Lecture 24 Notation as before (G, T) split red /F G=B=T, K=G(OF), W=Welry group 5: 1d(G(F),K)~1d(T(F),T(Op)) fi- 548 f(tn)dn = 5-4(A) (f/nH)dn) Shetoh 1 Alg mop 2 approaches, one in notes using 2. Im = Weylinv) integral formula, another using an adjunction later today.

3. Rijection adjunction later today. Sisbijective: Recall we have a basis SCX XEXU,+ C>= ()(w)(for 7d(G(F), K). Note any plement of X' is W-coin to on element of XV, too setting d_λ = #5tab₂(λ) ~ [] [(O_p) wλ (ω) T(O_p), λε χ^{ν,t} is a hersis for of (T(F), T(OF)) For $\lambda \in X^{v,t}$ write $\sum_{X} = \sum_{\mu \in X^{\nu,+}} \alpha(\mu, \lambda) d\mu$

Fix $M \in X^{v,+}$ and set $t = \mu(\varpi), s = \lambda(\varpi), c_x = 1$ $a(\mu, \lambda) = \sum_{\lambda} (t)$ $=5^{\frac{1}{2}}(1)) < \chi(t_n) dn$ = 5 (4) meas (N(F) n + 1 (sk) If t= 5, then N(F) n + K+K = + N(0)) t is apart N(F), so a (2,2) +0. Bruhart-Tits => N(F)nt KsK = & mless I-Misa lin comb of elements of & with nonneg Eg G=GLx, B={(")} $\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}'' \\ \mathcal{D}'' \end{pmatrix} \qquad \ell_1 \geq \ell_2, \quad \mu(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\ell_1} \\ x^{\ell_2} \end{pmatrix}$ $S = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{k_1} \\ \mathcal{D}_{k_2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{cases} f_1 \ge f_2 \\ \chi \end{cases} \qquad \chi(\chi) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{k_1} \\ \chi \end{cases}$ Assmi 3 n=(1x) < N(F) and high & GL2 (O) 2.1. $t_n = k_1 s k_2$. We want to show $\exists a \in \mathbb{Z}_{70} s.t.$ $\lambda - \mu = a \propto$, $\alpha(x) = {\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{1:e}$. $(f_1, f_2) = (e_1 + a_1 e_2 - a)$.

 $t_n = \left(\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_n} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)$ hove valuations P1, P2, P1+Val(x). The entries of ki (w to be be have valuations tion 12,50 min{ (1, (2, (1+val(x))) = /2 = /2 => (2= /2 Comparing determinants

Cit P2= P1+ F2 To if a = R2-P2, the $(f_1, f_2) = (g_1 + a_1 g_2 - a)$ The relation \ \mathread \mathread \tau => \lambda-\mu is a nonnegative lin comb
of elements of \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\text{v,t}} \tau \text{in comb} is a portial order that can be extended to a total order with a surtable lexicographic ordering. Then $a(u, \lambda) = 0$ where $\lambda \geq \mu$ and $a(\lambda, \lambda) \neq 0$ => > is an iso.

Say we have an incomiffed ohoracter $\chi: T(F) \longrightarrow C^{\mathsf{x}}$ From the Iwasawa decomp G(F)=B(F)K, we hove a migre mranified line in n Ind B(F) X = n Ind X
given by K-fixed $(\chi_{\chi}(h_k) = 5^{\frac{1}{2}}(+)\chi(+)$ $f \in T(F), n \in N(F), k \in K$ Take fold (G(F), K). Then the action of f on ox is given by < f, n Indx > = \ f(g) \pi_x(g) \pi_x (1) do =) of f(tnh) & (tnh) dkdndt T(F) N(F) K $= \int \int f(t_n) S^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) x(t) dn dt$ = S(5f)(4)x(4)dt $=:\langle 5f, \chi \rangle$ Thm (Casselman) It (M,V) is an irred smooth odm rep of G(F) and is in romified, then I an inramified character X: T(P) > Cx s.t. N is iso to a subrep of n Indx.

Eq G=GL₂(F), consider

$$\frac{1}{K(\nabla_1)K} = \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_2)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_2)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_1)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla_2)K} \times \frac{1}{(\nabla$$

Note for weW, (wa)(+)=x(witw) is an unramified char. Prop 3 a nonzero G(F)-pquiv lin map Tw:nIndx-nInd(wx) In port, n Ind x = n Ind (wx) it they are irred. Sketch for Gli x=x,xxz, W= (0-1), WX=X2XX1. Take ØEN Ind X1XX2 Define $T_{\omega}(\varphi)(q) = \int \phi(({}^{\circ}_{1})(1 \times 1)q) dx$ For $N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $T_{v}(\varphi)(nq) = \int_{E} \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0-1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} q\right) dx$ $= \int_{\mathcal{A}} (\varphi)(q) \qquad \text{hy} \quad X = y + X$ For t= (a) = T(F) $T_{w}(x)(t_{g}) = \int_{E} \chi((0-1)(1\times)(a_{g})g) dx$ $= \int \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} d \\ a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} o - 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial dx \right)$ $= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\left| \frac{d}{a} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{1}(d) x_{2}(q) \right) \left(\left(\frac{d}{d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{1}(d) x_{2}(q) \right) \left(\frac{d}{d} \right)$

$$= \left| \frac{\alpha}{a} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{2}(a) x_{1}(d) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{1 - \alpha} \right) \left(\frac{1 \times 1}{1 \times 1} \right) \right) dx$$

$$= \left| \frac{\alpha}{a} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{2}(a) x_{1}(d) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a} \right) (a) (a) dx$$

$$= \left| \frac{\alpha}{a} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{2}(a) x_{1}(d) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a} \right) (a) dx$$

$$= \left| \frac{\alpha}{a} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{2}(a) x_{2}(d) \int_{\mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{a} \right) \left(\frac{$$

Rock Did not justify convergence of integral defining
Tw. Can ohow it converges on some half plane (writing $x_i = (\text{integral}) | 1^5$) and then analytically continue.

Rock It is completely understood when n Ind x are reducible /irred, relies on understanding To explicitly. For ex 1. If x is mitory and wx +x Yw+1, then n Ind x is irred. 2. G=GL2(P), x=x,xx2, n Ind x is reducible >> x,x=| 11