
          Numeric
  F6(4).
```

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?

UNTIL R SAYS NONE]

See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

V000435 F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

F6x.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R

[IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE MORE THAN 1.]

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

- 0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
- 1. One problem mentioned
- 2. Two or more problems mentioned
- 9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

	0	1	2	9
Count	31	167	707	902

V000436 F7. Choice - most important problem MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998

Numeric

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7. See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.

If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here. Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

998. DK

999. RF; NA

000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

V000437 F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F8.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

- 1. A GOOD JOB
- 3. ONLY FAIR
- 5. A POOR JOB
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section
 F administration; 0,9 in F6x

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	944	84	358	411	9	1

V000438 F9. Party performance on most imp problem MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F9.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 3. DEMOCRATS
- 5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM

- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section
 F administration; 0,9 in F6x

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	944	201	237	382	26	17

G1/G1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEDHONE.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

V000439 G1a. Self placement lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Gla.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G1a.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (V000442).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL	> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL	> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL	> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD	> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE	> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE	> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE	> Skip to G2
8 DK	> G1b

9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R
 selected for branching version

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> Glb

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	107	9	29	48	125
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	 65	 68	 11	4	 1341

V000439a Gla.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Gla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see Gla; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (V000442)

1.	EXTREMELY LIBERAL	>	Skip to G2
2.	LIBERAL	>	Skip to G2
3.	SLIGHTLY LIBERAL	>	Skip to G2
4.	MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD	>	G1b
5.	SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE	>	Skip to G2
6.	CONSERVATIVE	>	Skip to G2
7.	EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE	>	Skip to G2

8. DK --> G1b

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> Glb

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	77	8	48	37	90
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	48	72	15	5	1407

V000440 Glax. Summary: combined FTF/ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Glax.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from Gla and Gla.T.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	184	17	77	85	215
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	113	140	26	9	941

V000441 Glb. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Glb.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL

- 3. CONSERVATIVE
- 5. MODERATE
- 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
- 8 DK
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in Gla or Gla.T; R selected for branching version $\,$

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1399	123	174	51	52	8

V000441a Glbx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Glbx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:

Built from Glax and Glb.

This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.

Recode values used for each category appear in the order: Gla, Glb.

- 1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7)
- 8. DK (0/8,8)
- 9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
- 0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	302	51	453	52	8	941

```
V000442 G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also: Gla and Gla.T (V000439 and V000439a). Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. A LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G6b.E
3. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
5. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
7. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G6a.E

9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

	0	1	3	5
Count	314	146	229	216
	7	8	9	
Count	29	4	869	

V000443 G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

liberal or a conservative?

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a

1. LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
3. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7.E
5. MODERATE[VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO G7.E

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1244	143	241	58	111	10

V000444 G6b.E. R strong liberal or not

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1661	65	79	2

V000445 G6c.E. R strong conservative or not

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1578	119	105	5

V000446 G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G6x1.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE): When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal? Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from:

- branching data in G6.E, G6a.E, G6b.E, G6c.E.
- 7-pt scale data in Glax, Glb.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in Glax and the "had to choose" data in Glb were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
- 4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate

- 5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
- 6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
- 7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. R refuses to choose
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1	82	158	351	109
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	528	250	145	18	165

V000446a G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
- 4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
- 5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
- 6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
- 7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
- 8 DK
- 9. R refuses to choose
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

0 1 2 3 4

Count	801	51	77	212	57
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	314	129	77	9	80

Numeric

G6x1b.

IF PHONE MODE:

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (PHONE):

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
- 4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
- 5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
- 6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
- 7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. R refuses to choose
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1007	31	81	139	52
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	214	121	68	9	 85

V000447 G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? 7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE): When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/ conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Collapsed from G6x1.

- 1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
- 3. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
- 5. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
- 7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)
- 8. DK (8 in G6x1)
- 0. NA (0 in G6x1)

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1	591	109	923	165	18

V000448 G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered

the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	 78	120	91	 85
	5	6	7	8	
Count	30	31	4	 29	

V000448a G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton?

Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450). Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE

- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	76	106	55	85
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	24	4	38	2

V000449 G2x.

G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT

Built from G2/G2.T.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	154	226	146	170
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	40	 55	8	 67	2

V000450 G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?

Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. LIBERAL --> G7a.E

2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7b.E

3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO RANDOMIZED G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	434	78	285	139	3

V000451 G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G7a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E, OR G10.E
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO G8.E, G9.E OR G10.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1373	280	150	4

V000452 G7b.E. Clinton strong conservtive or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSEVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----Count 1729 45 32 1

V000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative
- 8. DK in G7.E
- 9. RF in G7.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	280	154	285
	4	5	8	9

Count	33	45	139	3

V000454 G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G7x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from G2x and G7x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	434	380	641	88
	5	8	9	
Count	53	206	5	

V000455 G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	40	131	98	94
	5	6	7	8	
Count	40	25	8	32	

V000455a G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	35	98	68	86
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	19	32	5	54	3

V000456 G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	75	229	166	180
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	59	57	13	86	3

V000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

	0	1	3	5
Count	1371	92	210	134

V000458 G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T

1 ____ Count 1464 90 190 63

V000458a G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G3ax.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT

This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format

1 3 5 --------1028 182 400 197

G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?

(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also G3 and G3.T (V000455 and V000455a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. LIBERAL --> G8a.E
- 2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
- 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G8c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8
Count	868	386	150	254	149

V000460 G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

0 1 5 8 9

Count 1421 250 130 5 1 V000461 G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric G8b.E. IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE: Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative? _____ --> G8c.E 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E 0 1 5 8 --------1657 89 58 3 Count V000462 G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric G8x1.E. IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?) Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative? SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES Built from G8.E/G8a.E/G8b.E 1. Strong liberal 2. Not very strong liberal 3. Moderate 4. Not very strong conservative 5. Strong conservative 8. DK in G8.E 9. RF in G8.E 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version 0 1 2 3 -----

Count	868	250	136	254
	4	5	8	
Count	61	89	149	

V000463 G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	325	365	659	118
	5	8	9	
Count	102	235	3	

V000464 G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

- IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
- IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1026	224	373	183	1

V000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

	0	1	3	5 	9
Count	247	406	773	380	1

V000465 G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place George $\mbox{W}.$ Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (V000469). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	8	23	37	58
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	105	164	36	35	2

V000465a G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

--> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	6	32	18	49
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	61	146	35	51	2

V000466 G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

Built from G4/G4.T

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
G	020	1.4			107
Count	939	14	55	55	107
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	166	310	71	86	4

V000467 G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1376	93	208	129	1

V000468 G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

0 1 3 5 ____ ----Count 1460 101 175 71

V000468a G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4ax.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

1 3 5 0 8 ____ ____ ----____ 1029 Count 194 383 200

V000469 G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

See also G4 and G4.T (V000465 and V000465a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G9a.E

2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9b.E 3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G9c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected
 for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	113	464	209	151	2

V000470 G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

201015 1100141.

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

V000471 G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 1343 287 162 14 1

V000472 G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G9.E/G9a.E/G9b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong cosnervative
- 5. Strong conservative
- 8. DK in G9.E
- 9. RF in G9.E
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	70	43	209
	4	5	8	9
Count	177	287	151	2

V000473 G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G9x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale? 7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?) BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:) What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G4x and G9x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	84	98	537	487
	5	8	9	

Count 358 237 6

V000474 G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G9c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

- IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
- IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1036 198 376 196 1

V000474a G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G9cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9,or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	258	392	759	396	2

G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (V000479). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A51.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1339	14	 15	26	 57
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	55	115	145	3

V000475a G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G5.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:

What about Pat Buchanan?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1407	3	17	8	35
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	61	108	154	4

V000476 G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:

Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Built from G5/G5.T

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	939	17	32	34	92
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	48	116	223	299	7

V000477 G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

	0	1	3	5
Count	1487	100	113	107

V000478 G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	1565	93	89	60

V000478a G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5ax.

FTF:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format

V000479 G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G10.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberalconservative placements.

See also G5 and G5.T (V000475 and V000475a).

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G10a.E

2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G10b.E

--> SKIP TO G10c.E 3. MODERATE

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	868	65	389	107	372	6

V000480 G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

- 1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1742 38 24 3

V000481 G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

- 1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----Count 1418 325 61 2 1

V000482 G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G10.E/G10a.E/G10b.E

- 1. Strong liberal
- 2. Not very strong liberal
- 3. Moderate
- 4. Not very strong conservative
- 5. Strong conservative
- 8. DK in G10.E
- 9. RF in G10.E

0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

	0	1	2	3
Count	868	38	27	107
	4	5	8	9
Count	64	325	372	

V000483 G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly

conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from G5x and G10x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
- 2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
- 4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	55	59	281	180
	5	8	9	

-----Count 548 671 13

V000484 G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmnt lib-con br

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

- IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
- IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
 3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E

1 3 5 --------1252 225 181 149 Count

V000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan 1-c crt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5
Count	690	418	383	316

V000485 G11. Who does R think will be elected

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11.

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK --> SKIP to G11b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	848	783	5	3
	7	8	9	
Count	39	126	3	

V000486 G11a. Race close or not- cand named

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11a.

IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will [NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

- 1. WILL BE CLOSE --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11

	0	1	5	8
Count	129	1449	213	16

V000487 G11b. Race close or not- cand not named

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?

- 1. WILL BE CLOSE
- 5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

	0	1	5	8
Count	1678	108	8	13

V000488a H1. US econ bttr/worse in last year stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered ${\tt H1}$ or ${\tt H1.E}$

1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2

5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

0 1 3 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ---- Count 899 369 380 147 11 1

V000488b H1.E. US econ bttr/worse in last year ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered ${\tt H1}$ or ${\tt H1.E}$

1. GOTTEN WORSE. --> SKIP TO H1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	908	155	405	331	8

V000489 Hla. How much better US econ past year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Hla.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H2

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1.E

	0	1	5	8
Count	1107	251	448	1

V000490 H1b. How much worse US econ last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H1b.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. SOMEWHAT WORSE

- 5. MUCH WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1505 232 70

V000491 Hlx. Summary US econ btr/worse last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Hlx.

STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

Built from H1/H1.E and H1a, H1b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
- 9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	251	448	785	70
	5	8	9	
a .				
Count	232	20	1	

V000492a H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered ${\rm H2}$ or ${\rm H2.E}$

1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- ----Count 906 125 313 447 16

V000492b H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H2.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E $\,$

1. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
3. ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4

5. GOTTEN HARDER

8. DK --> SKIP TO H4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- ----Count 901 454 300 129 23

V000493 H2a. How much harder to find work 1st yr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?

- 1. MUCH HARDER --> H4
- 5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4
- 8. DK --> H4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

0 1 5 ---- --- ----Count 1553 123 131

V000494 H2b. How much easier to find work 1st yr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?

- 1. MUCH EASIER
- 5. A LITTLE EASIER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	906	493	404	3	1

V000495 H2x. Summary employ opps in last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough

work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people

to find enough work?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little

harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a, H2b.

- 1. Much harder
- 2. Somewhat harder
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. Much easier
- 8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
- 9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	123	131	613	404
	F	0	0	
	5 	8 	9 	
Count	493	42	1	

V000496a H4. US econ bttr/worse in next year stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better,

stay about the same, or get worse?

--> SKIP TO H4b

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered ${\rm H4}$ or ${\rm H4.E}$

1. GET BETTER --> SKIP TO H4a

3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5

8. DK --> SKIP TO H5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	896	181	535	163	29	3

5. GET WORSE

```
V000496b H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or ${\rm H4.E}$

1. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5

5. GET BETTER

8. DK --> SKIP TO H5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

0 1 3 5 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 911 166 499 196 34 1

V000497 H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4.E

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1430 113 264

V000498 H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H4b.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4.E

V000499 H4x. Summary US econ in next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H4x.

STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse? EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 9. RF in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	113	264	1034	260
	5	8	9	
Count	69	63	4	

V000500 H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
```

н5.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H5b
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H6
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1304 387 106 10

V000501 H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
- 8. DK --> SKIP to H7
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	503	783	516	4	1

V000502 H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

V000503 H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.

1. Approve strongly

- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
- 9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
- 0. NA

1 2 4 5 8 9 ----- ---- ---- ----- Count 783 516 150 237 110 11

V000504 H6. Is R invested in stock market

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Η6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 5 8 9

Count 1004 780 6 17

V000505 H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	527	406	794	28	50	2

V000506 H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н8.

Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. ABOUT THE SAME BY BOTH
- 7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	373	324	1043	24	42	1

V000507 H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н9.

Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

- 1. WEAKER
- 3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
- 5. STRONGER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	8	9
Count	500	874	403	28	2

V000508 H10. Increase/decrease immigratration

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H10.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 3 5 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 172 785 785 60 5

V000509 H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/ IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?

- 1. A LITTLE
- 5. A LOT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

	0	1	5	8
Count	850	360	588	9

V000510 H10x. Summary immigration level

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H10x.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

Built from H10 and H10a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased a little
- 3. Left the same
- 4. Decreased a little
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

	1	2	3	4
Count	68	100	785	260
	5	8	9	
Count	520	69	5	

V000511a H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO H12
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO H12
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO H12

7. HAVEN I INOUGHI MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO HIZ

8. DK --> SKIP TO H12

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	897	245	263	385	17

V000511b H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered $\mbox{H11}$ or $\mbox{H11.E}$

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	910	390	408	95	4

V000512 H11x. Combined versions import limits

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

H11x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS

Built from H11 and H11.E

- 1. Favor
- 5. Oppose
- 7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	7	8	9
Count	635	671	385	112	4

V000513a H12. US better off to stay at home stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement: This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered $\mbox{H12}$ or $\mbox{H12.E}$

1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13

8. DK --> SKIP to H13

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	916	235	640	15	1

V000513b H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered $\mbox{H12}$ or $\mbox{H12.E}$

- 1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
- 5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	891	267	601	44	4

V000514 H12x. Combined versions isolationism MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement: This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

Built from H12 and H12.E

- 1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
- 5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	502	1241	59	5

V000515 H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H13b
- 8. DK --> SKIP to K1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1161	534	102	10

V000516 H13a. Strength appove Clinton frgn rel MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO K1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

	0	1	5	8
Count	646	605	553	3

V000517 H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H13b.

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1273	328	202	3	1

V000518 H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?

Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	605	553	202	328	108	11

V000519 K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

К1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN --> SKIP TO K1b
- 3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
- 4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
- 5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO K1c
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	620	451	496	27
	5	8	9	
Count	204	5	4	

V000520 Kla. Is R a strong Democrat or not

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Kla.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

- 1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
- 5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1187	346	273	1

V000521 K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4

5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4

8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1356	236	214	1

V000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Klc.

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

- 1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
- 3. NEITHER [VOL]
- 5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1075	230	224	269	9

V000523 K1x. Party ID summary

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

K1x.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what? Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/ Republican? Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.

Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions: PRE question A1.(interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

- 0. Strong Democrat (1,1,0 in K1, Kla/b, Klc)
- 1. Weak Democrat (1,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8,0,5 in K1, Kla/b, K1c
- 3. Independent-Independent (3,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c; 8,0,3 and 5,0,3/8/9 if not apolitical)
- 4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8,0,1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 5. Weak Republican (2,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 6. Strong Republican (2,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
- 7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4,0,3/8/9 in K1, Kla/b, Klc)
- 8. Apolitical (8,0,3 or 5,0,3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics
- 9. NA (8/9,0,0) in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	346	274	269	206	230
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	215	236	9	17	5

K1z/K1z.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

V000524 K2a. Gore trait - moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

well, or not well at all?

K2a.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore

extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	423	820	287	133	143	1

V000525 K2b. Gore trait - really cares

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	277	713	464	232	119	2

V000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2c.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	461	997	212	59	77	1

V000527 K2d. Gore trait - strong leader

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2d.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	258	728	522	185	113	1

V000528 K2e. Gore trait - dishonest

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

well at all?])

K2e.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	133	312	629	557	173	3

V000529 K2f. Gore trait - intelligent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2f.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL

- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	494	995	182	65	69	2

V000530

K2g. Gore trait - out of touch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2g.

Think about Al Gore.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	136	495	641	419	113	3

V000531 K3a. Bush trait - moral

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3a.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	340	841	330	98	196	2

Numeric

V000532 K3b. Bush trait - really cares MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

кзь.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"] The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were

administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. OUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	155	625	562	342	122	1

V000533 K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3c.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George

W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	274	933	379	133	87	1

V000534 K3d. Bush trait - strong leader

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3d.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	266	842	407	166	125	1

V000535 K3e. Bush trait - dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3e.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	70	288	653	574	220	2

V000536 K3f. Bush trait - intelligent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3f.

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4	8	9
Count	329	967	306	110	94	1

V000537 K3g. Bush trait - out of touch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K3g.

Think about George W. Bush.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 2 3 4 8 9

Count	207	495	638	348	118	1

V000538 K4a. Buchanan trait - moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4a.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. OUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	94	240	120
	4	8	9	
Count	47	268	1	

V000539 K4b. Buchanan trait - really cares

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4b.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	31	137	 197
	4	8	9	
Count	150	254	1	

V000540 K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4c.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at

extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	50	304	139
	4	8	9	
Count	41	235	1	

V000541 K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4d.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	23	136	227
	4	8	9	
Count	138	245	1	

V000542 K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4e.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	17	65	224
	4	8	9	
Count	175	287	2	

V000543 K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4f.

Think about Pat Buchanan.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	82	323	98
	4	8	9	

Count 37 229 1

V000544 K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4g.

Think about Pat Buchanan. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. OUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; question dropped

	0	1	2	3
Count	1037	 85	183	 177
	Δ	8	9	
Count	79	244	2	

L1/L1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 5 of the booklet.

Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

V000545 Lla. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lla.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For telephone (branching) version of this question see Lla.T.

6. 7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES> L1b 8. DK 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS> L1b 0 1 2 3 4	1. 2. 3. 4.	GOVT SHOU	LD PROVID	E MANY	FEWER SERV	TICES	> >	L1b L1b L1b L1b
8. DK 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS > L1b 0 1 2 3 4	6.						>	Llb
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS> L1b 0 1 2 3 4	7.	GOVT SHOU	LD PROVID	E MANY	MORE SERVI	CES	>	L1b
Count 142 46 60 102 251 5 6 7 8 9	9.	RF; NA; I			UT THIS			
Count 142 46 60 102 251 5 6 7 8 9								
5 6 7 8 9 			0	1	2	3		4
5 6 7 8 9 								
5 6 7 8 9 		Count	142	46	60	102	3	251
		courre		10	0.0	102		331
			5	6	7	g		9
Count 184 115 98 / 802		Count	184	115	98	7		302

V000546 Lla.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lla.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see Lla.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1a1.T

5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L2.T

7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> L1b.T

8. DK --> L1b.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b.T

0 1 5 7 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 141 179 378 93 7 1009

V000547 Lla1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Llal.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
- 8. DK --> L1b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in Lla.T

V000548 L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> L1b.T

5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T

8. DK --> L1b.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in Lla.T

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1429	141	233	3	1

V000549 Llax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

Llax1.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this? Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Lla.T and Lla1.T/Lla2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in Lla.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 7. Haven't thought much
- 8. DK in Lla.T or Lla1.T/Lla2.T
- 9. RF in Lla.T or Lla1.T/Lla2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lla.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1006	74	105	93	233
	5	7	8	9	
Count	141	141	10	4	

V000550 Llax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Llax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this? Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from Lla and Llax1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in Lla.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lla or Lla.T
- 0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3
Count	283	120	165	630
	4	5	8	9
Count	348	239	17	 5

V000551 L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1b.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	20	23	73	149
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	255	240	152	92	2

V000552 L1b.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1b1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1b2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	108	522	59	109	3

V000553 L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1b1.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1699	33	74	1

V000554 L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1285	246	275	1

V000555 L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services

and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
- 9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	33	74	 59
	4	5	8	9
Count	275	246	111	3

V000556 L1bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton srv/spnd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1bx2.

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending? (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from Llb and Llbx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal

```
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
```

- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T

1	2	3	4
53	97	536	515
5	8	9	
398	203	5	
	5	53 97 5 8	53 97 536 5 8 9

V000557 L1c. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1c.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

	Tetevier	R SERVICES			MIDVI	ידו/ו עד דע	/PARTY	OΠ		тΩ
	FEWER	K SEKVICES		>	MEVI	NAME	/ PARII	UR	>	$\perp \perp \subset$
2.				>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
3.				>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
4.				>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
5.				>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
6.				>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
7.	GOVT	SHOULD PROVIDE	MANY							
	MORE	SERVICES		>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
Q	את			\	MEVT	יים זאו אדא	/ D \ D T \	\cap D	\	ΤЭ

- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	9	27	62	154

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	262	251	129	111	1

V000558 Llc.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question, see L1c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1c1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1c2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK

-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	91	553	41	114	2

V000559 L1c1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1c1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

```
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
```

5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

	0	1	5
Count	1716	35	56

V000560 L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1254	264	286	3

V000561 Llcx1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services)

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
- 9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	35	56	41
	4	5	8	9
Count	286	264	117	2

V000562 Llcx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1cx2.

: שרש

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1c and L1cx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	44	83	519	537
	5	8	9	
Count	393	228	3	
Count	393	220	3	

V000563 L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Lld.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE

MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE
```

- MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	 58	145	253	213
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	115	73	23	124	2

V000564 Lld.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING -->L1d1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING -->L1d2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK

-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	410	223	38	128	2

V000565 Lld1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lld1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

·

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

0 1 5 8

Count 1397 208 200 2

V000566 L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in Lld.T

V000567 Lldx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Lld.T and Lldl.T/Lld2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in Lld.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in Lld.T or Lld1.T/Lld2.T
- 9. RF in Lld.T or Lld1.T/Lld2.T

0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lld.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	208	200	38
	4	5	8	9
Count	153	 69	131	2

V000568 Lldx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

Built from L1d and L1dx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in Lld.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lld or Lld.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	266	345	619	226
	5	8	9	
Count	92	255	4	

V000569 Lle. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Lle.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

	FEWER	SERVICES	>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
2.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
3.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
4.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
5.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
6.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2

7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	15	14	51	143
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	267	266	142	106	2

V000570 Lle.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lle.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in

order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1e1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1e2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1006	84	556	46	115

V000571 Lle1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1e1.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

V000572 L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1e2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
- 5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2
- 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1251	291	264	1

V000573 Llex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Llex1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Lle.T and Lle1.T/Lle2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
- 9. RF in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	31	53	46
	4	5	8	

---- ---- Count 264 291 116

V000574 Llex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Llex2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from Lle and Llex1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lle or Lle.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	46	67	507	530
	5	8	9	
Count	433	222	2	

V000575 L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1f.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY

	FEWER	R SERVICES	>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
2.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
3.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
4.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
5.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
6.			>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
7.	GOVT	SHOULD PROVIDE	MANY						
	MORE	SERVICES	>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2
8.	DK		>	NEXT	NAME	/PARTY	OR	>	L2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	 86	182	226	208
Count	801	00	102	220	200
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	110	52	20	121	1

V000576 L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were

randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. Lle and Llf were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see Llf.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1f1.T
- 5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1f2.T
- 7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> Next Party or L2
- 8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1006	451	185	37	128

V000577 L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f1.T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1356	229	221	1

V000578 L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T

5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

V000579 L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L1fx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Llf.T and Llfl.T/Llf2.T

- 1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
- 4. Increase spending and services only some
- 5. Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
- 9. RF in Llf.T or Llfl.T/Llf2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	229	221	37
	4	5	8	
Count	131	54	129	

V000580 L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L1fx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1f and L1fx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
- 2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

	1	2	3	4
Count	315	403	581	183
	5	8	9	
Count	74	250	1	

L2/L2.T.

TTT:

Please look at page 6 of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.

V000581 L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

8. DK> L2k 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode	1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING	> L2b > L2b > L2b > L2b > L2b > L2b > L2b
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS> L2b	9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode	> L2b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	161	35	33	72	238
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	229	144	85	8	802

V000582 L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.

- 1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
- 5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) --> L2b.T

8. DK --> L2b.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1006 589 207 4 1

V000583 L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2a1.T.

IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1a.T

3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2a1b.T

5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> L2b.T/L2c.T

8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

0 1 3 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1213 110 331 146 5 2

V000584 L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government reduce defense spending a lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> L2bT/L2c.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

V000585 L2alb.T. How much incres def spending ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2a1b.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government increase defense spending a lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T

8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

0 1 5 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 1476 188 141 1 1

V000586 L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

L2ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot

- 7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
- 8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
- 9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1006	54	56	146	141
	5	7	8	9	
Count	188	207	6	3	

V000587 L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEFENSE SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2al.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much

0 1 2 3

Count	368	89	89	685
	4	5	8	9
Count	285	273	14	4

V000588 L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	GOVT	SHO	ULD	DECREASE		
	DEFENSE		SPENDING			

DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE

DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	29	72	169	239
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	186	83	32	195	1

V000589 L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T

3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T

5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1006	157	167	273	203	1

V000590 L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1483	137	183	4

V000591 L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot

- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
- 9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	78	 76	273
	4	5	8	9
Count	107	59	207	1

V000592 L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2b and L2bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

```
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
```

- 2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4
Count	107	148	867	190
	5	8	9	
Count	91	402	2	

V000593 L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2c.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE
```

```
DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
```

7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	12	26	60	164
	5	6	7	8	
Count	247	221	 97	 179	

V000594 L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- 3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
- --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T 5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1006	48	452	111	189	1

V000595 L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2c1.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/ IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

- 1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1307	304	186	10

V000596 L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	29	18	111
	4	5	8	9
Count	168	275	199	1

V000597 L2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush def spend

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2cx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2c and L2cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4
Count	41	44	582	389
	5	8	9	
Count	372	378	1	

V000598 L2d. Dem Party-def spending scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2d.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this

issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE

	DEFENSE	SPEN	IDING	>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
2.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
3.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
4.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
5.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
6.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
7.	GOVT SHO	DULD	INCREASE						
	DEFENICE	ann.	TD TATO			31334E / D 3 D E 17	о Б		+ ~

DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	26	107	180	240
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	168	85	39	160	1

V000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T

3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T

5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1006	197	171	248	185

V000600 L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/ IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1439	151	212	5

V000601 L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T

- 1. Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
- 9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	90	103	248
	4	5	8	
Count	109	61	190	

V000602 L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2dx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2d and L2dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4
Count	116	210	836	 194
	5	8	9	
Count	100	350	1	

V000603 L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2e.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE

	DEFENS	SE SPEN	NDING	>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
2.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
3.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
4.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
5.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
6.				>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L3
7.	GOVT S	SHOULD	INCREASE						

- DEFENSE SPENDING
- --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	12	25	77	169
	5	6	7	8	

Count 238 234 86 165

V000604 L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T

3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2e1.T

5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 1006 68 441 106 186

V000605 L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2e1.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/ IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T

5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1298 288 217 4

V000606 L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2ex1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot

- 2. Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
- 4. Increase defense spending a little
- 5. Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
- 9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	36	32	106
	4	5	8	
Count	185	252	190	

V000607 L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L2ex2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2e and L2ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
- 4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
- 5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

	1	2	3	4	5	8
Count	48	57	590	419	338	355

L3/L3.E/L3.T/L3.TE.

L3. FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Please look at page 7 of the booklet.

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

L3.E. FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Please look at page 8 of the booklet.

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital

expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

L3.T TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans.

L3.TE. TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

here is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone.

V000608 L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric

L3(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION

FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with endpoints reversed).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question is coded in reverse.

- 1. FTF standard version
- 2. FTF experimental version
- 3. PHONE version 1
- 4. PHONE version 2

	1	2	3	4
Count	515	491	376	425

V000608a L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

```
See L3 for complete text.
```

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).

The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.

For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1.	GOVT	INSU	JRANCE	PLA	AN	>	SKIP	TO	L4
2.						>	SKIP	TO	L4
3.						>	SKIP	TO	L4
4.						>	SKIP	TO	L4
5.						>	SKIP	TO	L4
6.						>	SKIP	TO	L4
7.	PRIVA'	TE I	INSURAN	ICE	PLAN	>	SKIP	TO	L4

8. DK --> SKIP TO L4

9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH --> SKIP TO L4

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	25	74	42	83	105
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	 72	46	 59	9	1292

V000608b L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).

The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.

For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1.	PRIVA	ATE	INSURA	NCE	PLAN	I	>	L4
2.							>	L4
3.							>	L4
4.							>	L4
5.							>	L4
6.							>	L4
7.	GOVT	INSU	RANCE	PLAN	1		>	L4

```
8. DK --> L4
```

- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	35	41	48	62	89
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	74	57	83	2	1316

V000609 L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L3ax.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a/L3a.E.

- 1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
- 2.
- 3.
- 4. 5.
- 6.
- 7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	60	157	99	157	194
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	134	94	100	11	801

V000610a L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.T for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2). The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question. For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T

5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES

THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T

7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L3a2.

8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	44	149	155	20	8	1431

V000610b L3a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.TE for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2). The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question. For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES

THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a2.T 5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a1.T

7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> L4.T

8. DK --> L4.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	47	146	188	30	14	1382

V000611 L3ax.TE. Comb. Ph versions R insur scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3ax.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE

Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

- 1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
- 5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
- 7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	91	337	301	50	22	1006

V000612 L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/

IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a government insurance plan?/ Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

- 1. STRONGLY --> L4.T 5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T
- 8. DK --> L4.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1169	507	127	3	1

V000613 L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3x1.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

- 1. Strongly government insurance plan
- 2. Not strongly government insurance plan
- 3. Stay the same as it is now
- 4. Not strongly individual insurance
- 5. Strongly individual insurance
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	91	282	 54	50
	4	5	8	9
Count	73	225	25	1007

V000614 L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3x2.

ישרש:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a a government insurance plan?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt insurance plan
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt insurance plan
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly individual insurance
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly individual insurance
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	151	439	153	535
	4	5	8	9
Count	167	325	36	1

.....

L4/L4.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 9 of the booklet.

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Please look at page 9 of the booklet.

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

V000615 L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF

 $\mathtt{MD1}\colon \mathtt{EQ}\ \mathtt{0}\,,\ \mathtt{MD2}\colon \mathtt{GE}\ \mathtt{8}$

Numeric

L4a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

1.	GOVT	SHOULD	SEE	TO J	OBS	AND	STAN	IDARD	OF	LIVING	>	L4b.
2.											>	L4b.
3.											>	L4b.
4.											>	L4b.
5.											>	L4b.
6.											>	L4b.
7.	GOVT	SHOULD	LET	EACH	PER	RSON	GET	AHEAD	ON	OWN	>	L4b.

8. DK --> L4b.

9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	93	74	48	93	173
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	189	195	137	4	801

V000616 L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

._____

For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

```
1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4a1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4a2.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L4b.T/L4c.T
```

8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.T/L4c.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	108	193	439	55	3	1009

V000617 L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
```

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T 5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 8. DK \longrightarrow L4b.T/L4c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1614	152	40	1

V000618 L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
 5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

V000619 L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4x1.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you

thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

- 1. Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- O. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	108	152	40	55
	4	5	8	9
Count	93	346	4	1009

V000620 L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4x2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4a and L4x1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary

construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- O. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3
Count	201	226	88	510
	4	5	8	9
Count	288	483	8	3

V000621 L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4b.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 9)

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
```

7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON

GET AHEAD ON OWN

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	74	128	206	208
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	110	58	38	182	2

V000622 L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING

--> L4b1.T

5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

--> L4b2T

7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR -->L5.T

8. DK

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0 1 5 7 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ---- Count 1006 434 180 20 165 2

V000623 L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/ IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1193	444	162	8

V000624 L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L4bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

- 1. Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0 1 2 ____

Count	1006	338	94	20
	4	5	8	9
Count	68	106	173	2

V000625 L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4bx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	412	222	544	126
	5	8	9	
Count	144	355	4	

V000626 L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	23	22	80	169
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	205	220	98	187	2

V000627 L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND

STANDARD OF LIVING

--> L4c1.T

5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

--> L4c1.T

7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	122	494	15	168	2

V000628 L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/ IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1191	463	143	10

V000629 L4cxl. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?) Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

- 1. Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	80	40	15
	4	5	8	9
Count	103	383	178	2

V000630 L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?) Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of

living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	103	62	469	323
	_			
	5	8	9	
Count	481	365	4	

V000631 L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4d.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	82	163	217	180
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	108	 51	43	161	1

V000632 L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4d.T.

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]
- --> L4d1.T
- --> L4d1.T
- --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	450	161	22	167	1

V000633 L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L4d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/ IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1196	436	173	2

V000634 L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

- 1. Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	347	101	22
	4	5	8	9
Count	72	89	169	1

V000635 L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4dx2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own ?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4d and L4dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living

- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	429	264	527	123
	5	8	9	
Count	132	330	2	

V000636 L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4e.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3. 4.
- 5.
- 6
- 7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	13	24	65	167
	5	6	7	8	9

Count 204 228 130 174 1

V000637 L4e.T. Rep Party-guar job/std liv phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING

--> L4e1.T

--> L4e1.T

- 5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN-->NEXT PARTY OR-->L5.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	96	502	21	181	1

V000638 L4e1/e2.T. Rep Party-strength guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

MDI. EQ 0, MDZ. GE C

Numeric

L4e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/ IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get

ahead on their own?

- 1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T 5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1209	427	167	4

V000639 L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4ex1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

- 1. Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	66	30	21
	4	5	8	9
Count	137	361	185	1

V000640 L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L4ex2.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt see to jobs & std living
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly govt leave people on own
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly govt leave people on own
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1	2	3	4
79	54	457	365
5	8	9	
491	359	2	
		79 54 5 8	79 54 457 5 8 9

......

L5/L5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 10 of the booklet. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

V000641 L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L5a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5 for complete text.

For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

1.	GOVT SHOULD H	ELP BLACKS	> L5b.
2.			> L5b.
3.			> L5b.
4.			> L5b.
5.			> L5b.
6.			> L5b.
7.	BLACKS SHOULD	HELP THEMSELVES	> L5b.
8.			> L5b.
\circ	DE TATAL	Dhana mada	

- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	69	52	40	79	250
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	150	160	193	4	810

V000642 L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.

For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5a1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T
- 8. DK --> L5b.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	151	196	339	104	2	1015

V000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/

IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?

Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T
- 8. DK --> L5b.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1272	342	191	2

V000644 L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

Should the government help blacks to a great extent or

only to some extent? Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	151	86	110	104
	4	5	8	9
Count	81	256	4	1015

V000645 L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this? Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

```
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
```

- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	220	138	150	583
	4	5	8	9
Count	241	449	8	18

V000646 L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5b.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1.	GOVT	SHOULD	HELP	BLACKS	>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
2.					>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
3.					>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
4.					>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
5.					>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
6.					>	NEXT	NAME/PARTY	OR	>	L6.
_	DT 7 OT			D DITENACET TOO	_	3.777.3.777	373 MT / D 3 D D 37	\circ		T (

- 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	108	153	205	202
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	88	 46	31	 168	 5

```
V000647
         L5b.T. Clinton-aid to blacks scale phone
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  L5b.T.
      TELEPHONE:
      What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that
      government should make every effort to improve
      the social and economic position of blacks, or that
      government should not make any special effort
      to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
      ______
      [DO NOT PROBE DK]
      See L5.T for complete text.
      TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
      L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
      L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
      or 5th names.
      For the FTF version of this question see L5b.
      1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
                                        --> L5b1.T
      5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
      8. DK
                                        --> NEXT NAME
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
                                  5 7
                          1
                        _____
                                                ----
                         446
                1006
                                                 192
                                                           6
                                 111
                                          46
         Count
V000648 L5b1/b2.T. Clinton-strength aid to blacks
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  L5b1/b2.T.
  IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
```

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

0 1 5 8

Count	1250	317	237	3

V000649 L5bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton aid to blacks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	267	176	46
	4	5	8	9
Count	61	50	195	 6

V000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5bx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve

the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	375	329	541	107
	5	8	9	
Count	81	363	11	

V000651 L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5c.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2
3.
                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4.
                                  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5.
                                  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
                                  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK
                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
```

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	96	135	189	224
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	68	58	27	204	 5

V000652 L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

<code>TELEPHONE: L5b.T</code> is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5c1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
```

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	420	110	33	232	6

V000653 L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1277	284	245	1

V000654 L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	234	185	33
	4	5	8	9
Count	60	50	233	 6

V000655 L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent

- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	330	320	514	118
	5	8	9	
Count	 77	437	11	

V000656 L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5d.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
 7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	,				
	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	19	22	69	209
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	226	143	102	212	4

V000657 L5d.T. Bush-aid to blacks scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5d.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5d1.T

5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5d1.T

7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	151	351	44	247	8

V000658 L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help

themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY

5. ONLY SOME

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1305	255	245	2

V000659 L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L5dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES ______

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	45	106	44
	4	5	8	9
Count	139	210	249	8

V000660 L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L5dx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5d and L5dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	64	128	548	282
	5	8	9	
Count	312	461	12	

V000661 L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5e.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
```

8. DK

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	106	164	214	198
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	70	45	29	176	4

V000662 L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and

L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th

For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
- --> L5e1.T
- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5e1.T

 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5e1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.

8. DK

--> NEXT PARTY OR -->L6.

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	437	112	26	220	6

L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/ IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

- 1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1258	301	247	1

V000664 L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L5ex1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	256	181	26
	4	5	8	9
Count	66	45	221	6

V000665

L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5e and L5ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	362	345	508	111
	5	8	9	
Count	74	397	10	

V000666 L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5f.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
```

2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

8. DK -

--> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	801	15	19	66	186
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	218	 196	116	186	4

V000667 L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
- 5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T
- 7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1006	151	369	43	232	6

V000668 L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:

(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.

5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

V000669 L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5fx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.

- 1. Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. Other/neither/depends
- 4. Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. Should help themselves to a great extent

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1006	44	107	43
	4	5	8	9
Count	142	227	232	 6

V000670 L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L5fx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10)

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 2 3 4

Count	59	126	513	338
	5	8	9	
Count	343	418	10	

V000671a L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L6.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE
AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a

5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

--> GO TO L6b

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

--> GO TO L7

8 DK

--> GO TO L7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	927	431	338	59	42	10

V000671b L6.E. Appr/disappr affirmative action ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

What do you think? Should companies that have

discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

--> GO TO L6a

5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

--> GO TO L6b

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

--> GO TO L7

8. DK

--> GO TO L7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	880	397	429	50	43	8

V000672 L6a. Strength for affirmative action

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7

8. DK --> GO TO L7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----Count 979 601 221 6

V000673 L6b. Strength against affirmative action

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L6b.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1040	560	204	2	1

V000674 L6x. Summary affirmative action

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L6x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E.

- 1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
- 5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	7	8	9
Count	828	767	109	85	18

V000674a L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program? BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

- 1. Strongly should have to have affirmative action
- 2. Not strongly should have to have affirmative action
- 4. Not strongly shouldn't have to have affirmative action
- 5. Strongly shouldn't have to have affirmative action
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5
Count	601	221	204	560
	7	8	9	
Count	109	93	19	

L7.

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending increased or decreased. The first program is:

V000675 L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7a.

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	677	135	969	6	20

V000676 L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

T₁7b₁

What about WELFARE PROGRAMS? (Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	302	669	782	22	30	2

V000677 L7c. Inc/dec spending on aids research

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7c.

What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH? (Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	911	151	697	11	37

V000678

L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L7d.

What about FOREIGN AID? (Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	162	787	792	22	42	2

V000679 L7e. Inc/dec food stamps MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L7e.

What about FOOD STAMPS? (Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.q. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	285	549	890	32	49	2

V000680 L7f. Inc/dec aid to poor people

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7f.

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE? (Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	916	154	693	9	29	6

V000681 L7g. Inc/dec Social Security MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L7g.

What about SOCIAL SECURITY? (Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1120	66	572	11	38

V000682 L7h. Inc/dec environmental protection

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7h.

What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION? (Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	913	164	694	2	33	1

V000683

L7j. Inc/dec public schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7ј.

What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS? (Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1368	87	330	13	9

V000684

L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7k.

What about DEALING WITH CRIME? (Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1180	89	514	7	17

V000685 L7m. Inc/dec child care

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7m.

What about CHILD CARE? (Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	1108	128	515	16	39	1

V000686 L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L7n.

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION?

(Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

1 3 5 8 7

Count	931	173	641	11	47	4

V000687 L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L7p.

What about AID TO BLACKS? (Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
- 7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	293	415	971	39	75	14

V000688 L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to spend the extra money the federal government is likely to have in the near future. Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes.

Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
- 9. RF

0. NA

1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ----Count 1111 637 56 3

V000689 L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L8a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

	0	1	5	8
Count	59	1216	529	3

V000690 L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal? Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly? Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	811	298	231	405	59	3

V000691 L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L9.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

- 1. APPROVE
- 5. DISAPPROVE
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	5	8	9
Count	1453	312	39	3

V000692 L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/

IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/

Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

	0	1	5	8
Count	42	1309	454	2

V000693 L9x. Summary surplus for Soc Sec medcare

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not

SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly

- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK

strongly?

- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	1	2	4	5	8	9
Count	1153	298	156	156	41	3

V000694 M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1/M1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.

- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	215	525	265
	4	7	8	9
Count	753	28	11	-

V000695 Mla. Importance of abortion to R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Mla.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	76	193	499
	4	5	8	9
Count	640	389	3	4

V000696 Mlb/Mlb.T. Gore-abortion scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1b/M1b.T.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO M1c OR M2

- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	84	228	253
	4	7	8	9
Count	762	21	450	6

V000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1b1.

IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	459	426	540	380	2

V000698 Mlc/Mlc.T. Bush-abortion scale

MD1: EO 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1c/M1c.T.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2

- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	285	655	227
	4	7	8	9
Count	119	23	488	7

V000699 M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	498	315	560	433	1

V000700 M2. App/dis abortion parental consent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

М2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1395	353	44	12

V000701 M2a. Strength abortion parental consent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/ IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

Strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

	0	1	5	8
Count	59	1461	286	1

V000702 M2x. Summary abortion parental consent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M2x.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS

Built from M2 and M2a.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	1233	162	124
	5	8	9	
Count	228	45	12	

V000703 M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

м3.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.

Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1236	444	108	16

V000704 M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/

IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

	0	1	5	8
Count	127	1386	289	5

V000705 M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M3x.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.

Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS

Built from M3 and M3a/b.

1. Favor strongly

- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	1097	137	152
	5	8	9	
Count	289	113	16	

M4/M4.E/M4.T/M4.TE.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Please look at page 12 of the booklet Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point number 1) Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6).

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:

Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living.

V000706 M4. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric

M4(1).

option.

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION

FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it"

- 1. FTF standard version (M4a)
- 2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)

```
3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
```

4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)

```
1 2 3 4
---- --- ---- -----
Count 502 504 373 428
```

V000707a M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:

8. DK

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental). For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1.	PROTECT	ENVIRONMENT,	EVEN	IF	IT	COSTS	JOB
	c amanda	DD OD TITITIO					

& STANDARD OF LIVING	> SKIP TO M4b
2.	> SKIP TO M4b
3.	> SKIP TO M4b
4.	> SKIP TO M4b
5.	> SKIP TO M4b
6.	> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT	

THAN ENVIRONMENT

--> SKIP TO M4b

9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

--> SKIP TO M4b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	66	66	66	70	131
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	60	26	16	1	1305

V000707b M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).

For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB

& STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. --> SKIP TO M4b
3. --> SKIP TO M4b
4. --> SKIP TO M4b
5. --> SKIP TO M4b
6. --> SKIP TO M4b

7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN
ENVIRONMENT --

--> SKIP TO M4b

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1305	44	62	92	160
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	70	41	18	13	2

V000708 M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

Built from M4a, M4a.E.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT

- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	66	110	128	162	291
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	130	 67	34	14	805

V000709a M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

--> M4a1.T

--> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

See M4.T for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2). For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	110	177	52	29	5	1434

V000709b M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

See M4.TE for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).

For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING

--> M4a1.T/

5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT

--> M4a1.T

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

--> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

8. DK

--> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1380	245	102	46	28	6

V000710 M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS

Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	110	422	154	75	33	1013

V000711 M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/

IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T

5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT --> M4b.T/M4c.T

8. DK --> M4b.T/M4c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1231 336 240

V000712 M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a1x1.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

- 1. Environment much more important
- 2. Environment somewhat more important
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	110	270	152	 75
	4	5	8	9
Count	88	66	33	1013

V000713 M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4a1x2.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't

you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only

somewhat more important?

Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- 0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

) 1 2 3

Count	176	380	280	658
	4	5	8	9
Count	155	100	47	11

V000714 M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4 for complete text.

FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	PROTECT	ENVIRONMENT,	EVEN	IF	IT	COSTS	JOB	&
	CLVMDVDI	OF LIVING						

	STANDAR	D OF	LIVING	+			>	M4c.	OR	М5.
2.							>	M4c.	OR	М5.
3.							>	M4c.	OR	М5.
4.							>	M4c.	OR	М5.
5.							>	M4c.	OR	М5.
6.							>	M4c.	OR	М5.
7.	JOBS &	STAND	ARD OF	LIVING	MORE	IMPORTANT				

- 7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
- 8. DK --> M4c. OR M5.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	132	161	171	178
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	79	45	22	213	3

V000715 M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4b.T.

--> M4c. OR M5.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING

--> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT

--> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

8. DK

--> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	461	101	21	215	2

V000716 M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/ IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/ Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

- 1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1245	324	234	4

V000717 M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

M4bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

- 1. Environment much more important
- 2. Environment somewhat more important
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	298	161	21
			_	
	4	5	8	9
Count	73	26	219	2

V000718 M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	430	322	449
	4	5	8	9
Count	118	48	432	5

V000719 M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4 for complete text.

FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB &			
	STANDARD OF LIVING	>	M4b.	OR M5.
2.		>	M4b.	OR M5.
3.		>	M4b.	OR M5.
4.		>	M4b.	OR M5.
5.		>	M4b.	OR M5.
6.		>	M4b.	OR M5.
7.	JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT			
	THAN ENVIRONMENT	>	M4b.	OR M5.
8.	DK	>	M4b.	OR M5.
9.	RF			
0.	NA; INAP, Phone mode			
	0 1 2 2	1		

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	23	18	73	199
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	209	168	63	249	2

V000720 M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS

 JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
- 5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE

IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	194	327	39	237	3

V000721 M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/ IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

- 1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1286	242	273	6

V000722 M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of

living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

- 1. Environment much more important
- 2. Environment somewhat more important
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	78	114	39
	4	5	8	9
Count	159	164	243	3

V000723 M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M4cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?

Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from M4c and M4cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to

evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	101	132	520
	4	5	8	9
Count	327	227	492	5

V000724 M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

М5.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

- 1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5a
- 5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5b
- 8. DK --> M6a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1285	414	86	19

V000725 M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M5a.

IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?

- 1. STRONGLY --> M6a
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> M6a

8. DK --> M6a

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

	0	1	5	8
Count	522	871	410	4

V000726 M5b. Strngth opp homosexuals in military

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

1. STRONGLY

5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

	0	1	5	8
Count	1393	322	89	3

V000727 M5x. Summary homosexuals in military

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

 ${\tt Numeric}$

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so? Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

- 1. Strongly should be allowed to serve
- 2. Not strongly should be allowed to serve
- 4. Not strongly should not be allowed to serve
- 5. Strongly should not be allowed to serve
- 8. DK

9. RF 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	871	410	89
	5	8	9	
Count	322	93	19	

V000728

M6a. R-gun control scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Мба.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

1. MORE DIFFICULT

--> SKIP TO M6a1

3. MAKE IT EASIER

--> SKIP TO M6a2

5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6a3

8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	1060	75	659	8	2

V000729 M6al. R-strength more gun control

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3

5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3

8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

V000730 M6a2. R-strength less gun control MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

- 1. A LOT EASIER
- 5. SOMEWHAT EASIER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1732 39 36

V000731 M6ax. Summary gun control MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Мбах.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more

A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

- 1. A lot more difficult
- 2. Somewhat more difficult
- 3. Keep rules about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. A lot easier
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	861	199	659
	4	5	8	9

Count	36	39	8	2

V000732 M6a3. R-importance of gun control MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6a3.

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	37	139	461
	4	5	8	
Count	716	448	3	

V000733 M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6b.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. MORE DIFFICULT
- 3. MAKE IT EASIER
- 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6b3

8. DK

--> SKIP TO M6c

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	1139	19	350	294	2

V000734 M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?/

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT --> M6b3 5. SOMEWHAT --> M6b3

8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----Count 649 718 437 3

V000735 M6bx. Summary Gore gun control

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6bx.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6b and M6b1/b2.

- 1. A lot more difficult
- 2. Somewhat more difficult

- 3. Keep rules about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. A lot easier
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	709	427	350
	4	5	8	9
Count	10	9	297	2

V000736 M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:

IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	652	393	542	219	1

V000737 M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Мбс.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. MORE DIFFICULT
- 3. MAKE IT EASIER
- 5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> M6c2
- 8. DK \rightarrow N1/n1.e
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	375	315	806	306	2

V000738 M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6c1/c2.

IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

- 1. A LOT --> M6c3 5. SOMEWHAT --> M6c3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1117	318	370	2

V000739 M6cx. Summary Bush gun control

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Мбсх.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

- 1. A lot more difficult
- 2. Somewhat more difficult
- 3. Keep rules about the same
- 4. Somewhat easier
- 5. A lot easier
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	182	193	806
	4	5	8	9
Count	177	136	308	2

V000740 M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:

IF STRENTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT ${\tt DK/RF}\colon$

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6c1/M6c2

	0	1	3	5
Count	1119	190	318	180

V000741a N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO NIa

5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a

8. DK --> SKIP TO N2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for N1.E

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	925	424	394	62	2

V000741b N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> Nla
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> Nla

8. DK --> SKIP TO N2

8. DK 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO N2

0 1 5 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 317 332 259 12 887

V000742 N1x. Combined versions school vouchers MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1x.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that

would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Built from N1 and N1.E.

- 1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
- 5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	317	756	653	74	7

V000743 Nla/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Nla/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/

IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded for this question respondents from the standard version; only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 or NA in N1.E; R selected for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b

	0	1	5
Count	1216	439	152

V000744 Nlax. Summary school vouchers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Nlax.

STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this? BOTH VERSIONS:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Build from Nlx and Nla/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

	0	1	2	4
Count	317	245	87	65
	5	8	9	
Count	194	12	887	

V000745 N2. R fav/opp English official language MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N2.

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?

- 1. FAVOR
- 3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
- 5. OPPOSE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	3	1202	130	446	24	2

V000746 N3. R interest in school integration MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N3.

Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business.

Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO N4

8. DK --> SKIP TO N4

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	1195	580	27	2

V000747 N3a. Fav/opp gov help school integration

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N3a.

IF R HAS OPINION WHETHER GOVT SEE TO BLACK/WHITE KIDS IN SAME SCHOOLS:

Do you think the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?

- 1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
- 5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER; DEPENDS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3

0 1 5 7 8 9

Count 612 596 531 56 10 2

V000748 N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 3 747 909 126 22

V000749 N5. Fav/opp death penalty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

- 1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
- 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

0 1 5 8 9
----- ---- ---- ----- Count 3 1240 462 87 15

V000750 N5a. How much favor death penalty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of

murder) strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E 5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E

8. DK --> P1/P1.E

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 567 925 314 1

V000751 N5b. How much oppose death penalty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 1345 283 177 1 1

V000752 N5x. Summary R position on death penalty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N5x.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly

- 5. Oppose strongly
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	3	925	314	 177
	_	2	•	
	5 	8	9 	
Count	283	89	16	

P1/P1.T.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS: Please look at page 13 of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government.

(Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that a woman's place is in the home.

V000753 P1. Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric

P1(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION

FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the

Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

- 1. FTF standard
- 2. FTF experimental
- 3. PHONE version 1

4. PHONE version 2

	1	2	3	4
Count	520	486	382	419

V000754a Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Pla.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:

8. DK

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P1 for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).

For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1.	WOMEN	AND	MEN	SHOUI	LD I	HAVE	EQUAL	ROLES	_	->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
2.										->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
3.										->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
4.										->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
5.										->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
6.										->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.
7.	A WOM	AN'S	PLAC	E IS	IN	THE	HOME			->	SKIP	TO	P1.b/P1	c.

--> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	18	296	80	31	44
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	20	14	16	1	1287

V000754b Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

```
See P1 for complete text.
      FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
      either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
      For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and
      Pla.TE.
      1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
      2.
      3.
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
      4.
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
      5.
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/Plc.
      7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/Plc.
      8. DK
                                                  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)
                      1 2 3
                   0
               1323 276
                                          39
                                 62
                                                  60
        Count
                 5 6 7
----- ----
                                        8 9
-----
                                                 ____
                 18 9 13 6
                                                  1
         Count
______
V000755 Plax. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Plax.
      FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
      Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
      you thought much about this?
      FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
      Where would you place yourself on this scale?
      COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE
      Built from Pla and Pla.E.
      1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
      2.
      3.
      4.
      7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
      8. DK
      9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
      0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (Pla only)
                                 2
                        1
                                         3
                       ____
                                ----
                                        ----
```

Count	18	572	142	70	104
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	23	29	7	804

V000756a Pla.T. R equal role branch stan MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P1.T for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2). For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T

5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1b.T/P1c.T

8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in P1(1)

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

0 1 5 7 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ---- Count 19 328 18 14 3 1425

V000756b Pla.TE. R equal role branch ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pla.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

See P1.T for complete text.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2). For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T

5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Plal.T

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1b.T/P1c.T

8. DK --> P1b.T/P1c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1389	367	23	24	3	1

V000757 Plax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eql role br

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Plax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES

- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK

- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (Pla.T only)

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	19	695	41	38	6	1008

V000758 Pla1/a2.T. R strength equal roles

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/

IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women

should have equal roles?/

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T

```
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
```

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Plb.T/Plc.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in Pla.T; 7,8,9 or NA in Pla.TE

	0	1	5	8
Count	1071	680	55	1

V000759 Plalx1. 5-pt br summary R equal role

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pla1x1.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men

and women should have equal roles?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a

woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Plax.T and Pla1/a2.T

- 1. Strongly women equal role
- 2. Not strongly equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly place is in the home
- 5. Strongly place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	19	652	42	38
	4	5	8	9
Count	13	28	7	1008

V000760 Plalx2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pla1x2.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't

you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:

Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men

and women should have equal roles?

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a

woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plax and Pla1x1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

```
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
```

- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly equal role
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly place is in the home
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

	0	1	2	3
Count	37	1224	184	250
	4	5	8	9
Count	36	57	14	 5

V000761 Plb. Gore-equal role scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Plb.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 13)

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

FTF: Plb and Plc were administered in random order. For the telephone version of this question see Plb.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

```
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
2. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
3. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
4. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
5. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
6. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
```

8. DK --> SKIP TO Plc./P2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

Count 803 273 185	145	127
5 6 7	8	9
Count 36 24 19	193	2

V000762 P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

TELEPHONE: Plb.T and Plc.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see Plb.

```
1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Plb1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Plb1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
```

8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	552	40	19	187	2

V000763 P1b1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1b1/b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/ IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

- 1. STRONGLY --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T 5. NOT STRONGLY --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> PIC.1 OR --> PZ.1
- 8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in Plb.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1215	506	78	8

V000764 P1bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Plb.T and Plb1/b2.T

- 1. Strongly women equal role
- 2. Not strongly equal role

- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly place is in the home
- 5. Strongly place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	480	64	19
	4	5	8	9
Count	14	26	195	2

V000765 Plbx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore equal role MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1bx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plb and Plbx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly women equal role
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly equal role
- 3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly place is in the home
- 5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	753	249	327
	4	5	8	9
Count	38	45	388	4

V000766 Plc. Bush-equal role scale FTF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Plc.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 13)

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

FTF: Plb and Plc were administered in random order. For the telephone version of this question see Plc.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	WOMEN	AND	MEN	SHOUI	LD I	HAVE	EQUAL	ROLES	>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2
2.									>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2
3.									>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2
4.									>	SKIP	TO	Plc./P2
5.									>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2
6.									>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2
7.	A WOM	AN'S	PLAC	CE IS	IN	THE	HOME		>	SKIP	TO	P1c./P2

8. DK --> SKIP TO P1b./P2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	154	99	153	172
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	114	60	30	220	2

V000767 Plc.T. Bush-equal role scale phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P1 for complete text.

TELEPHONE: Plb.T and Plc.T were administered in random

For the FTF version of this question see Plc.

- 1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
- 5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK --> P1b.T OR --> P2.T

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	440	112	30	216	2

V000768 Plc1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P1c1/c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/ IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?/ Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T 5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T

8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T

- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in Plc.T

0 1 5 8 412 133 7 1255 Count

V000769 Plcx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Plc.T and Plc1/c2.T

- 1. Strongly women equal role
- 2. Not strongly equal role
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Not strongly place is in the home
- 5. Strongly place is in the home
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	344	90	30
	4	5	8	9
Count	43	68	223	2

V000770 P1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1cx2.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 13)

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Plc and Plcx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

```
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
```

3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither

4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home

5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	498	189	469
	4	5	8	9
Count	103	98	443	4

P2/P2.T.

Please look at page 14 of the booklet. Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.)

TELEPHONE:

Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business.

```
V000771
          P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
```

P2a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2 for complete text.

For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 2 .
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	192	172	149	159	167
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	76	48	36	5	803

V000772 P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See P2.T for complete text.

For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	243	337	132	73	11	1011

```
V000773 P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

P2a1.T.

IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

- 1. A LOT --> P2a3
 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2a3
- 8. DK --> P2a3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

0 1 5 ---- --- ----Count 1470 217 120

V000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a2.T.

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

- 1. WAY TOO MUCH
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1675 58 74

V000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2ax1.T.

TELEHPONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. Toughen regulations a little
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	243	217	120	73
	4	5	8	9
Count	74	 58	11	1011

V000776 P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEHPONE:

Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2a and P2ax1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual

purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA
- 0. Haven't thought much about it

	0	1	2	3
Count	435	389	269	475
	4	5	8	9
Count	122	94	16	7

V000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2aa.

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	129	223	648
	4	5	8	9
Count	563	219	21	1

V000778 P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2b.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.

P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS

NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
2. --> P2bb
3. --> P2bb
4. --> P2bb
5. --> P2bb
6. --> P2bb

7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS

8. DK --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	153	232	178	138
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	45	24	10	223	1

V000779 P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	483	71	19	225	2

V000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

- 1. A LOT --> P2bb 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
- 8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1324	331	151	1

V000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or

just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2bb 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb

8. DK --> P2c.T OR -->P3.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

V000782 P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul Numeric

P2bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. Toughen regulations a little
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	331	151	19
	4	5	8	9
Count	64	7	226	2

V000783 P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P2bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2b and P2bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	484	383	380
	4	5	8	9
Count	88	17	449	3

V000784 P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2bb.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	473	420	548	364	1	1

V000785 P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2c.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2 for complete text.

P2b and P2c were administered in random order.

For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO

	PROTECT	ENVIRONMENT	>	P2cc
2.			>	P2cc
3.			>	P2cc
4.			>	P2cc
5.			>	P2cc
6.			>	P2cc

7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS

8. DK --> P2b OR P3

--> P2cc

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	803	26	40	93	203

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	182	148	63	248	1

V000786 D2

P2c.T. Bush-envir regulation branch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See P2.T for complete text.

P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.

For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
- 5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
- 7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1007	159	355	43	241	2

V000787 P2c1.T. Bush-strength tougher regulation

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2c1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2cc 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1648 68 91

V000788 P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2cc 5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1452 136 216 3

V000789 P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

- 1. Toughen regulations a lot
- 2. Toughen regulations a little
- 3. Other/depends/neither
- 4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	1007	68	91	43
	4	5	8	9
Count	216	136	244	2

V000790 P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

P2cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

```
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
```

- 2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
- 3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
- 4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
- 5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	3	94	131	521
	4	5	8	9
Count	364	199	492	3

V000791 P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P2cc.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	541	255	570	440	1

V000792 P3. R expect to vote in Nov election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO P3c
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P3c

9. RF 0. NA

	0	1	5	8
Count	3	1547	230	27

V000793 P3a. Who will R vote for President

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P3a.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

- 1. AL GORE
- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3c
- 7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	260	740	604	5	41
	5	7	8	9	
Count	3	70	63	21	

V000794 P3b. Strength of preference for candidate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P3b.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

IF INTENDED PRESIDENTIAL VOTE IS NOT NONE OR DK/RF:

Would you say that your preference for [GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4

5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4

8. DK --> SKIP TO P4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----Count 347 1114 339 7

V000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P3c.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/

IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:

If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WOULD VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE

- 2. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 3. PAT BUCHANAN
- 4. RALPH NADER
- 5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
- 7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1547	89	104	2	4
	5	7	8	9	
Count	33	6	19	3	

V000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P3d.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/

IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:

IF R NAMES PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HE WOULD VOTE FOR IF VOTING:

Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED> is strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1602	105	97	3

V000797 P4. Which party will control the House MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P4.

The next couple of questions are about what you think will happen as a result of the upcoming elections. After the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. House of Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 5. DEMOCRATS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	3	815	604	384	1

V000798 P5. Which party will control the Senate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P5.

Again, after the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate, -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

- 1. REPUBLICANS
- 5. DEMOCRATS
- 8. DK

9. RF 0. NA 1 5 8 ----- -----3 814 557 430 Count V000799 P6. Interest in fair treatment in jobs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric P6. Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other? 1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION --> P6a 5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST --> P7 8. DK --> P7 9. RF 0. NA 1 5 ----------------3 1192 18 585 Count _____ V000800 P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtment in jobs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric P6a. IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS: How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business? 1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS 5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

1

-->P7

5

7

8

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P6

Count 615 625 519 46 1 1

V000801 P6al. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P6a1.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/

IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 663 951 192 1

V000802 P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business? Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6, P6a, P6a1.

- 1. Strongly govt should see to fair treatment
- 2. Not strongly govt should see to fair treatment
- 4. Not strongly not the government's business
- 5. Strongly not the government's business
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

	0	1	2	4
Count	588	524	100	92

	5	7	8	9
Count	427	46	20	10

preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

V000803 P7. Preferences for blacks in jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned.

What about your opinion -- are you for or against

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS

--> P7a

5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS

--> P7b

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected

8. DK --> section Q as randomly selected

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	4	262	1366	94	66	15

V000804 P7a. Strength for preference blks jobs

 $\mathtt{MD1}\colon \ \mathtt{EQ}\ \mathtt{0}\,,\ \mathtt{MD2}\colon \ \mathtt{GE}\ \mathtt{8}$

Numeric

P7a.

IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

	0	1	5	8
Count	1545	174	87	1

V000805 P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

- 1. STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

	0	1	5	8
Count	441	1021	342	3

V000806 P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7

Numeric

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 7. Other
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	5	7	8	9
Count	4	174	87	342
	0	1	2	4

Count 1021 94 70 15

.....

Q0.

Now I'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton

V000807 Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER

3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b

5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME $\ensuremath{\mathsf{-->}}$ SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	496	126	203	61	1

V000808 Qla. Deficit much/somewhat smaller

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1a.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2

5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1311 240 248 8

V000809 Qlb. Deficit much/somewhat larger

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

- 1. MUCH LARGER
- 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q1

0 1 5 8 ----- ----- ------Count 1681 44 78 4

V000810 Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

- 1. Much smaller
- 2. Somewhat smaller
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat larger
- 5. Much larger

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	240	248	203
	4	5	8	9
Count	78	44	73	1

V000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1 INCREASED
- 3 DECREASED
- 5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME

--> SKIP TO Q3

8 DK

--> SKIP TO Q3

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	339	158	275	114	1

V000812 Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q2a.

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

	0	1	5	8
Count	1310	130	362	5

V000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

A lot or somewhat?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Q2 and Q2a.

1. Increases a lot

- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	81	256	275
	4	5	8	9
Count	106	49	119	1

V000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected

for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

```
1. BETTER
```

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q3b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q4

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	633	59	185	10

V000815 Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Q4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

V000816 Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

03b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3

	0	1	5
Count	1748	22	37

V000817 Q3x. Summary economy since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

03x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	372	261	185
	4	5	8	
~ .				
Count	37	22	10	

V000818 Q4. Clinton made economy better/worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

04.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

```
1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
      3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE
                                     --> SKIP TO Q4b
     5. NO EFFECT
                                      --> SKIP TO Q5
     8. DK
                                      --> SKIP TO Q5
      9. RF
      O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
                 0
                         1 3 5
                                      -----
                _____
              920 502 41 321 23
        Count
V000819 Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Q4a.
  IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:
     Much better or somewhat better?
     1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
     8. DK
                          --> SKIP TO Q5
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4
                  0
                         1
                ---- ---- ----
1305 242 260
               1305
        Count
V000820 Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Q4b.
  IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:
     Much worse or somewhat worse?
     1. MUCH WORSE
     5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
     8. DK
     9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4
```

1 ----

15

0

Count 1766

5

26

V000821

 ${\tt Q4x.}$ Summary Clinton effect on US econ

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

1. Much better

- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	242	260	321
	4	5	8	
Count	26	15	23	

V000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED

```
8. DK
```

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	189	75	608	15

V000823 Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE

3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q6b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q7

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 920 215 261 379 32

V000824 Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

06a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q6

^{9.} RF

	0	1	5
Count	1592	71	144

V000825 Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

06b.

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1546 107 153 1

V000826 Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. Not changed very much
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 2 3 ---- --- ---- -----Count 920 71 144 379

	4	5	8
Count	153	107	33

V000827 Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE

3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	192	218	449	28

V000828 Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat more secure MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7

V000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat less secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q7b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

V000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	73	119	449
	4	5	8	
Count	119	99	28	

V000831 Q8. U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	920	327	276	260	24

V000832 Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat better

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1480 82 244 1

V000833 Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or smwhat worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8

	0	1	5
Count	1531	138	138

V000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	82	244	260
	4	5	8	
Count	138	138	25	

V000835 Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 920 234 72 543 38

V000836 Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1573 72 161 1

V000837 Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

V000838 Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	72	161	543
	4	5	8	
Count	36	36	39	

V000839 Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q11

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	87	470	314	15	1

V000840 Q10a.Moral climate much/smwhat better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

V000841 Q10b.Moral climate much/smwhat worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

	0	1	5	9
Count	1337	260	209	1

V000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	28	59	314
	4	5	8	9
Count	209	260	15	2

V000843 Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral

climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	920	65	373	425	21	3

V000844 Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

	0	1	5
Count	1742	23	42

V000845 Q11b.Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2000prepost/anes2000prepost_var.txt

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

	0	1	5
Count	1434	214	159

V000846 Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Hasn't made much difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	920	23	42	425
	4	5	8	9
Count	159	214	21	3

V000847 Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

ANGRY

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	617	297	2

V000848 Q14al. Angry- how oft Clinton affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1190	138	143	263	73

V000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	469	444	3

V000850 Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1338	90	135	203	41

V000851 Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8
Count	891	232	682	2

V000852 Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1575	40	55	96	41

V000853 Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

PROUD

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	891	367	544	4	1

V000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14d

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1440	80	101	150	35	1

V000855

Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is)'<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL

- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	34	111	293
	4	8	9	
Count	456	20	2	

V000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	128	316	280
	4	8	9	
Count	165	26	1	

V000857

Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15c/Q15c.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1 	2	3	4	8
Count	891	330	462	90	18	16

V000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15d/Q15d.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL

- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	891	207	374	213	106	16

V000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clitnon trait-dishonest

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	284	304	223
	4	8	9	
Count	 76	26	3	

V000860 Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15f/Q15f.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	891	376	412	88	30	10

V000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15g/Q15g.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

O. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	107	245	351
	4	8	9	
Count	183	29	1	

V000862 R1. How opinionated is R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1.

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions.

What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?

- 1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
- 2. MANY THINGS
- 3. SOME THINGS
- 4. VERY FEW THINGS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	348	633	693
	4	8	9	
Count	124	3	1	

V000863 R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Rla.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?

- 1. FEWER OPINIONS
- 3. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS
- 5. MORE OPINIONS

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	5	244	969	558	27	4

V000864 R1a1. How much more opinions than avg

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?

1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2

5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2

8. DK --> SKIP TO R2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in Rla

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1249 255 303

V000865 R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just somewhat fewer opinions?

- 1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
- 5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Rla

	0	1	5
Count	1563	58	186

V000866 Rlx. Summary degree R opinionated

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1x.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions? Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED

Built from Rla and Rla1/Rla2.

- 1. A lot fewer
- 2. Somewhat fewer
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat more
- 5. A lot more
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	 5	 58	186	 969
000110	J			202
	4	5 	8	9
Count	303	255	27	4

V000867 R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2.

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that.

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

- 1. LIKE
- 3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
- 5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	5	1068	243	485	6

V000868 R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

- 1. A LOT --> SKIP TO R3
 5. SOMEWHAT --> SKIP TO R3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 739 707 360 1

V000869 R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

- 1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
- 5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

V000870 R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2x.

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it? Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT

Built from R2 and R2a/b.

- 1. Like it a lot
- 2. Like it somewhat
- 3. Neither like it nor dislike it
- 4. Dislike it somewhat
- 5. Dislike it a lot
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	707	360	485
	4	5	8	
Count	169	74	7	

V000871 R3. Like simple or complex problems

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more complex problems.

Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or complex?

- 1. SIMPLE
- 5. COMPLEX
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	5	889	872	34	7

V000872 S1. Is religion important to R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S1.

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

- 1. IMPORTANT
- 5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	5	1373	426	1	2

V000873 S2. How much guidance from religion MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S2.

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

- 1. SOME
- 3. QUITE A BIT
- 5. A GREAT DEAL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	434	292	395	685	1

V000874 S3/S3.T. How often does R pray

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S3/S3.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 15 of the booklet. People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:

People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
- 2. ONCE A DAY
- 3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
- 4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
- 5. NEVER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	 5	567	402	312	284
	5	7	8	9	
Count	192	27	2	16	

V000875 S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S4/S4.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 15 of the booklet. Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:

Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

- 1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
- 2. ONCE A DAY
- 3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
- 4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
- 5. NEVER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	5	64	187	245	556

	5	7	8	9
Count	690	48	2	10

S5/S5.T. Bible is word of God or men MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S5/S5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 16 of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of statements. Please tell me which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose.

- 1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
- 2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
- 3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	5	600	869	247
	7	8	9	
Count	44	21	21	

V000877 X1. Attend religious services MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X1.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

1. YES --> SKIP TO X2

```
5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
```

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO X1a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	9
Count	5	1249	545	8

V000878 X1a. Part of a church or denomination MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Xla.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

- 1. YES --> X3
- 5. NO --> GO TO Y1
- 8. DK --> GO TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

	0	1	5
Count	1262	294	251

V000879 X2. Attend religious services how often MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

- 1. EVERY WEEK --> X2a
- 2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK --> X3
- 3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH --> X3
- 4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR --> X3
- 5. NEVER --> X3
- 8. DK --> X3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1

	0	1	2	3
Count	558	479	205	270
	4	5	8	9
Count	282	8	1	4

V000880 X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X2a.

IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week?

- 1. ONCE A WEEK
- 2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

V000881 X3. Attend church checkpoint

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

х3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

- 1. YES --> X3a 5. NO (5 in X1) --> X3b
- 9. RF (9 in X1)
- 0. INAP, 0 in X1

	0	1	5	9
Count	5	1240	554	8

V000882 X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

- 1. PROTESTANT --> X4
- 2. CATHOLIC --> X7
- 3. JEWISH --> X6a
- 7. OTHER --> X4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

	0	1	2	3
Count	567	543	359	28
	7	8	9	
Count	303	2	5	

V000883 X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR **DENOMINATION:**

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

- 1. PROTESTANT --> X4
- 2. CATHOLIC --> SKIP TO X7
 3. JEWISH --> SKIP TO X6b
- 7. OTHER --> X4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

	0	1	2	3
Count	1504	123	100	 15
	7	8	9	

Count 62 1 2

V000884 X4. Denomination/other specify MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95

Numeric

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/ IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

1.	BAPTIST					>	SKIP	TO	X4b
2.	EPISCOPALIA	N/ANGLIC	AN/CHURCH	I OF	ENGLAND	>	SKIP	TO	X7
3.	LUTHERAN					>	SKIP	TO	X4c
4.	METHODIST					>	SKIP	TO	X4d
5.	JUST PROTEST	TANT				>	SKIP	TO	X7
6.	PRESBYTERIA	N.				>	SKIP	TO	X4e
7.	REFORMED					>	SKIP	TO	X4f
8.	BRETHREN					>	SKIP	TO	X4g
9.	EVANGELICAL	UNITED	BRETHREN			>	SKIP	TO	X7
10.	CHRISTIAN OF	R JUST C	HRISTIAN			>	SKIP	TO	X4h
11.	CHRISTIAN SO	CIENTIST				>	SKIP	TO	X7
12.	CHURCH (or (CHURCHES) OF CHRI	IST		>	SKIP	TO	X4i
13.	UNITED CHURC	CH OF CH	RIST			>	SKIP	TO	x7
14.	DISCIPLES OF	F CHRIST				>	SKIP	TO	X7
15.	CHURCH OF GO	OD				>	SKIP	TO	X4j
16.	ASSEMBLY OF	GOD				>	SKIP	TO	X7
17.	CONGREGATION	NALIST				>	SKIP	TO	X7
18.	HOLINESS					>	SKIP	TO	X4k
19.	PENTACOSTAL					>	SKIP	ТО	X4k
20.	FRIENDS, QUA	AKER				>	SKIP	ТО	X7
21.	ORTHODOX, e	.g. Gree	k, Russia	an (S	PECIFY);C	>	SKIP	ТО	X7
22.	NON-DENOMINA	ATIONAL	- PROTEST	TANT		>	SKIP	ТО	X7
23.	MORMONS					>	SKIP	ТО	X7
24.	JEHOVAH'S W	ITNESSES				>	SKIP	ТО	x7
25.	LATTER DAY S	SAINTS				>	SKIP	ТО	x7
26.	UNITARIAN/UN	NIVERSAL	IST			>	SKIP	ТО	x7
27.	BUDDHIST					>	SKIP	ТО	X7
28.	HINDU					>	SKIP	ТО	X7
29.	MUSLIM/ISLAM	M				>	SKIP	ТО	x7
30.	NATIVE AMER	ICAN				>	SKIP	ТО	x7
95.	NA								
98.	DK					>	SKIP	ТО	x7
99.	RF								
	INAP, 9,0 in	n X1; 5,	8,9 or NA	A in	X1a; 2,3,8	3,9	or NA	in	X3a/b
	OTHER (SPEC		-,-		, - , -		SKIP		
	(2220	,						-	
	()	1	2	3		4		5
								-	
(Count 776	5 3	07	32	101	1	L11		3
		_							
	6	5	7	8	9		10		11

Count	75	2	1	5	63	3
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	17	11	6	12	15	16
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	5	39	4	5	52	12
	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	10	18	10	4	1	4
	30	90				
Count	2	85				

V000885 X4(1). (blanked) denomination other Character

X4(1).

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
 OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

V000886 X4a. Baptist group

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X4a.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

- 1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION
- --> X7
- 2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --> X7
- 3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION --> X7
- 4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST --> X7

```
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
                                       --> X7
      8. DK
                                       --> X7
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4
                  0
                       1
                _____
               1500 160
                                 19
        Count
                                         18
                       7
                ----
                        ----
                                ____
              49 44 17
        Count
V000887 X4b. Independent Baptist group
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  X4b.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:
  IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:
      Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
      this strictly a local church?
      1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY] --> X7
      2. LOCAL
      8. DK
                                       --> X7
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a
                  0 1
        Count 1758 3 46
_____
V000888
       X4c. Lutheran group
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  X4c.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:
      Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in
      American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran
      group?
```

1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH --> X7 2. MISSOURI SYNOD --> X7

7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4

0 1 2 7 8 1706 52 27 15 Count

V000889 X4d. Methodist group

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist

1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH

2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7

7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

1 2 7 8 ----- ---- ----0 Count 1696 96 8 5

V000890 X4e. Presbyterian group

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

```
1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY
        UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
     7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
                                    --> X7
     8. DK
                                     --> X7
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4
                             7
                 0
                         1
                       ____
              1732 63 10
        Count
V000891 X4f. Reformed group
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  X4f.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:
     Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed
     Church in America or some Other Reformed group?
     1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH
                                      --> X7
      2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA
     7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
                                      --> X7
     8. DK
                                      --> X7
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4
                 0 1
                ----
        Count 1805 2
V000892 X4q. Brethren group
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  X4q.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:
     Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth
     Brethren, or what?
      ______
     1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN
                                    --> X7
      2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN
                                      --> X7
```

7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7 8. DK --> X7 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4 0 ----1806 Count 1 V000893 X4h. Christian group MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric X4h. IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4: When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"? ______ 1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> X7 2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7 8. DK --> X7 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4 0 1 2 7 8 Count 1744 12 37 12 V000894 X4i. Church of Christ group MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric X4i. IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ? ______ --> X7 1. CHURCH OF CHRIST 2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7

8. DK --> X7 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4 Count 1790 16 V000895 X4j. Church of God group MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric X4j. IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God? 1. ANDERSON, INDIANA --> X7 2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE --> X7 3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST --> X7 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7 8. DK --> X7 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4 0 1 2 3 ____ Count 1795 4 3 1 V000896 X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal Character X4k. IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINIESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is the church called?

[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)

```
AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
      This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
      hand-coded into the religion summary variable.
V000897 X4a-j. (blanked) other
         Character
  X4a-j.
  IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:
      TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:
      This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
      hand-coded into the religion summary variable.
_____
V000898 X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
         Character
  X4m.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:
      What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a
      denomination?
      [USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
      This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
      hand coded into the religion summary variable.
V000899 X4ml. Is other group christian
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  X4m1.
  IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
    OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:
  IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:
      Is that group Christian?
      ______
      1. YES
                           --> X7
      5. NO
                           --> X7
      8. DK
                           --> X7
      9. RF
```

0 1 5

8

0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

```
-----
                     77 7
       Count 1722
V000900 X6a. Attend Jewish group
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Хба.
  IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:
     Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is
     Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?
     _____
     1. ORTHODOX`
                       --> X7
     2. CONSERVATIVE
                       --> X7
     3. REFORM
                        --> X7
     7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
                        --> X7
     8. DK
                        --> X7
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a
                           2 3
                             ----
              1779 6 11 11
       Count
V000901 X6b. Jewish denomination
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  X6b.
  IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
    CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:
     Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,
     or what?
                         --> X7
     1. ORTHODOX
     2. CONSERVATIVE
                         --> X7
     3. REFORM
     7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
                         --> X7
     8. DK
     9. RF
```

Count

0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b

2

1792 2

3

9 4

V000902 X7. Member place of worship MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X7.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation, temple or other place of worship?

- 1. YES --> X8 or Y1 5. NO --> X8 or Y1
- 8. DK --> X8 or Y1
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	264	1030	509	2	2

V000903 X8. Born-again christian MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b; 5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	336	594	865	8	4

V000904 X9x. Religion summary

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995

Numeric

X9x.

or what?

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else / Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else? JEWISH:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/ Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform,

PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:

What church or denomination is that?

IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?

IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?

IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group? IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?'

IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?

IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?

IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church

part of a denomination?

IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this

summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does not attend religious services)

V000905 Y1(1). Month of birth

MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Y1(1).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH

01-12

98. DK

99. RF; NA

	1	2	3	4	5
Court	164	100	1.50	142	1.42
Count	164	123	159	143	143
	6	7	8	9	10
Count	133	174	147	156	124
	11	12	98	99	
Count	146	163	1	31	

V000906 Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)

Numeric

Y1(2).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

DAY (BLANKED)

This variable is blanked.

V000907 Y1(3). Year of birth

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9998

Numeric

Y1(3).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR

9998. DK 9999. RF 0000. NA

V000908 Y1x. Respondent age

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Ylx.

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and: 97. 97 and older

00. NA

	0	18	19	20	21	22
Count	9	12	16	23	23	23
	23	24	25	26	27	28
Count	23	26	26	28	28	29
	29	30	31	32	33	34
Count	22	34	30	36	33	40
	35	36	37	38	39	40
Count	41	45	48	40	44	46
	41	42	43	44	45	46
Count	42	48	37	41	34	35
	47	48	49	50	51	52
Count	38	36	32	39	35	26
	53	54	55	56	57	58

Count	31	30	26	36	40	33
	59	60	61	62	63	64
Count	25	21	20	17	29	16
	65	66	67	68	69	70
Count	24	17	14	17	18	17
	71	72	73	74	75	76
Count	11	16	22	17	17	14
	77	78	79	80	81	82
Count	9	12	13	10	9	15
	83	84	85	86	87	88
Count	6	9	5	1	2	6
	89	90	91	92	93	95
Count	5	2	1	1	2	1
	96	97				
Count	1	1				

V000909 Y2. Marital status MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y2.

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212, 1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

- 1. MARRIED
- 2. WIDOWED
- 3. DIVORCED
- 4. SEPARATED
- 5. NEVER MARRIED
- 6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	5	935	168	238	55
	5	6	8	9	
Count	348	49	1	8	

V000910 Y3. Highest grade completed

MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Y3.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?

00-12 YEARS

13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

98. DK

99. RF; NA

	0	2	4	5	6	7
Count	3	3	5	2	14	12
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	30	26	52	66	483	181
	14	15	16	17	99	
Count	240	106	316	259	9	

V000911 Y3a. Diploma/GED

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

	0	1	5	9
Count	1107	519	180	1

V000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GREADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

- 01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
- 02. MASTER'S DEGREE
- 03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
- 04. LLB, JD
- 05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
- 06. JDC, STD, THD
- 07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
- 96. NO DEGREE EARNED
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	705	373	139	27	7
	5	6	7	96	99
Count	8	2	168	377	1

V000913 Y3x. R educ summary

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]

- 2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
- High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3, 1 in Y3a]
- 4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
- 5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
- 6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
- 7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]
- 8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
- 9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

	1	2	3	4
Count	64	116	519	377
	5	6	7	9
Count	168	373	183	 7

V000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

00-12 YEARS

13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b 17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b

98. DK

99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

	0	3	4	5	6	7
Count	2	2	2	2	2	3
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	21	12	23	23	285	73
	14	15	16	17	98	99
Count	141	39	205	140	9	823

V000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y5
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y5

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

0 1 5 ____ ----Count 1421 297 82

V000916 Y4b. Partner highest degree MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE

02. MASTER'S DEGREE

03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD

04. LLB, JD

05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO

06. JDC, STD, THD

07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)

96. NO DEGREE EARNED

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1209	218	88	17	1	10
	6	7	96	98	99	
Count	1	 85	174	2	2	

V000917 Y4x. Sp educ. Summary

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Y4x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed? Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.

Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2 here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

- 1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- 2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
- High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4, 1 in Y4a]
- 4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
- 5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
- 6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
- 7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
- 8. DK [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
- 9. NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	29	56	297	174	85
	6	7	8	9	
Count	218	117	6	825	

Y6.

Occupation/employment variables represent 5 series of questions. The question series initially assigned to R in Y6(1) is determined by R's self-identification of employment status. The series occupation data are:

"UNEMPLOYED" - past occupation
"RETIRED" - past occupation
"DISABLED" - past occupation
"HOMEMAKER" (nonworking) - past occupation
"STUDENT" (nonworking) - past occupation
"WORKING NOW" - current occupation

"TEMPORARILY LAID OFF" - current occupation

Note: The final employment status summaries Y6(2)-Y6(3) are built using both R's initial self-identification per Y6(1) and additional information provided by R during questioning.

The retired who are also currently working have data in both the RETIRED and WORKING NOW series; the disabled may have data in both DISABLED and WORKING NOW series. For homemaker and student Rs, the 1st question in the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series asks whether R is currently working; if so, R is immediately transferred out of that series to the WORKING NOW series (the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series not completed).

Since many questions are asked across multiple series, data for common questions across series are "stacked" into variables V000979-V000989; for these stacked variables the following data are included:

PAST employment of all retirees [15,50,51 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of the unemployed [40 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of nonworking disabled [60 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of working disabled [16,61 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of Rs working now only [10,20 in
Y6(1)] or working homemaker/student [17,18,71,81
in Y6(2)]

NO data for nonworking homemakers/students [70,75,80 in Y6(1)]

V000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

See notes Y6.

This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's self-identification of employment status.

By contrast, the Y6(2) and Y6(3) employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).

Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:

RET ONLY or (RET and any others) -->retired series

HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) -->hmkr/st series

DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO) -->disabled series

WN/TLO ONLY -->wrkg now series

Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series

appears last in codebook variables:

- V000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- V000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- V000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- V000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- V000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]
- 1. Assigned WORKING NOW series working now (Y7-Y7j)
- 2. Assigned WORKING NOW series temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
- 3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
- 4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
- 5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
- 6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
- 7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	7	1035	17	59
	4	5	6	7
Count	336	74	183	96

V000919 Y6(2). 2 digit employment status MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Y6(2).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

See notes Y6.

Built using Y5, Y12g, Y14g, Y15, Y7e.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status as categorized AFTER all information has been collected. Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18 although number of hours worked (V000974) was coded as DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated that R worked only a few hours a week.

- 10. WORKING NOW only
- 15. WORKING NOW and retired (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 16. WORKING NOW and permanently disabled, currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 17. WORKING NOW and homemaker (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
- 18. WORKING NOW and student (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week

- 20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
- 40. UNEMPLOYED
- 50. RETIRED, no other occupation
- 51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
- 61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
- 71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
- 75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
- 80. STUDENT, no other occupation
- 81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week

00. NA

	0	10	15	16	17	18
Count	7	1035	18	2	55	51
	20	40	50 	51 	60 	70
Count	17	59	300	18	72	114
	71	75 	80	81		
Count	14	2	25	18		

V000920 Y6(3). 1 digit employment status

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Y6(3).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY

Built from Y6(2)

- 1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
- 2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
- 4. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
- 5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [50,51 in Y6(2)]
- 6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
- 7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students--70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
- 8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week

```
([80,81 in Y6(2)]
```

0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	7	1161	17	59
	5	6	7	8
Count	318	72	130	43

V000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

1. YES

5. NO --> Y10g

8. DK --> Y10g

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

V000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95

Numeric

Y10a(1).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	7	9	13
Count	1749	6	3	2	3	2
	18	20	22	24	32	33
Count	1	9	1	1	2	3
	35	36	37	42	48	54
Count	2	6	3	3	1	1
	61	63	65	66	69	70
Count	1	4	1	1	1	1

V000922a Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995

Numeric

Y10a(2).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000923 Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 Numeric

Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

- Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
- Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
- Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
- 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
- Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
- 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
- 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
- Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
- Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
- Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
- 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
- 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
- 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
- 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	4	5	7
Count	 1749	9	7	10	7	2
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	9	3	2	5	2	2

V000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
          Numeric
  Y10a(4).
  IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
      R employment section.
      Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
      from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
      Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
      Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
      Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
      December 1991.
      Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to this data.
      99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)
      00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
V000925 Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
          Numeric
  Y10b.
  IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of business or industry was that?
      R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
      R employment section.
      See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
      Codes 10-932 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
V000926 Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

```
Numeric
```

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

- 1. SOMEONE ELSE
- 3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
- 5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y10e
- 8. DK --> Y10e
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

V000927 Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

- IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
- IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

V000928 Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

```
Have you had a job in the last six months?
     1. YES
     5. NO
                 --> Y10g
     8. DK
                 --> Y10g
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9
                0
                    1
                            5
                      ____
               1749
                      28
                           30
        Count
_____
        Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
V000929
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
        Numeric
  Y10f.
  IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
  IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:
     About how many hours did you work on your job in the
     average week?
     ______
     1-168
     996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e
     998. DK
     999. RF
     000. NA
Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now
V000930
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y10g.
  IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
     Are you looking for work at the present time?
     1. YES
     5. NO --> Y25
     8. DK --> Y25
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
                0 1
                            5
```

36

1748

Count

```
V000931 Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y10h.
  IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
  IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:
      How worried are you about not being able to find a job
      in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
      1. A LOT
                       --> Y25
                      --> Y25
      3. SOMEWHAT
      5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
      8. DK
                       --> Y25
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g
                              3 5
                 0 1
                        ----
                1771 8 11
                                    17
V000932 Y11(1). Retired: month of retirement
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
         Numeric
  Y11(1).
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
      When did you retire?
      MONTH
      MONTH:
      01. JANUARY
      02. FEBRUARY
      03. MARCH
      04. APRIL
      05. MAY
      06. JUNE
      07. JULY
      08. AUGUST
      09. SEPTEMBER
      10. OCTOBER
      11. NOVEMBER
      12. DECEMBER
      96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
      98. DK
      99. RF
```

00		NA
UU	٠	INΑ

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	53	15	22	47	16
	6	7	8	9	10
Count	42	27	25	19	17
	11	12	96	98	
Count	6	30	1471	17	

V000933 Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996

Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR

9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

9998. DK

9999. RF

0000. NA

V000934 Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95

Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

```
00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
V000934a Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
          Numeric
  Y12a(2).
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
      ______
      See the OCCUPATION master code.
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
      R employment section.
      The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
      been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
      Codes 1-905 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
V000935
         Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
         Numeric
  Y12a(3).
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
      Collapsed from Y10a(2).
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
      R employment section.
      1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
          categories 001-039)
      2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
      3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
          categories 200-235)
```

- 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
- 5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
- 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
- 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
- Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
- Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
- 10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
- 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
- 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
- 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
- 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1471	 59	 58	9	27	 54
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	3	8	34	4	31	24
	12	13	14	95		
Count	14	5	2	4		

V000936 Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y12a(4).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,

```
December 1991.
      Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to this data.
      99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)
      00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
V000937 Y12b. R ret: industry code
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
         Numeric
  Y12b.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
      What kind of business or industry was that?
      R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
      R employment section.
      See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
      Codes 10-932 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
V000938 Y12c. R ret: self employed
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y12c.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
      Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
      1. SOMEONE ELSE
      3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
      5. SELF-EMPLOYED
                                  --> Y12e
      8. DK
                                  --> Y12e
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
                       1
                  0
                               3 5
                       ----
                                ----
                                        ----
               1471
                        281
                                  9
                                         45
        Count
```

```
V000939 Y12d. R ret: employed by govt
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
  Y12d.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
  IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
    Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or
     ______
    1. YES
    5. NO
    8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c
             0 1 5
                                 8
            1517 87 202
       Count
V000940 Y12e. R ret: work last 6 months
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
  Y12e.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
    Have you had a job in the last six months?
     ______
    1. YES
    5. NO --> Y12g
    8. DK --> Y12g
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
                     1
               0
            1471 46 290
       Count
V000941 Y12f. R ret: hours/wk work 6 months
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
       Numeric
 Y12f.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
  IF HAS HAD A JOB IN LAST 6 MOS:
```

```
About how many hours did you work on your job in the
     average week?
     1-168
     996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
     998. DK
     999. RF
     000. NA
V000942 Y12g. R ret: working for pay
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y12q.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
     Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
     1. YES
                --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
     5. NO
     8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
                       1
               -----
              1471
                      36
                             300
       Count
V000943 Y12h. R ret: looking for work now
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y12h.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
     Are you looking for work at the present time?
     ______
     1. YES
     5. NO
                --> Y25
     8. DK
                --> Y25
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g
                0 1
              ----
             1507 8
       Count
                             292
```

```
V000944 Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y12j.
  IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:
     How worried are you about not being able to find a job
     in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
     1. A LOT
                     --> Y25
     3. SOMEWHAT
                     --> Y25
     5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
     8. DK
                      --> Y25
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h
                0 5
               ____
              1799 8
        Count
V000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y13.
  IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
     Have you ever done any work for pay?
     1. YES
            --> Y14h
     5. NO
     8. DK
                  --> Y14h
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
                 0
                        1
                      ----
              1733 68
_____
       Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
        Numeric
  Y14a(1).
```

```
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
      See the OCCUPATION master code.
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
      R employment section.
      The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
      been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      respondents' anonymity.
      95. NA
      98. DK
      99. RF
      00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13
_____
V000946a Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
          Numeric
  Y14a(2).
  IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
      See the OCCUPATION master code.
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
      R employment section.
      The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
      been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
      Codes 1-905 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13
Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
          Numeric
```

Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED: IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

- Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
- Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
- Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
- 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
- Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
- 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
- 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
- 8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
- 9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
- 10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
 (Census categories 500-699)
- 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
- 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
- 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
- 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	4	5
Count	1739	7	5	5	7
	6 	7	8	9	10
Count	1	1	21	1	6
	11	12	13	95	
Count	3	5	5	1	

```
V000948
         Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
         Numeric
  Y14a(4).
  IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
      _____
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
      R employment section.
      Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
      from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
      Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
      Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
      Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
      December 1991.
      Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to this data.
      99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)
      00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
V000949 Y14b. R dis: industry code
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
         Numeric
  IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
      What kind of business or industry was that?
      R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
      R employment section.
      See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
      Codes 10-932 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13
V000950 Y14c. R dis: self employed
```

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

- 1. SOMEONE ELSE
- 3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
- 5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y14e
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

	0	1	3	5
Count	1739	57	3	8

V000951 Y14d. R dis: work for govt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

- IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
- IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c

	0	1	5	8
Count	1747	11	47	2

V000952 Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y14g
- 8. DK --> Y14g
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

	0	1	5
Count	1739	6	62

V000953 Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

Y14f.

- IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
- IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
- IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e

998. DK

999. RF

000. NA

V000954 Y14g. R dis: working for pay now MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14q.

- IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
- IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
- IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
- 5. NO
- 8. DK

```
9. RF
```

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e

V000955 Y14h. R dis: looking for work now MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y14h.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

- IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
- IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
- IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y25
- 8. DK --> Y25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

V000956 Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y14j.

- IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
- IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
- IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
- IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
- IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A LOT --> Y25
 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
- 8. DK --> Y25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h

	0	1	3	5
Count	1801	4	1	1

V000957 Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)

V000958 Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y16a.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED: IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y16h
- 8. DK --> Y16h
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

V000959 Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 Numeric

Y16a(1).

```
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
       (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
      See the OCCUPATION master code.
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
      R employment section.
      The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
      been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      respondents' anonymity.
      95. NA
      98. DK
       99. RF
      00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
           in Y16a
------
V000959a
          Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
          Numeric
  Y16a(2).
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
       (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
      See the OCCUPATION master code.
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(la), at end of
      R employment section.
      The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
      been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
      Codes 1-905 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
       999. RF
       000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
           in Y16a
```

V000960 Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95 Numeric

Y16a(3).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job (What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

- Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
- 2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
- Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
- 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
- Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
- 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
- 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
- Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
- 9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
- Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
- 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
- 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
- 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
- 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1772	2	5	1	5	7
	6	7	8	12	13	95
Count	1	2	7	2	2	1

```
Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
          Numeric
  Y16a(4).
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
      What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
      (What was your occupation?)
      What were your most important duties or activities?
      R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
      R employment section.
      Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
      from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
      Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
      Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
      Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
      December 1991.
      Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
      respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
      information about a procedure by which it is possible to
      gain access to this data.
      99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
      00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
V000962 Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
          Numeric
  Y16b.
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
      What kind of business or industry was that?
      R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
      R employment section.
      See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
      Codes 10-932 and:
      995. NA
```

998. DK 999. RF 000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

V000963 Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

- IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
- IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

wnat? ------

- 1. SOMEONE ELSE
- 3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
- 5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f
- 8. DK --> Y16f
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a

V000964 Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16d.

- IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
- IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
- IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
- IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

```
Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
V000965
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
        Numeric
  Y16f.
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
     About how many hours did you work on your job in the
     average week?
     _____
     1-168
     996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
     998. DK
     999. RF
     000. NA
V000966 Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y16h.
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
     Are you looking for work at the present time?
     ______
     1. YES
     5. NO
                   --> Y25
     8. DK
                   --> Y25
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16
                     1
                 0
                             5
                       ____
                       19
        Count
              1666
                              122
_____
V000967 Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y16j.
  IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
  IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
  IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:
     How worried are you about not being able to find a job
     in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
     all?
```

1. A LOT --> Y25 3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25

5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25

8. DK --> Y25

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16h

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1788 8 7 4

V000968 Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95

Numeric

Y7a(1).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)

What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA

98. DK

99. RF

00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	579	125	47	34	33	8
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	10	50	20	76	4	25
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	5	30	16	13	4	24
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	71	24	50	1	14	2

	24	25	26	27	29	30
Count	35	14	6	28	2	7
	31	32	33	34	35	36
Count	11	26	24	4	21	40
	37	38	39	40	42	43
Count	18	29	30	5	8	2
	44	45	46	47	48	50
Count	16	16	5	16	37	13
	51	52	53	54	56	57
Count	1	1	5	1	3	3
	58	59	60	61	62	63
Count	2	1	2	3	12	8
	64	65	66	67	68	69
Count	7	31	1	8	5	10
	70	71	95			
Count	14	 5	6			

V000968a Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995

Numeric

Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)

What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.

The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

```
Codes 1-905 and:
      995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
           [40,50,60,70,75,80 \text{ or } 0 \text{ in } Y6(2)]
V000969
         Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
          Numeric
  Y7a(3).
  IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
  IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
      What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
      What are your most important activities or duties?
      R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
      Collapsed from Y10a(2).
      The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
      R employment section.
      1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
          categories 001-039)
      2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
          040-199)
      3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
          categories 200-235)
      4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
      5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
          categories 286-389)
      6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
      7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
      8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
          categories 428-469)
      9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
          categories 470-499)
      10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
         (Census categories 500-699)
      11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
          categories 700-799)
      12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
          categories 800-859)
      13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers
         (Census categories 860-890)
      14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
      95. NA
      98. DK
      99. RF
      00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
                  0 1 2 3 4
                                   ----
                                           ----
                                                    ----
```

Count	579	172	295	57	146	169
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	4	21	117	15	114	38
	12	13	14	95		
Count	40	29	5	6		

Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y7a(4).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you

What are your most important activities or duties?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)

00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000971 Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995

Numeric

Y7b.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

```
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
     R employment section.
     See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.
     Codes 10-932 and:
     995. NA
     998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
          [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]
V000972 Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y7c.
  IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
  IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
     Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or
     what?
      ______
     1. SOMEONE ELSE
      3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
     5. SELF-EMPLOYED
                               --> Y7e
     8. DK
                                 --> Y7e
     9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
                 0
                                3 5
                                                9
                         1
                       -----
                ____
                                      ----
                                              ----
               579 1041 28 158
        Count
_____
        Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
V000973
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y7d.
  IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
  IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
  IF NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:
     Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
     1. YES
```

5. NO

8. DK 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	738	211	853	4	1

V000974 Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

Y7e.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

998. DK

999. RF

000. NA

V000975 Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y7f.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/REF:

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?

- 1. MORE
- 3. FEWER
- 5. ABOUT RIGHT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 586 363 86 772

V000976 Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y7g.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A LOT
- 3. SOMEWHAT
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	579	78	153	995	2

V000977 Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y7h.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

V000978 Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Υ7ј.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/

IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time

```
for reasons other than illness or personal choice?
      ______
      1. YES
      5. NO
      8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
                  0
                       1
                         ----
                 ____
                 597
                         97 1113
         Count
_____
V000979 Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
          Numeric
  Y17(1).
  IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
  IF R IS RETIRED:/
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
  IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
      What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
      do/did you do?
      STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
      See notes Y6.
      Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
      This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
      nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
      employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
      The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
      currently working have their current occupation from
      Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
      working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
      rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
      and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
      unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
      The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable
      has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
      Respondents' anonymity.
      See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.
      Codes 01-71 and:
      95. NA
      98. DK
      99. RF
      00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
                           1
                                   2
                                           3
                                                    4
                                 ----
                                         ____
                                                 ____
                         ----
```

Count	155	177	62	43	35	9
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	11	63	22	100	7	30
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	6	31	20	13	5	27
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	85	27	72	1	21	3
	24	25	26	27	29	30
Count	48	19	8	38	2	9
	31	32	33	34	35	36
Count	15	31	37	8	31	65
	37	38	39	40	41	42
Count	31	44	38	9	1	10
	43	44	45	46	47	48
Count	2	18	22	7	20	45
	49	50	51	52	53	54
Count	1	20	1	4	5	2
	56	57	58	59	60	61
Count	3	5	3	1	5	6
	62	63	64	65	66	67
Count	21	17	11	37	4	19
	68	69	70	71	95	
Count	8	15	18	7	11	

V000979a Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked) MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 Numeric

Y17(1a).

```
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
```

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work

IF R IS RETIRED:/

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /

IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

```
do/did you do?
      What are/were your most important activities or duties?
      STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
       See notes Y6.
      Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).
      This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
      nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
      employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
      The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
       currently working have their current occupation from
       Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
      working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
      rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
      and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
      unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
      This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.
      Codes 1-905 and:
       995. NA
       998. DK
       999. RF
       000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
V000980 Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
          Numeric
  Y17(2).
  IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
  IF R IS RETIRED:/
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
  IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
      What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
      do/did you do?
      What are/were your most important activities or duties?
      STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
      See notes Y6.
      Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).
      This variable combines employment data for all Rs except
      nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for
      employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
      The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
       currently working have their current occupation from
      Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently
      working have past occupation from Y12a coded here
      rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled
      and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is
      unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
```

- 1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
- Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
- Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
- 4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
- Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
- 6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
- 7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
- 8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
- Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
- 10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
- 11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
- 12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
- 13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
- 14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
- 95. NA
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	 155	239	 357	 65	185	231
Count	133	239	337	0.5	100	231
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	8	31	178	22	148	69
	12	13	14	95		
~ .		4.1				
Count	60	41	7	11		

V000981 Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y17(3).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:

- IF R IS RETIRED:/
- IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
- IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?

What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)

```
See notes Y6.
```

Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4). This variable represents employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are not used). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a represented here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.

```
98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
```

V000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 Numeric

Y17(4).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:

IF R IS RETIRED:/

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /

IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed? What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.

This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:

```
995. NA
      998. DK
      999. RF
      000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
V000983
       Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
  Y17(5).
  IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
  IF R IS RETIRED:/
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
  IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
      Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you
      self-employed, or what?
      STACKED - WORK FOR SELF
      See notes Y6.
      Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.
      This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking
      homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment
      in the past 6 months are omitted).
      The disabled and homemakers or students who are also
      currently working have description of their current occupation
      from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently
      working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded
      here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.
      If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past,
      or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
      1. SOMEONE ELSE
      3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
      5. SELF-EMPLOYED
      8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13
                                   3
                                           5
                                                    8
                   Ο
                            1
                                                             9
                          ---- ---- 1403 44 203 1
                  ____
                                                            ----
         Count
                  155
V000984 Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
  Y17(6).
  IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:
  IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
  IF R IS RETIRED: /
```

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: / IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.

This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).

The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7d. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	360	312	1127	7	1

V000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :

IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /

IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK

See notes Y6.

Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.

This variable combines data for all Rs currently working or who have worked within the past six months:

- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],

- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f]. Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job bur rather an interim position. 1-168 996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e 998. DK 999. RF 000. NA _____ V000986 Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric Y17(8). IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/: IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: / IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/ IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: How worried are you about losing your job/ not being able to find a job in the near future: A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL? STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB ______ See notes Y6. Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j. This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently: - Employed or temp. laid off [Y7q], (including working retired and working disabled) - Unemployed [Y10h], - Retired and not working [Y12j], - Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j]. Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used]. 1. A LOT 3. SOMEWHAT 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL 8. DK 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h

```
90
        Count
               529
                               165
                                      1021
_____
V000987 Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y17(9).
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
  IF R IS RETIRED/
  IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
     Have you had a job in the past 6 months?
      STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS
     See notes Y6.
     Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.
     This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
     - Unemployed [Y10e],
      - Retired [Y12e],
     - Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked [Y14e]
     NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a
     are NOT included.
     8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;
        5,8,9,NA in Y13
                0 1 5
                       ----
               1345
                        80 382
        Count
V000988 Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  Y17(10).
  IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
  IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:
     Are you looking for work at the present time?
      STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK
      ______
      See notes Y6.
     Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.
      This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
```

1 3 5

0

```
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],
     - Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently
       working [Y14h].
     NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h
     are NOT included.
     1. YES
     5. NO
     8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);
        1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g
                  0
                        1
               _____
        Count
              1376
                       50
                              381
_____
V000989 Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y17(11).
  IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
  IF R IS DISABLED:
     Have you ever done any work for pay?
     STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY
     _____
     See notes Y4.
     Built from Y9 and Y13.
     1. YES
     5. NO
     8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 10,15,17,18,20,50,51,70,71,75,80,81,0 in Y6(2)
                        1
                              5
                0
               · ----
        Count
              1674
                       126
V000990 Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Y25.
     Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a
     labor union?
```

- Unemployed [Y10g],

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO Y26

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y26

9. RF

0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	250	1545	5	1

V000991a Y25al. Who belongs to union #1

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 1

- 1. RESPONDENT
- 2. R'S WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
- 3. R'S HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
- 4. SOMEONE ELSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	1557	150	30	49	19	2

V000991b Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

- 1. RESPONDENT
- 2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
- 3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
- 4. SOMEONE ELSE

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

	0	2	3	4
Count	1789	6	8	4

V000991c Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y25a3.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 3

- 1. RESPONDENT
- 2. R'S WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
- 3. R'S HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
- 4. SOMEONE ELSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

0 4 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V000992 Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Y26.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:

IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers. In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked. For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: V000992 recoded to 5; V000993 [HH income] and V000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; V000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in V000996; V000996 recoded to 96 Inap.

- 1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
- 5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+

9. NA

V000993 Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+ MD1: EO 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

Please look at page 18 of the booklet. Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the. total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

- A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- B. \$5,000-\$9,999 2.
- C. \$10,000-\$14,999 3.
- D. \$15,000-\$24,999 4.
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
- 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over

96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26

98. DK

99. Z. REFUSED

00. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	 67	10	26	48	100	134
Courre						
	6 	7	8	9	10	11
Count	167	164	109	90	56	43
	12 	13 	14	15 	16 	17
Count	35	23	13	12	12	9
	18	19 	20	21	22	96
Count	7	5	1	5	11	497
	98	99 				
Count	58	105				

Numeric

V000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Y27x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ / IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the.
total income of all members of your family living in
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me
when I get to your family's income.
TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all icome categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total

income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?] This variables combines data for Respondents from households with other members age 14 and older and data for Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

- A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999 1.
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
- 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999 22. Y. \$200,000 and over
- 98. DK
 - 99. Z. REFUSED
 - 00. NA (9 in

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	73	48	81	102	174
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	199	238	200	122	94
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	61	50	36	24	17
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	12	13	11	7	6
	20	21	22	98	99
Count	1	 5	14	71	148

V000995 Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+ MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

Y27a/Y27a.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

- A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
- 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over
- 96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
- 98. DK
- 99. Z. REFUSED
- 00. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	140	101	116	175	210	169
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	94	43	37	21	15	5
	13	14	15	16	17	19
Count	10	1	4	5	4	1
	21	22	96	98	99	
Count	2	7	497	37	113	

V000996 Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+ MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

Y28/Y28a.T.

IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:

(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of all icome categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

- 1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999

- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over
- 96. INAP, 5,9 in Y26
- 98. DK
- 99. Z. REFUSED
- 00. NA

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	38	55	54	74	65	71
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	36	13	4	5	7	1
	13	14	16	17	19	22
Count	1	4	1	2	1	3
	96	98	99			
Count	1316	13	43			

V000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Y28x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ / IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I

get to your income category.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:

I am going to read you a list of all icome categories.

Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

- 1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999
- 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over

98. DK

99. Z. REFUSED

00. NA (9 in

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	6	178	156	170	249	275
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	240	130	56	41	26	22
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	6	11	5	4	6	6
	19	21	22	98	99	
Count	2	2	10	50	156	

V000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
```

Y29.

(Not using the booklet)

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Y29b
- 8. DK --> Y29b
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	1230	557	10	4

V000999 Y29a. Which one (working or middle class)

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Which one?

- 1. MIDDLE CLASS --> Y29c 5. WORKING CLASS --> Y29e
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> Y30
- 8. DK --> Y30
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29

	0	1	5	7
Count	577	710	497	23

V001000 Y29b. If had to choose class MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

1. MIDDLE CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29c
3. LOWER CLASS (VOL) --> SKIP TO Y30

5. WORKING CLASS --> SKIP TO Y29e

6. UPPER CLASS (VOL) --> SKIP TO Y30

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO Y30

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y30

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a

	0	1	3	5	6	7	8	9
Count	1219	223	18	287	2	27	3	28

V001001 Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

- 1. AVERAGE MIDDLE
- 5. UPPER MIDDLE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	879	660	256	9	3

V001002 Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30

5. NOT CLOSE --> SKIP TO Y30

8. DK --> SKIP TO Y30 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0 in 429; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	879	585	322	18	3

V001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29e.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

- 1. AVERAGE WORKING
- 5. UPPER WORKING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1024	624	145	11	3

V001004 Y29f. Working class -feel close to class MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29f.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

- 1. CLOSE
- 5. NOT CLOSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1024	508	250	24	1

V001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y29x.

(Not using the booklet)

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

(IF YES:) Which one?

(IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?
(IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

(IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS

Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b, Y29c, Y29e.

- 0. Lower class (VOLUNTEERED)
- 1. Average working class
- 2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
- 3. Upper working class
- 4. Average middle class
- 5. Middle class NA if average or upper
- 6. Upper middle class
- 7. Upper class (VOLUNTEERED)
- 8. Refused to accept idea of class
- 9. Other, DK, RF, NA in Y29b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	18	624	15	145	660
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	17	256	2	28	42

V001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y30(1).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

10. BLACK

- 20. ASIAN
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
- 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
- 50. WHITE
- 60. OTHER SPECIFY MISC.
- 75. OTHER SPECIFY EAST INDIAN
- 76. OTHER SPECIFY PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 79. OTHER SPECIFY MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
- 80. OTHER SPECIFY 'AMERICAN'
- 90. OTHER SPECIFY 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA

	0	10	20	30	40
Count	6	208	32	20	93
	50 	60 	75 	76 	79
Count	1393	9	4	4	3
	80	90	98	99	
Count	14	8	3	10	

V001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Y30(2).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

- 10. BLACK
- 20. ASIAN
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
- 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
- 50. WHITE
- 60. OTHER SPECIFY MISC.
- 75. OTHER SPECIFY EAST INDIAN
- 76. OTHER SPECIFY PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 79. OTHER SPECIFY MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
- 80. OTHER SPECIFY 'AMERICAN'
- 90. OTHER SPECIFY 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

	40	50	60	80
Count	1757	6	2	11
	0	10	20	
	Λ	10	20	30

Count 4 24 2 1

V001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Y30(3).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

- 10. BLACK
- 20. ASIAN
- 30. NATIVE AMERICAN
- 40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
- 50. WHITE
- 60. OTHER SPECIFY MISC.
- 70. JEWISH
- 75. OTHER SPECIFY EAST INDIAN
- 76. OTHER SPECIFY PACIFIC ISLANDER
- 79. OTHER SPECIFY MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
- 80. OTHER SPECIFY 'AMERICAN'
- 90. OTHER SPECIFY 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.
- 00. NA; INAP, no further mention

	0	20	30	40
Count	1799	2	1	2
	60	76	80	
Count	1	1	1	

V001007 Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y30a.

Were both of your parents born in this country?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	6	1528	269	3	1

V001008 Y30b(1). Ethnic/nationality group #1

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y30b(1).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None

96. 'American'

97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	9	55	8	5	17	4
	8	9	11	12	14	16
Count	5	2	3	10	2	5
	18	19 	20	21	23	24
Count	121	162	33	9	16	19
	26	28	29	31	32	33
Count	2	48	265	25	4	11
	35	36	37	38	40	41
Count	9	2	26	25	11	10
	43	44	45	47	48	49
Count	10	1	8	6	58	18
	50	55	57	60	61	62
Count	4	7	1	105	4	12
	64	65	67	68	69	70
Count	17	1	13	10	8	3
	71	72	76 	78	80	82

4	5	1	2	3	3
83	84	85	86	87	88
16	3	1	72	153	51
89	90	91	93	94	96
2	124	1	19	2	82
97	98	99			
7	40	 7			
	83 16 89 2 97	83 84 16 3 89 90 2 124 97 98	83 84 85 16 3 1 89 90 91 2 124 1 97 98 99	83 84 85 86 16 3 1 72 89 90 91 93 2 124 1 19 97 98 99	83 84 85 86 87 16 3 1 72 153 89 90 91 93 94 2 124 1 19 2 97 98 99

V001009 Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

90. None

96. 'American'

97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

00. NA; no further mention

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1411	35	1	2	6	1
	12	14	16	18	19	20
Count	1	1	1	38	59	12
	21	23	24	26	28	29
Count	7	4	14	1	18	62
	31	33	35	37	38	40
Count	7	14	1	6	6	1
	41	43	45	47	48	49
Count	11	9	2	1	9	5
	51 	54	56 	57 	60 	62

Count	1	1	1	2	17	1
	64	67	69	80	86	87
Count	5	10	1	1	3	5
	88	93	96	97		
Count	 1	4	 6	2		

V001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS

Respondents who have identified more than one group are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

- 0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
- 1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
- 2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
- 9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

V001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y30bx2.

NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R

This is the exact number of mentions given by R

- 00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
- 01. One mention
- 02. Two mentions

•

- 06. Six mentions
- 96. Ethnicity question NA
- 98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
- 99. R refused ethnicity question

0 1 2 3 4

Count	124	1230	299	70	17
	5	6	96	98	99
Count	6	5	9	40	7

V001011 Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Y30c.

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.

Note that this variable was coded according to IWR indentification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

- 90. None; neither
- 95. Both/all of them
- 96. 'American'
- 97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	204	55	8	5	19	4
	8	9	11	12	14	16
Count	4	2	3	9	1	4
	18	19	20	21	23	24
Count	122	155	29	7	15	1
	26	27	28	29	31	32
Count	1	1	39	240	24	4
	33	35 	36 	37	38	40

Count	2	8	2	22	20	11
	43	44	45	47	48	49
Count	10	1	7	6	51	17
	50	55	57	60	61	62
Count	4	7	2	101	3	9
	64	65	67	68	69	70
Count	15	1	14	10	8	3
	71	72	76	78	80	82
Count	4	4	1	2	3	3
	83	84	85	86	87	88
Count	16	3	1	71	150	51
	89	90	91	93	94	95
Count	2	45	1	19	2	29
	96	97	98			
Count	88	12	10			

V001012 Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y30x/Y31.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:

IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP? (IF NO:)

Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4,5,8,12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint.

Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.

See also Pre-Csheet.37 and Pre-Csheet.28 (V000068, V000069) and Post-Csheet.32 and Post-Csheet.33 (V000184d, V000184e).

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO Z1

8. DK --> SKIP TO Z1

9. RF

0. NA

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 6 132 1659 7 3

V001013 Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descnt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y31a/Y31a.T.

IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:

FTF:

Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:

Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American, or Spanish?

[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

- 1. MEXICAN
- 2. PUERTO RICAN
- 3. CUBAN
- 4. LATIN AMERICAN
- 5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
- 6. SPANISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5.8.9.0 in Y30x/Y31

	0	1	2	3
Count	 1675	 52	16	 6
000110	10.0	0.2		· ·
	4	5	6	7
Count	12	11	27	8

V001014 Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998

Numeric

Z1.

Where was it that you grew up?

[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]

Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes

101-997 and:

998. DK

999. RF

000. NA

V001015 Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Z2.

FTF:

Looking at page 20 of the booklet.

Looking at this list, please tell me where you were

mostly brought up?

For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

- 1. ON A FARM
- 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
- 4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 100,000)
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 500,000)
- 6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
- 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
- 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
- 9. DK
- 0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	804	123	114	282	134
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	147	98	 71	33	1

V001016 Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up? ______

[READ ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. ON A FARM
- 3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 5. IN A CITY
- 7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

	0	1	3	5
Count	1009	119	136	299
	7	8	9	
Count	240	1	3	

V001017 Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:

Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
- 3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
- 7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1508	104	62	73	57	3

V001018 Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z2b.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:

Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

- 1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
- 5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1567	172	62	6

V001019 Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z2x.

FTF:

Looking at page 20 of the booklet.

Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?

Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

- 1. ON A FARM
- 2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
- 3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
- 4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 100,000)
- 5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 500,000)
- 6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
- 7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
- 8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
- 9. DK
- 0. RF; NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	9	242	250	386	196

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	220	270	128	105	1

V001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97

Numeric

Z3(1).

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE

97. NA

98. DK

99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1403	29	21	19	13	9
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	63	7	10	5	8	2
	12	13	15	16	18	20
Count	6	2	1	1	9	1
	96	97	98			
Count	191	6	1			

V001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

Z3(2).

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

YEARS

0-89 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)

997. NA 998. DK 999. RF

V001020c Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97

Numeric

z3x.

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

0. 0-11 months

1. 12-23 months

2. 2 years

•

89. 89 years

96. 'All my life'

97. NA

98. DK

99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	79	77	71	95	63	67
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	48	28	51	39	68	40
	12	13	14	15	16	17
Count	28	25	27	53	24	17
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	26	9	74	18	24	25
	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	23	40	24	23	12	9
	30	31	32	33	34	35
Count	60	11	27	14	12	26
	36	37	38	39	40	41
Count	15	13	12	9	20	14

	42	43	44	45	46	47
Count	5	9	3	10	3	7
	48	49	50	51	52	53
Count	8	7	21	1	9	4
	54	55	56	57	58	59
Count	5	8	6	5	5	5
	60	61	62	64	65	66
Count	9	2	3	1	3	3
	67	68	70	71	72	73
Count	2	2	4	2	2	3
	74	75	76	79	81	82
Count	1	2	3	1	2	1
	83	89	96	97		
Count	2	1	191	6		

V001021a Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home

MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97

Numeric

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:

How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:

How long have you lived in your current home?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE

97. NA

98. DK

99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1386	63	41	49	19	16
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	105	15	11	13	13	12

1

```
96
                        97
                               98
                       ----
                ____
        Count
                 26
                         6
V001021b Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
        MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
         Numeric
  Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.
     FTF:
     How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?
     How long have you lived in your current home?
     YEARS
     0-100 and:
     995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T
     997. NA
     998. DK
     999. RF
_____
V001021c Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
         MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
         Numeric
  Z4x.
     FTF:
     How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?
     TELEPHONE:
     How long have you lived in your current home?
     SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME
      ______
     Built from Z4(1)/Z4(1).T and Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.
     0. O-11 months
     1. 12-23 months
     2. 2 years
     89. 89 years
     96. 'All my life'
      97. NA
     98. DK
```

13 15 17 18

1

17

2

12

5

Count

4

F

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	227	175	161	133	82	105
	6	7	8	9	10	11
Count	71	49	58	44	68	24
	12	13	14	15 	16	17
Count	40	34	29	47	24	16
	18	19	20	21	22	23
Count	19	11	39	16	20	18
	24	25	26	27	28	29
Count	13	29	19	12	9	5
	30	31	32	33	34	35
Count	34	9	13	9	4	24
	36	37	38	39	40	41
Count	4	6	3	3	15	5
	42	43	44	45	46	47
Count	3	7	4	3	4	3
	48	49	50	51	58	60
Count	3	2	11	2	1	1
	64	65	73	76	79	96
Count	1	1	1	1	1	26
	97					
Count	6					

V001022 Z5. Does R family own/rent home MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z5.

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

- 1. OWN HOUSE
- 5. PAY RENT

```
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
     8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA
              0 1 5 7
---- 6 1205 531 56
                                   56
       Count
V001023 Z8. Does R have children
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
  Z8.
     These are the last few questions. Often we find that
     people who have children or commute great distances
     have less time to participate in politics.
     How about you? Do you have any children?
     (INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)
     1. YES
     3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
     5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
     8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
     9. RF
     0. NA
              0 1 3 5 9
                   1306 19 475
               6
V001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18
        MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
        Numeric
  Z8a.
  IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:
     How many children do you have under 18?
     ______
     O. --> SKIP TO Z9
     1-25.
     95. NA
     96. INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Z8
     98. DK
     99. RF
                0 1 2 3 4
                                                  5
```

Count	672	251	264	90	34	8
	6	7	9	10	11	95
Count	2	1	1	1	1	482

V001025 Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R

MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

z8b.

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?

O. --> SKIP TO Z9 1-25.

95. NA

96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a

98. DK

99. RF

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	44	243	245	86	28
	5	6	7	9	95
Count	3	2	1	1	 1154

V001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age

MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z8c(1).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 1

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a

98. DK

99. RF

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	80	43	39	36	21

	6	7	8	9	10
Count	34	17	39	31	37
	11	12	13	14	15
Count	33	32	41	41	39
	16	17	95	98	99
Count	41	43	1154	2	4

V001026b Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	14	23	18	26	18	20
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	22	19	23	29	29	31
	13	14	15	16	17	95
Count	26	21	26	18	15	1429

V001026c Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

1-17

95	TMAD	Λ	95_99	in	782:	QΩ	in	Z8c(1);	no	further	mantion
95.	INAP.	U.	93-99	TII	40d1	90	TII	$\Delta \alpha C(11)$	HO	Tur ther	menrion

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Count	11	3	1	11	6	6
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Count	7	13	11	6	8	11
	13	14	15	16	17	95
Count	10	10	6	2	4	1681

V001026d Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(4).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	3	1	2	2	3
	6	7	9	10	11
Count	5	7	2	4	5
	12	14	15	16	95
Count	3	1	1	2	 1766

V001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	3	5	6	7
Count	1	1	3	1	1	1
	8	10	12	13	95	
Count	1	1	1	1	 1795	

V001026f Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

	1	2	6	7
Count	2	1	1	1
	11	16	95	
Count	1	1	1800	

V001026g Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age

MD: EQ 95 Numeric

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 7

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

1 5 9 95

```
1
       Count
             1
                           1
                                  1804
V001026h Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
        MD: EQ 95
        Numeric
  Z8c(8).
  IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:
     What are the ages of your children?
     MENTION 8
     ______
     1-17
     95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention
               10
                    95
       Count
               1 1806
Z9. How many miles R drives per day
V001027
        MD1: EQ 998, MD2: GE 999
        Numeric
  Z9.
     (And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a
     typical day?
     ______
     0-996
     997. 997 and more
     998. DK
     999. NA
_____
V001028 ZZO. Education estimate if missed in IW
       MD: EQ 0
        Numeric
  ZZO.
  IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
  IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:
     ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE
     GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE
     APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE
     TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND
     EXPLAIN]
```

- 1. LOW PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
- 2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
- 3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
- 4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 0. NA; INAP, education data not missing

0 1 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V001029 ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender Numeric

ZZ1.

R's sex is:

- 1. MALE
- 2. FEMALE

1 2 ---- -----Count 790 1017

V001030 ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

ZZ2.

FTF:

R's race is:

- 1. WHITE
- 2. BLACK
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

0 1 2 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 804 863 137 1 2

V001031a ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(1).

FTF:

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 0. NONE
- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

	0	1	2	3
Count	590	125	71	124
	4	5	9	
Count	33	60	804	

V001031b ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

ZZ3(2).

FTF:

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	4	26	42	11	16	1708

V001031c ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

ZZ3(3).

FTF:

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1	1	12	3	10	1780

V001031d ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

ZZ3(4).

FTF:

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

	2	5	9
Count	1	2	1804

V001031e ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

ZZ3(5).

ישים.

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5

1. CHLDREN UNDER 6

- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

9 -----Count 1807

V001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

- 1. VERY GOOD
- 2. GOOD
- 3. FAIR
- 4. POOR
- 5. VERY POOR
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	1168	492	117	18	5

V001033 ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	325	450	586	334	105

V001034 ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	23	404	601	671	98	10

V001035 ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

- 1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
- 2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
- 3. VERY SUSPICIOUS
- 0. NA

V001036 ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW

0. NA

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	7	515	604	537	124	20

V001037 ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ10
3. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ9a

5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ9a

0. NA

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- ----Count 8 1584 185 30

V001038 ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part(blanked)
Character

ZZ9a.

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER] This variable is blanked.

V001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ10.

FTF:

Do you feel R reported income accurately?

- 1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
- 2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
- 3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
- 4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's

0. NA; INAP, phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	44	1550	26	5	182

V001040 ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
Numeric

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOR REPORT CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

- 1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN \$4,999
- 2. B. \$5,000-\$9,999
- 3. C. \$10,000-\$14,999
- 4. D. \$15,000-\$24,999
- 5. E. \$25,000-\$34,999
- 6. F. \$35,000-\$49,999
- 7. G. \$50,000-\$64,999
- 8. H. \$65,000-\$74,999
- 9. J. \$75,000-\$84,999
- 10. K. \$85,000-\$94,999
- 11. M. \$95,000-\$104,999
- 12. N. \$105,000-\$114,999
- 13. P. \$115,000-\$124,999
- 14. Q. \$125,000-\$134,999
- 15. R. \$135,000-\$144,999 16. S. \$145,000-\$154,999
- 17. T. \$155,000-\$164,999
- 18. U. \$165,000-\$174,999
- 19. V. \$175,000-\$184,999
- 20. W. \$185,000-\$194,999
- 21. X. \$195,000-\$199,999
- 22. Y. \$200,000 and over
- 97. DK
- 98. Y. Impossible to estimate
- 00. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1701	1	4	7	10
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	10	6	12	5	6
	10	11	12	14	15
Count	3	3	2	1	1
	16	18	19	22	98

Count 1 2 1 4 27

V001041a ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	8	25	79	7	11	15
	20	22	30	31	40	41
Count	20	48	78	184	6	5
	45	50	70	80		
Count	20	4	1050	247		

V001041b ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	11	12	13	15
Count	1432	9	7	9	9
	20	22	30	31	40
Count	3	16	28	66	6
	41	45	50	70	80
Count	4	16	2	157	43

V001041c ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS

- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	11	12	13	15
Count	1686	2	2	6	3
	20	22	30	31	40
Count	2	5	10	22	6
	41	45	50	70	80
Count	3	4	2	38	16

V001041d ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,

- OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	12	13	15	22
Count	1765	1	1	2	1
	30	31	40	41	45
Count	2	9	2	1	3
	50	70	80		
Count	2	14	4		

V001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	13	15	31
Count	1794	1	1	2
	40	45	70	80
Count	4	1	2	2

V001041f ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	15	22	40
Count	1803	1	1	2

V001041g ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

	0	40	45	80
Count	1804	1	1	1

V001041h ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,

OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.

- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

0 41 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; no further mention

V001042 Pre.Rand.A4/A5. Order-Gore,GWB lik/dis

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes

- 1. Gore first
- 2. GW Bush first
- 9. NA

V001043 Pre.Rand.Clb. Pos-Gore in therms

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Clb.

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers

Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

2 3 4 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 446 478 455 428

V001044 Pre.Rand.Clc. Pos-GW Bush in therms

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1c.

Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers

C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

2 3 4 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 439 492 440 436

V001045 Pre.Rand.Cld. Pos-Buchanan in therms

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Cld.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers

Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

2 3 4 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 466 414 474 453

V001046 Pre.Rand.Cle. Pos-Nader in thermoms

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Cle.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers

C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

2 3 4 5 ---- --- ---- ----Count 456 423 438 490

V001047 Pre.Rand.Clf/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers Clf-Clg / Clf.T-Clg.T

- 1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
- 2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name

9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 901 906

V001048 Pre.Rand.Clh/k. Pos-Cheney, Liebermn ther

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.Clh/k.

Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers C1h-Clj / C1h.T-C1j.T

- 1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
- 2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 901 906

V001049 Pre.Rand.C2. Order-parties in therms

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.C2.

Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers C2a-C2c

- 1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
- 2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
- 3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
- 4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
- 5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
- 6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd
- 9. NA

1 2 3 4 5 6 ---- --- ---- ---- ---- Count 284 305 310 290 310 308

V001050 Pre.Rand.D1/D3. Order-parties in lik/dis

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.D1/D3.

Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes' D1-D2/D3-D4

- 1. Democratic party first (D1-D2), Republican party 2nd (D3-D4)
- 2. Republican Party first (D1-D2), Democratic party 2nd (D3-D4)
- 9. NA

1 2 9 ---- ---- -----Count 897 905 5

V001051 Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series F1.F2.F3

- 1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
- 5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	284	316	277	326
	5	6	9	
Count	285	290	29	

V001052 Pre.Rand.Fla. Pos-angry -Gore affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1.

Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects Fla-Fld

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 ---- --- ---- ----- Count 424 443 467 473 V001053 Pre.Rand.Flb. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects MD: EQ 9 Numeric Pre-Rand.F1b. Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects Fla-Fld Codes 1-4 and: 9. NA 2 3 4 1 --------Count 479 479 428 421 V001054 Pre.Rand.Flc. Pos-afraid -Gore affects MD: EQ 9 Numeric Pre-Rand.F1c. Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects Fla-Fld ______ Codes 1-4 and: 9. NA 1 2 3 4 Count 450 450 459 448 _____ V001055 Pre.Rand.Fld. Pos-proud -Gore affects MD: EQ 9 Numeric Pre-Rand.F1d. Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects Fla-Fld Codes 1-4 and: 9. NA 2 3 4 --------454 435 453 465 Count

V001056 Pre.Rand.F2a. Pos-angry -GW Bush affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2a.

Pre: Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

V001057 Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 ----- ---- ----- -----Count 436 457 456 458

V001058 Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 ----- ---- ----- Count 454 454 460 439

V001059 Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 ---- --- ---- -----Count 483 454 413 457

V001060 Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 463 413 461 446 24

V001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 9 ----- ---- ----- ------Count 464 464 441 414 24

V001062 Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4	9
Count	422	480	437	444	24

V001063 Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

1 2 3 4 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 434 426 444 479 24

V001064 Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore, GWB, Buch lib/con

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.G3-G5.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5 / G3.T-G5.T

Clinton placement was always first.

- 1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
- 5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	87	91	69	69
	5	6	9	
Count	 67	85	1339	

V001065 Pre.Rand.G8-G10.E. Ord-Gore, GWB, Buch lib/c

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Liberal-Conservative placements G8.E-G10.E

Clinton placement was always first.

- 1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
- 5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	166	138	163	165
	5	6	9	
Count	156	151	868	

V001066 Pre.Rand.K2-K4. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2-K4.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series $\mathrm{K2},\mathrm{K3},\mathrm{K4}$

- 1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
- 4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
- 5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
- 6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd
- 9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	138	122	134	121
	5	6	9	
Count	136	119	1037	

V001067 Pre.Rand.K2a. Order-moral -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	 257	 291	237	 274
Court	237	291	237	2/4
	5	6	7	
Count	255	249	244	

V001068 Pre.Rand.K2b. Order-cares -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	281	231	256	257
	5	6	7	
Count	246	300	236	

V001069 Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	254	249	261	269
	5	6	7	
Count	258	243	273	

V001070 Pre.Rand.K2d. Order-leader -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	239	238	260	266
	5	6	7	
Count	271	264	269	

V001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	271	248	254	232
Courre	271	210	231	232
	5	6	7	
Count	274	269	259	

V001072 Pre.Rand.K2f. Order-intell -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	246	285	256	249
	5	6	7	
Count	260	244	267	

V001073 Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	260	264	283	259
	5	6	7	
Count	244	238	259	

V001074 Pre.Rand.K3a. Order-moral -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3a.

Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	275	219	251	267

	5	6	7
Count	272	270	253

V001075 Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	239	267	281	248
	5	6	7	
Count	236	262	274	

V001076 Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	249	277	264	274
	5	6	7	
Count	264	234	245	
Count	204	23 1	243	

V001077 Pre.Rand.K3d. Order-leader -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	277	290	245	248
	5	6	7	
Count	229	267	251	

V001078 Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	269	259	267	202
	5	6	7	
Count	263	281	266	

V001079 Pre.Rand.K3f. Order-intell -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	258	245	233	299
	5	6	7	
Count	257	262	253	

V001080 Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

	1	2	3	4
Count	240	250	266	269
	5	6	7	
Count	286	231	265	

V001081 Pre.Rand.K4a. Ord-moral -Buchanan traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	110	100	112	116
	5	6	7	9
Count	104	111	117	1037

V001082 Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	97	130	100	99
	5	6	7	9
Count	124	101	119	1037

V001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	131	100	119	102
	5	6	7	9
Count	112	116	90	1037

V001084 Pre.Rand.K4d. Ord-leader -Buchann traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

1 2 3

Count	109	108	120	124
	5	6	7	9
Count	103	105	101	1037

V001085 Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	115	116	106	97
	5	6	7	9
Count	115	111	110	1037

V001086 Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	111	93	125	129
	5	6	7	9
Count	113	101	98	1037

V001087 Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

	1	2	3	4
Count	98	122	88	103
	5	6	7	9
Count	99	125	135	1037

V001088 Pre.Rand.Llc/Lld. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending placements Llc-Lld / Llc-Lld.T

Clinton's name was always first.

- 1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
- 2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
- 9. NA

V001089 Pre.Rand.Lle/Llf. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.

Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending

placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

- 1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
- 2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 880 927

V001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 910 897

V001091 Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

- 1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
- 2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 910 897

V001092 Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 886 921

V001093 Pre.Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

- 1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
- 2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- -----Count 886 921

V001094 Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

- 1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
- 2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
- 9. NA

1 2 ----- ------Count 880 927

V001095 Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

- 1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
- 2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
- 9. NA

1 2 ---- ----Count 927 880

V001096 Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7b.

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	165	164	136	141	161	139
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	160	130	135	 156	163	 157

V001097 Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	135	161	154	163	144	167
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	142	154	160	134	146	147

V001098 Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	163	144	164	158	137	 156
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	148	155	158	133	146	145

V001099 Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	120	166	133	184	148	160
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	144	158	153	141	150	150

V001100 Pre.Rand.L7f. Order-aid poor -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7f.

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	101	122	1/2	 150	160	 127
Court	101	133	143	130	100	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	145	137	165	166	143	139

V001101 Pre.Rand.L7g. Order-soc sec -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7g.

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	156	141	156	131	157	149
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	141	139	127	170	150	190

V001102 Pre.Rand.L7h. Ord-env protect -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	133	154	164	141	143	169
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	151	147	151	149	161	144

V001103 Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7j.

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	143	144	148	146	142	153
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	186	162	152	160	141	130

V001104 Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7k.

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

2 3 4 5 6 7 ----- ---- ----

Count	141	137	154	150	148	163
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	131	163	172	152	145	151

V001105 Pre.Rand.L7m. Order-child care-fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spendinging items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	164	146	171	141	155	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	138	162	151	146	138	158

V001106 Pre.Rand.L7n. Order-border sec-fed spend

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security amng Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

3 4 5 6 2 ----Count 154 144 165 142 147 140 9 8 10 11 12 13 ____ ____ 153 153 150 139 180 Count 140

V001107 Pre.Rand.L7p. Order-aid blacks-fed spend

MD: EQ 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

	2	3	4	5	6	7
Count	152	173	119	160	157	137
	8	9	10	11	12	13
Count	168	 147	133	 161	144	 156

V001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

1 2 9 ---- ---- -----Count 921 883 3

V001109 Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

1 2 9

---- ---- Count 920 884 3

V001110 Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction placement M6b-M6c

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

1 2 9 ---- ---- -----Count 926 878 3

V001111 Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role placements Plb-Plc / Plb.T-Plc.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
- 9. NA

V001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

- 1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
- 2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name

9. NA

V001113 Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affcts MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

0 1 2 3 4 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 891 232 245 205 234

V001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affcts

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.

Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

0 1 2 3 4 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 891 220 215 227 254

V001115 Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affcts

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.

Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	891	228	240	234	214

V001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affcts MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14d.

Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	891	236	216	250	214

V001117 Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	136	142	125
	4	5	6	7
Count	120	132	123	138

V001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	129	131	151
	4	5	6	7
Count	120	131	121	133

V001119 Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable amng Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	134	121	112
	4	5	6	7
Count	140	137	143	129

V001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	4	5	6	7
Count	891	133	132	130
	0	1	2	3

Count 148 129 113 131

V001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	122	121	136
	4	5	6	7
Count	134	107	158	138

V001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	133	124	124
	4	5	6	7
Count	137	147	118	133

V001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

- 9. NA
- 0. Question administered in Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	891	129	145	138
	4	5	6	7
Count	117	133	140	114

V001201 Al. R interest in campaigns

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

- 1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
- 3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
- 5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1 3		5	
Count	252	643	687	225	

V001202 A2. R watched programs about campaign

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO A3 9. RF --> SKIP TO A3

```
0 1 5
---- ---- -----
Count 252 1287 268
```

V001203 A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

- 1. A GOOD MANY
- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- ----Count 520 387 565 333 2

V001204 A3. Does R ever discuss politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

А3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO A4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO A4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001205 A3a. How often does R discuss politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

1 - 7

96. NONE (NO DAYS)

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	549	63	149	145	97
	5	6	7	96	98
Count	110	23	648	21	2

V001206 A4. Does R recall names of House candidates

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

- 1. YES, REMEMBER
- 5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8
Count	256	673	877	1

V001207 A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

A4a1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for

Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	31	32	33	34	
Count	1134	12	25	164	182	
	35	36	39	97	98	
Count	17	12	1	250	10	

V001208 A4b1. Hse party recall 1 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1134	322	319	4	26	2

V001209 A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other
- 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a1

	0	1	5	7
Count	1394	193	219	1

V001210 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4

	0	1	2	3
Count	1134	382	21	10
	4	5	6	7
Count	240	10	2	8

V001211 A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **

- 39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1469	17	9	16	27
	35	36	39	97	98
Count	43	30	1	191	4

V001212 A4b2. Hse party recall 2 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1469	154	166	12	5	1

V001213 A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

A4(2)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other
- 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

	0	1 5		7	
Count	1664	76	66	1	

V001214 A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- 2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- 5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- 6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

	0	1	2	4	5	6
Count	1469	129	14	185	6	4

V001215 A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	32	33	34
Count	 1755	 1	2	
Courre	1733	_	2	3
	35	36	97	98
Count	1	1	41	3

V001216 A4b3. Hse party recall 3 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1755	14	2.4	9	3	2

V001217 A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

A4(3)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other
- 0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

V001218 A4(3) \times 2. Accuracy of recall 3

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?

Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

- Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
- Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
- 4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
- Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
- No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
- 7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
- 9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

0 1 3 4 5 6 ---- --- ---- ---- ---- Count 1755 7 1 37 4 3

V001219 B1. Party talked to R about campaign MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

в1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate.

Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

-

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B1b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B1b
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	587	966	2

V001220 Bla. Party that spoke to R about campgn MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Bla.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 5. REPUBLICANS
- 6. BOTH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

	0	1	5	6
Count	1220	153	 199	 199
Court	1220	133	199	199
	7	8	9	
Count	16	19	1	

V001221 B1b. Anyone else talk to R about cands MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Blb.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	175	1378	2

V001222 Blc. Party send R mail about campaign

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Blc.

Did anyone from one of the political parties send you mail about the campaign this year?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B1D
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	1003	546	6

V001223 Blc1. Party that sent mail about campaign

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Blc1.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 5. REPUBLICANS
- 6. ВОТН
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Blc

	0	1	5	6	7	8
Count	804	158	197	560	28	60

V001224 Bld. Anyone else send mail about campaign

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Bld.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific

candidates in this last election?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	400	1138	17

V001225 B2. Did R try to influence vote of others MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

в2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001226 B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

в3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	156	1398	1

V001227 B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

В4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001228 B5. Did R do any other campaign work

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

в5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 252 43 1512

V001229 B6. Did R contribute to candidate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

В6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a

contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

```
1. YES
```

5. NO --> SKIP TO B7

8. DK --> SKIP TO B7

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	103	1450	2

V001230 B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

вба.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

	0	1	3	5	6	8
Count	1704	33	54	9	5	2

V001231 B7. Did R give money to party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

в7.

Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP TO B8
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO B8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	99	1456

V001232 B7a. Party - R contribution MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

В7а.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:

To which party did you give money?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7

0 1 3 5 6 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 1708 34 51 8 6

V001233 B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

в8.

Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001234 B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

в9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

- 1. YES, SOMEONE DID
- 5. NO, NO ONE DID
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	672	882	1

V001235 B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

B10.

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

- 1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
- 5. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	252	1300	3

V001236 B11. Clergy provide election information

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

в11.

Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
- 7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL] --> C1
- 8. DK --> C1

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	252	191	1125	218	19	2

V001237 B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

в12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:

Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate or party?

1. YES

5. NO --> SKIP TO C1

8. DK --> SKIP TO C1

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 491 84 1228 4

V001238 B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)
- SENATE:
- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent

- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s) -- NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s) -- NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate -- any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate -- any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:

- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

	0	1	2	5	11	12
Count	1723	20	30	2	1	1
	33	71 	81	82	90	91
Count	1	1	5	4	7	1
	94	95	98	99		
Count	1	2	4	4		

V001239 B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

B12a2.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 2 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:

- 01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
- 02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
- 03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan, Nader)
- 05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
- 06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:

- 11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
- 15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
- 16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:

- 31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
- 32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
- 33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
- 34. Republican House incumbent candidate
- 35. Democratic House challenger candidate
- 36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:

- 71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
- 72. Republican Candidate(s) -- NA which office
- 73. Other Democratic Candidate -- any office level
- 74. Other Republican Candidate -- any office level
- 80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
- 81. Democratic Party
- 82. Republican Party
- 85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
- 86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
- 89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:

- 90. Vote on particular issue
- 91. 'Just to vote'
- 94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
- 95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
- 96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
- 97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

	0	1	12	34	74
Count	 1795	1	1	1	1
	81	90	96	97	
Count	2	4	1	1	

```
_____
V001240 B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
          Numeric
   B12a3.
   IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:
       Which candidate?
      MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE
       [IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH
       ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]
       PRESIDENT:
       01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
       02. Republican Presidential candidate (2000: GW Bush)
       03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan, Nader)
       05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
       06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)
       SENATE:
       11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
       12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
       13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
       14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
       15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
       16. Republican Senate challenger candidate
       31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
       32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
       33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
       34. Republican House incumbent candidate
       35. Democratic House challenger candidate
       36. Republican House challenger candidate
       OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
       71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
       72. Republican Candidate(s) -- NA which office
       73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
       74. Other Republican Candidate -- any office level
       80. Other independent or minor party candidate -- any office level
       81. Democratic Party
       82. Republican Party
       85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
       86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
       89. Other party
       OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
       90. Vote on particular issue
       91. 'Just to vote'
       94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
       95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given
          but party NA)
       96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor
           organized supporters of specific candidates
       97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
       98. DK
       99. RF
```

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12a; no further mention 0 82 ----1806 1 Count V001241 C1. Did R vote MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric C1. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you: One, I did not vote (in the election this November); Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't; Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted? [INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS] 1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER). 2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T. 3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME. 4. I AM SURE I VOTED --> SKIP TO C3 8. DK 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview 0 1 2 3 4 8 ---- ---- ----252 185 103 84 1182 Count V001242 C2. Was R registered MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric C2. IF R DID NOT VOTE: Were you registered to vote in this election? 1. YES --> SKIP TO C9(2) 6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE --> SKIP TO C9(2) 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9(2)

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

--> SKIP TO C9(2)

	0	1	5	6	8
Count	1434	154	210	2	7

V001243 C3. Registered in county of IW?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/
IF R VOTED:

Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County. Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? / Your residence is located in Washington D.C. Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

- 1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY) --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, /WASHINGTON D.C ELSE GO TO C9(1))
- 5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY --> SKIP TO C3a
- 8. DK --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
- 9. RF --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

V001244 C3al. State of registration MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION

ICPSR state code

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

	0	5	12	13	14	21
Count	1741	1	1	2	4	4
	22	23	25	40	41	42

Count	4	4	1	4	2	1
	43	44	45	49	54	62
Count	7	3	1	7	4	2
	65	67	71	72	73	
Count	1	1	7	3	2	

V001244a C3a2. County of registration (blank)
Character

C3a2.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the respondent.

V001245 C4. Did R vote on election day or before MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th 2000, or did you vote at some time before this?

- 1. ELECTION DAY --> SKIP TO C5
- 5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS --> C4a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
 9. RF --> SKIP TO C5
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

V001246 C4a. How long before election did R vote MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C4a.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?

[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

- 01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
- 02. One week; 7 days
- 03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
- 04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
- 05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
- 06. One month; 29-31 days
- 07. More than one month; 32-60 days
- 11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
- 12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
- 91. More than a few days -- NFS
- 92. More than a few weeks --NFS
- 97. Other
- 98. DK; not sure
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	 1626	 58	41	53	4	2
	6		12	91	98	
Count	2	8	9	3	1	

V001247 C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C4b.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?

1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5
5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5
7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5

8. DK --> SKIP TO C5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

0 1 5 7 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 1625 61 102 19

V001248 C5. Did R vote for President?

```
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
```

C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

0 1 5 ---- ---- Count 625 1178 4

V001249 C6. R vote cast for President

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C6.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?

- 1. AL GORE

 3. GEORGE W. BUSH

 5. PAT BUCHANAN

 6. RALPH NADER

 7. SKIP TO C6a

 7. SKIP TO C6a
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
 9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

7. R REPORTS VOTING FOR SELF

Count 0 1 3 5
---- 590 530 3

6 7 8 9
---- 700 8 9
---- 700 8 9
Count 33 9 3 10

V001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

--> SKIP TO C6a

C6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN: <candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate) was strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	5	8
Count	642	876	286	3

V001251 C7. Timing of Pres vote decision MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C7.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?]

- 01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
- 02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"
- 03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few months"; "2-3 months"
- 04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
- 05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a
 couple of months"; September
- 06. Five to seven weeks before the election
- 07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
- 08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
- 09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
- 10. On election day
- 11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several
 months"; 5-8 months
- 12. After the vice-presidential debate

97. Other

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	645	147	257	87	21
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	108	44	102	76	126
	10	11	97	98	
Count	 57	134	1	2	

V001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 1

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

	0	1	3	5
Count	642	33	29	45
	6	7	8	98
Count	159	105	792	2

V001252b C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Numeric

C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 2

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

	0	5	6	7
Count	1798	4	2	3

V001252c C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C8(3).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 3

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

0 ----Count 1807

V001253a C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERIVEW

- 1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
- 4. WASHINGTON DC
- 9. R VOTED NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
- O. INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	1130	48	373	4

V001253b C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

C9(2).

IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES

This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05). All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in V000262.

- 1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
- 2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

V001254 C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 677 952 154 24

V001255 C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
- IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,

<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.

CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview, R did not select one of the names from the district of the interview location but provided a name which was later identified as belonging to another congressional district. These cases have been coded 6 in V001255 but the candidate code and party identification according to the other district are coded in V001256 and V001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside CD of interview.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
- 3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME
- 5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME
- 6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	856	454	1	425
	6	7	8	9
Count	8	36	21	6

V001256 C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:

```
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
   FTF:
   Who did you vote for?
   TELEPHONE:
   Did you vote for
   (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
   (the Republican, <NAME>)
   (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
   <NAME>?)
   IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
   ______
   ** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
   present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
   if that candidate's name was on the candidate list
   (preloaded into the instrument).
   TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
   party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
   If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
   list, his/her name was read last.
   30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
   31. Democratic candidate in open House race
   32. Republican candidate in open House race
   33. Democratic House incumbent
   34. Republican House incumbent
   35. Democratic House challenger
   36. Republican House challenger
   38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
   39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
   VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
   81. Democratic candidate
   82. Republican candidate
   83. Democratic incumbent
   84. Republican incumbent
   85. Democratic challenger
   86. Republican challenger
   97. Name not on candidate list for this race
   98. DK
   99. RF
   00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T
                0 31
                             32 33 34 35
                       ____
                               ----
                                      ____
                                              ____
             856
      Count
                       40
                               45 291 296
                                                        128
                             97 98
                                              99
               36 39
```

Count

87 1 36 21

6

```
V001257
       C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
  C9b(3)/C11bx.T.
  IF R VOTED:
  IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
  IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
      FTF:
      Who did you vote for?
      TELEPHONE:
      Did you vote for
      (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
      (the Republican, <NAME>)
      (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
      <NAME>?)
      IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
      The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
      present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
      if that candidate's name was on the candidate list
      (preloaded into the instrument).
      TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
      party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
      If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
      list, his/her name was read last.
      1. Democratic
      2. Republican
      3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
      7. Name not on candidate list for this race
      8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T
                   0 1 2 3
                          ----
                  ____
                                   ____
                 856
                          459
                                   428
         Count
                                    9
                   7
                           8
```

Count

V001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?

 $\texttt{MD1:} \quad \texttt{EQ 0, } \quad \texttt{MD2:} \quad \texttt{GE 8}$

36

Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

6

21

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

	0	1	5
Count	1759	32	16

V001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
- IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39).

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)

- 81. Democratic candidate
- 82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:

- 83. Democratic incumbent
- 84. Republican incumbent
- 85. Democratic challenger
- 86. Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS:

- 80. Third party or independent candidate **
- 91. Democrat--no name given
- 92. Republican--no name given
- 97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or candidate or CD not identified)

98. DK --> C14a

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in Cl0a/Cl2a.T

	0	32	34	36	81
Count	1775	2	4	1	1
	82	83	84	86	91
Count	1	2	1	1	5
	92	97	98		
Count	6	2	6		

V001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK --> C14a
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in Cl0a/Cl2a.T

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1775	8	16	2	6

V001261 C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 5. REPUBLICAN
- 7. OTHER: SPECIFY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in Cl0a/Cl2a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1775	12	19	1

V001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:

- IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
- IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:

Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?

Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Democrac, NAME, Or

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.

** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent

- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:

- 81. Democratic candidate
- 82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:

- 83. Democratic incumbent
- 84. Republican incumbent
- 85. Democratic challenger
- 86. Republican challenger

ALL OUT OUT-OF-COUNTY DISTRICTS:

- 80. Third party or independent candidate **
- 91. Democrat--no name given
- 92. Republican--no name given
- 97. Name not on candidate list
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA
 in C10a/C12a.T

	0	31	32	33	34	35
Count	824	40	47	291	300	128
	36	39	81	82	83	84
Count	88	1	1	1	2	1
	86	91	92	97	98	99
Count	1	 5	 6	38	27	6

V001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:

- IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
- IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:

Who did you vote for?

OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:

Who did you vote for?

Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

- 1. Democratic
- 2. Republican
- 3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	824	467	444	1
	7	8	9	
Count	38	27	6	

V001264 C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type race variable (V000204).

- 1. Race in state of interview
- 2. No race in state of inerview
- 0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC

V001265 C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C13(2).

SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

- 1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
- 3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
- 4. WASHINGTON DC
- 9. R VOTED NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
- 0. INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	1130	48	373	4

V001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE
- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 949 775 78 5

V001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

C13b1/C15bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:

- IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
- IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

```
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)
```

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.

MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507) Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7. TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
 3. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
 4. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
- 5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME --> D1
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> D1
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Cl3a/Cl5a.T

	0	1	3	4
Count	1032	403	6	4
	5	7	8	9
Count	331	16	9	

V001268 C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numaria

Numeric

C13b2/C15bx2.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
- IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

	0	8	10	11	12	13
Count	1032	4	6	80	 55	196
	14	15	16	97	98	99
Count	185	127	91	16	9	6

V001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

- IF R VOTED:
- IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
- IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
- IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:

Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:

Did you vote for

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	1032	403	331	10
	7	8	9	
Count	 16	9	 6	

V001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county:vote for Senate?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C14a/C16a.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
- 5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE --> Di
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1

8. DK --> D1

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

0 1 5 7 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1765 32 8 2

V001271 C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote -cand code MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Nameri

C14b1/C16b1.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW

- 80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
- 81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 83. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 84. Republican Senate incumbent
- 85. Democratic Senate challenger
- 86. Republican Senate challenger
- 91. Democrat no name given
- 92. Republican no name given
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	11	12	13	14
Count	 1775	1	3		
Couric	1775	_	3	7	7
	91	92	97	98	
Count	4	2	5	9	

V001272 C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

```
C14b2/C16b2.T.
```

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	1	2	7	8
Count	1775	9	9	5	9

V001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

- 1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
 5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
 7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
- 8. DK --> D1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1775	14	15	2	1

V001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:

IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
- 80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
- 81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 83. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 84. Republican Senate incumbent
- 85. Democratic Senate challenger
- 86. Republican Senate challenger
- 91. Democrat no name given
- 92. Republican no name given
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1); 5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

	0	8	10	11	12
Count	1000	4	6	81	58
	13	14	15	16	91
Count	200	189	127	91	4
	92	97	98	99	
Count	2	21	18	6	

```
V001275 C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
         Numeric
  C14x2/C16x2.T.
  IF R VOTED:
  IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
  IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:
      SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
     Built from C14x1/C16x1.T
      1. DEMOCRAT
      2. REPUBLICAN
      3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
      7. Name not on candidate list for this race
     8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
         5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T
                 0
                      1
                             2 3
                       ____
                               ----
                ----
                               340 10
                       412
        Count
               1000
                        8
                 7
                                 9
               _____
                        18
                                 6
        Count
                 21
C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  C17.
  IF R DID NOT VOTE:
     How about the election for President?
     Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?
     1. YES
     5. NO
     8. DK
     9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1
```

5 8

2

----110

0 1

1434

Count

V001277 C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C17a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you prefer?

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W. BUSH
- 5. PAT BUCHANAN
- 6. RALPH NADER
- 7. OTHER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17

	0	1	3	5
Count	 1547	129	117	1
	6	7	9	
Count	8	4	1	

V001278 C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C17b.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

- IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
- IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?

- 1. STRONG
- 5. NOT STRONG
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1548	163	95	1

```
_____
V001279 C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  C18/C18.T.
  IF R DID NOT VOTE:
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
      FTF:
      Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this
      district. How about the election for the House of
      Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the
      candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?
      TELEPHONE:
      How about the election for the House of Representatives
      in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for
      the U.S. House of Representatives?
      FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]
      1. YES
      5. NO
      8. DK
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)
                  0
                       1
                                 5
                       ----
                               ----
                         74
        Count
                1434
                                292
V001280
         C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  C18a/C18a.T.
  IF R DID NOT VOTE:
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
  IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:
      Which candidate did you prefer?
      TELEPHONE:
      Did you prefer
      (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
      (the Republican, <NAME>)
      (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
      <NAME>?)
      ______
      See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
```

the ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. candidate name>
- 3. cand Ind/3rd-party cand name>
- 5. cpreload Republican candidate name>
- 7. Other specify
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	1733	39	28	5	1	1

V001281 C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97

Numeric

C18a1/C18a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent

- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
- 39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1733	2	6	30	18
	35	36	97	98	99
Count	7	4	5	1	1

V001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

: नूपन

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

0 1 2 7 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1733 39 28 5 1 1

V001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1518 90 195 4

V001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>

candidate, <NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the

ballot card/ read over the phone.

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
- 3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
- 4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
- 5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
- 7. OTHER; SPECIFY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	1	4	5
Count	1717	45	1	41
	7	8	9	
Count	1	1	1	

V001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97

Numeric

C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party

candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent **
- 09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
- 10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
- 11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
- 12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
- 13. Democratic Senate incumbent
- 14. Republican Senate incumbent
- 15. Democratic Senate challenger
- 16. Republican Senate challenger
- 97. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 98. DK
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	8	11	12	13	14
Count	1717	1	14	9	22	27
	15	16	97	98	99	
Count	9	5	1	1	1	

V001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:

Did you prefer

(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)

(the Republican, <NAME>)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>

candidate, <NAME>?)

(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>

candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].

If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 2. REPUBLICAN
- 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
- 7. Name not on candidate list for this race
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	1717	45	41	1
	7	8	9	
Count	1	1	1	

V001287 (Blank)

Numeric

٠

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

V001288 (Blank)

Numeric

.

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

U

Count 1807

V001289 (Blank)

Numeric

•

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

V001290 (Blank)

Numeric

•

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

V001291 C20. How fair was November election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

C20.

Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

- 1. VERY FAIR
- 2. SOMEWHAT FAIR
- 3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
- 4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
- 5. VERY UNFAIR
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added

	0	1	2	3
Count	357	322	415	 155
	4	5	8	9
Count	309	217	28	4

D1/D1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

V001292 D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

Dla/Dla.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) BILL CLINTON

TELEPHONE:

Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

0 - 100

```
995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V001293 D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D1b/D1b.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling
      thermometer would you rate him/her?)
      THERMOMETER - AL GORE
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly
      administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered
      as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered
      as the 7th-12th names.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0 - 100
      995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V001294 Dlc/Dlc.T. Thermometer GW Bush
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D1c/D1c.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
      GEORGE W. BUSH
      TELEPHONE:
```

The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001295 Dld/Dld.T. Thermometer Nader MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D1d/D1d.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:

The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

```
variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
Dle/Dle.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
V001296
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D1e/D1e.T.
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
      JESSE JACKSON
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling
      thermometer would you rate him/her?)
      THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly
      administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered
      as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlq-Dln were randomly administered
      as the 7th-12th names.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A51.
      0 - 100
      995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
Dlf/Dlf.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
V001297
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D1f/D1f.T.
      (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
```

FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:

The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001298 Dlg/Dlg.T. Thermometer Dem House cand MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dlg/Dlg.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - < DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Democratic House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001299 D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

```
999. RF
```

```
V001300 Dlj/Dlj.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
         MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
         Numeric
  D1j/D1j.T.
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
  IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:
      FTF:
      (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
```

<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview; Washington DC

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001300a Dljx. Checkpt: Hse retiree also Sen cand? MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Dljx.

CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?

0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate

- 1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
- 2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate
- 9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	2	9
Count	1535	11	5	256

V001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D1k/D1k.T.

IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:

IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:

IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:

(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:) <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:

The next person is <PEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - < DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 1 in Dljx.

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001302 Dlm/Dlm.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand

```
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D1m/D1m.T.
  IF NOT WASHINIGTON D.C.:
  IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
  IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
  IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      FTF:
       (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
       <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>
      TELEPHONE:
      The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>.
       (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate
      him/her?)
      THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>
       [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly
      administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered
      as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered
      as the 7th-12th names.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
       variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
       "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
       996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview;
           Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx
       997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
       999. RF
V001303 Dln/Dln.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  Dln/Dln.T.
  IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:
      FTF:
       (Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
       <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>
      TELEPHONE:
```

The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05); no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in Dlnx.

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001303a Dlnx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

Dlnx.

CHECKPOINT: VT01 OR VA05

0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05

1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01

2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

	0	1	2	9
Count	1547	1	3	256

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

V001304 D2a. Thermometer supreme court

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001305 D2b. Thermometer Congress
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2b.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

```
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
```

999. RF

V001306 D2c. Thermometer military MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001307 D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D2d.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

```
variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D2e.
      (How would you rate:)
      THERMOMETER - BLACKS
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
      was randomized.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      0-100
      995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
_____
V001309 D2f. Thermometer whites
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D2f.
      (How would you rate:)
      THERMOMETER - WHITES
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
      was randomized.
```

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001310 D2g. Thermometer conservatives MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2g.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001311 D2h. Thermometer liberals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001312 D2j. Thermometer labor unions MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2j.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001313 D2k. Thermometer big business MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001314 D2m. Thermometer poor people MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001315 D2n. Thermometer people on welfare MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D2n.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001316 D2p. Thermometer Hispanics MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D2p.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

```
995. NA
      996. INAP, no Post interview
      997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
      998. DK WHERE TO RATE
      999. RF
V001317 D2q. Thermometer Chrstn Fundamentalists
          MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
          Numeric
  D2q.
      (How would you rate:)
      THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
      [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
      DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
      YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
      The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
      was randomized.
```

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A51.

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

D2r. Thermometer women's movement V001318 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2r.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered

was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5]. 0-100 995. NA 996. INAP, no Post interview 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE 998. DK WHERE TO RATE 999. RF V001319 D2s. Thermometer older people MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric D2s. (How would you rate:) THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY) [PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized. Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5]. 0-100 995. NA 996. INAP, no Post interview 997. DON'T RECOGNIZE 998. DK WHERE TO RATE 999. RF V001320 D2t. Thermometer environmentalists MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric D2t. (How would you rate:) THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS ______

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO

YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001321 D2u. Thermometer homosexuals MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2u.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001322 D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE CHRISTIAN COALITION

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

was randomized.

V001323 D2w. Thermometer Catholics
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001324 D2x. Thermometer Jews

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996 Numeric

D2x.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0 - 100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

```
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
```

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001326 D2y. Thermometer feminists

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D2y.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

V001327 D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

D2z.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA

996. INAP, no Post interview

997. DON'T RECOGNIZE

998. DK WHERE TO RATE

999. RF

E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S. House of Representatives.

V001328 E1. Like anything- Dem House cand MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/

IF VTO1:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES --> SKIP TO Ela

5. NO $\hfill -->$ SKIP TO E2

7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT
THIS CANDIDATE --> E3 or E5a

8. DK --> SKIP TO E2

9. RF

 NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House race

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	370	395	551	470	12	9

```
_____
V001329 Ela(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
          Numeric
  E1a(1).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E1; no Post IW
V001330 Ela(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
         MD: EO 0
          Numeric
  E1a(2).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW
V001331
        Ela(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E1a(3).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
```

```
(What was that?)
     MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW
V001332 Ela(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
        MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E1a(4).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
     MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      ______
     [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
     UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
     8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW
V001333 Ela(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E1a(5).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
     MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
     UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW
```

V001334 E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VTO1:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the ${\tt E1-E2}$ series and the ${\tt E3-E4}$ series was administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3

8. DK --> SKIP TO E3

9. RF

0. NA; INAP,0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	843	182	760	14	8

V001335 E2a(1). #1 dislike Dem House candidate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001 Numeric

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?

UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

```
8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW
V001336 E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E2a(2).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
V001337
        E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E2a(3).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
V001338 E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
```

```
E2a(4).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
_____
V001339
       E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E2a(5).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW
V001340 E3. Like anything- Repub House cand
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  E3.
  IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
  IF VA05:
      Was there anything in particular that you liked about
      <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT
      CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?
      ______
      The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
      was administered was randomized.
      In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
      was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.
```

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4

7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING
ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE -->

ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a

8. DK --> SKIP TO E4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	357	381	573	474	12	10

V001341 E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001

Numeric

E3a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?

UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse

9002. R has been influenced by someone else

9995. NA

9998. DK

9999. Refused to say

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW

V001342 E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

```
(What was that?)
     MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
V001343
      E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate
        MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E3a(3).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
     MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      ______
     [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
     UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
     8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
V001344 E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E3a(4).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
     MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
     UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
     Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
```

```
_____
V001345 E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E3a(5).
  IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
V001346 E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  E4.
  IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
  IF VA05:
      Was there anything in particular that you didn't like
      about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05:
      <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of
      Representatives?
      The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
      was administered was randomized.
      In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
      was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.
      Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
      collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
      the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
      variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
      "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
      variable Rand.A4/A5].
      1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E4a
      5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED
                                          --> SKIP TO E5
      8. DK
                                          --> SKIP TO E5a
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3
                                5 8
                          1
                        ----
                                ____
                                        ----
```

```
E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate
V001347
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
         Numeric
  E4a(1).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      9001. R has been influenced by spouse
      9002. R has been influenced by someone else
      9995. NA
      9998. DK
      9999. Refused to say
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW
______
V001348 E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E4a(2).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
V001349 E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E4a(3).
```

Count 831 194 762 8 12

```
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
V001350 E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E4a(4).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      ______
      [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
      0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW
_____
        E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate
V001351
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  E4a(5).
  IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
      (What was that?)
      MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
      [PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
      UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
      Codes 1-1304 and:
      8999. Other miscellaneous
```

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

V001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cands MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

- 1. One House candidate in candidate list
- 2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list
- 9. Washington DC
- 0. No Post IW

V001353a E5a(1). 2 cands- either cand incumbent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9 Numeric

E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND</pre> NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

- --> E6 1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> 2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6 3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6 4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6 5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> Еб
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

	0	1	2	3
Count	471	368	399	3
	4	5	7	9
Count	17	 57	491	1

V001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race

MD: EQ 0

Numeric

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

- 30. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 39. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
- 90. "BOTH"
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

	0	31	32	33	34
Count	1020	11	18	348	374
	35	36	39	90	
Count	9	7	3	17	

V001354 E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if $\$ <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

	0	1	5	7
Count	1592	120	20	75

V001355 E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

- 31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
- 33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
- 00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)

	0	33	34
Count	1687	59	61

V001356 E6. Party control House before election MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. THE DEMOCRATS

- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	185	849	521

V001357 E7. Party control Senate before election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. THE DEMOCRATS
- 5. THE REPUBLICANS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 252 148 776 631

V001358 F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

- 1. APPROVE --> SKIP TO Fla
 5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP TO Flb
- 8. DK --> F2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	256	912	172	455	12

V001359 Fla. Strength approve House incumbent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Fla.

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

- 1. APPROVE STONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
- 5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO F2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- ----- Count 895 481 426 5

V001360 F1b. Strength disappr House incumbent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1b.

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
- 5. NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1635 87 82 3

V001361 Flx. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F1x.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK in F1 or F1a/b
- 9. RF in Fla or Fla/b
- 0. NA

	0	1	2	4
Count	256	481	426	82
	5	8	9	
Count	87	463	12	

V001362 F2. Special action by incumbent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F2.

Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	256	297	1099	152	3

V001363 F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3.

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

1. YES, R KNOWS --> SKIP TO F3a 5. NO --> SKIP TO F3b

8. DK --> SKIP TO F3b

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	256	532	901	116	2

V001364 F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

F3a.

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

Actual number coded.

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1275	2	39	4	147	7
	б	7	8	9	10	11
Count	78	2	109	1	26	1
	12	13	14	15	16	18
Count	66	1	5	10	2	4
	20	25	26	32	98	
Count	12	3	2	1	10	

V001365 F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

F3b.

IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or more than 12 years?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
- 3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
- 5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	790	450	140	55	372

V001366 F4. House incumb kept in touch w/distric MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F4.

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME> does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

- 1. VERY GOOD
- 2. FAIRLY GOOD
- 3. FAIRLY POOR
- 4. VERY POOR
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	300	559	236
	4	8	9	
Count	150	302	4	

V001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't thatinterested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government

and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?

- 1. MOST OF THE TIME
- 2. SOME OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
- 4. HARDLY AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	339	 569	423
	4	8	9	
Count	212	11	1	

G1/G1.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 2 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

V001368 Gla/Gla.T. R placement lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Gla/Gla.T.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b

2. LIBERAL --> G2b

3.	SLIGHTLY	Z LIBERAL	ı		>	G2b	
4.	MODERATE	E; MIDDLE	OF THE R	OAD	>	G1b	
5.	SLIGHTLY	CONSERV	/ATIVE		>	G2b	
6.	CONSERVA	ATIVE			>	G2b	
7.	EXTREMEI	LY CONSER	RVATIVE		>	G2b	
8.	DK				>	G1b	
9.	RF; NA;	INAP, no	Post int	erview			
0.	HAVEN'T	THOUGHT	MUCH [DO	NOT PROBE]	>	G1b	
		0	1	2	3		
						-	
	Count	308	33	169	139		3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	308	33	169	139	386
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	197	264	50	6	255

V001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt

 $\texttt{MD1:}\quad \texttt{EQ 0,}\quad \texttt{MD2:}\quad \texttt{GE 8}$

Numeric

G1b.

IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

- 1. LIBERAL
- 3. CONSERVATIVE
- 5. MODERATE [VOL]
- 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in Gla/Gla.T; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	1104	78	109	413	91	12

V001370 G1x. 3-category lib-con summary

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G1x.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:

When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY

Built from Gla/Gla.T and Glb.

This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years. Recode values used for each category appear in the order: Gla/Gla.T,Glb.

- 1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
- 3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
- 5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
- 7. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)
- 8. DK (0/8,8)
- 9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
- 0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,NA); No Post IW

	1	3	5	7	8	9
Count	419	428	620	78	10	252

V001371 G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2/G2.T.

FTF:

Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	202	487	286	259
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	68	71	29	150	3

V001372 G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3/G3.T.

FTF:

Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Al Gore?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	162	505	295	202
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	94	99	29	166	3

V001373 G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placemnt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G3a/G3a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G3/G3.T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	421	387	685	313	1

V001374 G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4/G4.T.

FTF:

Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE

- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	18	94	 75	165
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	273	647	107	173	3

V001375 G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	428	326	735	318

V001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:

Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about Pat Buchanan?

(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was

randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	24	72	54	154
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	62	211	 471	504	
Count	02	$\Delta \perp \perp$	4/1	50 4	3

V001377 G5a/G5a.T. Buchanan-certain lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

._____

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G5/G5.T

	0	1	3	5
Count	759	365	395	288

V001378 G6. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05

MD: EQ 9 Numeric G6.

CHECKPOINT:

NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05

FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

- 1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
- 2. Democratic candidate only in House race
- 3. Republican candidate only in House race
- 4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
- 5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
- 9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

V001378a G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization

variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

--> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in Dlg

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	648	24	182	162	187
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	 56	9	484	1

V001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):

IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:

Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

V001379a G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1133	135	314	224	1

V001379b G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE): IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

```
1. VERY CERTAIN
```

- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(2)/G6(2).T

0 3 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V001380a G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:

IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

TTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE

- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK

--> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	655	11	43	40	155
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	164	250	24	463	2

Numeric

V001380b G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):

IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:

Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in Dln

0 6 8 ---- ---- -----Count 1805 1 1

V001381a G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a1/G7a1.T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1120 136 327 224

V001381b G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(2)/G7(2).T

V001382 G8/G8.T. Dem Party placemnt lib-con scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF:

Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	87	602	301	 196
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	65	91	28	183	2

V001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G9/G9.T.

FTF:

Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	14	84	70	152
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	 271	693	70	 199	2

V001384 G10/G10.T. Reform Party placemnt lib-con

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G10/G10.T.

FTF:

Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Reform Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
- 2. LIBERAL
- 3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
- 4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
- 5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
- 6. CONSERVATIVE
- 7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
- 8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	42	99	80	264
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	85	147	96	737	5

G11/G11.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 3 of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Still others feel it is

important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

V001385 G11a. R placement- services/spend scale MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1	. FEWER SER	VICES; R	REDUCE	SPENDI	NG		>	G11b	or	G11c	
2							>	G11b	or	G11c	
3							>	G11b	or	G11c	
4							>	G11b	or	G11c	
5							>	G11b	or	G11c	
6							>	G11b	or	G11c	
7	. MORE SERV	CES; IN	ICREASE	SPEND	ING		>	G11b	or	G11c	
8	. DK						>	G11b	or	G11c	
9	. RF; NA; I	NAP, Pho	ne mod	le (see	Post	Admin.	1);	no Po	st	intervi	ew
0	. HAVEN'T T	HOUGHT M	IUCH AB	OUT TH	IS		>	G11b	or	G11c	
		0	1		2	2		1			

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	73	21	59	98	198
	5	6	7	8	٥
Count	151	50	41	4	1112

V001386 G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Glla.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

```
1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11a1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11a2.T
```

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11a3.T 0 1 3 5 8 9 58 163 289 340 8 949 Gllal.T. How much reduce services/spend V001387 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric G11a1.T. IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING: TELEPHONE: Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some? 1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T 5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T 8. DK --> G11b.T 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Glla.T 0 1 5 73 1644 90 Count G11a2.T. How much increase servics/spend V001388 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric G11a2.T. IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING: TELEPHONE: Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some? _____ 1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T 5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T 8. DK --> G11b.T 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1a.T 0 1 5 8

--> G11a3.T

--> G11a3.T

5. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL

Count 1518 121 167 1

V001389 G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE
- 3. DECREASE
- 5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in Glla.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1399	175	139	84	9	1

V001390 G11ax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Gllax1.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from Gl1a.T, Gl1a1.T, Gl1a2.T, Gl1a3.T.

- 1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
- 2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)

- 3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8,0,0,3)
- 4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
- 5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8,0,0,1)
- 6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
- 7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
- 0. Haven't thought much (0,0,0,5/8/9/NA)

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	20	73	90	139	69
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	175	168	121	4	948

V001390a G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from Glla and Gllax1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
- 2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
- 3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE

- 4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
- 5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
- 6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
- 7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	93	94	149	237	267
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	326	218	162	8	253

V001391 G11b. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

G11b.

CHECKPOINT:

NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05 FOR SERVICES/SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

- 1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
- 2. Democratic candidate only in House race
- 3. Republican candidate only in House race
- 4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
- 5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
- 9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

V001391a G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	FEWER	SERVICES	REDUCE	SPENDING	>	G12
2.					>	G12
3.					>	G12
4.					>	G12
5.					>	G12
6.					>	G12
7.	MORE	SERVICES;	INCREASE	E SPENDING	>	G12

8. DK --> G12

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	 1258	2	 7	30	 77
	5	6	7	8	
Count	114	53	14	252	

V001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1.	FEWER	SERVICES;	REDUCE	SPENDING	>	G12
2.					>	G12
3.					>	G12
4.					>	G12
5.					>	G12
6.					>	G12
7.	MORE	SERVICES;	INCREASE	E SPENDING	>	G12
8.	DK				>	G12
9.	RF					

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0 -----Count 1807

V001392a G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1q THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T. Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in

the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> Gl1b1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
- 5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T
- 8. DK

--> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1197	36	182	93	298	1

V001392b G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11b2.T.

IF VT01:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:

What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(1).T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
- 3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T

5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;
 candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0 5 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gllb(1).T

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1771 11 25

V001393b G11b1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

0 -----Count 1807

V001394a G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much incrsease ser/sp MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11b2(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1625 77 104 1

V001394b G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G11b2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1b(2).T

0 -----Count 1807

10/15/2009

```
_____
V001395a G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cnd- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11b3(1).T.
  IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
     TELEPHONE:
     If the level of services and spending were to be
      changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
      an increase or a decrease?
     1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      5. NEITHER
                --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      8. DK
                 --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1b(1).T
                  0 1 3 5 8
                        ----
                                       ----
               1714 57 19 14
        Count
V001395b G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11b3(2).T.
  IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
     TELEPHONE:
      If the level of services and spending were to be
      changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
      an increase or a decrease?
      1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
                 --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      5. NEITHER
      8. DK
                 --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T
                 0 3
               1806 1
        Count
```

http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2000prepost/anes2000prepost_var.txt

V001396 G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11b1/G11b2.T, G11b1(1)/G11b1(2).T, G11b2(1).T/G11b2(2).T,
G11b3(1).T/G11b3(2).T.

- 1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
- 2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
- 3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
- 4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
- 5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
- 6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
- 7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1196	11	25	20	17
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	57	105	77	298	1

V001396a G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11bx2.

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
- 2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
- 3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
- 4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
- 5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
- 6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
- 7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	647	13	32	50	94
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	171	158	91	550	1

V001397a G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11c1.

- IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
- IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
- IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:

in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1.	FEWEF	R SERVICES	REDUCE	SPENDING	> @	312
2.					> 0	312
3.					> 0	312
4.					> 0	312
5.					> @	312
6.					> 0	312
7.	MORE	SERVICES;	INCREASE	SPENDING	> 0	312

8. DK --> G12

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1295	6	36	98	94
	5	6	7	8	
Count	49	15	3	211	

V001397b G11c2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c2.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.

In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(1).

```
1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING
                                                --> G12
                                                --> G12
      3.
                                                --> G12
      4.
                                                --> G12
      5.
                                                 --> G12
                                                --> G12
      7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING
                                                --> G12
      8. DK
                                                 --> G12
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
         candidate coded 997 in thermometer
                     0
                  ----
         Count
                 1807
_____
V001398a G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric
  G11c1.T.
  IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
  IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
  IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:
      TELEPHONE:
      What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
      Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
      provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,
      provide many more services even if it means an increase
      in spending, or continue services at the present level?
      [DON'T PROBE DK]
      FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/
      G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
      In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
      was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
      in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T
      Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
      the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
      although administered FTF.
      1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
      3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
      5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
      8. DK
                                           --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
         candidate coded 997 in thermometer
                                   3 5
                            1
                                   ----
```

59

114

298

1167

Count

```
V001398b G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c2.T.
  IF VA05:
  IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:
      TELEPHONE:
      What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE
      CANDIDATE>)?
      Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
      provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,
      provide many more services even if it means an increase
      in spending, or continue services at the present level?
      ______
      [DON'T PROBE DK]
      FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/
      G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
      In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
      was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
      in districts other than VAO5, Phone respondents were
      administered G11c(1).T
      1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
      3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
      5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
      8. DK
                                      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
        candidate coded 997 in thermometer
                  0 1 5
                        ----
                ____
               1805 1
        Count
V001399a G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c1(1).T.
  IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:
      Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
      spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
      only some?
      ______
      1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
```

```
8. DK
               --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gllc(1).T
                0 1 5 8
                       53 114
               1638
        Count
V001399b G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c1(2).T.
  IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:
     TELEPHONE:
     Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
     spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
     only some?
     1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     8. DK
                    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1c(2).T
                        5
                 0
                -----
        Count
               1806
V001400a G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand-how much incrsease serv/spd
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c2(1).T.
  IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:
     TELEPHONE:
     Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
     spending a great deal or (increase services and
     spending) only some?
      ______
     1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     8. DK
                    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
     9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1c(1).T
```

0 1 5

```
----
        Count 1748 17
                                 42
V001400b G11c2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c2(2).T.
  IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:
      TELEPHONE:
      Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and
      spending a great deal or (increase services and
      spending) only some?
      1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      5. ONLY SOME
                     --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      8. DK
                     --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Gl1c(2).T
               1807
        Count
______
V001401a G11c3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric
  G11c3(1).T.
  IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:
      TELEPHONE:
      If the level of services and spending were to be
      changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
      an increase or a decrease?
      1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      5. NEITHER
                 --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      8. DK
                  --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
      9. RF
      0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in Gllc(1).T
                       1
                                3
                                        5
                        ----
                                ----
                                        ----
                         32 55 22
               1693
        Count
```

V001401b G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:

If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

- 1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

V001402 G11cx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Built from G11c1/G11c2.T, G11c1(1)/G11c1(2).T, G11c2(1).T/G11c2(2).T, G11c3(1).T/G11c3(2).T.

- 1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
- 2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
- 3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)

- 4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
- 5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
- 6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
- 7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9 RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1165	53	117	56	27
	_	-	-	0	
	5	6	7	8	
Count	32	42	17	298	

V001402a G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
- 2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
- 3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE

- 4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
- 5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
- 6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
- 7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL
- 8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	653	59	153	154	121
	5	6	7	8	
Count	81	 57	20	509	

V001403 G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	172	458	277

	4	7	8	9
Count	612	24	8	4

V001404 G12a. How important is abortion issue to R MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G12a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
- 2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
- 3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
- 4. VERY IMPORTANT
- 5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

	0	1	2	3
Count	 252	 62	 173	 473
000110	4			2,0
		5 	8 	
Count	538	301	7	1

V001405 G12b. Checkpoint: number of cands/VT01/VA05

MD: EQ 9 Numeric

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:

NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05 FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

- 1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
- 2. Democratic candidate only in House race
- 3. Republican candidate only in House race
- 4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger

- 5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
- 9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

	1	2	3	4	5	9
Count	1332	101	114	1	3	256

V001405a G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12b1/G12b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered. FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized. TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

- --> G12c or G13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

	0	1	2	3
Count	648	18	66	96
	4	7	8	9
Count	195	1	781	2

V001405b G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered. FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized. TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
- --> G12c or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- --> G12c or G13
- 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLYAFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- --> G12c or G13
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
- --> G12c or G13

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

--> G12c or G13

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n

0 1 ----- -----Count 1806 1

V001406a G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-cetainty of plcmt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s
 position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very
 certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(1)/G12b(1).T

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 1431 70 151 154 1

V001406b G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VT01 INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

0 1 1806 Count

V001407a G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion MD1: EO 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G12c1/G12c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2). T was administered. FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized. TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.

3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE

ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.

4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

- --> G12b or G13

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h

0 1 2 3

Count	655	70	196	74
	4	7	8	9
Count	46	1	763	2

V001407b G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort MD1: EO 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:

IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:

Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:

What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2). T was administered. FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized. TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
- 2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
- --> G12b or G13 3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
- OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
- 4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN
- AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.

- --> G12b or G13
- --> G12b or G13
- --> G12b or G13 7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

3 0 2

---- ---- Count 1805 1 1

V001408a G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plct MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- ----- Count 1420 59 158 169 1

V001408b G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

- 1. VERY CERTAIN
- 3. PRETTY CERTAIN
- 5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

0 1 3

Count 1805 1 1

V001409 G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

G13.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?

- 1. DEMOCRAT
- 3. REPUBLICAN
- 5. NEITHER [VOL]
- 7. OTHER [SPECIFY]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	252	706	522	253
	7	8	9	
Count	62	10	2	

V001410 H1. R better/worse off in last year financially

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP H1b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP H2
- 8. DK --> SKIP H2
- 9 RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered ' section H in Post

0 1 3 5 8 9

Count 1042 247 79 435 2 2

Numeric

Hla.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H2
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H2
- 8. DK --> SKIP H2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1560 66 181

V001411b H1b. How much worse off last year financially MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Hlb.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1728 23 56

V001412 Hlx. Summary of R's financial situation in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your

family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. The same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
- 9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	1042	66	181	435
	4	5	8	9
Count	 56	23	2	2

V001412a H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. Built from Pre Elx and Post Hlx

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. The same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	115	183	375	917
	4	5	8	9
Count	145	64	6	2

V001413 H2. Put off medical or dental treatmnt in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

н2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{H}}}$ in Post

0 1 5 9 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1042 179 585 1

V001413a H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	9
Count	115	457	1234	1

V001414 H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

н3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> Skip H3b
5. THE SAME --> Skip H4

8. DK --> Skip H4

9. RF

 NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1042	289	43	414	17	2

V001415 H3a. How much better off next year financially MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4

8. DK --> Skip H4

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1518 87 200 2

V001416 H3b. How much worse off next year financially

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H3b.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

V001417 H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better or somewhat better?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK in H3 or H3a/b
- 9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre

	0	1	2	3
Count	1042	87	200	414
	4	5	8	9

Count 37 6 19 2

V001417a H3x1. Pre/Po: Financial situation in next year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H3x1.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better or somewhat better?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section ${\tt H}$ in the Pre (as section ${\tt E}$) or Post.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	141	204	451	893
	4	5	8	9
Count	70	24	22	2

V001418 H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

H4.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of an assault during the coming year? Would you say you are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid, or not afraid?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of questions $\rm H1-H3$.

- 1. VERY AFRAID
- 3. SOMEWHAT AFRAID
- 5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
- 7. NOT AFRAID
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7
Count	1042	41	142	244	338

V001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J1.

If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

- 1. HAPPY TO DO IT
- 5. RATHER NOT SERVE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	957	585	12	1

J2.

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

V001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J2a.

ONE, the less government, the better; OR TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
- 2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	639	888	25	3

V001421 J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
- 2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	928	575	50	2

V001422 J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
- 2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	671	854	28	2

V001423 J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

- 1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
- 2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	8	9
Count	252	852	662	34	7

V001424 J3. # times R watched jeopardy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 97. R VOLUNTEERS, "NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8
- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 4 5

Count	252	112	83	65	27	52
	6	7	9	10	13	95
Count	6	5	3	1	1	42
	96	97	98	99		
Count	1122	32	3	1		

J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune V001425

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

J4.

How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"?

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
- 98. DON'T KNOW
- 99. RF
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

0	1	2	3	4
284	105	86	57	23
5	6	7	9	10
61	11	8	4	1
95	96	98	99	
68	1097	1	1	
	284 5 61 95	284 105 5 6 61 11 95 96	284 105 86 5 6 7 61 11 8 95 96 98 96 98	284 105 86 57 5 6 7 9 61 11 8 4 95 96 98 99 98 99

V001426 J5. # times R watched morning news MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

J5.

How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"?

- 1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
- 95. EVERY DAY
- 96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL

98. DON'T KNOW

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	284	122	110	123	61
	5	6	95	96	98
Count	181	16	 177	 729	4

V001427 J6. # times R watched daytime talk show MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES

95. EVERY DAY

96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL

98. DON'T KNOW

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	284	141	91	70	31	61
	_	_	•	0.5		•
	6	./	9	95	96	98
G					1000	
Count	2	5	1	36	1082	3

V001428 J7. Network news program R watches most MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

- 1. ABC
- 3. NBC
- 5. CBS
- 6. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

	0	1	3	5
Count	284	307	362	264
	6	7	8	
Count	184	394	12	

V001429 J8. How much can you trust the media MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Numer

J8.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. ALMOST NEVER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	252	110	642	669	132	2

V001430 J9. Does R listen to political talk radio MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J9.

There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?

- 1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
 5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10
- 8. DK --> GO TO J10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001431 J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

- 1. EVERYDAY
- 2. MOST DAYS
- 3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
- 4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

0 1 2 3 4 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 1246 92 77 144 248

V001432 J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background while they do other things. How about you? When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?

- 1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
- 2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
- 3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
- 4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

0 1 2 3 4

```
Count 1246 107 258 145 51
```

V001433 J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J10.

Do you have acces to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> J11
- 8. DK --> J11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 252 973 581 1

V001434 J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J10a.

IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:

Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J10

V001435 J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

J11.

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

```
1. YES, DIFFERENCES
```

- 5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	1066	457	31	1

V001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995 Numeric

J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code. Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

995. NA

998. DK

999. RF

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

V001436b J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead

```
difference
      8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
      9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
      0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11
                1 2 4
        Count
                741 350
                               11 10
             5 7 8
                        ----
                342
                        322
        Count
                               31
_____
V001436c J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  J11a2(1).
  IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
      What are those differences?
      MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE
      [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
      Codes 001-985 and:
      990. Other comments
      000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
          mention; no Post IW
V001436d J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
         MD: EQ 0
         Numeric
  J11a2(2).
  IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:
      What are those differences?
      MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE
      [PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
      1. Democratic party mention
      2. Democratic Presidential candidate
      4. Republican Presidential candidate
      5. Republican Party mention
      6. Other party mentioned instead
```

7. Unclear party reference -- some substantive area of party

- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

0	1	2	4	
Count	886	306	17	21
5	6	7		
Count	360	1	216	

V001436e J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a3(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code. Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

V001436f J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a3(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead
- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party

difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

```
0 1 2 4
---- Count 1137 221 16 24

5 6 7
---- Count 245 1 163
```

V001436g J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code. Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

V001436h J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead
- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

0	1	2	4	
Count	1302	 187	13	22
5	6	7		
Count	189	1	93	

V001436j J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code. Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

V001436k J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a5(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead
- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

0	1	2	4	
Count	 1490	 114	10	 15
5	6	7		
Count	118	1	 59	

V001436m J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a6(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."] See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code. Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

V001436n J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems MD: EQ 0 Numeric

J11a6(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

- 1. Democratic party mention
- 2. Democratic Presidential candidate
- 4. Republican Presidential candidate
- 5. Republican Party mention
- 6. Other party mentioned instead
- 7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
- 0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further

mention; no Post IW

	0	1	2	4	5	7
Count	1591	90	8	9	81	28

K1.

Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence.

V001437 Kla. Whites influence MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Kla.

The first group is WHITES. Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	425	971	124	21	14

V001438 K1b. Blacks influence MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Klb.

What about BLACKS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	310	575	623	34	13

V001439 K1c. Hispanics influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Klc.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)? (Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE

- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	121	502	849	69	14

V001440 Kld. Asian-Americans influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Kld.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	85	586	771	100	13

V001441 Kle. Jews influence MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Kle.

What about JEWS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	223	854	351	111	16

V001442 Klf. Protestants influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Klf.

What about PROTESTANTS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	135	1012	221	171	16

V001443 K1g. Catholics influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Klq.

What about CATHOLICS?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	250	1050	143	97	15

V001444 K1h. Men influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K1h.

What about MEN?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	252	830	608	81	27	9

V001445 K1j. Women influence

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

к1ј.

And the last group is WOMEN.

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

- 1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
- 2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
- 3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 8 9

Count	252	105	556	866	19	9

К2.

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.

V001446a K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8. R makes no attempt to guess
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	782	85	260	680

V001446b K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8. R makes no attempt to guess
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	50	167	311	2

V001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2ax.

The first name is TRENT LOTT. What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2a/K2a.E

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8. R makes no attempt to guess
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	135	427	991	2

V001448 K2a1.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K2a1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT. What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT: LOTT - PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"] Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1279	241	287

V001449a K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- O.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	782	98	297	630

V001449b K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"] Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the

K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	66	189	274	1

V001450 K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2b/K2b.E

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- O.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	164	486	904	1

V001451 K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1279	220	308

V001452a K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR (What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- O.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	782	347	73	604	1

V001452b K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	189	69	271	1

V001453 K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2c/K2c.E

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	536	142	875	2

V001454 K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR

(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

0 1 5 ---- --- ----Count 1278 218 311

V001455a K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- O.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	782	561	165	296	3

V001455b K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2d.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1277	296	108	125	1

V001456 K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2dx.

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2d/K2d.E

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
- 5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
- 8.R makes no attempt to guess
- 9.RF
- 0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	857	273	421	4

V001457 K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO

(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1278	130	399

......

к3.

Next, I'd like to ask you about the candidates who ran for President and their running mates. We're interested in some of the things that people may have heard about these candidates. The first candidate I'd like to ask you about is George W. Bush.

V001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	2	17	1393
	4	7	8	9
Count	1	36	105	1

V001459 K3al. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001460 K3b. What is Bush's religion

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	15	236	125	5
	7	8	9	
Count	621	552	253	

V001461 K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K3b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

```
1. YES
```

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	255	673	879

К4.

Now take Al Gore

V001462 K4a. What state is Gore from

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT

- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	19	1053	12
	4	7	8	9
Count	5	194	271	1

V001463 K4al. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

```
1. YES
```

5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	253	300	1254

V001464 K4b. What is Gore's religion

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	14	255	102	10
	7	8	9	
Count	575	598	253	

V001465 K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	604	951

.....

K5

What about Dick Cheney.

V001466 K5a. In what state does Cheney live

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	21	7	145
	4	7	8	9
Count	288	270	823	1

V001467 K5al. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001468 K5b. What is Cheney's religion

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	16	64	59	13
	7	8	9	
Count	503	899	253	
Count	303	000	233	

V001469 K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K5b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 254 771 782

Κб.

And Joseph Lieberman.

V001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Кба.

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 1. CONNECTICUT
- 2. TENNESSEE
- 3. TEXAS
- 4. WYOMING
- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	473	16	11
	4	7	8	9
Count	5	355	694	1

V001471 K6al. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K6a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001472 K6b. What is Lieberman's religion

MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

K6b.

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

- 0. NONE
- 1. BAPTIST
- 2. METHODIST
- 3. JEWISH

- 7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	2	18	6	1083
	7	8	9	
Count	87	358	253	

V001473 K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

K6b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	319	1236

V001474 K7. Volunteer work in last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

к7.

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	665	890

V001475 K8. Are people trustworthy MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

к8.

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

- 1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
- 5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	816	728	9	2

V001476 K9. People take advantage or act fairly

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

К9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

- 1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
- 5. TRY TO BE FAIR
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	467	1072	15	1

V001477 K10. People helpful or selfish

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?

- 1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
- 5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES

```
8. DON'T KNOW
```

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	1002	537	16

V001478 K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrm homosexu

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

- 1. FAVOR
- 5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO K12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	993	480	59	23

V001479 K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 1. FAVOR STRONGLY --> K12
- 5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY --> K12
- 8. DK --> K12
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K11

	0	1	5	8
Count	814	597	394	2

V001480 K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals

^{9.} RF

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K11b.

IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
- 5. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

	0	1	5	8
Count	1327	278	200	2

V001481 K11x. Summary protetng homosxls against job discrim

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination? (IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?/
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION

Bult from K11 and K11a/b

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK in K11 or K11a/b
- 9. RF in K11 or K11a/b
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	4
Count	252	597	394	200
	5	8	9	
Count	278	63	23	

.....

K12/K12.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:

Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. Still others say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished.

V001482 K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

- 1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	39	76	70	80	146
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	83	64	134	1	1114

V001482a K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

--> K12a2.T

K12a.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME> K1	2a1.T
---	-------

3. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED

5. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN --> K12a3.T

8. DK --> K13a3.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> K13a3.T

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	40	342	211	265	2	947

V001483 K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -adressing social MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

K12a1.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	8
Count	1465	214	127	1

V001484 K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:

Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1596	106	102	2	1

V001485 K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:

If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

- 1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
- 7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

	0	1	5	7	8
Count	1500	156	107	37	7

V001486 K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K12ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure

criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12a.T, K12a1.T, K12a2.T, K12a3.T.

- 1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADRESSING PROBLEMS
- 4. IN-BETWEEN
- 5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
- 6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
- 7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
- 0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	9	214	128	156	34
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	107	105	106	1	947

V001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K12ax2.

FTF:

Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:

How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that

we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12 and K12ax1

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

- 1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
- 3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADRESSING PROBLEMS
- 4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
- 5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
- 6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
- 7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
- 0. Haven't thought much

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	48	290	198	236	180
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	190	169	240	2	254

V001487 K13. How worried is R about nuclear war MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried,

somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

- 1. VERY WORRIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
- 5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	947	69	306	484	1

V001488 K14. How worried is R about conventional war

 $\mathtt{MD1}\colon \ \mathtt{EQ}\ \mathtt{0}\,,\ \mathtt{MD2}\colon \ \mathtt{GE}\ \mathtt{8}$

Numeric

K14.

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

- 1. VERY WORRIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
- 5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	1112	72	300	322	1

K15.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. Some people believe that we should protect government from excessive influence by campaign contributors. Others think that protecting the freedom of individuals to financially support political candidates and parties is more important.

V001489 K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K15a.

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you thought much about this?

- 1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
- 5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
- 0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	561	740	239	14	253

V001490 K16. How should the financing of campaigns change MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K16.

In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

- 1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
- 3. MAJOR CHANGES
- 5. MINOR CHANGES
- 7. FINE THE WAY IT IS
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	252	241	626	413
	7	8	9	
Count	214	60	1	

V001491 L5. Worked on community issue in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5.

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	407	1146	2

V001492 L6. Contacted public official to express in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L6.

During the past twelve months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001493 L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7.

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8
Count	252	427	1127	1

V001494 L8. Is R a member of any organizations MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kinterviewanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> L9
- 8. DK --> L9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	645	910

V001495 L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

L8a.

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

0.	NO ORGANIZATIONS	>	L9
1.	ONE ORGANIZATION	>	L8b
2-96.	ORGANIZATIONS	>	L8bb
97.	97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS	>	L8bb

98. DK --> L8bb

99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8

	1	2	3	4	5
Count	281	193	89	38	17
	6	7	8	10	12
Count	12	5	3	4	1

	15	20	99
Count	1	1	1162

V001496 L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96 Numeric

L8b.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1526	147	37	16	7	10
	6	7	8	9	10	12
Count	8	2	3	1	10	3
	15	16	20	24	40	80
Count	1	1	5	1	1	1
	96	98	99			
Count	1	24	2			

V001497 L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8c.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1526	105	170	6

V001498 L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8d.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?

1. YES --> L9 5. NO --> L9

8. DON'T KNOW --> L9

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1526 92 184 5

V001499 L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

L8bb.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1443	110	47	33	30
	5	6	7	8	9

Count	28	11	1	13	1
	10	12	13	14	15
Count	15	2	1	1	4
	16	20	25	35	40
Count	2	8	2	2	2
	50	72	90	96	98
Count	1	1	1	42	6

V001500 L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce government

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L8cc.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1443 217 147

V001501 L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to inflnce schools MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8dd.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1443	167	196	1

V001502 L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)

98. DK

99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	1162	257	84	49	37	38
	6	7	8	9	10	12
Count	19	3	16	2	25	5
	13	14	15	16	20	24
Count	1	1	5	3	13	1
	25	35	40	50	72	80
Count	2	2	3	1	1	1
	90	96	98	99		
Count	1	43	30	2		

V001503 L8x2. Summary org influence schools MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence

government?/

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1162	322	317	6

V001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L8x3.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools/

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1162	259	380	6

V001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L9.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	9
Count	252	1234	320	1

V001506 L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L10.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	252	49	1506

V001507 L10a. How many times protested/marched MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L10a.

IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

- 1. ONCE
- 2. TWO OR THREE
- 4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
- 7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10

	0	1	2	4
Count	1758	27	19	3

M3/M3.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 6 in the booklet. Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:

Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

V001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3a/M3a.T.

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	561	491	188
	4	5	8	9
Count	212	 89	 9	 5

V001509 M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3b/M3b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	112	348	273
	4	5	8	9
Count	452	346	18	6

V001510 M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3c/M3c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	351	427	251
	4	5	8	9
Count	331	172	14	9

V001511 M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

M3d/M3d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	170	447	168
	4	5	8	9

Count	384	365	15	6

V001512 N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1.

Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government.

Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> N2
- 8. DK --> N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	252	960	583	10	2

V001513 Nla. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Nla.

IF R HAS AN OPINION:

What is your feeling, do you think the government is getting too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?

- 1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL --> SKIP TO N1b
- 5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
- 7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO N2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

	0	1	5	7	8	9
Count	847	622	293	38	6	1

V001514 N1a1. Should govt become more powerful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Nla1.

IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful or should it stay the way it is?

- 1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL --> N1b
- 5. STAY THE WAY IT IS --> N2
- 8. DK --> N2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

	0	1	5	8
Count	1514	62	229	2

V001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1b.

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them on this?

- 1. DEMOCRATS
- 3. REPUBLICANS
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a1

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1123	324	105	247	8

N2/N2.T.

FTF:

Please continue looking at page 6 of the booklet. I'd

like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time and please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

TELEPHONE:

I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

V001516 N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	287	725	200
	4	5	8	9
Count	221	109	11	2

V001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

 $^{\prime}$ I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. $^{\prime}$

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	205	379	226
	4	5	8	9
Count	393	346	4	2

V001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

N2c/N2c.T.

: 979

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

 $^{\prime}$ I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people. $^{\prime}$

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

 $^{\prime}$ I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people. $^{\prime}$

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	191	322	211

	4	5	8	9
Count	421	404	5	1

V001519 N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2d/N2d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)

' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

 $^{\prime}$ I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people. $^{\prime}$

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	147	381	299
	4	5	8	9
Count	428	292		1

V001520 N3. Does R believe their vote matters MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

из.

So many other people vote in the national election that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; question not implemented at time of interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	357	72	86	 79
	4	5	8	9
Count	232	973	4	4

P1/P1.T.

FTF:

(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)

Next, I'd like to ask you about equal rights.

I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read several statements about equal rights. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

V001521 Pla/Pla.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla/Pla.T.

' Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. ' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	905	392	91
	4	5	8	9
Count	121	40	4	1

V001522 P1b/P1b.T. We've pushed equal rights too far MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1b/P1b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	209	385	215
	4	5	8	9
Count	420	318	6	1

V001523 Plc/Plc.T. We don't give everyone equal chance

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

P1c/P1c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	267	458	174
	4	5	8	9
Count	444	204	5	2

V001524 Pld/Pld.T. Better if we worried less about equality MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Pld/Pld.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	248	428	224
	4	5	8	9
Count	393	243	13	 5

V001525 Ple/Ple.T. OK if some people have more chances than MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Ple/Ple.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	120	349	255
	4	5	8	9
Count	481	338	9	2

V001526 P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewr probs if people treated eq MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1f/P1f.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	429	544	176
	4	5	8	9
Count	288	110	6	1

Q1Q1.T.

FTF:

(Again looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these
statements about the government. The first is:

TELEPHONE:

Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

V001527 Qla/Qla.T. Public officials don't care MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Qla/Qla.T.

' Public officials don't care much what people like me think '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	286	564	163
	4	5	8	9
Count	436	98	6	1

V001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

 $^{\prime}$ People like me don't have any say about what the government does. $^{\prime}$

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	227	380	129
	4	5	8	9
Count	 596	216	 5	1

V001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q1c/Q1c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. ' (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	319	574	108
	4	5	8	9
Count	341	208	1	3

Q2/Q2.T.

FTF:

(Still on page 6)

Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

TELEPHONE:

Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is .

V001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q2a/Q2a.T.

' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.'

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	464	517	202
	4	5	8	9
Count	242	117	9	3

V001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor

disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}$ The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. $^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}$

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	211	410	142
	4	5	8	9
Count	361	424	2	4

V001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	866	466	89
	4	5	8	9
Count	89	38	4	2

V001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:

' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '

(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. AGREE STRONGLY
- 2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
- 3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
- 4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
- 5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	361	605	216
	4	5	8	9
Count	225	138	5	4

Q3/Q3.T.

FTF:

(Not using the booklet)

People have different ideas about the government in

Washington.

These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

TELEPHONE:

People have different ideas about the government in Washington.

These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

V001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q3a.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?

- 1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. NEVER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	253	64	615	850
	4	8	9	
Count	16	8	1	

V001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q4.

Do you think that people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

- 1. WASTE A LOT
- 3. WASTE SOME
- 5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	253	922	585	34	12	1

V001536 Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q5.

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

- 1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
- 5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	253	955	518	69	12

V001537 Q6. How many in govt are crooked MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q6.

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

- 1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
- 3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
- 5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	253	553	772	198	27	4

V001538 Q7. Elections make govt pay attention MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

07.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	253	735	613	196	10

V001539 Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

08.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. A GOOD DEAL
- 3. SOME
- 5. NOT MUCH
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	254	263	978	301	11

R1/R1.T.

FTF:

Looking at page 7 of the booklet, here is a list of groups. Please read over the list and tell me the number of those groups you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of groups. For each, please tell me if it is a group you feel particularly close to

-- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

V001540 R1a. Close to whites FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Rla.

FTF: WHITES

CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

1 5 0 463 Count 1113 231

V001541 Rla.T. Close to whites phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Rla.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

1 5 8 743 948 97 9 10 Count

V001542 R1b. Close to poor people FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1b.

FTF:

POOR PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001543 Rlb.T. Close to poor people phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1b.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) poor people

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 948 530 310 10 9

V001544 R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1c.

FTF:

ASIAN AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001545 R1c.T. Close to Asian americans phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1c.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) Asian Americans

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	269	558	20	12

V001546 Rld. Close to liberals FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Rld.

FTF:

LIBERALS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	118	576

V001547 Rld.T. Close to liberals phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1d.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) liberals

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	322	492	37	8

V001548 R1e. Close to elderly FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1e.

FTF:

THE ELDERLY

CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

0 1 1113 311 383 Count

V001549 R1e.T. Close to elderly phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) the elderly

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

1 5 8 ----- ----7 948 671 172 Count

V001550

R1f. Close to blacks FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1f.

FTF:

BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	139	555

V001551 R1f.T. Close to blacks phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1f.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) blacks

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9 ---- ---- ---- ----- Count 948 421 413 13 12

V001552 R1g. Close to labor unions FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1g.

FTF:

LABOR UNIONS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001553 R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1g.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) labor unions

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 948 217 611 20 11

V001554 R1h. Close to feminists FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1h.

FTF:

FEMINISTS

CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001555 R1h.T. Close to feminists phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1h.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) feminists

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	245	577	27	10

V001556 R1j. Close to southerners FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1j.

FTF:

SOUTHERNERS

CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001557 R1j.T. Close to southerners phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1j.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) southerners

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	438	392	17	12

V001558 R1k. Close to business people FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1k.

FTF:

BUSINESS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001559 R1k.T. Close to business people phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1k.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) business people

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	521	323	7	8

V001560 R1m. Close to young people FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1m.

FTF:

YOUNG PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R

although administered FTF.

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001561 R1m.T. Close to young people phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1m.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) young people

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK

9. RF

O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	695	148	9	7

V001562 Rln. Close to conservatives FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Rln.

FTF:

CONSERVATIVES

CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001563 Rln.T. Close to conservatives phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1n.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) conservatives

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9

Count 948 489 334 30 6

V001564 Rlp. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Rlp.

FTF:

HISPANIC-AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1113 117 577

V001565 R1p.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1p.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) Hispanic-Americans

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 948 354 470 23 12

V001566 R1q. Close to women FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1q.

FTF: WOMEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1113 376 318

V001567 R

Rlq.T. Close to women phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlq.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) women

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

0 1 5 8 9 ---- --- ---- ---- ----Count 948 750 95 7 7

V001568 R1r. Close to working-class FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1r.

FTF:

WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

V001569 R1r.T. Close to working-class phone

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Rlr.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) working-class people

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	799	48	7	5

V001570 Rls .Close to middle-class FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1s.

FTF:

MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by ${\tt R}$
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	453	241

V001571 Rls.T. Close to middle-class phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1s.T.

TELEPHONE:

(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	782	63	9	5

V001572 Rlt. Close to men FTF

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

R1t.

FTF: MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Mentioned by R
- 5. Not mentioned by R
- 0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	1113	282	412

V001573 R1t.T. Close to men phone MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R1t.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about) men

(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	948	701	144	8	6

R2/R2.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.

In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

TELEPHONE:

Now I have some questions about different groups in our

society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

V001574 R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2a/R2a.T.

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	193	338	329	536
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	76	21	13	20	26

V001575 R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	64	97	241	659
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	278	112	50	25	26

V001576 R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2c/R2c.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate:

Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer

to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	131	196	311	571
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	166	67	32	52	26

V001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R2d/R2d.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. HARDWORKNG
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. LAZY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	268	393	295	363
	5	6	7	8	9

R3/R3.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.

The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent."

TELEPHONE:

The next set of questions ask about intelligence. Again, please imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "intelligent." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "unintelligent." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

Count	79	39	23	64	28

V001578 R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3a/R3a.T.

FTF:

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1

indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 7. UNINTELLIGENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	210	345	344	528
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	45	17	8	23	32

V001579 R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R3b/R3b.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.

- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNINTELLIGENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	89	132	275	692
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	207	68	32	25	32

V001580 R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-Americ

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNINTELLIGENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
a .			117		
Count	255	85	117	279	701
	5	6	7	8	9
C	206			4.0	34
Count	206	59	22	49	34

V001581 R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:

Still looking at page 9 of the booklet, Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate:

Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. INTELLIGENT
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.7. UNINTELLIGENT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	208	337	330	469
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	72	28	17	 57	34

R4/R4.T.

FTF:

Please turn to page 10 of the booklet. The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "trustworthy" or "untrustworthy."

TELEPHONE:

Thinking about trustworthiness as a general group characteristic, please imagine a seven point scale again. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be trustworthy. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are untrustworthy. A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

V001582 R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

ramer 1

R4a/R4a.T.

FTF:

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES

- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	128	283	373	594
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	73	30	13	25	33

V001583 R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R4b/R4b.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet), Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3. 4.
- 5.
- 6
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	 57	114	260	713
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	201	93	47	34	33

V001584 R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet), Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	61	130	262	726
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	174	63	46	57	33

V001585 R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTF:

(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet), Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE

Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. TRUSTWORTHY
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	255	84	211	308	677
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	105	38	25	70	34

R5.

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

V001586 R5a. Independence or respect for elders

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:

INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

- 1. INDEPENDENCE
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	233	230	1084
	7	8	9	
Count	1	3	1	

V001587 R5b. Obedience or self-reliance

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R5b.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important

for a child to have:)

OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE

- 1. OBEDIENCE
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. SELF-RELIANCE
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	255	814	214	516	2	6

V001588 R5c. Curiosity or good manners

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R5c.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important

for a child to have:)

CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS

- 1. CURIOSITY
- 3. BOTH [VOL]
- 5. GOOD MANNERS
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	446	269	832
	7	8	9	
Count	3	1	1	

V001589 R5d. Considerate or well behaved

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

R5d.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)

BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

- 1. BEING CONSIDERATE
- 3. BOTH
- 5. WELL BEHAVED
- 7. NEITHER [VOL]
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	255	804	355	389
	7	8	9	
Count	2	1	1	

S0.

Now we'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

S1. Budget deficit lar/smal since 1992 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8V001590

Numeric

S1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER

3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO S1b

5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S2

8. DK --> SKIP TO S2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	487	93	157	54

V001591 Sla. Deficit much/somewhat smaller

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Sla.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2

5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO S2

8. DK --> SKIP TO S2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1320	230	254	3

V001591a S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S1b.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

1. MUCH LARGER

- 5. SOMEWHAT LARGER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

	0	1	5	8
Count	1714	31	60	2

V001592 Slx. Summary - budget deficit since 92

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Slx.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from S1 and S2a/b.

- 1. Much smaller
- 2. Somewhat smaller
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat larger
- 5. Much larger
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	230	254	157
	4	5	8	
Count	60	31	59	

V001592a S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S1x1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Qls and Post Slx.

- 1. Much smaller
- 2. Somewhat smaller
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat larger
- 5. Much larger
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	470	502	360
	4	5	8	9
Count	138	75	132	1

V001593 S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. INCREASED
- 3. DECREASED
- 5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	288	162	274	67

V001594 S2a. Spend poor a lot/somwhat more/less MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/ IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

- 1. A LOT
- 5. SOMEWHAT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

	0	1	5	8
Count	1357	101	347	2

V001595 S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from S2 and S2a.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	64	222	274
	4	5	8	
Count	125	37	69	

V001595a S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

A little or a lot?

COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased somewhat
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Decreased somewhat
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	145	478	549
	4	5	8	9
Count	231	86	188	1

V001596 S3. Economy better/worse since 1992

 $\mathtt{MD1}\colon \ \mathtt{EQ}\ \mathtt{0}\,,\ \mathtt{MD2}\colon \ \mathtt{GE}\ \mathtt{8}$

Numeric

S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S3b
 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	563	40	172	16

V001597 S3a. Economy much/somewhat better

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1244 310 252 1

V001598 S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

V001599 S3x. Summary - economy since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

s3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/ (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	310	252	172
	4	5	8	
Count	28	12	17	

V001599a S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S3x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/ (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

0 1 2 3

Count	129	682	513	357
	4	5	8	
Count	65	34	27	

V001600 S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER

3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5

8. DK --> SKIP TO S5

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	419	21	327	24

V001601 S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S5 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

0 1 5

Count 1388 192 227

V001602 S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1786 6 15

V001603 S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	192	227	327
	4	5	8	

Count	15	6	24

V001603a S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	434	487	648
	4	5	8	
Count	41	21	47	

V001604 S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	163	61	558	9

V001604a S5x. Clinton admin hurt/help R economically?

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S5x.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. HELPED
- 3. HURT
- 5. NOT AFFECTED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	129	352	136	1166	24

V001605 S6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects

and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

```
1. MORE SECURE
```

3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO S6b

5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO S7

- 8. DK --> SKIP TO ->S7
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	202	223	346	19	1

V001606 S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S6a.

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7

5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7

8. DK --> SKIP TO S7

9. RF

V001607 S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S6b.

IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6

	0	1	5
Count	1584	99	124

V001608 S6x. Summary - U.S. security MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/ (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

1. Much more secure

- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	61	141	346
	4	5	8	9
Count	124	99	19	1

V001608a S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S6x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/ (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	132	285	725
	4	5	8	9
Count	277	206	52	1

V001609 S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either wav?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. MORE SECURE
- --> SKIP TO S7b 3. LESS SECURE
- --> SKIP TO S8 5. NO CHANGE
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S8
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	185	198	382	25	1

V001610 S7a. Clinton made much/smwht more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S7a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8

5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S8

8. DK --> SKIP TO S8

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

V001611 S7b. Clinton made much/smwhat less secur

 $\texttt{MD1:}\quad \texttt{EQ 0,}\quad \texttt{MD2:}\quad \texttt{GE 8}$

Numeric

S7b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1609 91 107

V001612 S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S7x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	63	122	382
	4	5	8	9
Count	107	91	25	1

V001612a S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No change
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	136	241	831
	4	5	8	9
Count	226	190	53	1

V001613 S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S8b
- 5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S9
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	294	220	256	21

V001614 S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwht better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8a.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1513 79 214 1

V001615 S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwht worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8b.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

	0	1	5
Count	1587	82	138

V001616 S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	 79	214	 256
	4	-	0	
	4 	5 	8	
Count	138	82	22	

V001616a S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	161	458	516
	4	5	8	
Count	276	220	47	

V001617 S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

0 1 3 5 8 9

Count 1016 190 65 508 27 1

V001618 S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht bettr

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S9a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

V001619 S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwht worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

V001620 S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse? 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.

- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	50	140	508
	4	5	8	9
Count	41	24	27	1

V001620a S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S9x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse? 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	122	301	1051
	4	5	8	9
Count	77	60	66	1

V001621 S10. Moral climate better/worse since 92

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11

8. DK --> SKIP TO S11

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1016	58	412	307	14

V001622 S10a.Moral climate much/smwht better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S10a.

IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

V001623 S10b. Moral climate much/smwht worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S10b.

IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

V001624 S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92 Numeric

S10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from S10, S10a, S10b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

0 1 2 3 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 1016 11 47 307

	4	5	8
Count	208	204	14

V001624a S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92 Numeric

S10x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed about the same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	39	106	621
	4	5	8	9
Count	417	464	29	2

V001625 S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

```
1. BETTER
```

3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b

5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14

8. DK --> SKIP TO S14

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	1016	47	336	391	15	2

V001626 S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S14

5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S14

8. DK --> SKIP TO S14

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

	0	1	5
Count	1760	13	34

V001627 S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

	0	1	5
Count	1471	168	168

V001628 S11x. Summ-Clinton impact on moral climate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/

Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	1016	13	34	391
	4	5	8	9
Count	168	168	15	2

V001628a S11x1.Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on moral climate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference

- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	129	36	76	816
	4	5	8	9
Count	327	382	36	 5

S14.

Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done -- ever made you feel:

V001629 S14a. Clinton makes R angry MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

ANGRY

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	470	285	7	1

V001629a S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S14ax.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

ANGRY

COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	1087	582	9	1

V001630 S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1337	72	100	218	80

V001630a S14alx. Summ pre & post - how often angry MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S14a1x.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	720	210	243	481	153

V001631 S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES

- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	360	397	3	3

V001631a S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

numeri

S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	829	841	6	3

V001632 S14b1. How often Clinton make R hopeful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

_____1_____

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1447	55	105	171	29

V001632a S14blx. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b1x.

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	978	145	240	374	70

V001633 S14c. Clinton makes R afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of

the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	146	612	4	1

V001633a S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14cx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	378	1294	6	1

V001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN

- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1661	36	29	57	24

V001634a S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1429	76	84	153	65

V001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

S14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the

Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	1044	308	450	4	1

V001635a S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S14dx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	5	8	9
Count	128	675	994	8	2

V001636 S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	1499	37	90	158	23

V001636a S14dlx. Summ pre & post - how often proud MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14d1x.

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

- 1. VERY OFTEN
- 2. FAIRLY OFTEN
- 3. OCCASIONALLY
- 4. RARELY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1132	117	191	308	58	1

S15/S15.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people

may use to describe Bill Clinton. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes him extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.

V001637 S15a. Clinton trait - moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15a.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL

randomized.

- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	1044	20	104	 275
	4	8	9	
Count	351	10	3	

V001637a S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15ax.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL

Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	54	215	568
	4	8	9	
Count	807	30	5	

V001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15b.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	96	283	238	129	17

V001638a S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15bx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- O. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	224	599	518
	4	8	9	
Count	294	43	1	

V001639 S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15c.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL

randomized.

- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	290	394	53	13	13

V001639a S15cx. Summ pre & post-Clinton knowledgeable MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15cx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	620	856	143	31	29

V001640 S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15d.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	164	333	178	82	6

V001640a S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15dx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP

Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	371	707	391	188	22

V001641 S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15e.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill

Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3
Count	1044	168	250	247
	4	8	9	
Count	81	15	2	

V001641a S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15ex.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	452	554	470
	4	8	9	
Count	157	41	5	

V001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15f.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	318	367	51	19	8

V001642a S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15fx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	128	694	779	139	49	18

V001643 S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1044	57	226	319	141	20

V001643a S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

S15gx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?

What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH

Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	128	164	471	670
	4	8	9	
Count	324	49	1	

V001644 T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

т1.

Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?

- 1. YES, WATCHED --> SKIP TO Tla
 5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO T2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

V001645 Tla. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Tla.

IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

- 1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
- 5. JUST PART OF IT
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1

V001646 T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio

 $\mathtt{MD1}\colon \mathtt{EQ}\ \mathtt{0}\,,\ \mathtt{MD2}\colon \mathtt{GE}\ \mathtt{8}$

Numeric

т2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

- 1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a 5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 255 614 937 1

V001647 T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?

- 1. A GOOD MANY
- 3. SEVERAL
- 5. JUST ONE OR TWO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2

0 1 3 5 ---- --- ---- -----Count 1193 136 244 234

V001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:

Looking at page 12 in the booklet: In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about

the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	255	296	426	560
	4	5	8	
Count	224	45	1	

V001649 T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:

(Still on page 12 in the booklet)

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:

In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 2. QUITE A BIT
- 3. SOME
- 4. VERY LITTLE
- 5. NONE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	255	64	127	481
	4	5	8	

Count 632 246 2

V001650 T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

T5/T5.T.

FTF:

Looking at page 13 of the booklet: Here is a question about political parties. Which of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome you choose.

TELEPHONE:

Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen?

ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans.

TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or

THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

- 1. ..A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
- 2. ...ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS?
- 3. ... THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	8	9
Count	255	572	423	509	41	7

V001651 T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Τб.

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

- 1. SATISFIED
- 2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
- 3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
- 4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview administered before question added

	0	1	2	3
Count	360	454	688	216
	4	8	9	
Count	58	26	5	

V001652 V1. Bush effect on US economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V1.

As you will remember, former President George Bush (George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President.

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

--> SKIP TO V1b

- 1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
- 3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE

5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO V2

- 7. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.] --> SKIP TO Y5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V2
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	7	8
Count	256	441	254	605	220	31

V001653 Vla. How much better Bush made economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Vla.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

```
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V2
```

5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V2

8. DK --> SKIP TO V2

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1366 113 328

V001654 V1b. How much worse Bush made economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Vlb.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1553 71 183

V001655 V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V1x.

Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Much better or somewhat better?/

Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY

Built from V1, V1a, V1b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No effect
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

```
8. DK
```

0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	113	328	605
	4	5	8	
Count	183	71	31	

V001656 V2. Bush made US more secure

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either

- 1. MORE SECURE
- --> SKIP TOV2b 3. LESS SECURE
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	476	709	96	492	34

V001657 V2a. Bush made US how much more secure MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

- 1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
- 5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO V3
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V3
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

0 1 5

^{9.} RF

Count 1098 316 393

V001658 V2b. Bush made US how much less secure MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V2b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

- 1. MUCH LESS SECURE
- 5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

V001659 V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V2x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

- 1. Much more secure
- 2. Somewhat more secure
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat less secure
- 5. Much less secure
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

0 1 2 3 ---- --- ---- -----Count 476 316 393 492

	4	5	8
Count	69	27	34

V001660 V3. Bush effect on US crime rate MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V3b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

0 1 3 5 8 ---- --- ---- ---- -----Count 476 197 118 943 73

V001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how mch better MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

V001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

V001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/

Much less secure or somewhat more secure? Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON U.S. CRIME 1988-1992

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	55	142	943
	4	5	8	
Count	79	39	73	

V001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

- 1. BETTER
- 3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V4b
- 5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	476	367	53	878	31	2

V001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V4a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

- 1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
- 5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
- 8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

	0	1	5	8
Count	1440	119	247	1

V001666 V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. MUCH WORSE
- 5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

	0	1	5
Count	1754	20	33

V001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V4x.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/

Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992

Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.

1. Much better

- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. No difference
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	119	247	878
	4	5	8	9
Count	33	20	32	2

V5/V5.T.

FTF:

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.

(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.

(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at

V001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V5a.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	459	689	112	21	50

V001669 V5b. Bush trait - cares about people MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V5b.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	123	566	437	158	47

V001670 V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V5c.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by

"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	343	811	121	24	32

V001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

V5d.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	276	679	289	50	37

V001672 V5e. Bush trait - dishonest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5e.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	476	34	126	453
	4	8	9	
Count	659	56	3	

V001673 V5f. Bush trait - intelligent

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V5f.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	381	799	104	16	31

V001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

V5g.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?"

describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	476	134	382	546	222	47

......

Y4.

Now, on another topic..

V001675 Y5. Is R currently working MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

- 1. YES --> Y7
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001676a Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Үба.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY

```
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
```

- 4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
- 5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
- 6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

	0	1	2	3
Count	1292	10	31	279
	4	5	6	9
Count	63	111	20	1

V001676b Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 2

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

- 1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
- 2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
- 3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
- 4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
- --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY 5. HOMEMAKER
- 6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

	0	1	2	3
Count	1764	1	2	3
	4	5	6	
Count	13	20	4	

V001676c Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

- 1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
- 2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
- 3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
- 4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
- 5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
- 6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

	0	4	5	6
Count	1804	1	1	1

V001677 Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 1. VERY SATISFIED
- 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4. VERY DISSATISFIED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	771	547	402	63	23	1

V001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y7a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5. OR BY YOURSELF
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

0 1 5 8 ---- --- ---- -----Count 771 896 139 1

V001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y8.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

0 1 2 3 4

Count	771	59	237	431	231
	5	7	8	9	
Count	41	27	7	3	

V001680 Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
Count	 798	26	43	293
	4	5	8	9
Count	492	142	10	3

V001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME

- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
Count	798	169	569	230
	4	5	8	9
Count	27	5	6	3

V001682 Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y8c.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
- 2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
- 3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3
Count	798	248	626	102
	4	8	9	
Count	23	8	2	

V001683 Y8d. WRKS: Racial diversity of Rs coworkers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y8d.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

- 1. ALL WHITE
- 2. MOSTLY WHITE
- 3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
- 4. MOSTLY BLACK
- 5. ALL BLACK
- 7. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	798	208	463	187	37
	5	7	8	9	
Count	6	102	5	1	

V001684 Y10. Laid off: Does R spend days alone/with others

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y10.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

- 1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5. OR BY YOURSELF
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	5
Count	1796	6	5

V001685 Y11. LOff: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y11.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say

that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	2	3	4	7
Count	1796	2	2	3	2	2

V001686 Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y11a.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	2	3	4	5
Count	1798	2	2	4	1

V001687 Y11b. LOff:Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	1	2	3
Count	1798	2	4	3

V001688 Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
 2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
 3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

	0	1	2	3
Count	1798	1	5	3

V001689 Y15. Retired:Does R spend days alone/with others MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y15.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

- 1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5 OR BY YOURSELF
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

V001690 Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16.

IF R IS RETIRED:

For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

	0	1	2	3
Count	1525	15	50	100
	4	5	7	8
Count	78	24	12	3

V001691 Y16a. RET: Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
 7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3
Count	1537	7	10	61
	4	5	8	9
Count	131	 57	3	1

V001692 Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16b.

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
 7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3
Count	1536	74	146	38

	4	5	8
Count	9	1	3

V001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
 7 in Y16

	0	1	2	3	4	8
Count	1536	92	150	20	5	4

V001694 Y17x. Summary: R work with others

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /

IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/

When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/ Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS

- 1 WITH OTHER PEOPLE
- 5 OR BY YOURSELF

```
8. DK
```

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW 0 1 5 8

478 1156 172 Count

V001695 Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /

IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	478	 76	289	534	311
	5	7	8	9	
Count	65	41	10	3	

V001696 Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

^{9.} RF

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/ Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	519	33	 55	 356
	4	5	8	9
Count	627	200	13	4

V001697 Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x; no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	518	245	719	271
	4	5	8	9
Count	36	6	9	3

V001698 Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/

Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

- 1. EXTREMELY WELL
- 2. QUITE WELL
- 3. NOT TOO WELL
- 4. NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;

no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	518	341	781	125
	4	8	9	
Count	28	12	2	

V001699 Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area. From time to time, people discuss government, elections and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you about the people with whom you discuss these matters. These people might or might not be relatives. Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Z25
- 8. DK -->Z25
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	5
Count	256	1152	399

V001700 Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

- 1. YES
- 5. NO --> Z9
- 8. DK --> Z9
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

0 1 5 9

```
----
      Count
            655
                  861
                         290 1
V001701 Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
 Z5.
    Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
    R IDENTIFIES NAME 3
    1. YES
    5. NO --> Z9
    8. DK --> Z9
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
              0 1 5
      Count 946 550 311
V001702 Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
 Z7.
    Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
    R IDENTIFIES NAME 4
    _____
    1. YES
    5. NO --> Z9
    8. DK --> Z9
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5
            0 1 5
      Count 1257 327 223
V001703 Z9. Is name 1 relative
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
```

http://www.electionstudies.org/studypages/2000prepost/anes2000prepost_var.txt

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or

Z9.

```
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?
    ______
    1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A
      SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)
                                     --> SKIP TO Z10
    3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR
                                     --> SKIP TO Z9a
    5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE
                                     --> SKIP TO Z9a
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
                       3 5 8 9
                  263 266 619 2
      Count
            655
V001704 Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
 Z9a.
    IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?
    ______
    1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
    5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1
            0 1 5 8 9
      Count 655 678 470
                               3
V001705 Z9b. Is name 1 coworker
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
 z9b.
  IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
    Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?
    _____
    1. YES
    5. NO
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
                   1
                         5 8
                 _____
```

```
Count 1184 311 309 2 1
V001706
       Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
  Z9c.
  IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
     Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?
     1. YES
     5. NO
     8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
              0 1 5 8 9
            1184 76 544 2
       Count
V001707 Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric
  z9d.
  IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
     Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?
     1. YES
     5. NO
    8. DK
     9. RF
     0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
             0 1 5 8
             1184
                    178 442
       Count
                                  2
V001708
       Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Z10.

Numeric

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY
- 7. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	655	354	 593	 195
	7	8	9	
Count	6	2	2	

V001709 Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z11.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1> knows about politics? Would you say:

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
- 8. DK DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF DON'T PROBE
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	655	483	557	104	7	1

V001710 Z12. How name 1 voted in election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z12.

I have another question about the first person you have named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH

- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	655	442	479	46
	7	8	98	99
Count	103	16	62	4

V001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z13.

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z14
- 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE
- --> SKIP TO Z13a
- --> SKIP TO Z13a

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	9
Count	946	90	270	500	1

V001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z13a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

0 1 5 9

```
-----
      Count
           946
                 478
                      381 2
V001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
      MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
      Numeric
 Z13b.
 IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
    Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?
    _____
    1. YES
    5. NO
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13
            0 1 5 9
      Count 1306 234 266 1
V001714 Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R
      MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
      Numeric
 Z13c.
 IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
    Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?
    ______
    1. YES
    5. NO
    8. DK
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13
           0 1 5 9
      Count 1306 80 420
V001715 Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor
      MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
```

Z13d.

Numeric

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

	0	1	5	9
Count	1306	141	359	1

V001716 Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY
- 7. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	7	9
Count	946	186	483	189	2	1

V001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z15.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
- 8. DK DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF DON'T PROBE
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	946	285	478	94	3	1

V001718 Z16. How name 2 voted in election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z16.

Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

	0	1	3	5
Count	946	336	342	30
	7	8	98	99
Count	75	10	66	2

V001719 Z17. Is name 3 relative

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z17.

Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)
- 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE
- --> SKIP TO Z18
- --> SKIP TO Z17a
- --> SKIP TO Z17a

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	3	5
Count	1257	44	187	319

V001720 Z17a. Is name 3 male or female

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z17a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
- 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	5	8
Count	1257	312	237	1

V001721 Z17b. Is name 3 coworker

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z17b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

	0	1	5
Count	1488	153	166

V001722 Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z17c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES

NO
 DK

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

V001723 Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

0 1 5 ---- ---- -----Count 1488 78 241

V001724 Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z18.

When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY, OR
- 7. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

0 1 3 5 7 ---- --- ---- ---- Count 1257 104 295 147 4 V001725 Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z19.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3> knows about politics? Would you say:

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
- 8. DK DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

	0	1	3	5	8
Count	1257	187	292	69	2

V001726 Z20. How name 3 voted in election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z20.

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	1257	201	230	12
	7	8	98	
Count	43	9	55	

V001727 Z21. Is name 4 relative

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

- 1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)
- --> SKIP TO Z22 3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z21a
- 5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE
- --> SKIP TO Z21a

- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5
Count	1480	18	109	200

V001728 Z21a. Is name 4 male or female MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?

- 1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
- 5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

V001729 Z21b. Is name 4 coworker MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z21b.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

```
1 5
     0
        ----
        95
Count 1607
            105
```

V001730 Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z21c.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship? ______

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

0 1 5 ----1607 29 Count 171

V001731 Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 8. DK 9. RF 0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21 0 1 5 ----Count 1607 45 155

V001732 Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

- 1. OFTEN
- 3. SOMETIMES
- 5. RARELY, OR
- 7. NEVER
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5	7
Count	1480	58	168	97	4

V001733 Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z23.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4> knows about politics? Would you say:

- 1. A GREAT DEAL
- 3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
- 5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
- 8. DK DON'T PROBE
- 9. RF DON'T PROBE
- 0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

	0	1	3	5
Count	1480	108	177	42

V001734 Z24. How name 4 voted in election MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Z24.

Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)

- 1. AL GORE
- 3. GEORGE W BUSH
- 5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
- 7. DIDN'T VOTE
- 8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

```
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
```

99. RF - DON'T PROBE

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	3	5
Count	1480	105	147	6
	7	8	98	99
Count	26	6	36	1

V001735 Z25. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z25.

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood.

During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

- 1. YES
- 5. NO
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001736 Z26. How satisfied is R with neighbrhood

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Z26.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

- 1. VERY SATISIFIED
- 2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
- 3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
- 4. VERY DISSATISFIED
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	844	528	120
	4	8	9	
Count	55	3	1	

V001737 Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98 Numeric

Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME
- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Q t-			244	
Count	256	99	344	627
	4	5	98	99
Count	324	95	58	4

V001738 Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98

Numeric

Z27a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 2. MOST OF THE TIME

- 3. SOME OF THE TIME
- 4. HARDLY EVER
- 5. NEVER
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	28	43	234
	4	5	98	99
Count	852	325	66	3

V001739 Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z27b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

- 1. ALL OF THE TIME
- 3. MOST OF THE TIME
- 5. SOME OF THE TIME
- 7. HARDLY EVER
- 8. NEVER
- 98. DK DON'T PROBE
- 99. RF DON'T PROBE
- 00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	398	820	223
	4	5	98	99
Count	46	17	43	4

V001740 Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

- 1 EXTREMELY WELL
- 2 QUITE WELL
- 3 NOT TOO WELL
- 4 NOT WELL AT ALL
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	256	440	873	118
	4	8	9	
Count	47	70	3	

V001741 Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z27d.

Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

- 1. ALL WHITE
- 2. MOSTLY WHITE
- 3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
- 4. MOSTLY BLACK
- 5. ALL BLACK
- 7. R VOLUNEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
- 8. DON'T KNOW
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	256	403	700	238	70
	5	7	8	9	
a .					
Count	30	104	5	1	

V001742 Z28. How satisfying is Rs life MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Z28.

In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're

spending your life these days? Would you call it completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very satisfying?

- 1. COMPLETELY SATISFYING
- 3. PRETTY SATISFYING
- 5. NOT VERY SATISFYING
- 8. DK
- 9. RF
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5	8	9
Count	256	317	1029	202	1	2

V001743a ZZ1(1). Others present for interview

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ1(1).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

- 0. NONE
- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 7. PHONE INTERVIEW COULD NOT TELL
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3
Count	431	87	48	 79
	4	5	7	9
Count	18	33	857	254

V001743b ZZ1(2). Others present for interview

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ1(2).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 7. PHONE INTERVIEW COULD NOT TELL
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

	1	2	3	4	5	7	9
Count	8	15	28	14	10	857	875

V001743c ZZ1(3). Others present for interview

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ1(3).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

- 1. CHLDREN UNDER 6
- 2. OLDER CHILDREN
- 3. SPOUSE
- 4. OTHER RELATIVES
- 5. OTHER ADULTS
- 7. PHONE INTERVIEW COULD NOT TELL
- 9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

	2	3	4	5	7	9
Count	3	6	3	6	857	932

V001744 ZZ2. Rs cooperation

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ2.

R's cooperation was:

- 1. VERY GOOD
- 2. GOOD
- 3. FAIR
- 4. POOR
- 5. VERY POOR
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 4 5

Count	257	978	452	104	12	4

V001745 ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ3.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	257	187	400	551	301	111

V001746 ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ4.

R's apparent intelligence:

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	272	243	543	675	63	11

V001747 ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ5.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

- 1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
- 3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
- 5. VERY SUSPICIOUS
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	3	5
Count	257	1483	63	4

V001748 ZZ6. How great Rs interest in IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ6.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

- 1. VERY HIGH
- 2. FAIRLY HIGH
- 3. AVERAGE
- 4. FAIRLY LOW
- 5. VERY LOW
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Count	257	425	519	498	88	20

V001749 ZZ7. How sincere did R seem

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ7.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

- 1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ11
- 3. USUALLY SINCERE --> ZZ7a
- 5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ7a
- 0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001750 ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity Character

ZZ7a.

IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

(BLANKED)

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER] This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

V001751a ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	257	12	 59	6	15	1
	20	22	30	31	40	41
Count	17	24	27	131	4	13

	45	50	70	80
Count	11	2	1023	205

V001751b ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	10	11	12	13	15
Count	1548	1	5	4	6	6
	20	2.2	2.0	21	4.0	11
	20	22	30	31	40	41
Count	2	9	10	25	6	7
	45	50	70	80		
Count	7	1	124	46		

V001751c ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	13	15	20	22
Count	1745	3	2	1	2
	30	31	40	41	45
Count	3	12	3	4	2
	50	70	80		
Count	1	26	3		

V001751d ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED

- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	15	30	31
Count	1789	2	1	3
	40	41	70	80
Count	2	2	5	3

V001751e ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT

- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	31	40	41	70
Count	1801	1	1	2	2

V001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	40	41
Count	1805	1	1

V001751g ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW

MD: EQ 0 Numeric ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

- 10. NEGATIVE GENERAL
- 11. NEGATIVE TOO LONG
- 12. NEGATIVE TOO COMPLICATED
- 13. NEGATIVE BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
- 15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
- 20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
- 30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
- 31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
- 40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
- 41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
- 45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
- 50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
- 70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
- 80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
- 00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

	0	70
Count	1806	1

V001752 Post-Rand.C9(2).

MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Post-Rand.C9(2).

Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
- 2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)
- 0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

	0	1	2
Count	629	406	772

V001753 Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1b-D1d.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers $\mathrm{D1b}\mathrm{-D1d}$

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
- 2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
- 4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
- 5. Nader 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 6. Nader 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
- 9. NA
- 0. INAP, no Post IW

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	187	162	663
	4	5	6	
Count	167	186	190	

V001754 Post-Rand.D2g.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.Dlg.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers D1q-D1n

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; no Democratic candidate

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	156	595	182
	10	11	12	99
Count	166	185	152	119

V001755 Post-Rand.D2h.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers $\operatorname{Dlg-Dln}$

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; no Republican candidate

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	623	149	162
	10	11	12	99
Count	156	189	168	108

V001756 Post-Rand.D2j.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1j.

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post Thermometers Dlq-Dln

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; no retiring House representative

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	14	17	47
	10	11	12	99
Count	24	15	18	1420

V001757 Post-Rand.D2k.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1k.

Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	142	154	128
	10	11	12	99
Count	137	441	131	422

V001758 Post-Rand.D2m.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D1m.

Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

	0	7	8	9
Count	252	108	150	148
	10	11	12	99
Count	138	133	477	401

V001759 Post-Rand.D2n.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.Dln.

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post Thermometers Dlg-Dln

This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05. Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA; INAP, no independent incumbent House candidate

	0	7	9	10	11	99
Count	252	1	1	1	1	1551

V001760 Post-Rand.D3a.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2a.

Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	46	45	58
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	43	50	57	44	516
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	38	44	49	36	48
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	35	38	43	51	39
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	35	43	56	50	46

V001761 Post-Rand.D3b.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2b.

Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	39	50	34	530
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	49	36	40	54
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	44	49	41	46	46
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	46	36	48	48	49
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	52	44	51	46	39

V001762 Post-Rand.D3c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2c.

Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

0 1 2 3 4

Count	252	39	37	40	40
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	43	45	45	56	42
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	53	55	40	53	55
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	51	40	45	42	36
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	49	53	25	527	44

V001763 Post-Rand.D3d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2d.

Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	42	50	55	53
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	57	46	43	44	46
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	34	36	49	53	45

	15	16	17	18	19
Count	37	41	36	42	36
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	23	41	64	 59	523

V001764 Post-Rand.D3e.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2e.

Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	48	61	51	41
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	35	521	52	41
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	38	62	51	43	38
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	52	53	40	45	38
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	35	43	43	40	37

V001765 Post-Rand.D3f.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99 Numeric

Post-Rand.D2f.

Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	38	44	535	37
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	38	42	48	47	57
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	54	46	42	41	48
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	39	59	54	45	41
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	41	37	27	44	51

V001766 Post-Rand.D3g.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2g.

Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	49	45	38	47
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	42	529	43	40	44
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	50	45	51	51	48
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	49	47	43	48	34
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	47	37	42	41	45

V001767 Post-Rand.D3h.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2h.

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00.	Inap,	no	Post	interview
99.	NA			

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	512	48	47	50
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	50	38	45	46	63
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	44	33	41	44	37
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	60	45	40	47	51
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	45	40	43	37	49

V001768

Post-Rand.D3j.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2j.

Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	49	50	53	43
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	58	41	45	45	41

	10	11	12	13	14
Count	47	31	36	57	56
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	41	30	42	45	519
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	52	50	33	49	42

V001769

Post-Rand.D3k.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2k.

Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

0	1	2	3	4
252	37	43	33	34
5	6	7	8	9
40	54	48	34	47
10	11	12	13	14
46	538	40	44	45
15	16	17	18	19
48	49	43	42	46
20	21	22	23	24
	252 5 40 10 46 15 48	252 37 5 6 40 54 10 11 46 538 15 16 48 49	252 37 43 5 6 7 40 54 48 10 11 12 46 538 40 15 16 17 48 49 43	252 37 43 33 5 6 7 8 40 54 48 34 10 11 12 13 46 538 40 44 15 16 17 18

Count 58 46 51 40 49

V001770 Post-Rand.D3m.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2m.

Order of Poor People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	42	58	51
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	33	50	36	40	32
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	44	44	48	43	48
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	41	521	40	63	52
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	51	36	50	44

V001771 Post-Rand.D3n.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2n.

Order of People on Welfare in Post Group Thermometers

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	43	38	44
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	45	43	37	58	41
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	522	40	46	49	41
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	41	54	44	36	48
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	54	46	43	46	51

V001772 Post-Rand.D3p.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2p.

Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization

were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	58	34	35	47
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	44	48	51	38	40
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	47	40	36	54	49
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	43	45	43	520	41
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	47	43	65	44

V001773 Post-Rand.D3q.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2q.

Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	52	46	41	58
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	39	43	50	60	52
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	45	39	50	528	40
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	43	45	44	41	45
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	31	47	49	39	28

V001774 Post-Rand.D3r.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2r.

Order of Women's Movement in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	 252	43	 45	43	 45
Couric	232	43	43	43	13
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	52	38	40	37
	10	11	12	13	14

Count	39	48	38	48	42
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	52	31	53	47	51
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	50	527	43	46

V001775 Post-Rand.D3s.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2s.

Order of Older People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	50	43	44	53
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	38	43	535	37
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	39	45	37	40	50
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	47	44	46	42	52
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	52	43	59	27	42

V001776 Post-Rand.D3t.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2t.

Order of Environmentalists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	45	44	42	43
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	31	45	51	52
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	41	33	59	35	42
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	38	46	38	44	52
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	55	534	44	47	47

V001777 Post-Rand.D3u.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2u.

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	51	30	44	38
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	42	39	47	45
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	45	52	518	44	47
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	48	58	44	50	45
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	41	54	39	47	40

V001778 Post-Rand.D3v.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2v.

Order of Christian Coalition in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such

cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	39	48	51	42
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	34	47	42	39	60
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	53	53	26	37	52
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	64	46	47	35	46
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	524	37	44	37	52

V001779 Post-Rand.D3w.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2w.

Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	63	43	39	39

	5	6	7	8	9
Count	49	41	38	29	44
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	46	56	43	51	35
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	36	45	527	45	52
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	45	49	49	39	52

V001780 Post-Rand.D3x.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2x.

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z _____

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview

99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	46	37	42	38
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	523	45	56	42	39
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	55	46	50	33	43
	15	16	17 	18	19

Count	47	45	47	50	40
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	5.2	3.8	51	39	51

V001781 Post-Rand.D3xx.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2xx.

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

· NA	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	23	528	46	41
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	47	44	64	54	34
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	52	39	41	52	33
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	37	46	53	40	59
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	46	32	55	45	44

V001782 Post-Rand.D3y.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	40	59	59	42
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	36	49	47	38	54
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	40	40	66	38	524
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	34	37	48	42	33
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	46	44	38	62	39

V001783 Post-Rand.D3z.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the

correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview 99. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	57	39	42	41
	5	6	7	8	9
Count	54	53	38	36	37
	10	11	12	13	14
Count	39	41	57	35	43
	15	16	17	18	19
Count	526	54	47	45	50
	20	21	22	23	24
Count	43	49	43	36	50

V001784 Post-Rand.E1/E3.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.E1/E3.

Post: Order of Democratic/Republican House candidates in 'Likes-Dislikes' E1-E2/E3-E4

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd

- 2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	9
Count	252	1017	534	4

V001785 Post-Rand.G3-G5.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G3-G5.

Post: Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Liberal-Conservative placements G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
- 4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
- 5. Buchanan 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
- 6. Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Gore 4th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3
a .		101	150	
Count	252	181	172	659
	4	5	6	
Count	184	180	179	

V001786 Post-Rand.G6-G7.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G6-G7.

Post: Order of Democratic and Republican House candidates in Liberal-Conservative Placements G6-G7/G6.T-G7.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
- 2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

V001787

Post-Rand.G8-G10.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G8-G10.

Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such

cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
- 2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
- 3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
- 4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
- 5. Reform Party 7th , Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
- 6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	181	172	659
	4	5	6	
Count	184	180	179	

V001788 Post-Rand.G11b/c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G11b/c.

Post: Order Democratic and Republican House candidates in Services-Spending placements G11b-G11c/G11b.T-G11c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented

- 1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
- 2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

1 0 ____ 252 Count 1078 477

V001789 Post-Rand.G12b/c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.G12b/c.

 ${\tt Post:} \ {\tt Order} \ {\tt Democratic} \ {\tt and} \ {\tt Republican} \ {\tt House} \ {\tt candidates} \ {\tt in} \ {\tt Abortion} \\ {\tt placements}$

G12b-G12c/G12b.T-G12c.T

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
- 2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

0 1 2 ---- ---- -----Count 252 1214 341

V001790 Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.R2b-R2d.

Post: Order of racial groups Hardworking

R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th

- 2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
- 4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	190	671	180
	4	5	6	9
Count	177	166	168	3

V001791 Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.

Post: Order of racial groups Intelligent

R3b-R3d/R3b.T-R3d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
- 2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
- 4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	185	164	179
	4	5	6	9

Count 174 651 199 3

V001792 Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.

Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy

R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

- 1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
- 2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
- 4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 5. Asian-Americans 2nd , Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
- 6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3
Count	252	174	191	192
	4	5	6	9
Count	646	170	179	3

V001793 Post-Rand.S14a.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S14a.

Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews

--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	135	130	372	126	792

V001794

Post-Rand.S14b.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S14b.

Post: Order of Hopeful Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	131	348	138	146	792

V001795 Post-Rand.S14c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S14c.

Post: Order of Afraid Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	359	140	138	126	792

V001796

Post-Rand.S14d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S14d.

Post: Order of Proud Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4	9
Count	252	138	145	115	365	792

V001797 Post-Rand.S15a.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15a.

Post: Order of Moral in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview

9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	301	84	83	81
	5	6	7	9	
Count	73	 74	 67	 792	

V001798 Post-Rand.S15b.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Clinton traits S15a-S15q.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	68	78	65	87
	5	6	7	9	
Count	 78	308	 79	792	

V001799

Post-Rand.S15c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15c.

Post: Order of Knowlegeable in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	76	301	81	68
	5	6	7	9	
Count	83	86	68	792	

V001800 Post-Rand.S15d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	82	80	66	69
	5	6	7	9	
Count	76	78	312	792	

V001801 Post-Rand.S15e.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	70	60	77	72

	5	6	7	9
Count	325	75	84	792

V001802 Post-Rand.S15f.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	81	71	80	309
	5	6	7	9	
Count	68	71	83	792	

V001803 Post-Rand.S15g.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand.S15q.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization

were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	 85	89	311	 77
	5	6	7	9	
Count	60	71	70	792	

V001804 Post-Rand.V5a.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5a.

Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	137	139	134	130
	5	6	7	9	
Count	539	118	134	224	

V001805 Post-Rand.V5b.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	139	140	542	128
	5	6	7	9	
Count	130	124	128	224	

V001806 Post-Rand.V5c.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	140	114	129	135
	5	6	7	9	
Count	116	149	548	224	

V001807 Post-Rand.V5d.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview

9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	545	139	132	131
	5	6	7	9	
Count	130	128	126	224	

V001808 Post-Rand. V5e.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,

portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	114	127	129	137
	5	6	7	9	
Count	121	567	136	224	

V001809 Post-Rand.V5f.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g. ______

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

- 0. Inap, no Post interview
- 9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	135	127	129	544
	5	6	7	9	
Count	133	126	137	224	

V001810 Post-Rand.V5g.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-Rand. V5g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews —or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews —that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview

9. NA

	0	1	2	3	4
Count	252	121	545	136	126
	5	6	7	9	
Count	162	119	122	224	