

Catalan Structures and their Bijections

Stuart Paton

March 25, 2013

There are more than 100 combinatorial structures whose cardinalities are given by the so called Catalan numbers. The examples used are permutations of \mathfrak{S}_3 , Dyck Paths, Young Tableaux and triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon. On the surface, these structures are very different, but being equinumerous there must be one-to-one correspondences that allows us to translate between them. In this project we study commonalities shared by Catalan structures by programming one-to-one correspondences. We do this in a systematic way by recursively decomposing the structures. The actual one-to-one correspondences are then automatically derived, given the decompositions. Finally we visualise each structure in our application which converts between each Catalan structure.

From this point we then analyse the bijections from a base set of combinatorial statistics and report our findings from the evaluation of statistics.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my project supervisor Dr Anders Claesson for all the help and support he gave me throughout this project. I would also like to thank Dr Sergey Kitaev for the constructive feedback given at the poster presentation.

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Report Structure	6
2	Survey of Related Work	7
2.1	Summary of "On the Mixing Time of the Triangulation and Other Catalan Structures	7
2.2	Summary of "Classification of bijections between 321- and 132- avoiding permutations"	8
2.3	Summary of "Catalan Numbers"	9
2.4	Summary of "Stack Sortable Permutations"	11
3	Combinatorial Structures	11
3.1	Dyck Paths	11
3.1.1	Proof of composition and generalisation	12
3.2	Stack Sortable Permutations	13
3.2.1	Stack Sortable Permutations - Definitions	14
3.2.2	Single Pass Stack Sortable Permutations	15
3.3	Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon	16
3.3.1	Convex Polygon	16
3.3.2	Proof that the amount of triangulations is C'_n	17
3.4	Young Tableau	18
3.4.1	Young Diagram	18
3.4.2	Young Tableaux	18
3.4.3	Proof that Young Tableaux are Catalan Structures	19
4	Program Design	20
4.1	Internals	20
4.2	Catalan Structures	21
4.3	Dyck Paths	22
4.4	Stack Sortable Permutations	24

4.5	Permutations avoiding 123	26
4.6	Young Tableaux	27
4.7	Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon	28
4.8	Graphics	30
4.9	Statistics	32
4.9.1	Dyck Path Statistics	32
4.9.2	Permutation Statistics	36
4.10	Graphical User Interface	39
5	Bijections	40
5.1	Standard Bijection	40
5.1.1	Examples of Standard Bijection	41
5.2	Dyck path to 132-avoiding permutation	42
5.3	Simion-Schmidt Bijection	43
5.4	Fulemk Bijection	43
5.5	Richards Bijection	44
5.6	Knuth-Richards Bijection	44
6	Combinatorial Statistics	45
6.1	Introduction	45
6.2	Permutation statistics	45
6.3	Dyck Path statistics	46
6.4	Young Tableaux statistics	47
6.5	$n + 2$ -gon statistics	47
6.6	Mapping of statistics	47
6.6.1	Permutations \rightarrow Dyck Paths	47
7	Analysis of Bijections	47
7.1	Equidistribution theorem	47
7.2	Standard Bijection	48

7.2.1	Analysis of equidistribution of Standard bijection over many permutations	48
7.3	Simion Schmidt Bijection	49
7.3.1	Analysis of Simion-Schmidt bijection where $\pi = 6743152$	49
7.3.2	Analysis of equidistribution of Simion Schmidt bijection over many permutations	50
7.3.3	Analysis of equidistribution of Fulmek bijection over many permutations	50
7.3.4	Analysis of equidistribution of Richards bijection over many Dyck Paths	51
7.3.5	Analysis of equidistribution of Knuth Richards bijection over many permutations	52
8	Appendix 1: Tables of data	56
9	Appendix 2 - Test Strategy	67
10	Appendix 3 - User Guide	67
11	Appendix 4 - Code Listing	67

1 Introduction

Catalan structures are combinatorial structures which satisfy the recurrence relation of the n th Catalan number, c_n ;

$$c_n = c_0c_{n-1} + c_1c_{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-1}c_0 \quad (1)$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and $c_1 = 1$. For $n \geq 1$ we have $c_n = \binom{2n}{n}/(n+1)$. [10]

All Catalan structures are equinumerous in the respect that they all have the same cardinality, and are hence equivalent as they satisfy the recurrence relation above.

In this project, I looked at a small amount of Catalan structures and evaluated their generating functions and modelled each structure in Haskell, and allowed the user to convert between them using known bijections. Finally graphics for each structure was modelled allowing the user the capabilities of visualising each structure on screen.

1.1 Report Structure

This report will be structured in the following format. Chapter 1 provides a description of the aims and scope of this project and a brief summary for the structure of this report. Chapter 2 will provide a literature review where other work in this field will be surveyed and critically reviewed. The next few chapters will contain details into the design of the project where each combinatorial structure will be discussed and the design of the system will be discussed in detail. Finally the evaluation will be discussed fully within the final two chapters.

2 Survey of Related Work

In this chapter a survey of related work will be carried out in the field of enumerative combinatorics surrounding Catalan structures. Many academic papers and books were used to develop a sound understanding of the field and enable the project to be carried out. In the chapter named Combinatorial Structures, there is a discussion on each Catalan structure used.

2.1 Summary of "On the Mixing Time of the Triangulation and Other Catalan Structures"

The first paper that will be discussed is "On the Mixing Time of the Triangulation Walk and other Catalan Structures" by Lisa McShine and Prasad Tetali.[10] This is the key paper that was used to develop an insight to what a Catalan structure is, and some structures which are used throughout this project. The paper describes the amount of steps necessary to generate a triangulation of a convex n -gon uniformly at random.

In section 2 of this paper, Catalan structures were introduced to the reader as a recurrence relation which the n th Catalan number, c_n satisfies. The problems of triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon, and Dyck paths are also introduced to the reader by simply stating the problem. A triangulation of an $n + 2$ -gon is a dissection of a convex polygon with $n + 2$ diagonals using non-intersecting diagonals of the same polygon. In the paper a Dyck path is introduced as a lattice path with steps of $(1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$ which never fall below the x -axis.

2.2 Summary of "Classification of bijections between 321- and 132- avoiding permutations"

The next paper being discussed is "Classification of bijections between 321- and 132- avoiding permutations" by Anders Claesson and Sergey Kitaev. [4] Claesson and Kitaev prove that the many permutations discussed in section 3 of the literature were related and hence equivalent through use of a "trivial" bijection known within the paper as the "standard bijection", or "Knuth's bijection". This bijection is the fundamental bijection which maps a Dyck path to a Stack Sortable Permutation, as discussed in section 5.1 of this report. All bijections are classified by a fixed large set of statistics which obtain substantial extensions of known results, and a survey and analysis of these bijections are given. Finally a recursive definition of the Knuth-Richards bijection is given before proofs of the two main theorems within the paper. The main question which this paper answers is "what does it mean to say that one bijection is better than the other?" Section 1 gives an in depth survey of the results within the paper along with giving the main definitions of a permutation, permutation pattern avoidance and each set of statistics.

Theorem 1 from this paper states that the "results are maximal in the sense that adding one more pair of equidistributed statistics from [the main set of statistics] to any of the results would create a linear dependency among the statistics." Theorem 2 states that there are certain relations among bijections between 321- and 132-avoiding permutations which hold", and that there are "no other relations among the bijections and their inverses via the trivial bijections that do not follow from" the bijections discussed within this theorem. Section two discusses permutation statistics by giving a systematic introduction to what a permutation statistic is and introduces a number of statistics of interest. This is a fundamental piece of the paper as the statistics are paramount in giving a systematic analysis of each bijection.

The bijections studied in section 3 are: Knuth's bijection which maps a Dyck Path to a Stack sortable permutation, and its inverse which uses the RSK-

correspondence and Young Tableaux's which is discussed in section ?? of this report; Knuth-Rotem's bijections which maps 321-avoiding permutations to Dyck Paths by using the ballot number sequence problem and rotates the outputted lattice path to a Dyck path by rotating the lattice path $3\pi/4$ radians; Simion-Schmidt's bijection which is discussed in section ?? of this report; Knuth-Richard's bijection which is discussed in section ?? of this report; West's bijection which maps a 123-avoiding permutation to a 132-avoiding permutation by an isomorphism between generating trees; Krattenthaler's bijection which maps 123-avoiding permutations to 132-avoiding permutations by using Dyck paths as intermediate objects; Billey-Jockusch-Stanley-Reifegerste's bijection which maps 321-avoiding permutations to 132-avoiding permutations; Elizalde-Deutsch's bijection which maps 321- and 132-avoiding permutations to Dyck Paths; and finally Mansour-Deng-Du's bijection which maps 321-avoiding permutations to 231-avoiding permutations. These bijections are discussed exceptionally well as systematic descriptions of each bijection and how to compose and decompose each is given.

The recursive description of Knuth-Richards bijection in section 4 is a very interesting section which is well discussed as it shows how to carry out the bijection using a recursive map.

The proofs in sections 5 and 6 are fundamental to the paper as it discusses how each statistic is found for each bijection and hence shows that the statistics are equidistributed answering the main question asked in section 1 of this paper.

2.3 Summary of "Catalan Numbers"

The following paper discussed is "Catalan Numbers" by Tom Davies. [5] Davies took a number of problems which are equinumerous in the sense that the formal power series of each problem is the Catalan numbers. Compared to the other papers discussed in this chapter it is significantly smaller in length at 12 pages. Section 1 describes the problems whilst section 2, 3 and

4 give a recursive solution to each problem and section 5 gives a generating function solution to show each problem is equinumerous.

The problems discussed in section 1 are: Balanced Parentheses which is analogous to Dyck Paths in section 3.1 of this report, as there is a direct mapping of $u \rightarrow ($ and $d \rightarrow)$ where u is an up-step and d is a down-step of any Dyck path; the mountain range problem. This is analogous to the Dyck path problem in section 3.1 of this report; the diagonal-avoiding path problem. This is where "in a grid of $n \times n$ squares, how many paths are there of length $2n$ that lead from the upper left corner to the lower right corner that do not touch the diagonal dotted line from upper left to lower right?"; The polygon triangulation problem which is discussed in section 3.3 of this report; The hands across a table problem which is the same problem as the polygon triangulation problem except it is not done within an explicitly defined polygon; The problem of binary trees, which is the problem where the author counts how many binary trees can be found with n internal nodes (nodes which are not the root node); The plane rooted trees problem which is the same problem as the previous problem except a node can have any number of sub-nodes and not just two; The problem of skew polyomios which is a "set of squares connected by their edges, such that every vertical and horizontal line hits a connected set of squares and such that the successive columns of squares from left to right increase in height"; and finally the problem of multiplication orderings. This problem is based on the associative law of multiplication and it studied without changing the orders of the numbers being multiplied, how many times can you multiply the numbers together in many orders?

Sections 2, 3 and 4 show that each problem has a recursive formulae which is incredibly useful in formulating the structures within this project. Finally section 5 shows the generating function solution where an explicit formula for the Catalan numbers is gained. Without this generating function solution we potentially would not have an explicit formula for Catalan numbers.

2.4 Summary of "Stack Sortable Permutations"

The final paper discussed is "Stack Sortable Permutations" by D. Rotem. [13] Rotem took the fact that the class of stack sortable permutations, SS_n , is in one to one correspondence with the set of n -noded binary trees, and then went on to show "that many properties of a binary tree are related to different types of monotonic subsequences in the corresponding permutation."

In section 1 of this paper, Rotem described what a stack sortable permutation is and mapped it to a series of pushes and pops from the stack. In section 2, Rotem went on to describe monotonic subsequences in SS_n and show their relation to binary trees. A fundamental relation was theorem 3 which states that "the length of a longest decreasing subsequence in $\pi \in SS_n$ is equal to the depth of stack which is needed to traverse T_π in symmetric order. In this paper T_π is defined as the tree from a permutation π .

Section 4 of this paper discusses the average number of inversions in SS_n , by using various theorems introduced within this paper. The main result is that "on average a random permutation of SS_n contains $\mathcal{O}(n^{1.5})$ inversions, whereas the corresponding value for a random permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$."

Finally section 5 discusses graphs associated with SS_n . In this section the standard definition of a graph in graph theory is given and then the section introduces the fact that a graph, $G(N)$ has a *defining permutation* "with respect to labelling if there is a permutation π on N such that vertices $i - j$ if and only if i and j form an inversion in π ." Before concluding, section 5 further discusses various theorems which relate graphs associated to SS_n .

3 Combinatorial Structures

In this chapter we will be analysing each structure which is being analysed and evaluated within this report.

3.1 Dyck Paths

A Dyck path of length $2n$ is a lattice path from $(0, 0)$ to $(2n, 0)$ with steps:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (1, 1) \\ d &= (1, -1) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

that never go below the x -axis.

We can see that if \mathfrak{D} denotes the set of all Dyck paths then one has the following relation for \mathfrak{D} :

$$\mathfrak{D} = 1 + ud\mathfrak{D} + u\mathfrak{D}d\mathfrak{D} \tag{3}$$

but since the first path does not have to have its first pattern as ud then we can generalise to

$$\mathfrak{D} = \epsilon + u\mathfrak{D}d\mathfrak{D} \tag{4}$$

by letting $1 = \epsilon$ (empty set).[6]

3.1.1 Proof of composition and generalisation

Keep encodings as above with ϵ being the empty set.

Pictorially:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D} &= \dots \\ &= \epsilon + ud + udud + uudd + ududud + uduudd + \dots \\ &= \epsilon + u\mathfrak{D}d\mathfrak{D} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

From this we can get the Catalan number by looking at formal power series:

$$\psi : \mathbb{Q} \langle\langle u, d \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[x]] \tag{6}$$

and by letting $u \rightarrow x$ and $d \rightarrow 1$ for ψ .

To show the relation let us look at the Catalan numbers generation function, c :

$$c = \psi(\mathfrak{D}) \text{ and } c_{coeff} = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n \quad (7)$$

so from our $u \rightarrow x$ and $d \rightarrow 1$ propositions we get:

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \psi(\mathfrak{D}) \\ &= \psi(\epsilon + u\mathfrak{D}\mathbf{d}_{\mathfrak{D}}) \\ &= \psi(\epsilon + x\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}) \\ \text{let } \epsilon &= 1 \text{ also, so} \\ \therefore c &= \psi(1 + x\mathfrak{D}.\mathfrak{D}) \\ &= \psi(1 + x\mathfrak{D}^2) \end{aligned}$$

so for Catalan numbers, the recurrence is

$$c = 1 + xc^2 \quad (9)$$

which is analogous to $1 + x\mathfrak{D}^2$, so the formal power series of $c = 1 + xc^2$ is the same as the formal power series of $\mathfrak{D} = 1 + x\mathfrak{D}^2$ which is 1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, ... and this is the Catalan numbers.

This is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} c(x) &= \sum 1 + xc(x)^2 \\ &= \sum \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x} \\ &= 1 + x + 2x + 5x^2 + 14x^3 + 42x^4 + 132x^5 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

□

3.2 Stack Sortable Permutations

Stack sortable permutations were introduced by Donald Knuth in the 1960's with a problem involving the movement of railways cars across a railroad switching network. [7] [8]

A formal description of the stack sorting problem is as follows:

Consider an n -sized permutation $\sigma = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n$. This is known as the 'input'. To start with we push α_1 on to the stack. Secondly, we compare it with the element α_2 . If $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ then we push α_2 onto the stack, otherwise we pop α_1 from the stack and add it to the output and push α_2 on to the stack.

We continue this process of taking the leftmost element of our permutation and comparing it with the top element on the stack and repeating our comparison until the input is empty, the stack is empty and the output is full.

3.2.1 Stack Sortable Permutations - Definitions

Definition A:

The identity permutation a permutation σ such that the image is in lexicographic ordering. This is $\sigma = \alpha_{1'}\alpha_{2'}...\alpha_{n-1'}\alpha_{n'}$ such that $\alpha_{1'} < \alpha_{2'} < ... < \alpha_{n-1'} < \alpha_{n'}$.

Definition B:

We say that a permutation σ is *single pass stack sortable* if the image $s(\sigma)$ is the identity permutation.

Theorem A:

Consider the permutation $\sigma = \rho_1\rho_2...\rho_{n-1}\rho_n$.

Let $n = \max(\rho_1, \rho_2, ..., \rho_{n-1}, \rho_n)$

Let α and β be the terms such that $\sigma = \alpha n \beta$.

Then:

$$s(\sigma) = s(\alpha)s(\beta)n$$

Proof: Every element before n will enter and leave the stack, and hence α

will be sorted before n enters as it is larger. In the same fashion, after n enters the stack, every element will enter and leave the stack and hence β will be sorted. Finally n will leave the stack. Hence our theorem is proven. \square

3.2.2 Single Pass Stack Sortable Permutations

Now let's look at where a given permutation is single pass stack sortable. [1]

Theorem B:

A permutation is single pass stack sortable if and only if the permutation avoids 231.

Proof:

If a permutation σ contains a 231-pattern then, by definition, $s(\alpha)$ will contain an element larger than an element in $s(\beta)$, hence the image is not an identity permutation.

Conversely if the permutation σ does not contain a 231-pattern then consider the following:

For any two elements a and b such that a precedes b , if $a > b$ then $\nexists c$ such that c is between a and b and $c > a$. Thus, a will enter the stack and not leave until b has left the stack hence b now precedes a in $s(\sigma)$.

If $a < b$ then a will enter and leave the stack before b hence a will precede b in $s(\sigma)$.

Hence $s(\sigma)$ is the identity pattern so σ is stack sortable. \square

Knuth proved that the number of permutations which are single pass stack sortable is the Catalan number C_n . [9]

Theorem C:

The number of single pass stack sortable permutations is the Catalan number C_n .

Proof:

We know from Theorem B that every permutation which avoids the pattern 231 is stack sortable.

Let's define $f(n)$ to be the number of single pass stack sortable permutations and $f(0) = 1$. Consider the permutation $\sigma_m = \alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_{m-1}\alpha_m$ and let $n = \max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{m-1}, \alpha_m)$ such that $\sigma_m = \alpha n \beta$. Now from Theorem A we know that every element on the left of n must be smaller than every element on the right of n . So, from Theorem A we also see that the number of sortable permutations must be the number of sortable sub-permutations on the left of n multiplied by the number of sortable sub-permutations on the right of n . Formally this is: $\mathfrak{s}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{s}(\alpha) * \mathfrak{s}(\beta)$.

Summing all the possible permutations we get:

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(i-1)f(n-i)$$

This is analogous to our recursive definition of C_n :

$$C_0 = 1 \text{ and } C_n = \sum_{i=0}^n C_{i-1}C_{n-i}$$

□

3.3 Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon

In a letter from Euler to Christian Goldbach in 1751 Euler described the following problem:

How many ways can a convex polygon of $n + 2$ edges
be triangulated by $n - 1$ non-intersecting diagonals?

This can be defined in less formal terms as:

Find the number of ways that the interior of a convex polygon can
be divided into triangles by drawing non-intersecting diagonals
where the number of edges ≥ 3 .

This is the exact same problem as the well known puzzle of if there are $2n$ friends sitting at a round table, how many ways can they shake hands without crossing handshakes.

3.3.1 Convex Polygon

A convex polygon is a polygon whose interior is a convex set. A convex set is defined for every pair of points within the topological object where every point on the straight line segment which joins them is also in the object. For example you have two points x and y within a polygon and there is a straight line, l joining x and y . If l lies within the polygon then it is in the convex set. If any part of l lies out with the boundaries of the polygon then it is **not** in the convex set.

A convex polygon also holds the following properties:

- Every internal angle is less than or equal to 180 degrees.
- Every line segment between two vertices remains inside or on the boundary of the polygon.

3.3.2 Proof that the amount of triangulations is C_n

Theorem: The number of triangulations of a convex polygon with $n + 2$ vertices is the Catalan number, $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. [12]

Proof: Let P_{n+2} be a convex polygon with vertices labelled from 1 to $n+2$. Let τ be the set of triangulations of P_{n+2} where τ has two elements.

We will show that t_{n+2} is the Catalan number, C_n .

Let ϕ be a map from τ_{n+2} to τ_{n+1} given by contracting the edge $\{1, n+2\}$ of P_{n+2} . Let T be an element of τ_{n+1} . It is important to note that the number of triangulations of τ_{n+2} that map to T equals the degree of vertex 1 in T .

Let's define $\deg(i, T)$ to be the degree of vertex i of T .

It follows that, $t_{n+1} = \sum_{T \in \tau_{n+1}} \deg(1, T)$.

Since this polygon is convex the above formula holds for all vertices of T .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (n+1) \cdot t_{n+2} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{T \in \tau_{n+1}} \deg(i, T) \\ &= \sum_{T \in \tau_{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \deg(i, T) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2(2n - 1) \cdot t_{n+1}$$

The above line follows as the sum of degrees of all vertices of T double counts the number of edges of T and the number of diagonals of T .

Since we need $n - 2$ diagonals lets solve for t_{n+2} :

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)t_{n+2} &= 2(2n - 1)t_{n+1} \\ \Rightarrow t_{n+2} &= \frac{2(2n-1)}{n+1}t_{n+1} = 2^n \cdot \frac{2n-1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{2n-3}{n} \cdots \frac{3}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{(2n!)}{(n+1)!n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \square \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Young Tableau

A Young Tableau is a combinatorial object which provides a convenient way to describe the group representations of Symmetric and general linear groups and to study their properties.

3.4.1 Young Diagram

A Young diagram is a finite collection of cells arranged in left-justified rows, with the row lengths weakly decreasing.

Listing the number of boxes in each row gives a partition λ of a non-negative integer, n , the total number of boxes in the diagram.

The diagram is said to be of shape λ , and it carries the same information as that partition. If we list the number of boxes of a Young diagram in each column gives another partition: the **conjugate** or *transpose* partition of λ ; we obtain a Young diagram of that shape by reflecting the original diagram along its main diagonal.

3.4.2 Young Tableaux

A Young tableaux is created by filling in the cells of the Young diagram with symbols taken from the same alphabet, which is usually a totally ordered set. Young tableaux have n distinct entries arbitrarily assigned to cells of

the diagram.

A tableau is called **standard** if the entries in each row and each column are increasing. The number of distinct standard Young tableaux on n entries is given by the telephone numbers:

$$1, 1, 2, 4, 10, 26, 76, 232, 764, 2620, 9496, \dots [15] \quad (11)$$

A tableau is called **semi-standard**, or *column-strict*, if the entries weakly increase along each row and strictly increase down each column.

The weight of a tableau is the sequence of the number of times each number appears in a tableau. For example, the standard Young tableaux are the semi-standard tableaux of weight $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ which requires every integer up to n to occur exactly once.

3.4.3 Proof that Young Tableaux are Catalan Structures

To prove that Young Tableaux are Catalan structures, we must first look at the related problem of balanced parentheses. The problem of balanced parentheses is the exact same as the Dyck Path problem if we let $u \rightarrow ($ and $d \rightarrow)$. We will call the set of $2n$ balanced parentheses, \mathfrak{P}_n . To prove that Standard Young Tableaux of size (n, n) are Catalan structures we will show a bijection between this problem and the balanced parentheses problem. [14]

Proof Let \mathfrak{T}_n be the set of Young Tableaux of size (n, n) and let $t \in \mathfrak{T}_n$. Define $f : \mathfrak{T}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ as $f(t) = p = p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_{2n}$, where $p_i = ($ for all i in the top row of the Young Tableaux and $p_i =)$ for all i in the bottom row of the Young Tableaux.

Let $t \in \mathfrak{T}_n$ and $f(t)$ be the sequence of n open and n closed parentheses. Our claim is that $f(t) \in \mathfrak{P}_n$. As the rows of t are increasing to the right and the columns are increasing downward, then t must always begin its first row with 1 and end its second row with $2n$. This ensures that the condition is

met that the parentheses must start with a (and end with a).

Since the rows and the columns are always increasing we then see that the i th open parentheses must always come before the i th closed parentheses.

Let $t = \begin{matrix} t_{11} & \dots & t_{1n} \\ t_{21} & \dots & t_{2n} \end{matrix}$. We can see here that the i th open parentheses is at t_{1i} , the i th closed parentheses is at t_{2i} and $t_{1i} < t_{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

To prove that f is a bijection we show the f is invertible. Define $g : \mathfrak{P}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_n$

and let $g(p) = t = \begin{matrix} t_{a1} & \dots & t_{an} \\ t_{b1} & \dots & t_{bn} \end{matrix}$, where $t_{a1} = 1$ and $t_{bn} = 2$ and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ are the indices of open parentheses and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ are the indices of closed parentheses. The mapping g is the inverse function for f as it reverses the mapping of f .

Since f is a bijection, we have $|\mathfrak{T}_n| = |\mathfrak{P}_n|$ for all n . \square

4 Program Design

In this chapter I will discuss my design and modelling considerations for my project's implementation by thorough discussion of each task chosen.

To design the program I adopted a strategy of using a modular functional design where each Haskell file is a module and to mathematically model each Catalan structure I used a technique called functional decomposition. Functional decomposition is the process where we compose a functional relationship in such a way that the original structured can be reconstructed from those parts by function composition.

4.1 Internals

To construct accurate models of each Catalan structure I have, in the file "Internal.hs", created a type class called Catalan which is defined as follows:

```
class Catalan a where
    empty :: a
```

```

cons  :: a -> a -> a
decons :: a -> (a, a)

```

In this type class, I have three operators: *empty*, *cons* and *decons* which are the operators for an empty structure; to construct, or compose a structure and deconstruct (or decompose) a structure.

This module also holds type synonyms for the type **Permutation** which is:

```

type Permutation = [Int]

```

As we can see, a permutation is just a list of integers.

The functions for composing a bijection for two Catalan structures is also stored in this module. It is a recursive function which runs a bijection by decomposing a structure and checking it does not have a value *Nothing*. It looks as follows:

```

bijection :: (Catalan a, Catalan b) => a -> b
bijection w = case decons w of
    Nothing -> empty
    Just (u,v) -> cons (bijection u) (bijection v)

```

4.2 Catalan Structures

The module **Catalan Structures** is the module which contains all of the bijections I have implemented within the program. These bijections will be analysed by finding statistics for each structure and investigating which statistics are preserved for each bijection.

Currently the standard bijection from [4] has been implemented and is as follows:

```

ssp2dp :: StackSortablePermutation -> DyckPath
ssp2dp [] = []
ssp2dp ssp = [U] ++ ssp2dp (red (alpha, beta)) ++ [D] ++ ssp2dp beta
    where

```

```
(alpha, beta) = stripMaybe $ decons ssp
```

This bijection converts a stack sortable permutation to a Dyck path. It does this by using the recursive formula: $f(\pi) = uf(\pi'_L)df(\pi_R)$ and $f(\epsilon) = \epsilon$. π'_L is defined as "the permutation of $1, 2, \dots, \pi_L$ obtained from π_L by subtracting π_R from each of its letters." [4]

To perform the subtraction, I have used a function which I have named **red** for reduction. It is as follows:

```
red :: (StackSortablePermutation, StackSortablePermutation)
    -> StackSortablePermutation
red ([], beta) = []
red (x:xs, beta) = x - pi_r : red (xs, beta)
    where
        pi_r = length beta
```

It takes a pair of StackSortablePermutation's as input and returns a single StackSortablePermutation. It does this via the method for obtaining π_L above.

4.3 Dyck Paths

To model Dyck paths, I created a module which I named **DyckPath**. To represent a Dyck Path I have a list of up-steps and down-steps. Each step is represented by the algebraic data type Step which uses the encoding U for an up-step and D for a down-step. A full Dyck path is represented as a list of steps shown below in the type synonym DyckPath.

```
data Step = U | D deriving (Eq, Show)
type DyckPath = [Step]
```

Next I created an instance of Catalan for the type DyckPath. It is constructed as follows:

```
instance Catalan DyckPath where
```

```

empty = []
cons alpha beta = mkIndec alpha ++ beta
decons gamma = stripMaybe $ decompose gamma

```

The function *mkIndec* takes a Dyck path, alpha, and makes an indecomposable DyckPath by prepending a U to the start of alpha then a D to the end of alpha. Then to fully compose our Dyck path with a given alpha and beta we just append beta to mkIndec alpha as is shown above.

Decomposing a Dyck path is the hardest task faced in the design and implementation of the model of a Dyck path. To do this we make a function called *decompose* with takes in a parameter gamma, where gamma is a full Dyck path. Our function decompose looks like the following:

```

decompose :: DyckPath -> Maybe (DyckPath, DyckPath)
decompose [] = Nothing
decompose xs@(U:xt) = Just (map fst (init ys), map fst zs)
    where
        0:ht = height xs
        (ys, zs) = span(\(-, h) -> h > 0) $ zip xt ht

```

This function starts off by taking a Dyck path and mapping each element of the Dyck path to the height of each element in the half, except from the first element which is disregarded. This is shown by 0:ht. In order to obtain (ys, zs) we use the span function which splits the list into our alpha and beta lists disregarding the down-step which is appended to alpha. To finish off we apply the following to *Just*. We map the first element of the pair to all the elements of ys except the first, and we then map the first element of the pair to zs.

For the height function, it is defined as follows:

```

height :: DyckPath -> [Int]
height = scanl (+) 0 . map dy
    where

```

```

dy U = 1
dy D = -1

```

As this is in $O(n)$ time instead of the next example which is in $O(n^2)$ time it is more efficient as it repeatedly adds the partial sums starting from 0 to each element which was mapped to their dy values. The next example is the $O(n^2)$ version.

```

height :: DyckPath -> [Int]
height = map sum . inits .map dy
      where
        dy U = 1
        dy D = -1

```

Here we start by mapping our encodings of U and D to create a list of 1's and -1's. By applying this to the function inits, it creates a list of partial sums which we then fully add together using "map sum" and we have the height of each element.

4.4 Stack Sortable Permutations

To model Stack Sortable permutations, or 132-avoiding permutations I have used the standard model for constructing them within my Haskell module named **StackSortPerm**.

A stack sortable permutation is a permutation which avoids the permutation 132. As such, it is in the form $\alpha n \beta$. That is, to say $\alpha \prec \beta$ or, all the elements of α are less than all the elements of β and n is the largest element of the permutation. To model this we make an instance of the Catalan type class:

```

instance Catalan StackSortablePermutation where
    empty = Empty
    cons = mkIndec
    decons = decompose

```


Where,

```
data StackSortablePermutation =  
    Empty  
    | Perm231 Permutation deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

and as defined in Internals:

```
type Permutation = [Integer]
```

To create our cons operator we must ensure that we take as parameters our alpha and beta, generate n then finally wrap it in our *Perm231* type. This is created as follows:

```
mkIndec :: StackSortablePermutation -> StackSortablePermutation  
        -> StackSortablePermutation  
mkIndec alpha beta = Perm231 (a ++ [n] ++ b)  
    where  
        n = length (a ++ b) + 1  
        a = ssptoperm alpha  
        b = ssptoperm beta
```

To generate n , we take the length of alpha and the length of beta and then add one to the result, and finally convert it from *Int* to *Integer*.

Finally to decompose our permutation σ we use the following function:

```
decompose :: StackSortablePermutation  
          -> Maybe (StackSortablePermutation ,  
                  StackSortablePermutation)  
decompose sigma =  
    if (S.avoids (sspToString sigma) ["231"])  
    || (S.avoids (sspToString sigma) ["132"])  
    then Just (pairTossp $ removeHeadSnd $ break (1 ==) s)  
    else Nothing  
where
```

```

l = length s
s = ssptoperm sigma

```

Here, to decompose σ into a pair of stack sortable permutations, (α, β) I firstly use the *break* function from the Haskell prelude, which splits a list into a pair of lists over a given condition. So here we are splitting the converted `StackSortablePermutation` type to a `Permutation` type `s` where at the position n where $s_n = l$. In this case l is `s`. Secondly, I use the function *removeHeadSnd* which is a function to remove the head of the second list in a pair since when the function *break* is applied, the element it splits the list over is the head of the second list. The function is the following:

```

removeHeadSnd :: (t, [a]) -> (t, [a])
removeHeadSnd (alpha, beta) = (alpha, tail beta)

```

As we can see, it just returns the original first list of the pair, along with the tail of the second list.

Once this is created, the function will decompose to its original α and β . Finally we wrap each permutation with our *Perm231* constructor.

4.5 Permutations avoiding 123

To model 123-avoiding permutations I have used the standard model for constructing them within my Haskell module named **Av123**.

A 123-avoiding permutation is a permutation which avoids the permutation 123. To model this we make an instance of the Catalan type class:

```

instance Catalan StackSortablePermutation where
    empty = Empty
    cons = mkIndec
    decons = decompose

```

Where,

```
data Perm123 =
    Empty
    | Perm Permutation deriving Show
```

and as defined in Internals:

```
type Permutation = [Integer]
```

To create our cons operator we must ensure that we take as parameters our alpha and beta, generate n then finally wrap it in our *Perm231* type. This is created as follows:

```
mkIndec :: Perm123 -> Perm123 -> Perm123
mkIndec alpha beta = undefined
```

Finally to decompose our permutation σ we use the following function:

```
decompose :: Perm123 -> Maybe(Perm123, Perm123)
decompose sigma =
    if S.avoids (perm123ToString sigma) ["123"]
    then Just (permToperm123 [1,2], permToperm123 [3])
    else Nothing
```

4.6 Young Tableaux

To model Young Tableaux I have used the standard model for constructing them within my Haskell module named **Young Tableaux**.

A Young Tableaux is a table consisting of a finite collection of cells arranged in left justified rows with the row lengths weakly decreasing.

A Tableau is simply a list of rows which itself is a integer. This representation and the corresponding instance of the Catalan type class looks as follows:

```
type Row = [Int]

type Tableau = [Row]
```

```

newtype Tableaux =
    Tableaux {tableaux :: Tableau} deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)

```

```

instance Catalan Tableaux where
    empty = Tableaux []
    cons = compose
    decons = decompose

```

The type `Tableaux` here is just a `Tableau`. To decompose Young Tableaux, we use the function `decompose` which is defined as follows:

```

decompose :: Tableaux -> Maybe (Tableaux, Tableaux)
decompose (Tableaux []) = Nothing
decompose yt = Just . splitT $ getColumnsL yt

```

Here we cover the cases for the empty tableau which returns the value *Nothing* and the case of a young tableaux. To decompose it we get the columns which is simply done by taking the transpose of the matrix representation and then we split it using the function *splitT* and then finally we add the *Just* since it is a *Maybe* value.

```

splitT :: Partition -> (Tableaux, Tableaux)
splitT yt = (Tableaux a, Tableaux b)
    where
        (a,b) = if ((length $ Data.List.last yt) == 1)
            then (init' (init' yt), [last' (init' yt), last' yt])
            else (init' yt, [last' yt])
        init' = Data.List.init
        last' = Data.List.last

```

To split the tableaux, we take the pair (a, b) and if the length of the last element in the list has the value 1 then we take the list minus the last, and second last elements, and the list of the second last element and the last

element of the tableaux. If the value is not 1 then we take the list minus the last elements, and the list of the last element of the tableaux.

4.7 Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon

To model Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon I have used the standard model for constructing structures within my Haskell module named **Triangulations**. Triangulations of an $n + 2$ -gon, which were explained in an earlier chapter, are the ways you can split an $n + 2$ sided polygon into its many triangulations.

A Triangulation is simply a list of Triangles which itself is a 3 – *tuple* with the start point, mid point and end point. This representation and the corresponding instance of the Catalan type-class looks as follows:

```

—triangle = (startPt , midPt , endPt)
type Triangle = (Int , Int , Int)

type Triangulations = [Triangle]

instance Catalan Triangulations where
    empty = []
    cons alpha beta =
        alpha ++ mkIndec (maximum' $ mapMax alpha) beta
    decons = decompose

```

To compose the triangulation from two other triangulations, we take the first set of triangles, and then calculate their indecomposable form using the function *mkIndec* with the arguments (*maximum' \$ mapMax alpha*) and *beta*. These functions are as follows:

```

mkIndec :: Int -> Triangulations -> Triangulations
mkIndec n xs = map (\(x,y,z) -> (x+n, y+n, z+n)) xs

```

```
mapMax :: [Triangle] -> [Int]
mapMax = map maxTuple
```

The function *maximum*' returns the greatest item in a list. The function *mkIndec* simply adds the maximum item to each coordinate. The function *mapMax* returns the maximum element of each Triangle and outputs them in a list.

To decompose triangles we use the function *decompose* which is defined as follows:

```
decompose :: Triangulations -> Maybe (Triangulations , Triangulations)
decompose [] = Nothing
decompose xs = Just $ pairDropB1 (splitter xs)
```

It simply splits each Triangle using the following two functions, and then returns the pair minus the first element of the second element of each pair, and finally adds a *Just* to it so that it complies with the Maybe monad.

```
splitter :: Triangulations -> (Triangulations , Triangulations)
splitter xs = splitAt (length xs - lenSnd(splitMappedList xs)) xs
```

```
splitMappedList :: Triangulations -> ([Bool] , [Bool])
splitMappedList xs = break (True==) (mapList xs)
    where
        ys = xs
        n = length ys
```

The function *splitter* splits the list at the point of the length of the list minus the length of the second list in the pair outputted by *splitMappedList*. The function *splitMappedList* returns a list of *Bool* at the point where each point is either 1 or the size of the triangle.

4.8 Graphics

To create permutation matrices on screen, the GTK bindings for Haskell were used in from the package "Graphics.UI.Gtk". To build the window, three functions were created: *drawPerm*; *renderFigurePerm*; and *figure2render*. Starting off *figure2render* looks as follows:

```
figure2Render :: Permutation -> DC
figure2Render perm = P.plotPerm $ permToString perm
```

Here, in order to render the permutation matrix we use the function *plotPerm* from Anders Claesson's sym-plot package [3]. This creates a permutation of type DC when given a string as input.

Next we have to render the permutation matrix in order to visualise it. This is acheived using the *renderFigurePerm* function which is as follows:

```
renderFigurePerm :: DrawingArea
                  -> Permutation
                  -> EventM EExpose Bool
renderFigurePerm canvas perm = do
    liftIO $ defaultRender canvas $ figure2RenderPerm perm
    return True
```

This function starts off by taking in the drawing area and permutation and parameters then sequentially carries out the *liftIO* operation and then returns *true* as a *Bool* must be returned by the function. *liftIO* is a function which simply takes the regular function *defaultRender* and converts it to the type *m a*. To render the figure, the *defaultRender* function is used. It renders a diagram for the drawing area given and rescales it to use the full area available.

Finally we define the *drawPerm* function which is as follows:

```
drawPerm :: Permutation -> IO ()
drawPerm perm = do
    initGUI
```

```

window <- windowNew
canvas <- drawingAreaNew
canvas 'on' sizeRequest $ return (Requisition 256 256)
set window [windowTitle := "Permutation Int Matrix",
            containerBorderWidth := 10,
            containerChild := canvas ]
canvas 'on' exposeEvent $ renderFigurePerm canvas perm
onDestroy window mainQuit
widgetShowAll window
mainGUI

```

This function draws a window for the permutation matrix and shows the rendered image of the permutation matrix within the window. Lines 3 to 7 and lines 9 to 11 are standard kit for building a window with GTK. In lines 3 to 7 we create a new window, and a new canvas, then set the size of the canvas and set the window properties. In lines 9 to 11 we state that the application will terminate when the window is terminated, then that the window will be displayed and the main loop for the graphical user interface will run.

In line 8, which is the line we are most interested in, states that we will redraw the figure and place it on the canvas.

4.9 Statistics

In order to investigate the statistics related to each Catalan structure, I have a section of each module which defined the statistics which relate to individual structures.

4.9.1 Dyck Path Statistics

For Dyck paths, I have the following statistics:


```

—Number of up steps
uCnt :: DyckPath -> Int
uCnt = count U

—Number of down steps
dCnt :: DyckPath -> Int
dCnt = count D

—Number of returns to the x axis
returnsXAxis :: DyckPath -> Int
returnsXAxis dp = count 0 $ height dp

—Number of peaks
{- algorithm:
1) split into lists at each 0
2) find number of highest element of each list
3) sum of counts from step 2
-}
peaks :: DyckPath -> Int
peaks dp = sum $ largestElemCnt $ split
    where
        split = splitWhen (== 0) $ height dp

—Height of the Dyck Path
heightStat :: DyckPath -> Int
heightStat dp = maximum $ height dp

—ommitted code

noInitialRises :: DyckPath -> Int

```

```
noInitialRises dp = impD c_initR $ prep dp
```

```
noDoubleRises :: DyckPath -> Int
```

```
noDoubleRises dp = impD c_doubR $ prep dp
```

```
majorIndex :: DyckPath -> Int
```

```
majorIndex dp = impD c_maj $ prep dp
```

Although the comments describe what each statistic is for, I will systematically describe how each statistic is obtained and in relation to the base set of permutation statistics in [4] what each statistic will relate to.

The statistics above count the number of up-steps, down-steps, peaks and returns to the x-axis. Respectively these will relate to the *asc*, *dsc*, *peak* and *valley* statistics for permutations.

The statistic *uCnt* is obtained by counting the number of up-steps in the path, *dCnt* is defined similarly. The *returnsXAxis* statistic counts the number of returns to the x-axis by calculating the height of each step in the list, then counting the number of heights that are 0 and subtracting 1 since we always start on the x-axis so the height of the first step is always 1. The *peaks* statistic counts the number of peaks by calculating what the largest height of each section is and keeping a count. The *heightStat* statistic finds the maximum peak of the path and returns it. Finally, for the last three statistics they have been programmed in C and marshalled so that Haskell can use the results. The function *prep*, prepares a Dyck path to be ran through the C function to calculate the statistic. The *impD* function takes a C foreign function and marshalls it so that it will return an *Int* as its type. The three C functions corresponding to the statistics number of initial rises, number of double rises and major index are discussed below.

```
/* Number of initial rises for a dyck path */
```

```

long
initR (const long *w, long len)
{
    long acc = 0;
    bool flag = false;
    int i;
    for(i = 0; len > 1, len--; w++, i++) {
        if(w[0] == 1)
            flag = true;
        if (flag == true && w[i] == 1)
            acc++;
    }
    return acc;
}

```

/* Number of double rises for a dyck path */

```

long
doubR (const long *w, long len)
{
    long acc = 0;
    for(; len > 1, len--; w++) {
        if (*w == 1 && *(w-1) == 1)
            acc++;
    }
    return acc;
}

```

/* Major index of dyck path */

```

long

```

```

majD (const long *w, long len)
{
    long i, acc = 0;
    for (i = 1; i < len; i++, w++) {
        if (*w > *(w+1))
            acc += i;
    }
    return acc;
}

```

The function *initR* generates the statistic for the number of initial rises in a Dyck path by running a for loop which starts at 0 and terminates when the value *len* falls below 2. Inside the loop, the function checks that the first step in the Dyck path is an Up step, and if it is it will set the variable *flag* to *true*. Next the function checks if flag is true and then for every up step it finds, it will add 1 to the accumulator, *acc*. Once the for loop terminates the function will return *acc* hence generating the statistic for the number of initial rises in a Dyck path.

4.9.2 Permutation Statistics

To model permutation statistics we use the standard definitions of permutation statistics within this paper.

```

asc :: Permutation -> Int
asc = I.asc . permtopermvec

```

```

des :: Permutation -> Int
des = I.des . permtopermvec

```

```

exc :: Permutation -> Int
exc = I.exc . permtopermvec

```

```

ldr :: Permutation -> Int
ldr = I.ldr . permtopermvec

rdr :: Permutation -> Int
rdr = I.rdr . permtopermvec

lir :: Permutation -> Int
lir = I.lir . permtopermvec

rir :: Permutation -> Int
rir = I.rir . permtopermvec

zeil :: Permutation -> Int
zeil = I.rdr . I.inverse . permtopermvec —zeil = rdr . i

comp :: Permutation -> Int
comp = I.comp . permtopermvec

lmax :: Permutation -> Int
lmax = I.lmax . permtopermvec

lmin :: Permutation -> Int
lmin = I.lmin . permtopermvec

rmax :: Permutation -> Int
rmax = I.rmax . permtopermvec

rmin :: Permutation -> Int
rmin = I.rmin . permtopermvec

```

```

head :: Permutation -> Int
head = I.head . permtopermvec

last :: Permutation -> Int
last = I.last . permtopermvec

peak :: Permutation -> Int
peak = I.peak . permtopermvec

valley :: Permutation -> Int
valley = I.vall . permtopermvec

lds :: Permutation -> Int
lds p = maximum lenLst - 1
      where
        lenLst = map length decLst
        decLst = (filter (isDec) (subsequences p))

lis :: Permutation -> Int
lis p = maximum lenLst - 1
      where
        lenLst = map length incLst
        incLst = (filter (isInc) (subsequences p))

rank :: Permutation -> Int
rank = I.ep . permtopermvec

cyc :: Permutation -> Int
cyc = I.cyc . permtopermvec

```

```

fix :: Permutation -> Int
fix p = length $ fixLst
    where
        fixLst = filter (\(a,b) -> a == b) l1
        l1 = zip [1..] p

```

Here we see that all statistics with the exception of *lds*, *lis* and *fix* are taken from Anders Claesson's *sym* package.[2]. To model *lds* we look the maximum value minus 1 of the list of activities decreasing subsequence. *lis* is defined similarly only using an increasing subsequence. To define *fix* we took the length of the list of the points where in a permutation $\pi = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$; $\alpha_i = i$.

4.10 Graphical User Interface

The main interface for the program looks as follows:



Modelled using Glade [11]

To build this main interface, the user interface design software, Glade, was used for modelling and the Gtk bindings for Haskell were used to implement each action from the interface. To model the interface I adopted the standard method for modelling any user inface with Glade and Haskell, it is as follows:

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
    initGUI

    builder <- initBuilderactivities

    <Omitted content>

    widgetShowAll main_window
    mainGUI
```

In the above code, we define the function *main* which is of type *IO ()*. Within the main body of the function, using the IO monad we sequentially carry out a number of actions. Firstly we initialise the GUI toolkit for Haskell's Gtk bindings, and create a builder. To create the builder used for our user interface we define the function *initBuilder*.

```
initBuilder :: IO Builder
initBuilder = do
    builder <- builderNew
    builderAddFromFile builder "mainGui.glade"
    return builder
```

In the *initBuilder* function, we create a new builder using the function *builderNew* and then add the user interfaces *.glade* file to the builder and finally return the builder.

Continuing with the function *main*, to end the function we explicitly tell the program to show the main window, denoted here as *main_window* and then

call `mainGUI` to run the main GUI loop and continue the program activities until it is terminated by the user. The omitted code will be discussed later in this section.

5 Bijections

In this chapter we will discuss each bijection: how they function and how they biject functions.

5.1 Standard Bijection

Knuth[7] gives a bijection from 312-avoiding permutations. By taking the complement of 312, it is a bijection from 132-avoiding permutations to Dyck paths.

We are by describing the bijection which maps permutations in $\mathfrak{S}(132)$ to Dyck Paths, \mathfrak{D} . Henceforth this will be described as the bijection between Dyck paths and 132-avoiding permutations, or the standard bijection and will be denoted ϕ . [4]

Let $\sigma = \alpha n \beta$ be a 132-avoiding permutation of length n . As we saw in the proof of One Stack Sortable Permutations, every element of α is larger than every element of β or else a 132 pattern would be formed by the permutation. When converting between 132-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths we use the encodings that we formulated when defining Dyck paths. These are up $\rightarrow u$ and down $\rightarrow d$. We define the bijection between Dyck paths and 132-avoiding permutations recursively by:

$$\phi(\sigma) = u\phi(\alpha)d\phi(\beta) \text{ and } \phi(\epsilon) = \epsilon \quad (12)$$

where ϵ is the empty word, or permutation. Therefore by the bijection between Dyck paths and 132-avoiding permutations, the position of the largest

element in a 132-avoiding permutation determines the first to return to the x -axis and vice versa.

5.1.1 Examples of Standard Bijection

Example 1 Let $\sigma = 2134$.

Now we must calculate $\phi(\sigma)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\sigma) &= \phi(2134) \\
&= u \phi(213)d \\
&= uu \phi(21)dd \\
&= uuududdd
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence the corresponding Dyck path for the permutation 2134 has the encoding $uuududdd$.

Example 2 Let $\pi = 7564213$.

Now we must calculate $\phi(\pi)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\pi) &= \phi(7654213) \\
&= ud \phi(564213) \\
&= udu \phi(5)d\phi(4213) \\
&= uduuddud \phi(213) \\
&= uduuddudu \phi(21)d \\
&= uduudduduudd
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Hence the corresponding Dyck path for the permutation 7654213 has the encoding $uduudduduudd$.

5.2 Dyck path to 132-avoiding permutation

To solve the problem of converting a Dyck Path to a 132-avoiding permutation we will use the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence.

Given a 132-avoiding permutation we will start by applying the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence (RSK-correspondence) to the permutation. As is known the RSK-correspondence gives a bijection between a permutation σ of length n and pairs (P, Q) of *standard Young tableaux* of the same shape $\lambda \vdash n$, hence for 132-avoiding permutations Young tableau has at most two rows.

The *insertion tableau*, P , is obtained by reading in the permutation $\sigma = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ left to right and inserting the element of the permutation into the partial tableau that has already been obtained by using Schensted's insertion algorithm. Assume that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1}$ have already been inserted. If a_i is larger than all the elements of the first row of the tableau, place a_i at the end of the first row of the tableau. If it is not, then let m be the leftmost element in the first row that is larger than a_i , then place a_i in the cell that is currently occupied by m and move m to the end of the second row.

The *recording tableau*, Q , is obtained by placing i , where i is from 1 to n , in the position of the cell that in the construction of P was inserted at step i (that is, the stage where a_i was inserted).

Finally, to turn the pair of tableaux, (P, Q) , into a Dyck path, \mathfrak{D} , we do it in two stages. Firstly, the first half, X we get by recording, for i from 1 to n . If i is in the first row of P we record an up-step, u , and a down-step, d , if i is in the second row of P . Let Y be the word obtained by replacing all the u 's in A with a d , and all the d 's in A with a u , then $\mathfrak{D} = XY^r$ where Y^r is the reverse of Y .

5.3 Simion-Schmidt Bijection

The Simion-Schmidt bijection is a bijection which maps a permutation σ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(123)$ to a permutation τ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$. [4]

Consider the following algorithm:

Input: A permutation $\sigma: a_1 a_2 \dots, a_n$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(123)$.

Output: A permutation $\tau: b_1 b_2 \dots, b_n$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$.

```

1       $b_1 := a_1; \ x := a_1$ 
2      for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ :
3          if  $a_i < x$ :
4               $b_i := a_i; \ x := a_i$ 
5          else:
6               $b_i := \min\{k \mid x < k \leq n, k \neq b_j \text{ for all } j < i\}$ 
```

An example is the 123-avoiding permutation 875964321 maps to the 132-avoiding permutation 875694321.

5.4 Fulemk Bijection

The Fulemk bijection is a bijection from a permutation $\mathfrak{S}_n(123)$ to a permutation $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$. This bijection is essentially the same as the Simion-Schmidt bijection. The difference is that it wraps the complement of the permutation around the Simion-Schmidt bijection. Fulemk bijection is defined as:

$$\text{Fulemk} = \text{complement} \circ \text{Simion-Schmidt} \circ \text{complement}$$

as stated in [4].

An example of the Fulemk bijection is the 123-avoiding permutation 875964321 maps to the 132-avoiding permutation 876954321.

5.5 Richards Bijection

Richards bijection is a bijection which maps a Dyck path to a permutation, τ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(123)$. [4] Consider the following algorithm:

Input: A Dyck path \mathfrak{D} : $d_1 d_2 \dots, d_{2n}$.
Output: A permutation τ : $a_1 a_2 \dots, a_n$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$.

```

1       $r := n + 1$ ;  $s := n + 1$   $j := 1$ 
2      for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ :
3          if  $d_j$  is an up-step:
4              repeat  $s := s - 1$ ;  $j := j + 1$  until  $d_j$  is a down-step
5               $a_s := i$ 
6          else
7              repeat  $r := r - 1$ ; until  $a_r$  is unset
8               $a_r := i$ 
9           $j := j + 1$ 

```

5.6 Knuth-Richards Bijection

The Knuth-Richards bijection is a bijection from a permutation $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$ to a permutation $\mathfrak{S}_n(123)$. The Knuth-Richards bijection is defined as being the composition of Richards bijection and the standard bijection.

$$\text{Knuth-Richards} = \text{Richards} \circ \phi$$

as stated in [4].

6 Combinatorial Statistics

6.1 Introduction

A combinatorial statistic, st , is a mapping from a combinatorial structure, \mathfrak{C} , to an integer:

$$st : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

In this chapter we will be discussing various combinatorial statistics which will lead to investigating which statistics are respected by each bijection evaluated in the next chapter.

The structures which we will be analysing bijections for are the structures which have been discussed in previous chapters.

6.2 Permutation statistics

In this section we will be focusing on the set of permutations on \mathfrak{S}_n . Our base set of statistics for permutations are:

asc, des, exc, ldr, rdr, lir, rir, zeil, comp, lmax,

lmin, rmax, rmin, head, last, peak, valley, lds, lis, rank, cyc, fix

The detailed explanation of each statistic in our base set is as follows:

asc: number of ascents: a letter a_i such that $a_i < a_{i+1}$;

comp: number of components;

cyc: number of cycles;

des: number of descents: a letter a_i such that $a_i > a_{i+1}$;

exc: number of excedences: positions i such that $a_i > i$;

fix: number of fixed points: positions i such that $a_i = i$;

head: first element of the permutation: $head(\pi) = a_1$;

last: last element of the permutation: $last(\pi) = a_n$;

ldr: length of the leftmost decreasing run;

lds: length of the leftmost decreasing subsequence;

lir: length of the leftmost increasing run;

lis: length of the leftmost increasing subsequence;

lmax: number of left to right maxima;

lmin: number of left to right minima;

peak: number of peaks: positions i in π such that $a_{i-1} < a_i > a_{i+1}$;

rmax: number of right to left maxima;

rank: largest k such that $a_i > k$ for all $i \leq k$;

rdr: length of the rightmost decreasing run;

rir: length of the rightmost increasing run;

rmin: number of right to left minima

valley: number of valleys: positions i in π such that $a_{i-1} > a_i < a_{i+1}$;

zeit: length of the longest sub-word $n(n-1)\dots i$.

6.3 Dyck Path statistics

In this section we will be focusing on the set of Dyck Paths, \mathfrak{D} . Our base set of statistics for Dyck Paths are:

ups, downs, returns, peaks, heights

The detailed explanation of each statistic is as follows:

downs: number of down-steps;

heights: the highest point of \mathfrak{D} ;

maj: major index: the sum of the positions of the valleys of \mathfrak{D} . noDoub-

leRises: number of double rises: how many consecutive up steps in \mathfrak{D} .

noInitRises: number of initial rises: how many consecutive up steps in \mathfrak{D} from $(0, 0)$.

peaks: number of peaks in \mathfrak{D} ;

returns: number of times the path returns to the x-axis: how many times \mathfrak{D} touches $x = 0$;

ups: number of up-steps.

6.4 Young Tableaux statistics

6.5 $n + 2$ -gon statistics

6.6 Mapping of statistics

For each statistic, we will define a mapping, f , such that every statistic for each structure will correspond to a statistic for each other structure:

$$f : \text{stat}_1(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \text{stat}_2(\phi(\mathfrak{C}))$$

When discussing which mappings will be used to show equivalent statistic

sets between structures we will use the format $stat_1 \simeq stat_2$ where ϕ is the bijection under consideration.

6.6.1 Permutations \rightarrow Dyck Paths

The correspondence between permutation statistics and Dyck Path statistics will be defined as follows:

7 Analysis of Bijections

In this chapter we will be looking at the analysis for each bijection over a given test data then prove the equivalence of each statistic by using the equidistribution theorem. Each statistic value will have an error value of ± 1 . The equidistribution score for each statistic is the value which determines the statistic group the each statistic will join to determine which statistics are equidistributed. To get this score for each bijection, the middle value of each set of values was taken.

7.1 Equidistribution theorem

For two sets of Catalan structures \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , with their respective statistic sets s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k and t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l . Then s and t are said to be equidistributed if $F = G$ where $F = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}_n} x^{s(a)}$ and $G = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}_n} x^{t(b)}$. The coefficient of each set is given by $[x^k]F = \#\{a \in \mathcal{A} : S(a) = k\}$.

7.2 Standard Bijection

For the standard bijection we will look at an analysis of equidistribution over many permutations which are in table 7.

7.2.1 Analysis of equidistribution of Standard bijection over many permutations

From the findings in 12 we can see that all of our base set of statistics are respected. Categorising the statistics for each set of results, the equidistribution value was recorded in table 12 and the equidistribution of statistics is shown in table 17 and table 18. We find from table 17 and table 18 that the statistics: comp, cyc, and peak are equidistributed over the value 0; asc, des, fix, lir, lis, rank, rdr, noDoubleRises, and returns are equidistributed over the value 1; exc, head, last, ldr, lds, lmax, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin, zeil, heights, and peaks are equidistributed over the value 2; and finally, downs, noInitRises, and ups are equidistributed over the value 3. To show which statistics correspond to each other fully we can look at the table below. For the purposes of table 1, #ED will correspond to the equidistribution group, SSP Stats will correspond to the statistics for stack sortable permutations and Dyck Path Stats will correspond to the statistics for Dyck Paths.

#ED	SSP Stats	Dyck Path Stats
0	comp, cyc, peak	-
1	asc, des, fix, lir, lis, rank, rdr	noDoubleRises, returns
2	exc, head, last, ldr, lds, lmax, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin, zeil	heights, peaks
3	-	downs, noInitRises, ups

Table 1: Standard bijection equidistribution.

Currently no equidistribution can be determined for the statistics valley, and maj as they have returned inconclusive results in table 12.

7.3 Simion Schmidt Bijection

For the Simion-Schmidt bijection, we will start with the following permutation: $\pi_1 = 6743152$.

From the Simion-Schmidt bijection, $\text{Simion} - \text{Schmidt}(\pi_1) = 6743125$.

7.3.1 Analysis of Simion-Schmidt bijection where $\pi = 6743152$

From the findings in 6 we can see that all of our base set of statistics are respected except from the last element of the permutation and the rightmost increasing run of the permutation.

Analysing the permutation further we shall investigate why this is the case. As the Simion-Schmidt bijection has changed the last two letters of π_1 to convert π_1 from a 123-avoiding permutation to a 132-avoiding permutation it follows in this case that the last two letters will change since 152 avoids 123 whereas 152 does not avoid 132 and 125 avoids 132 but does not avoid 123.

Now let's look at the rightmost increasing runs: for π_1 they are: 7431, 431, 74, 31 and 52. For $\text{Simion} - \text{Schmidt}(\pi_1)$ they are: 7431, 431, 74, 31, 125, and 12. Comparing these values the differing values for π_1 are 52 and the differing values for $\text{Simion} - \text{Schmidt}(\pi_1)$ are 125 and 12. Hence from the bijection these two statistics should not be respected for this particular permutation.

7.3.2 Analysis of equidistribution of Simion Schmidt bijection over many permutations

To find an approximate equidistribution for the Simion-Schmidt bijection, we will be looking at the permutations in table 8 on page 57. Categorising the statistics for the 3 sets of results, we find from our results in table 13 on page 60, and our final equidistribution in table 19 on page 65 that the statistics: comp cyc, fix, and peak are equidistributed over the value 0; asc, des, exc, lir, lis, lmax, rank, rdr, valley, and zeil are equidistributed over the value 1; last, ldr, lds, lmin, rmax, rir, and rmin are equidistributed over the value 2; and finally that the statistic head does not have a statistic which is

is equidistributed with. To show it visually here is the final equidistribution:

#ED	Permutation Statistics
0	comp, cyc, fix, peak
1	asc, des, exc, lir, lis, lmax, rank, rdr, valley, zeil
2	last, ldr, lds, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin
3	head

Table 2: Simion-Schmidt equidistribution.

7.3.3 Analysis of equidistribution of Fulmek bijection over many permutations

To find an approximate equidistribution for the Fulmek bijection, we will be looking at the permutations in table 9 on page 57. Categorising the statistics for the four sets of results, we find from our results in table 14 on page 61, and our final equidistribution in table 20 that the statistics: comp and cyc are equidistributed over the value 0; asc, last, ldr, lis, peak, rir, rmin, and valley are equidistributed over the value 1; des, exc, lds, lmax, rank and rdr are equidistributed over the value 2; head and zeil are equidistributed over the value 3. It was found that the statistics lmin and rmax are equidistributed over the value 2 or the value 3 with the data we have hence their result is inconclusive. It was found also that the statistics fix and lir are not equidistributed with any other value.

To show it visually here is the final equidistribution:

#ED	Permutation Statistics
0	comp, cyc
1	asc, last, ldr, lis, peak, rir, rmin, valley
2	des, exc, lds, lmax, rank, rdr
3	head, zeil
Inconclusive	lmin, rmax

Table 3: Fulmek equidistributon.

7.3.4 Analysis of equidistribution of Richards bijection over many Dyck Paths

To find an approximate equidistribution for Richards bijection, we will be looking at the Dyck Paths in table 10 on page 58. Categorising the statistics over the four sets of results, we find from our results in table 15 on page 62 that the statistics: head, ldr, rank, rdr heights and noDoubleRises are equidistributed over the value 1; comp, fix, lir, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin, zeil, noInitRises, peaks and returns are equidistributed over the value 2. For the value 3, there is only one possible pairing of equidistributed statistics which is lmax and maj. For the statistics exc, peak, valley, des, asc, lds, cyc, lis which have equidistribution values 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, and 14 respectively there is no possible pairing. Finally the values last, downs and ups have inconclusive results which could be looked at in a further study.

To show it visually here is the final equidistribution:

#ED	Permutation Statistics	Dyck Path Stats
1	head, ldr, rank, rdr	heights, noDoubleRises
2	comp, fix, lir, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin, zeil	noInitRises, peaks, returns
3	lmax	maj
4	exc	-
5	peak	-
6	valley	-
7	des	-
8	asc	-
11	lds	-
13	cyc	-
14	lis	-
Inconclusive	last	downs, ups

Table 4: Richards equidistribution.

7.3.5 Analysis of equidistribution of Knuth Richards bijection over many permutations

To find an approximate equidistribution for Richards bijection, we will be looking at the Dyck Paths in table 11 on page 58. Categorising the statistics over the four sets of results, we find from our results in table 16 on page 63 that the statistics: comp, fix, head, last, ldr, peak and rir are equidistributed over the value 1; lir, lmin, rdr, rmin, and zeil and equidistributed over the value 2; exc, lmax and rmax are equidistributed over the value 3. The values valley and asc, which have the equidistribution values 4 and 8 respectively do not have a pairing. Finally the values cyc, des, lds, lis and rank have inconclusive results which could be looked at in a further study.

To show it visually here is the final equidistribution:

#ED	Permutation Statistics
1	comp, fix, head, last, ldr, peak, rir
2	lir, lmin, rdr, rmin, zeil
3	exc, lmax, rmax
4	valley
8	asc
Inconclusive	cyc, des, lds, lis, rank

Table 5: Richards equidistributon.

References

- [1] Miklós Bóna, *A survey of stack-sorting disciplines*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **9** (2003), no. 2, 1–16.
- [2] Anders Claesson, *sym*. last accessed 28 february 2013., <https://www.github.com/akc/sym>, 2013.
- [3] ———, *sym-plot*. last accessed 28 february 2013., <https://www.github.com/akc/sym-plot>, 2013.
- [4] Anders Claesson and Sergey Kitaev, *Classification of bijections between 321- and 132-avoiding permutations*, 2008.
- [5] Tom Davies, *Catalan numbers*, <http://www.geometer.org/mathcircles/catalan.pdf>, 2006.
- [6] Sergey Kitaev, *Patterns in permutations and words*, Springer, 2011.
- [7] Donald E. Knuth, *The art of computer programming*, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1968.
- [8] ———, *The art of computer programming*, vol. 3, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1973.
- [9] Cong Han Lim, *Brief introduction on stack sorting*, 2007.
- [10] Lisa McShine and Prasad Tetali, *On the mixing time of the triangulation walk and other catalan structures*, Randomization Methods in Algorithm Design (Panos Pardalos and Sanguthevar Rajasekaran, eds.), DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 43, American Mathematics Society, 1999.
- [11] The Glade Project, *Glade*, <http://glade.gnome.org/>.

- [12] Kenneth H. Rosen, *Discrete mathematics and its applicatons*, McGraw-Hill College, 2012.
- [13] D. Rotem, *Stack sortable permutations*, Discrete Mathematics **33** (1981), no. 2, 185 – 196.
- [14] Jack Shirek, *Standard young tableaux of shape (n,n)* , Macalester Journal of Catalan Numbers **1** (2009), 29–31.
- [15] N. J. A. Sloane and J. H. Conway, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, A000085, Number of self-inverse permutations on n letters, also known as involutions; number of Young tableaux with n cells.

8 Appendix 1: Tables of data

Statistic	π_1	$ss(\pi_1)$	Difference
asc	2	3	-1
comp	0	0	0
cyc	0	0	0
des	4	3	1
exc	3	3	0
fix	0	0	0
head	6	6	0
last	2	5	-3
ldr	1	1	0
lds	5	4	1
lir	2	2	0
lis	4	4	0
lmax	2	2	0
lmin	4	4	0
peak	2	1	0
rmax	3	2	1
rank	3	3	0
rdr	2	1	0
rir	1	3	-2
rmin	2	3	-1
valley	1	1	0
zeil	2	2	0
cooper			

Table 6: Simion-Schmidt results over base set statistics.

n	π_n	$\phi(\pi_n)$
1	123	[U,U,U,D,D,D]
2	213	[U,U,D,U,D,D]
3	321	[U,D,U,D,U,D]
4	312	[U,D,U,U,D,D]
michael cooper 5	2134	[U,U,U,D,U,D,D,D]
6	4321	[U,D,U,D,U,D,U,D]

Table 7: Permutations for Standard bijection analysis

n	π_n	$ss(\pi_n)$
1	312	412
2	132	123
3	312	412
michael cooper height		

Table 8: Permutations for Simion-Schmidt bijection analysis

n	π_n	$fulmek(\pi_n)$	
1	312	321	
2	2413	2431	michael cooper
3	3412	3421	
4	3142	3241	

Table 9: Permutations for Fulmek bijection analysis

n	π_n	$richards(\pi_n)$	
1	[U,D]	140340909569536	
2	[U,D,U,D]	1403409095065601	michael cooper
3	[U,U,U,D,D,D]	14174408273819290	
4	[U,D,U,U,D,D,U,D]	140338056432634259	

Table 10: Permutations for Richards bijection analysis

n	π_n	$richards(\pi_n)$
1	231	14015125887385621
2	4321	140149077835776321
3	52341	1397781281065284103
4	431256	140151378975940133

Table 11: Permutations for the Knuth-Richards bijection analysis

Statistic	π_1	$\phi(\pi_1)$	π_2	$\phi(\pi_2)$	π_3	$\phi(\pi_3)$	π_4	$\phi(\pi_4)$	π_5	$\phi(\pi_5)$	π_6	$\phi(\pi_6)$	Eds value
asc	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	1
comp	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
cyc	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
des	0	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	1
exc	3	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	2
fix	3	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	2	-	0	-	1
head	1	-	2	-	3	-	3	-	2	-	4	-	2
last	3	-	3	-	1	-	2	-	4	-	1	-	2
ldr	1	-	2	-	3	-	2	-	2	-	4	-	2
lds	0	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	3	-	2
lir	3	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1
lis	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	-	1
lmax	3	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	1	-	2
lmin	1	-	2	-	3	-	2	-	2	-	4	-	2
peak	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
rmax	1	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	4	-	2
rank	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1
rdr	1	-	1	-	3	-	1	-	1	-	4	-	1
rir	3	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	3	-	1	-	2
rmin	3	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	3	-	1	-	2
valley	0	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	1	-	0	-	0 or 1 (inconclmichael)
zeil	1	-	3	-	3	-	1	-	1	-	4	-	2
downs	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	4	-	4	3
heights	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	3	-	1	2
maj	-	3	-	6	-	9	-	5	-	8	-	16	inconclusive
noDoubleRises	-	2	-	1	-	0	-	1	-	2	-	0	1
noInitRises	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	3	-	4	3
peaks	-	1	-	2	-	3	-	2	-	2	-	4	2
returns	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	4	1
ups	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	4	-	4	3

Table 12: Statistics based upon table 7

Statistic	π_1	$ss(\pi_1)$	π_2	$ss(\pi_2)$	π_3	$ss(\pi_3)$	Mean	Equidistribution value
asc	1	1	1	2	1	1	1.167	1
comp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cyc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
des	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.83	1
exc	1	1	2	3	1	1	1.5	1
fix	0	0	1	3	0	0	0.67	0
head	3	4	1	1	3	4	2.67	3
last	2	2	2	3	2	2	2.167	2
ldr	2	2	1	1	2	2	1.67	2
lds	2	2	1	0	2	2	1.5	2
lir	1	1	2	3	1	1	1.5	1
lis	1	1	2	2	1	1	1.33	1
lmax	1	1	2	3	1	1	1.5	1
lmin	2	2	1	1	2	2	1.67	2
peak	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.5	0
rmax	2	2	2	1	2	2	1.83	2
rank	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
rdr	1	1	2	1	1	1	1.167	1
rir	2	2	1	3	2	2	1.67	2
rmin	2	2	2	3	2	2	2.167	2
valley	1	1	0	0	1	1	0.5	1
zeil	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Table 13: Statistics based upon table 8

Statistic	π_1	$fk(\pi_1)$	π_2	$fk(\pi_2)$	π_3	$fk(\pi_3)$	π_4	$fk(\pi_4)$	Equidistribution
asc	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
comp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cyc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
des	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
exc	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	3	2
fix	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0 or 1 (inconclusive)
head	3	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
last	1	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	1
ldr	3	3	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
lds	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	2
lir	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1 or 2 (inconclusive)
lis	0	0	3	2	3	2	2	2	1
lmax	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
lmin	3	3	2	2	2	3	2	3	2 or 3 (inconclusive)
peak	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
rmax	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	2 or 3 (inconclusive)
rank	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
rdr	3	3	1	3	1	3	2	2	2
rir	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1
rmin	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1
valley	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
zeil	3	3	1	1	2	4	2	4	3

Table 14: Statistics based upon table 9

Statistic	π_1	$rich(\pi_1)$	π_2	$rich(\pi_2)$	π_3	$rich(\pi_3)$	π_4	$rich(\pi_4)$	Eds value
asc	-	8	-	8	-	7	-	9	8
comp	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	2	2
cyc	-	12	-	13	-	13	-	13	13
des	-	6	-	7	-	8	-	7	7
exc	-	4	-	4	-	4	-	3	4
fix	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	2
head	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1
last	-	6	-	1	-	0	-	9	inconclusive
ldr	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1
lds	-	10	-	12	-	15	-	12	11
lir	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	2
lis	-	11	-	13	-	14	-	14	14
lmax	-	3	-	3	-	5	-	4	3
lmin	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	2	2
peak	-	5	-	6	-	7	-	5	5
rmax	-	2	-	3	-	2	-	1	2
rank	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1
rdr	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	1	1
rir	-	2	-	2	-	1	-	3	2
rmin	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	4	2
valley	-	5	-	6	-	6	-	5	6
zeil	-	3	-	1	-	2	-	1	2
downs	1	-	2	-	3	-	4	-	inconclusive
heights	1	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	1
maj	1	-	4	-	3	-	12	-	3
noDoubleRises	0	-	0	-	2	-	1	-	1
noInitRises	1	-	2	-	2	-	3	-	2
peaks	1	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	2
returns	1	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	2
ups	1	-	2	-	3	-	4	-	inconclusive

Table 15: Statistics based upon table 10

Statistic	π_1	$kr(\pi_1)$	π_2	$kr(\pi_2)$	π_3	$kr(\pi_3)$	π_4	$kr(\pi_4)$	Equidistribution
asc	1	8	0	8	2	8	3	10	8
comp	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	4	1
cyc	0	14	0	12	0	13	1	15	inconclusive
des	1	7	3	7	2	9	2	6	inconclusive
exc	2	3	2	3	4	6	4	3	3
fix	0	2	0	1	3	1	2	2	1
head	2	1	4	1	5	1	4	1	1
last	1	1	1	1	1	3	6	3	1
ldr	1	1	4	1	2	1	3	1	1
lds	1	10	3	10	3	12	2	8	inconclusive
lir	2	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	2
lis	2	12	0	12	2	11	3	12	inconclusive
lmax	2	4	1	3	1	3	3	6	3
lmin	2	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	2
peak	1	4	0	3	1	5	0	4	1
rmax	2	4	4	7	3	4	1	3	3
rank	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	inconclusive (1 or 2)
rdr	2	3	4	5	2	1	1	1	2
rir	1	1	1	1	1	2	4	1	1
rmin	1	2	1	2	1	2	4	3	2
valley	0	4	0	3	1	4	1	4	4
zeil	3	2	4	2	1	2	1	2	2

Table 16: Statistics based upon table 11

Statistic Group	List of Statistics
0	comp, cyc, peak,
1	asc, des, fix, lir, lis, rank, rdr
2	exc, head, last, ldr, lds, lmax, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin, zeil
3	-

Table 17: Equidistribution for Standard bijection (permutations)

Statistic Group	List of Statistics
0	-
1	noDoubleRises, returns
2	heights, peaks
3	downs, noInitRises, ups

Table 18: Equidistribution for Standard bijection (Dyck Paths)

Statistic Group	List of Statistics
0	comp cyc, fix, peak
1	asc, des, exc, lir, lis, lmax, rank, rdr, valley, zeil
2	last, ldr, lds, lmin, rmax, rir, rmin
3	head height

Table 19: Equidistribution for Siimion-Schmidt bijection.

Statistic Group	List of Statistics
0	comp cyc
1	asc, last, ldr, lis, peak, rir, rmin, valley
2	des, exc, lds, lmax, rank, rdr
3	head, zeil height

Table 20: Equidistribution for Fulmek bijection.

9 Appendix 2 - Test Strategy

10 Appendix 3 - User Guide

To install the application, you must have an up to date version of Haskell and an up to date version of *The Haskell Cabal*. The system was created and tested on GHCi version 7.4.1.

To install the framework:

- Open a terminal.
- Navigate to the directory CatalanStructures that is within the CD.
- Run the command 'cabal install'
- The application should be installed to use within GHCi.

To install the standalone application:

- Navigate to the directory CatalanStructures that is within the CD.
- Run the file CatalanStructures.

All these details are located in the file INSTALL in the /CatalanStructures directory on the CD.

11 Appendix 4 - Code Listing

All the code used to develop the program is accompied on the CD in the folders:

- Math
 - CatalanStructures.hs
 - Internal.hs

- DyckPath.hs
 - StackSortPerm.hs
 - YoungTableaux.hs
 - Triangulations.hs
 - Av123.hs
 - Av321.hs
- GUI
 - mainGUI.glade
 - GUI.hs
- includes
 - bijections.h
 - dyckPathStat.h
- cfiles
 - bijections.c
 - dyckPathStat.c