Last time: k= 12 or C, G=GL(1), x1, x2: kx of H(x,, x) = completion of { f: G = c | f((a 3) g) = x, (a) x, (d) | g| t f(g) { Wrt ||f||= Skf(k)|2 dk This is a Hilb space report Good is unitary if x, x2 are unitary. This construction is more openeral. Say C is a connected reductive group over k=R or C, IP = G a porabolic subgroup (defined over le), G=G(1), P=P(1) If (o, V) a Hilbert space rep of Pon V, we let H(Bo) be the completion of the space P. G = V | f(px) = o(p) Jp(p) P(m) YpeP, xeG) with G-action $(\pi(g)f)(x) = f(xg).$ Exercise Check that (0, V) initary => (M, H(P, ol) 15 milary Eg Let fe Sk (1) be a cuspidal modular form of wt h 2 2 and (congruence) level (Note G := 5L2(1R) acts transitively on H= {x+iy | y > 0} by (ab) z = az+b And the stabilizer of i is 50(2) = \(\left(\sin \text{os} \text{o} - \sin \text{o} \right) \)

(gh) = f(gh(i)) j(gh,i) - h

= f(g(i)) j(gh,i) - h

= f(g) e hio

So
$$\|\phi_f\|_{PlG} = \int |\phi_f(g)|^2 dg$$

= $\int |\phi_f(bh)|^2 dh dh$

PIBK

= $\int |\phi_f(bh)|^2 dh dh$

Pilh | The Peler sson norm of f

< m since f is conspidual.

=) $\phi_f \in L^2(PlG)$ and G acts by

(gdh)(x) = $\phi_f(xg)$ and we can consider the

Hills to space rep generated by it in $L^2(PlG)$.

Exercise Do this for other examples of "modular forms" such as Hilbert modular forms, Sirgel modular forms, Ac.

Say C is connected reductive / h= R ar C,
G=G(h) (or G(h)°). K ≤ G max compact sub.
(77, H) is a Hilbert space rep of G an H.
Say K acts by witary operators.

Peter-Weyl => |+| K = \hat{\Pi} V_{\gamma} where
- \hat{K} = set of iso classes of witary invol reps of K
- No 30 or No = \inc.

Det His admissible if each i occurs with finite multiplicity in (MK, Hlk). We say ve His K-finite if the K-translates of v span a fin din vect space.

Eg 1. \$\phi_{\in E}^2(p\5\L_2(p)\above is K-finite, fe \(\sigma_k(p)\).

2. \(\left(-\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\) \(\text{vectors in } \left(-\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\) \(\text{above are}\)

\[
\{f: G^\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\) \(\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\) \(\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\phi_{\int}}\) \(\frac{\phi_{\int}}{\phi_{\int}}\phi_{\int}}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\phi_{\int}\p

The (Horrish- Chandra) 1. It (IT, H) is bridged and unitory, then it is admissible.

2. If (7, H) is admissible, then the K-Pinite vectors are

Coo, i.e. if $S \subseteq \hat{K}$ is a finite subset and $V \subseteq_{TeS} UT_T$, then $G \longrightarrow \bigoplus UT_T$ is real analytic. $G \longrightarrow projoth_T (gv)$

IP (7,14) is

Equivalently for any K-Pinto V, we H,

G -> (T)

g -> (T/g)v, w)

is C.

Shetch of 2 Let f be a K-Pinte Punotion of K, smooth.
Recall we have the Certan decomposition, a diffeomorphism

 $K \times p \rightarrow G$ $(h, X) \mapsto ke^{X}$ $Taho h \in C^{\infty}_{comp}(e^{p}) \subseteq G$. Put $F(ke^{X}) = F(h)h(e^{X})$

Then $F \in C^{\infty}_{comp}(G)$ and we have an operator on H $\pi(F)_{V} = \int_{G} F(g) \pi(g) v dg \in H$

Can check that

IP v is K-Pinite, then M(F) v is also K-Pinite since

 $\pi(h)\pi(F)v = \int_{G} F(g)\pi(hg)v dg$ and F is K-finter on the left.

An approx organism => (> K-finite vectors are down in the speace of all K-finite vectors.

Since speaces for various KL (a = 12)

Smee spaces for vorious K-types (ie. T & K)
are arthogonal, C vectors for each K-type T
are down in the space HT.

Admissibility => Lty is Pin din, have every K-fin vector is Ca