

How Strong Is Your Tinder Game? Strategic Two-Sided Search in Swipe-Based Dating App Markets

Patricio Hernandez Senosiain

Abstract

Your abstract here.

Supervisor: Dr. Jonathan Cave

Department of Economics

2019-2022

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	1.1 Related Work	1
2	Model	2
	2.1 Setup	2
	2.2 The Dating Market	2
	2.3 The Search Problem	
3	Equilibrium	2
	3.1 Steady-State Equilibrium	2
	3.2 Numerical Computation	2
	3.3 Comparative Statics	2
4	Playing Cupid	2
	4.1 Directed Search: PageRank Suggestions	3
5	Conclusion	3
	5.1 Future Work	3
Aj	ppendix	5
\mathbf{A}	Uniqueness and Existence of Search Problem	5

1 Introduction

Points to discuss on introduction

- What is Tinder? (brief)
 - When was it started?
 - What is swiping?
 - How popular it is?
- Why does Tinder pose an interesting economic problem?
 - Stage interaction
 - Platform features: budgets, observability, directed search, asynchronicity
 - Repeated games: curse of dimensionality, beliefs and meta-beliefs
- What and how does this paper study?
 - Model of two-sided search with strategic considerations
 - Equilibrium refinement, computation, and analysis
 - Planner considerations on directed search and budget setting
- What does this paper contribute?
 - First model to address budgeted search in Tinder?
 - First model to combine idiosyncracy and pizzaz
 - Case study for the use of computational techniques in

1.1 Related Work

- Searching and Matching
 - Gale and Shapley (1962), Roth and Sotomayor (1992)
 - Two-sided: Burdett and Wright (1998), Chade (2006), Smith, Adachi
 - Does not consider budgets
 - * ... important as this is a way for planners to influence outcomes
- Mean-Field Game Theory: Iyer et al. (2014), Gummadi et al. (2013), Jovanovic and Rosenthal (1988)
 - No models on MFG for Tinder
- Modern Dating Apps: Olmeda (2021), Kanoria and Saban (2021)
 - Not models where behaviour is derived from rational utility-maximizing assumptions

2 Model

2.1 Setup

- Who are the players?
 - Disjoint sets of men and women in the platform
 - They have pizzaz type $\mu, \omega \in [0, 1]$
- What do they do?
 - They get anonymously and sequentially partnered up
 - To their knowledge, this happens in a random manner.
 - They observe the suggestion's attractiveness $\theta \in [0, 1]$
 - They can choose to swipe left or right, thus $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$.
 - If they both swipe right on each other, they match. Note this doesn't mean they leave.
- What do they know?
 - Equally agents face a cap on the number of right swipes they have
 - $-B_m$ for men and
- What are their preferences?

2.2 The Dating Market

- Entry flows
- Leaves (including geometric lifetime)
- Distribution

2.3 The Search Problem

- 3 Equilibrium
- 3.1 Steady-State Equilibrium
- 3.2 Numerical Computation
- 3.3 Comparative Statics

4 Playing Cupid

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

4.1 Directed Search: PageRank Suggestions

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis portitior. Vestibulum portitior. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

5 Conclusion

In this chapter we shall do a reference to an entry in the bibliography, bibliography.bib.

What we know of the invention of the flux capacitor is that Dr. Emmett Brown thought of this when hanging a clock in the bathroom. He was standing on his porcelain sink and slipped because it was wet, the resulting hit on the head was apparently a cause to this invention Brown (1955).

5.1 Future Work

The corresponding sketch made on this day has been attached in appendix ??.

References

- Brown, E. (1955). The creation of the flux capacitor. .
- Burdett, K. and Wright, R. (1998). Two-sided search with nontransferable utility. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 1(1):220–245.
- Chade, H. (2006). Matching with noise and the acceptance curse. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 129(1):81–113.
- Gale, D. and Shapley, L. S. (1962). College admissions and the stability of marriage. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 69(1):9–15.
- Gummadi, R., Key, P., and Proutiere, A. (2013). Optimal bidding strategies and equilibria in dynamic auctions with budget constraints. *Available at SSRN 2066175*.
- Iyer, K., Johari, R., and Sundararajan, M. (2014). Mean field equilibria of dynamic auctions with learning. *Management Science*, 60(12):2949–2970.
- Jovanovic, B. and Rosenthal, R. W. (1988). Anonymous sequential games. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 17(1):77–87.
- Kanoria, Y. and Saban, D. (2021). Facilitating the search for partners on matching platforms. *Management Science*.
- Olmeda, F. (2021). Towards a statistical physics of dating apps. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14076.
- Roth, A. E. and Sotomayor, M. (1992). Two-sided matching. *Handbook of game theory with economic applications*, 1:485–541.

A Uniqueness and Existence of Search Problem