

$\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, G = G(\mathfrak{h})$ or $G(\mathfrak{h})^\circ$, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G = \text{Lie } G$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Lie } G(\mathbb{C})$$

$K \subseteq G$ max compad. Assume for now G is semisimple.

$T \subseteq G(\mathbb{C})$ max torus.

$$\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(T)$$

Δ^+ = system of +ve roots for T acting on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

$$T = U(\mathfrak{t}), P = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) E_{\alpha}$$

where E_{α} is an eigenvector with eigenval α .

Facts The fin dimensional irred representations of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are in bijection with dominant integral $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$

where - integral means that

$$2 \frac{\lambda(\alpha)}{\|\alpha\|^2} = 2 \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \text{ roots } \alpha$$

- dominant if

$$2 \frac{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

In part a polynomial function on \mathfrak{t}^* that vanishes at all dominant integral λ , it is 0.

This bijection sends rep V to its highest weight, defined as follows: A highest weight vector $v \in V$ is a

a nonzero vector v_0 s.t. $E_{\alpha} v = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+$.

Since V is irred, v_0 will be unique up to scalar, and

\mathfrak{t} will act on v_0 by a dominant integral $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, this is called the highest weight of V .

Can construct V as a quotient of the Versma module

$$V(\lambda + \delta) : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}(\lambda) \cong U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$$

where \mathfrak{n}^- is the Borel $\subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ corr to Δ^+ , i.e. $\mathfrak{n}^- = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{C} E_{\alpha} \right)$

\mathfrak{n}^- acts on $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ by $\mathfrak{n}^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{C}$.

This has highest wt vector $v_0 = 1 \otimes 1$, with weight λ , and $V(\lambda + \delta)$ is universal wrt this property.

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$$

$$\text{and } \mathfrak{n}^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{C} E_{-\alpha}$$

Back to stuff from Monday.

Lemma $T \cap P = 0$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \subseteq T \oplus P$

Proof Take a dominant integral λ corr to the irred rep V_{λ} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $v_{\lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$ be a highest vector.

$$E_{\alpha} v_{\lambda} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

$$\Rightarrow X v_{\lambda} = 0 \quad \forall X \in P$$

So if $X \in T \cap P$, then $\lambda(X) = 0 \quad \forall$ dominant integral λ .

But if we view X as a poly on the space \mathfrak{t}^* , it vanishes on all lattice points in some cone $\Rightarrow X = 0$.

Now let $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. We can write it as a lin comb of elements of the form

$$(*) \quad E_{-\alpha_1}^{p_1} E_{-\alpha_2}^{p_2} \dots E_{-\alpha_m}^{p_m} T_1^{q_1} \dots T_r^{q_r} E_{\alpha_1}^{q_1} \dots E_{\alpha_m}^{q_m}$$

where $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is a basis for Δ^+ , T_1, \dots, T_r a basis for \mathfrak{t} , by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Thm.

Each such monomial is an eigenvector for \mathfrak{t} with eigenvalue

$$\sum q_i \alpha_i - \sum p_i \alpha_i$$

But \mathfrak{t} acts trivially on $Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$. So each $\sum (q_i - p_i) \alpha_i = 0$

Thus if an element of $(*)$ has a $E_{-\alpha}$, it also has E_{α} .

$$\Rightarrow Z \in T \oplus P$$

□

$$\text{Now let } \gamma_{\Delta^+} : Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow T$$

\uparrow
 $\text{in } T \oplus P$ \nearrow proj

Let $\gamma : Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow T$ be $\gamma = \sigma_{\Delta^+} \circ \gamma_{\Delta^+}$

where $\sigma_{\Delta^+}(T) = T - \delta \quad \forall T \in \mathfrak{t}$.

Thm (Harris-Chandra) γ induces an iso

$$\gamma : Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow T^W, \quad W = \text{Weyl group.}$$

Eg $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} H^2 + EF + FE,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} H^2 + H + 2FE$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} H^2 - H + 2EF$$

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\Delta^+ =$ equal for H or E , i.e. $\alpha(H)=2$.

$$\gamma_{\Delta^+}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}H^2 + H$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \quad \sigma_{\Delta^+}(H) = H - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(H) = H-1$$

$$\text{Then } \gamma(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}(H-1)^2 + (H-1) \\ = \frac{1}{2}H^2 - \frac{1}{2}$$

Now $W = \{1, w\}$ with $w(H) = -H$, so

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}[H] \text{ and } \mathcal{T}^W = \mathbb{C}[H^2] \cong \mathbb{C}[\Omega]$$

Note if we chose $\Delta^+ = \{-\alpha\}$, then

$$\gamma_{\Delta^+}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}H^2 - H, \text{ but now } \sigma_{\Delta^+}(H) = H+1$$

$$\text{and again } \gamma(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2}H^2 - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{Eg } \mathfrak{sl}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}_2 = \mathbb{C}Z \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ with } Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Z(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cong \mathbb{C}[Z, \Omega]$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}[T_1, T_2]^W \quad T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}[T_1+T_2, T_1, T_2]$$

Same ideas of Thm

Let's sketch that $\text{im}(\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^W$. For any $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$

and $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$,

$$\gamma(Z)(\lambda) = \gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(\lambda - \delta)$$

It suffices to show that

$$\gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(\lambda - \delta) = \gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(w\lambda - \delta)$$

$\forall w \in W$. It further suffices to show this for

$w = s_\alpha$, α a simple root in Δ^+ . And because this is an equality of polys, can restrict to dominant integral λ .

$$\text{Consider } V(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{gl}_2) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h})} \mathbb{C}(\lambda - \delta), \quad v_0 = 1 \otimes 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{v_0} = 0$, Z acts on v_0 by

$$\gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(\lambda - \delta)$$

But $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}_2)$, so it acts on all of $V(\lambda)$ by

$$\gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(\lambda - \delta).$$

[Using here that $V(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{gl}_2)v_0$]

It then now is to show that

$$V(s_\alpha \lambda) \subseteq V(\lambda).$$

Then Z acts on $V(s_\alpha \lambda)$ by $\gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(s_\alpha \lambda - \delta)$

and by $\gamma_{\Delta^+}(Z)(\lambda - \delta)$.