Program Design

Stuart Paton

February 6, 2013

1 Introduction

In this document I will discuss my design and modelling considerations for my project's implementation by thorough discussion of each task chosen.

2 Internals

To construct accurate models of each Catalan structure I have, in the file "Internal.hs", created a type class called Catalan which is defined as follows:

In this type class, I have thee operators: *empty*, *cons* and *decons* which are the operators for an empty structure; to construct, or compose a structure and deconstruct (or decompose) a structure.

This module also holds type synonyms for the type **Permutation** which is:

```
type Permutation = [Int]
```

As we can see, a permutation is just a list of integers.

The functions for composing a bijection for two catalan structures is also stored in this module. It is a recursive function which runs a bijection by decomposing a structure and checking it does not have a value Nothing. It looks as follows:

3 Catalan Structures

The module **Catalan Structures** is the module which contains all of the bijections I have implemented within the program. These bijections will be analysed by finding statistics for each structure and investigating which statistics are preserved for each bijection.

Currently the standard bijection from [1] has been implemented and is as follows:

This bijection converts a stack sortable permutation to a Dyck path. It does this by using the recursive formula: $f(\pi) = uf(\pi'_L)df(\pi_R)$ and $f(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. π'_L is defined as "the permutation of $1, 2, ..., |\pi_L|$ obtained from $|\pi_L|$ by subtracting $|\pi_R|$ from each of its letters."[1]

To perform the subtraction, I have used a function which I have named **red** for reduction. It is as follows:

It takes a pair of StackSortablePermutation's as input and returns a single StackSortablePermutation. It does this via the method for obtaining $|\pi_L|$ above.

4 Dyck Paths

To model Dyck paths, I created a module which I named **DyckPath**. To represent a Dyck Path I have a list of up-steps and down-steps. Each step is represented by the algebraic data type Step which uses the encoding U for an up-step and D for a down-step. A full Dyck path is represented as a list of steps shown below in the type synonym DyckPath.

```
\begin{array}{lll} data & Step = U \mid D \ deriving \ (Eq, \ Show) \\ type & DyckPath = [\,Step\,] \end{array}
```

Next I created an instance of Catalan for the type DyckPath. It is constructed as follows:

```
instance Catalan DyckPath where
    empty = []
    cons alpha beta = mkIndec alpha ++ beta
    decons gamma = stripMaybe $ decompose gamma
```

The function mkIndec takes a Dyck path, alpha, and makes an indecomposable DyckPath by prepending a U to the start of alpha then a D to the end of alpha. Then to fully compose our Dyck path with a given alpha and beta we just append beta to mkIndec alpha as is shown above.

Decomposing a Dyck path is the hardest task faced in the design and implementation of the model of a Dyck path. To do this we make a function called *decompose* with takes in a parameter gamma, where gamma is a full Dyck path. Our function decompose looks like the following:

This function starts off by taking a Dyck path and mapping each element of the Dyck path to the height of each element in the half, except from the first element which is disregarded. This is shown by 0:ht. In order to obtain (ys, zs) we use the span function which splits the list into our alpha and beta lists disgregarding the down-step which is appended to alpha. To finish off we apply the following to Just. We map the first element of the pair to all the elements of ys except the first, and we then map the first element of the pair to zs. For the height function, it is defined as follows:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{height} & :: & \text{DyckPath} & -> & [\text{Int}] \\ \text{height} & = & \text{scanl} & (+) & 0 & . & \text{map dy} \\ & & \text{where} & \\ & & \text{dy} & \text{U} & = & 1 \\ & & & \text{dy} & \text{D} & = & -1 \end{array}
```

As this is in O(n) time instead of the next example which is in $O(n^2)$ time it is more efficient as it repeatedly adds the partal sums starting from 0 to each element which was mapped to their dy values. The next example is the $O(n^2)$ version.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{height} & :: & \text{DyckPath} & -> [\, \text{Int} \,] \\ \text{height} & = & \text{map sum} & . & \text{inits} & . \text{map dy} \\ & & \text{where} \\ & & \text{dy} & \text{U} & = & 1 \\ & & \text{dy} & \text{D} & = & -1 \end{array}
```

Here we start by mapping our encodings of U and D to create a list of 1's and -1's. By applying this to the function inits, it creates a list of partial sums which we then fully add together using "map sum" and we have the height of each element.

5 Stack Sortable Permutations

To model Stack Sortable permutations, or 132-avoiding permutations I have used the standard model for constructing them within my Haskell module named **StackSortPerm**.

A stack sortable permutation is one which avoids the permutation 132. As such, it is in the form $\alpha n\beta$. That is, to say $\alpha \prec \beta$ or, all the elements of α are less then all the elements of β and n is the largest element of the permutation. To model this we make an instance of the Catalan type class:

```
\begin{array}{ll} instance \ Catalan \ StackSortablePermutation \ where \\ cons = mkIndec \\ decons = decompose \end{array}
```

Where,

```
type StackSortablePermutation = Permutation
```

and as defined in Internals:

```
type Permutation = [Integer]
```

To create our cons operator we must ensure that we take as parameters our alpha and beta and then generate n. This is created as follows:

```
mkIndec :: StackSortablePermutation -> StackSortablePermutation
-> StackSortablePermutation
mkIndec alpha beta = alpha ++ [n] ++ beta
where
n = toInteger $ length (alpha ++ beta) + 1
```

To generate n, we take the length of alpha and the length of beta and then add one to the result, and finally convert it from Int to Integer.

Finally to decompose our permutation σ we use the following function:

Here, to decompose σ into a pair of stack sortable permutations, (α, β) I firstly use the *break* function from the Haskell prelude, which splits a list into a pair of lists over a given condition. So here we are splitting the permutation sigma where at the position n where $\sigma_n = l$. In this case l is $|\sigma|$. Secondly, I use the function removeHeadSnd which is a function to remove the head of the second list in a pair since when the function break is applied, the element it splits the list over is the head of the second list. The function is the following:

```
removeHeadSnd :: (t, [a]) \rightarrow (t, [a])
removeHeadSnd (alpha, beta) = (alpha, tail beta)
```

As we can see, it just returns the original first list of the pair, along with the tail of the second list.

Once this is created, the function will decompose to its original α and β .

6 Statistics

In order to investigate the statistics related to each Catalan structure, I have a section of each module which defined the statistics which relate to individual structures.

For Dyck paths, I have the following statistics:

```
--Number of up steps
uCnt :: DyckPath -> Int
uCnt = count U
--Number of down steps
dCnt :: DyckPath -> Int
dCnt = count D
--Number of returns to the x axis
returnsXAxis :: DyckPath -> Int
returns X Axis dp = count 0 $ height dp
--Number of peaks
{- algorithm:
1) split into lists at each 0
2) find number of highest element of each list
3) sum of counts from step 2
--peaks :: DyckPath -> Int
peaks dp = sum $ largestElemCnt $ split
        where
        split = splitWhen (== 0) $ height dp
```

Although the comments describe what each statistic is for, I will systematically describe how each statistic is obtained and in relation to the base set of permutation statistics in [1] what each statistic will relate to.

The statistics above count the number of up-steps, down-steps, peaks and returns to the x-axis. Respectively these will relate to the asc, dsc, pak and valley statistics for permutations.

References

[1] Anders Claesson and Sergey Kitaev, Classification of bijections between 321-and 132-avoiding permutations, 2008.