

G as before, K a max compact sub

If H is any top ^{\mathbb{R}} vs we can define what it means for $f: G \rightarrow H$ to be C^∞ as follows

- Say $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow H$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then f is diff at x_0 if \exists linear $f'(x_0): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow H$ (nec unique) such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(x - x_0)}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0$$

- Say f is C^1 on open $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ if the map $U \ni x \mapsto f'(x) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^n, H) = H^n$ is cts. Interestingly, we can define $C^r \forall r \geq 1$, and C^∞ .

- $f: G \rightarrow H$, use a chart on G .

Now say G acts ctsly^{via π} on H . We say $v \in H$ is smooth or C^∞ if

$$g \mapsto \pi(g)v \text{ is } C^\infty$$

A matrix coefficient for π is a function $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$g \mapsto \lambda(\pi(g)v) \text{ for fixed } v \in H, \lambda \in H^*, \text{ i.e. } \lambda \text{ a cts linear functional}$$

Now say H is a Hilbert space.

Riesz rep \Rightarrow matrix coeffs are of the form

$$g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle \text{ fixed } v, w \in H$$

Say $(e_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ an orthonormal basis for H .

Then

$$g \mapsto \pi(g)v = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \langle \pi(g)v, e_i \rangle e_i$$

Using this, v is smooth $\Leftrightarrow \forall w \in V$, the matrix coeff

$$g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle \text{ is smooth}$$

Say $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. Recall we have

$$e^X \in G \quad (e^X = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} X^n)$$

If $v \in H$ is smooth,

$$\pi(X)v := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi(e^{tX})v - v}{t} \text{ exists}$$

Notation H^∞ is the subspace of smooth vectors.

Prop 1 $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in H^\infty$, then $\pi(X)v \in H^\infty$

2. If $V \subseteq H$ stable under $\pi(X) \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $V \subseteq H^\infty$.

3. $(X, v) \mapsto \pi(X)v$ defines a Lie alg representation of \mathfrak{g} on H^∞ , i.e. each $\pi(X)$ is linear and

$$\pi([X, Y]) = \pi(X)\pi(Y) - \pi(Y)\pi(X)$$

Proof of 1 Take $v \in H^\infty$ and let $f(g) = \pi(g)v$, so f is C^∞ .

Then

$$(Xf)(g) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(ge^{tX}) - f(g)}{t} \text{ exists}$$

and $g \mapsto (Xf)(g)$ is C^∞

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(ge^{tX}) - f(g)}{t} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi(ge^{tX})v - \pi(g)v}{t} \\ &= \pi(g) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\pi(e^{tX})v - v}{t} \\ &= \pi(g)\pi(X)v \end{aligned}$$

So $g \mapsto \pi(g)\pi(X)v$ is C^∞ and $\pi(X)v \in H^\infty$ \square

Idea for 2 Can encode partial derivatives in \mathfrak{g} -action. Then V stable under $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow$ all partial derivatives of all orders exist, hence exist and are continuous $\sum_{\infty} V \subseteq H^\infty$

3 is a tedious computation.

Let $f \in C_{\text{comp}}^{\infty}(G)$, i.e. $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is smooth with compact support. By Riesz rep, we let

$$\pi(f)v = \int_G f(x) \pi(x)v \, dx \in H$$

is the vector with

$$\langle \pi(f)v, w \rangle = \int_G f(x) \langle \pi(x)v, w \rangle \, dx \quad \forall w \in H$$

Prop For $v \in H$ and $f \in C_{\text{comp}}^{\infty}(G)$,

$$\pi(f)v \in H^{\infty}$$

Proof Want to check that $g \mapsto \pi(g)\pi(f)v$ is C^{∞} .

$$\text{But } \pi(g)\pi(f)v = \int_G f(x) \pi(gx)v \, dx$$

$$= \int_G f(g^{-1}x) \pi(x)v \, dx$$

Since f is C^{∞} with compact supp, can differentiate under the integral sign, and it is C^{∞} in g . \square

Prop (Gårding) H^{∞} is dense in H .

Proof Fix $v \in H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$U = \{g \in G \mid \|\pi(g)v - v\| < \varepsilon\} \text{ is open in } G.$$

So we can find $f \geq 0$, $f \in C_{\text{comp}}^{\infty}(G)$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq U$, and $\int_G f(x) \, dx = 1$.

Then $\pi(f)v \in H^{\infty}$ by above and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(f)v - v\| &= \left\| \int_G f(x) \pi(x)v \, dx - v \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_G f(x) (\pi(x)v - v) \, dx \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_U f(x) (\pi(x)v - v) \, dx \right\| \\ &\leq \int_U f(x) \|\pi(x)v - v\| \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_G f(x) \, dx = \varepsilon \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now say H is admissible, so H as a K -rep, is

$$H \cong \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}} \quad \text{with } n_{\tau} < \infty$$

\hat{K} = set of iso classes of irred unitary K -rep.

If $\tau \in \hat{K}$ is st. the τ -isotypic part of H is $\neq 0$, i.e. $n_{\tau} > 0$, τ is called a K -type for H .

Prop If V is the subspace of K -finite vectors in H , $V \subseteq H^{\infty}$.

Proof If f is any K -finite function on K

(e.g. the trivial function, or a matrix coeff of an irred rep)

and $h \in C_{\text{comp}}^{\infty}(e^{\mathcal{P}})$, $G = K \times e^{\mathcal{P}}$ is the Cartan decomp, then $F \in C_{\text{comp}}^{\infty}(G)$ given by $F(ke^x) = f(k)h(e^x)$ is K -finite

Arguing as in Gårding's prop above $\pi(f)v$ shows

$H^{\infty} \cap V$ is dense in $V = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \hat{K}} V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}}$ an orthog direct sum

$\Rightarrow H^{\infty} \cap V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}}$ is dense in $V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}}$

But $\dim(V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}}) < \infty \Rightarrow H^{\infty} \cap V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}} = V_{\tau}^{n_{\tau}}$, and $H^{\infty} \cap V = V$. \square

Prop Let $V \subseteq H$ be as above. V is stable under \mathfrak{g} .

Proof Take $v \in V$. Let $W = \text{span}(Kv)$ a fin dim sp, and has an action of $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$.

If $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$, $w \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(Y)\pi(X)w &= \pi(X)\pi(Y)w + \pi([Y, X])w \\ &\subseteq \text{span}(\pi(\mathfrak{g})W) =: W' \end{aligned}$$

So W' is stable under \mathfrak{k} and finite dimensional,

so we can exponentiate and W' is stable under K .

Then for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\pi(X)v \in W'$ fin dim and K -stable, so $\pi(X)v \in V$. \square

Rmk A theorem of Harish-Chandra shows that if $v \in H$ is K -finite and $w \in H$, $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, w \rangle$ is real analytic.

Def A (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module or (Harish-Chandra module) is a \mathbb{C} -vector space with representations of K and \mathfrak{g} such that

1. Any $v \in V$ is K -finite
2. For $v \in V$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e^{\frac{tY}{t}} \cdot v = Y \cdot v$$

$\uparrow K$ -action
 $\uparrow \mathfrak{g}$ -action

3. $k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$

$$k \cdot (X \cdot v) = (\text{Ad}(k)X) \cdot (k \cdot v)$$

We say V is admissible if any irred rep of K appears with fin mult in V . We say V is unitary if \exists a true def inner product \langle, \rangle on V s.t.

$$\langle kv, kw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \quad \forall k \in K$$

$$\langle Xv, w \rangle = -\langle v, Xw \rangle$$

Thms (Harish-Chandra)

1. (π, H) is an admissible Hilb sp rep of G and (π, V) is the subspace of K -fin vectors, then (π, H) is irred $\Leftrightarrow (\pi, V)$ is irred.

2. Two unitary admissible Hilb sp reps $(\pi_1, H_1), (\pi_2, H_2)$ are isomorphic $\Leftrightarrow (\pi_1, V_1)$ and (π_2, V_2) are iso.

3. An admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module V is the space of K -finite vectors in a unitary admissible Hilbert space
 $\text{rep} \Leftrightarrow V$ is unitary.