

# Revision of the paper

Wei Fu

March 9, 2018

## 1 Overview

We thank the two reviewers and the Associate Editor for their close reading of the paper and their many suggestions and edits. We have taken these suggestions into account in our revision, and we believe that our paper is greatly improved.

See below for detailed point-by-point responses.

## 2 Response to Associate Editor

### 2.1 1. What is the exact difference between the proposed method and Owen and Perry (2009) and Gabriel (2002)? I think the three methods are related, and the differences should be stated clearly so to make the contribution of the current paper clear.

Added the following at the end of Sec 2.1:

Gabriel’s cross validation is analogous to leave-one-out cross-validation, while Owen and Perry’s generalization handles more general leave-outs.

Gabriel’s cross-validation and the Owen and Perry generalization are designed specifically for choosing the rank of a low-rank matrix approximation like the singular value decomposition or the non-negative matrix factorization. While for some purposes it makes sense to view  $k$ -means clustering as a sort of low rank approximation to the data matrix, the discrete nature of clustering (each observation gets assigned to one of  $k$  possible clusters) imposes additional structure on the problem that makes the prior procedures not directly applicable.

In the sequel, we extend the version of Gabriel cross-validation described by Owen and Perry to the problem of selecting the number of clusters,  $k$ . To do so, we introduce a classification procedure into the cross-validation steps that takes the place of least squares regression in the matrix factorization problem formation. Our new procedure is able to select the number of clusters,  $k$ , automatically. For this procedure, we provide theoretical and empirical support analogous to the consistency results proved by Owen and Perry (2009).

### 2.2 2. In numerical comparisons, default parameters settings were used for competing methods. Is this fair?

Added the following to Sec. 6.1:

For the competing methods, some might take issue with the fact that we are using default parameter settings. In many other benchmark comparisons, one would choose the tuning parameters using some form of cross-validation. In our case, this is not an option. We are in an *unsupervised* setting, so there is no clear way to set the tuning parameters. We must rely on the defaults from the implementations of these methods.

### 2.3 3. The correlation correction. How is this done in the high-dimensional setting... It’s well known that the sample covariance matrix is unstable.

Added the following to end of Sec. 5:

In many applications, including our application in the sequel to a yeast cell cycle dataset, the number of observations  $N$  is below the number of features  $P$ . When this happens, the sample covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$  will not have full rank  $P$ , and will be a poor estimate of the population covariance matrix. In these situations, though, it will still be the case that a decomposition  $\hat{\Sigma} = \Gamma \Lambda \Gamma^T$  exists with  $\Gamma$  having orthonormal columns and  $\Lambda$  being diagonal and positive-definite. It will also be the case that  $\Lambda^{-1/2}$  and hence  $\tilde{X}$  exist. What fails in these situation is that, since  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is no longer a good estimate of  $\Sigma$ , it will no longer be the case that our correction reliably transforms the noise covariance to  $I$ . Still, in these situations, applying the correlation correction may give better results than using the original untransformed data. In practice we inspect the resulting estimate  $\hat{k}$  both with and without the correlation correction, and if the two answers agree, we can be more confident in our answer.

## 3 Response to Reviewer 1

Thank you for providing many corrections to our original manuscript. We have mostly made the changes you suggested, except that we preferred keeping the description as “Gabriel cross-validation” rather than “Gabriel’s cross-validation”.

Regarding your question about why we did not compare to Fujita et. al’s method, we must emphasize that there are literally hundreds of methods for choosing the number of clusters, and it is impossible to compare against them all. The main reason we did not compare against the Fujita et. al method is that there is no publicly-available implementation. Beyond that, the method is not in common usage relative to the others we surveyed (28 Google scholar citations versus, for example, 2992 citations for the Gap statistic). Finally, the Fujita method, being based on inter-point distance, is roughly in the same category as four other methods we surveyed: Gap, Jump, CH, and Hartigan’s method, so we do not expect to get radically different results from those four methods.

## 4 Response to Reviewer 2

### 4.1 1.(1) In both Propositions 2 and 3, normality assumption is assumed. Can it be relaxed?

*We added the following comment after the proof of Proposition 2, which describes one way to relax the normality assumption:*

The proof of Proposition 2 relies on normality assumptions in two crucial ways: (i) assuming that  $X$  and  $Y$  have symmetric marginal distributions; and (ii) assuming that  $E(Y|X) = \rho X$ . We could relax the normality assumption by instead supposing that  $X$  and  $Y$  can be decomposed as  $X = Z_1$  and  $Y = \rho Z_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} Z_2$  where  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are independent random variables with mean zero, unit variance and symmetric distribution. Any bivariate distribution can be put in this form for uncorrelated  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ , but only special distributions, including the bivariate normal, can be put in the form with independent  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ .

*We also added the following comment after the proof of Proposition 3*

In principle the proof of Proposition 3 generalizes to non-normal distributions easily, provided expressions for  $E(Y|Y > 0)$  and the other related quantities are available. Different distributions for  $(X, Y)$  give rise to different cutoffs for when  $k = 1$  cluster is preferred to  $k = 2$ . The normal distribution is only special here in that the required conditional expectations and the boundary where  $CV(1) = CV(2)$  are computable in closed form.

### 4.2 1.(2) In Proposition 3, only 2 dimensional case is considered. Can it be generalized to multi-dimension as in Proposition 4?

*We generalized the result as you requested, and added the following comment after the proof of Proposition 3:*

We have stated and proved Proposition 3 for data in  $d = 2$  dimensions, but there is nothing essential about the particulars of the dimension; we generalize the result to arbitrary dimension in Appendix C.

**5 1.(3)** In Proposition 4, one assumption is posed on the first eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $\Sigma_Y Y$  for any split, and thus it is difficult to verify. It would be more convincing to assume a verifiable condition on the distribution of  $(X, Y)$ .

**5.1 2.** The adjustment for correlation is based on the assumption of a shared covariance matrix. Yet this assumption is insufficient.

*As you note,  $\hat{k}_0$  tends to overestimate  $k$  when correlation is high, and the covariance matrices for the  $\hat{k}_0$  clusters is not the same as the true underlying covariance matrices for the  $k$  clusters. We never claim, however, to estimate  $\Sigma$  consistently. We only claim that our adjustment is an ad-hoc procedure that performs well in both our simulations and our real-data examples.*

*We expanded the discussion at the end of Section 5 to emphasize this point:*

Our correlation correction is not backed by a rigorous theoretical justification. Further, since our initial estimate  $\hat{k}_0$  tends to over-estimate the true number of clusters in the presence of strong correlation, our covariance estimate  $\hat{\Sigma}$  will often be biased. Fortunately, we do not need to estimate  $\Sigma$  with high accuracy. For our correction to be useful, we only need  $\tilde{X}$  to have lower within-cluster correlation than the raw data  $X$ . In our simulations and in our empirical validation study in Sections 6 and 7 we demonstrate that despite its theoretical shortcoming, our ad-hoc correlation adjustment often performs well in practice.

## **5.2 3.(1) Simulation descriptions**

### **1. Comment 3.1 of referee 1**

The previous description of how the clusters are generated in the simulation and the distance between them are incorrect (last paragraph in page 20 of previous draft). The correct description should be "any simulation with clusters having minimum distance less than 1.0 units between them was discarded; ... the settings are such that about half of the random realizations were discarded" ?. This idea was directly borrowed from ?.

The idea is that any observation  $i$  which is generated from cluster  $G_i$  will has the distance between  $i$  and  $\mu_{G_i}$  (its true cluster center) less than the distance between  $i$  and  $\mu_{G_j}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) by more than 1.0 unit, i.e.  $\|i - \mu_{G_i}\| + 1 \leq \|i - \mu_{G_j}\|$ . Such procedure ensures that the generated clusters have no overlap, so it's clear what the "true" number of cluster is.

### **2. Comment 3.2 of referee 1**

All our simulation setting are similar to the (c) and (d) settings in section 6 of ?, given how we generated the clusters (see previous reply).

## 6 Simulation results in tables

### 6.1 Setting 1

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	97	84	28	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
7	3	7	15	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
8	0	4	16	7	4	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	4	24	27	16	11	7	4	4	2
10	0	1	17	62	78	88	93	96	95	96
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1: gabriel-nearest-5x2

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	96	97	91	89	89	95	95	95	100	97
7	1	2	6	6	4	2	2	3	0	0
8	1	1	2	2	3	2	3	2	0	1
9	2	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	1
10	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2: gabriel-corr-correct2

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0
4	4	3	5	5	9	4	4	8	8	4
5	15	16	15	14	15	16	18	20	17	17
6	74	72	69	68	58	65	62	57	58	48
7	4	6	7	9	11	7	6	8	9	9
8	3	3	3	3	3	2	5	2	4	6
9	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	9
10	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	3	2	7
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3: wold

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	100	100	62	9	1	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	17	16	2	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	8	18	11	3	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	5	52	86	97	100	100	100	100
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4: gap

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	100	92	98	100	99	99	98	99	99	94
7	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NA	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0

Table 5: BIC

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
4	6	3	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
5	15	17	10	7	10	6	7	6	4	5
6	38	35	27	32	35	27	26	25	23	23
7	23	18	26	27	19	33	26	23	14	10
8	6	15	11	18	15	12	15	13	18	15
9	4	4	15	7	13	13	13	17	16	20
10	4	6	4	6	4	5	9	12	21	25
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 6: CH

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	8	7	7	7	5	5	4	3	8
4	9	11	11	7	8	11	9	6	6	13
5	17	21	13	12	11	9	12	7	10	10
6	45	34	31	39	36	31	34	34	32	29
7	14	10	15	18	17	24	16	22	14	17
8	4	7	15	9	13	9	8	11	18	8
9	7	7	7	4	7	7	9	12	12	9
10	1	2	1	4	1	4	7	4	5	6
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7: Hartigan

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	100	100	100	96	22	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	13	15	9	5	3	1
10	0	0	0	3	62	84	91	95	97	99
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8: Jump

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	89	95	90	95	88	89	91	92	91	93
2	10	4	10	5	10	11	8	8	8	6
3	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	1
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9: PS

	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	5	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
6	5	7	7	1	2	0	0	0	1	3
7	14	19	8	4	5	7	3	3	3	2
8	30	22	27	17	21	13	15	9	11	13
9	25	23	24	31	38	25	26	26	27	27
10	20	26	32	47	32	54	55	61	56	54
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10: Stab



## 6.2 Setting 2

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	3	9	3	19	0	12	6	0	1
2	0	5	7	1	6	0	12	6	1	1
3	99	88	82	92	74	99	73	84	97	97
4	0	2	0	2	0	0	3	3	0	0
5	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	2	1
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 11: gabriel-nearest-5x2

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	3	9	3	19	0	12	6	0	1
2	1	5	6	1	5	0	11	6	1	1
3	98	92	85	96	76	100	77	88	99	98
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 12: gabriel-corr-correct2

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6
2	25	24	23	23	24	24	23	24	26	25
3	47	49	52	52	51	51	51	50	48	49
4	8	14	12	13	14	15	11	14	15	15
5	3	1	2	2	2	1	5	0	1	3
6	1	1	3	2	2	2	4	3	3	1
7	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
8	4	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
10	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 13: wold

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 14: gap

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15: BIC

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	2	4	4	5	5	5	7	7	7
3	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	79	79	79
4	12	12	10	11	11	10	11	11	10	11
5	5	5	5	4	3	4	3	2	3	2
6	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 16: CH

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	89	89	88	89	89	88	89	89	88	89
4	7	7	8	7	7	8	7	7	8	7
5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 17: Hartigan

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	92	97	99	100	100	100	100	100
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 18: Jump

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	30	28	30	34	31	31	32	26	27	31
2	30	29	26	27	30	28	32	29	28	27
3	40	43	44	39	39	41	36	45	45	42
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 19: PS

	0	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	23	23	22	23	24	24	23	23	23	22
3	55	62	59	62	60	56	56	59	57	57
4	13	8	11	7	9	13	13	10	12	14
5	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	3
6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
8	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
9	0	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	3	0
10	9	4	5	5	2	5	5	6	3	4
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 20: Stab

### 6.3 Setting 3

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	91	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 21: gabriel-nearest-5x2

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	90	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 22: gabriel-corr-correct2

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	62	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 23: wold

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 24: gap

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	33	76
2	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	8	6
3	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	9	5	5
4	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	7	9	6
5	0	0	0	0	1	9	15	12	5	1
6	0	0	0	0	4	11	8	8	9	1
7	0	0	0	0	14	14	12	10	8	1
8	100	100	100	100	73	21	18	17	6	0
9	0	0	0	0	3	14	9	8	8	1
10	0	0	0	0	5	19	16	14	9	3
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 25: BIC

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	6	14	22	24	26	35	28	27	29
3	0	3	2	11	9	10	14	19	22	23
4	2	2	8	9	12	11	9	14	14	12
5	3	6	11	8	11	10	11	9	10	13
6	12	14	13	16	11	11	10	10	8	11
7	19	24	23	15	13	19	12	14	12	5
8	23	23	21	13	20	13	9	4	7	7
9	20	14	5	6	0	0	0	2	0	0
10	20	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 26: CH

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	7	7	3	4	1	4	4	3	3	2
4	4	1	3	5	4	7	5	6	3	6
5	5	3	5	7	13	3	7	7	5	8
6	14	13	17	17	13	15	12	8	9	19
7	19	24	23	14	19	17	25	28	26	14
8	18	25	20	27	35	33	30	32	27	32
9	17	12	12	18	9	9	14	11	17	10
10	16	15	17	8	6	12	3	5	10	9
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 27: Hartigan

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 28: Jump

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	90	94	98	97	100	100	100	100	100	100
2	9	6	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 29: PS

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	9	6	8	12	7	2	2	2	3	1
9	27	31	32	26	27	36	32	37	28	28
10	63	62	60	62	66	62	66	61	69	71
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 30: Stab



## 6.4 Setting 4

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	100	99	99	99	99	99	99	100	100
4	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 31: gabriel-nearest-5x2

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	99	100	99	100	99	99	99	99	100	100
4	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 32: gabriel-corr-correct2

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	96	97	97	95	96	94	93	94	94
4	0	4	3	3	5	4	6	7	6	5
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 33: wold

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	100	100	96	85	75	62	54	49	41
4	0	0	0	1	4	6	7	5	3	2
5	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
8	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	5	5	4
9	0	0	0	0	2	5	6	6	7	10
10	0	0	0	2	7	9	22	29	34	41
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 34: gap

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	100	100	100	97	97	82	76	69	61	65
4	0	0	0	1	2	16	20	28	28	17
5	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	10	15
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	3

Table 35: BIC

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	14	11	10	8	9	10	11	10	10	14
3	83	80	80	81	81	81	79	81	82	80
4	3	9	10	11	10	9	10	9	8	6
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 36: CH

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	96	91	90	91	90	91	90	90	91	90
4	4	7	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	7
5	0	2	1	1	2	2	3	3	2	3
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 37: Hartigan

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	4	3	2	1	2	1	2	2	2
9	10	31	30	24	19	24	21	18	19	20
10	87	65	67	74	80	74	78	80	79	78
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 38: Jump

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	5	13	29	28	28	28	28	30	30	34
2	1	3	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2
3	94	84	70	70	70	70	71	69	69	64
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 39: PS

	1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	73	55	57	61	61	58	58	58	57	52
4	24	34	32	26	27	30	31	30	28	32
5	1	9	11	11	10	10	8	11	12	13
6	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	3	2
7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 40: Stab

## 6.5 Setting 5

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	91	97	99	100	99	99	100	99	99	99
6	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 41: gabriel-nearest-5x2

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	73	96	98	100	100	100	99	100	100	100
6	20	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 42: gabriel-corr-correct2

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	5	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
5	87	95	94	96	97	98	97	97	93	94
6	8	2	5	4	2	2	2	3	7	4
7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 43: wold

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	50	98	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	21	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 44: gap

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	31	64	86	97	96	100	100	100
6	3	29	53	32	12	3	4	0	0	0
7	0	24	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	1	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	5	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	91	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	3	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0

Table 45: BIC

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	3	2
3	0	1	0	3	1	2	3	2	4	1
4	5	11	8	14	16	10	17	20	10	17
5	37	32	51	46	53	58	50	49	50	45
6	23	33	25	29	22	20	22	20	29	29
7	16	18	10	3	5	8	4	4	2	3
8	13	1	2	3	3	1	2	2	1	2
9	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
10	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 46: CH

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	5	2	5	5	2	4	1	3	3
4	2	15	10	15	13	9	13	17	10	15
5	45	40	59	59	54	66	60	54	58	52
6	24	26	19	11	13	13	14	18	17	23
7	15	9	4	4	10	7	4	7	7	4
8	7	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	4	2
9	2	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	1	0
10	4	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	1
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 47: Hartigan

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	13	92	98	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
6	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	48	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 48: Jump

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	88	99	96	93	94	94	96	91	94	94
2	2	1	4	7	6	5	4	9	6	6
3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 49: PS

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	5	2	2
6	0	16	21	23	25	15	35	35	43	37
7	10	31	33	40	44	48	45	37	34	36
8	26	37	27	24	21	25	13	16	19	18
9	32	10	15	9	6	6	3	5	1	2
10	27	5	2	2	1	5	0	1	0	3
NA	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 50: Stab