Homework #6 – Solutions

COR1-GB.1305 – Statistics and Data Analysis

Problem 1

Consider (again) the time it takes for a call center to answer its calls. The call center claims that the mean time to answer a call is 3 minutes. In a random sample of 7 calls, the average time for the call center to answer was 191 seconds, with a sample standard deviation of 11.4 seconds.

(a) What is the interpretation of the population mean, μ ?

The expected time it takes for the call center to answer a call, in seconds. (Equivalently: the average of all of the times it takes the call center to answer its calls.)

(b) Provide the null and alternative hypotheses for testing the call center's claim.

$$H_0: \mu = 180$$

$$H_a: \mu \neq 180.$$

(Note: we did not cover one-sided alternatives in class, but if you know about them, it would be acceptable to use $H_a: \mu > 180$. This will change your answer for the p-value in part (d).)

(c) Compute the test statistic.

The sample size is n = 7. The sample mean and standard deviation are $\bar{x} = 191$ and s = 11.4. The hypothesized null mean is $\mu_0 = 180$.

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= \frac{191 - 180}{11.4/\sqrt{7}}$$
$$= 2.55$$

(d) Compute the p-value.

We will approximate the p-value using a z table:

$$p \approx P(|Z| > 2.55)$$

= 0.009322

Note that the value z=2.55 is not in the Z-table, so we use the value for z=2.6 instead. It would be acceptable to use z=2.5 instead, in which case you would get $p\approx 0.01242$.

(A more accurate solution would use a t distribution with n-1=6 degrees of freedom; this gives a p-value of p=0.04349.)

(e) Test the call center's claim, at the 1% level of significance. Since $p \ge 0.01$, we do not reject H_0 . The data is consistent with the claim.

.

Problem 2

Recall the study of 80 students who used a private tutor to help them improve their SAT scores. Their score on the mathematical section improved by an average of 11 points, with a sample standard deviation of 65 points.

(a) Is there evidence, at the 5% level of significance, that tutoring affects the math score? Let μ be the expected increase in SAT math scores for those students who receive the tutoring services. The null and alternative hypotheses are

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$
$$H_0: \mu \neq 0$$

Here, the hypothesized null mean is $\mu_0 = 0$, which corresponds to no change in expected score (expected increase of 0).

The same is the scores of the n=80 students. The sample mean and standard deviation are $\bar{x}=11,\,s=65.$ The test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$
$$= \frac{11 - 0}{65/\sqrt{80}}$$
$$= 1.51$$

Since |t| < 1.96, the p-value is less than 0.05. We do not reject the null hypothesis; there is no evidence that tutoring affects the math score.

(b) Compute the p-value corresponding to the hypothesis test. Interpret the p-value. What does it tell us about whether tutoring affects the math score?

$$p \approx P(|Z| > 1.51)$$
$$\approx 0.1336$$

If tutoring affected the math score, then the chance of seeing data like we observe would be 13.4%. In other words, the data is consistent with the hypothesis that the tutoring does not have an affect.

• • • • • • • • •

Problem 3

Use the data in Market. CSV to test whether IBM has a different mean return than the market. To do this, first use Calculator to create a variable called IBMedge, defined as IBMRet - MarketReturn.

(a) What is the sample?

The n = 11537 differences in daily returns between IBM and the market between July 1, 1963 and April 30, 2009.

(b) What is the population?

All (past and future) differences in daily returns between IBM and the market.

(c) What is the interpretation of the population mean, μ ?

The long run average difference in the daily return between IBM and the market.

(d) Formulate the null and alternative hypotheses.

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu \neq 0$$

(e) Run descriptive statistics for IBMedge. Use the information provided to compute the t-statistic and the p-value.

Here are the descriptive statistics:

The t-statistic is

$$t = \frac{0.0078 - 0}{1.2893/\sqrt{11537}}$$
$$= 0.655$$

The p-value is

$$p \approx P(|Z| > 0.655)$$
$$\approx P(|Z| > 0.7)$$
$$= .4839$$

(It would also be OK to approximate this as P(|Z| > 0.6) = .5485)

(f) What is the result of the test, at the 5% level of significance? We do not reject H_0 .

- (g) Interpret the p-value in the context of the question as to whether IBM beats the market. If the long run average difference in returns between IBM and the market were equal to zero, then there would be a 48.4% chance of seeing data like what we observed. In other words, there is no evidence that IBM beats the market (or differs from the market) in the long run.
- (h) Now, let Minitab do the hypothesis test using Stat \Rightarrow Basic Statistics \Rightarrow 1-Sample T, with options One or more samples, each in a column: IBMedge, and specifying perform hypothesis test. (You need to specify the null hypothesis, and you may need to use options to set the confidence level [100(1 significance level)], and the alternative hypothesis.) Get the p-value from Minitab. Compare with your answer in (e).

Here is the Minitab output:

Test of mu = 0 vs not = 0

```
Variable N Mean StDev SE Mean 95% CI T P IBMedge 11537 0.0078 1.2893 0.0120 (-0.0157, 0.0314) 0.65 0.515
```

The p-value is slightly more accurate than the one we computed above, because Minitab does not have to rely on the Z-table.

(i) Does the fact that stock returns are not normally distributed have any impact on the validity of the t-test and corresponding p-value? Explain.

Since the sample size is large $(n \geq 30)$, we do not need the stock returns to be normally distributed for the test to be valid.

.

Problem 4

Recall the data set NormTemp.CSV. The first column (Temp) contains the body temperatures of 130 randomly selected subjects. Use Minitab's one-sample t to get the p-value corresponding to the null hypothesis that the mean temperature is 98.6 degrees Fahrenheit. Interpret the p-value. In the end, does the 98.6 "normal temperature" seem to be folklore or fact?

Here is the Minitab output. Note that we have to specify 98.6 when we ask for the t-test:

Test of mu = 98.6 vs not = 98.6

If the average body temperature in the population were equal to 98.6, then it would be very unusual to see data like we observed (this would happen less than 0.1% of the time). The "normal temperature" seems to be folklore (at least for the population that the sample was drawn from).

.