

Lecture 4

$k \subseteq K$ are fields with K alg closed

$G = \text{a lin alg group / } k$

Let $G_K := G \text{ viewed / } K$

For all of today: we assume G_K (~~equiv~~ G) is connected

Remark We have been using classical conventions (i.e. varieties not schemes) so our alg groups are reduced by convention. In general can show a group scheme is smooth \Leftrightarrow it is reduced and that is automatic in char 0.

Last time: G_K is solvable, then

$\exists G_K \hookrightarrow B_n := \text{upper triangular matrices in } GL_n$
IU

$U_n := \text{upper triangular matrices in } GL_n \text{ with}$
1's on the diagonal,
the \checkmark subgroup of unipotent elements
normal

Def The radical (resp. unipotent radical) of G_K is the maximal smooth connected solvable (resp. unipotent) normal subgroup of G_K .

The radical is denoted by $R(G_K)$ and the unipotent

radical by $R_u(G_K)$.

Eg • $R(GL_n) = \text{scalar matrices} \cong \mathbb{G}_m$

$$R_u(GL_n) = 1$$

- Can check by hand that the maximal normal solvable subgroup of SL_n is the scalar matrices in SL_n , i.e. $\mu_n = \{n^{\text{th}} \text{ roots of } 1\}$

This is not connected if $\text{char}(k) \nmid n$, and not smooth if $\text{char}(k) | n$.

$$\Rightarrow R(SL_n) = 1.$$

- $n = n_1 + n_2$, let

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n \mid \begin{array}{l} A \in GL_{n_1}, D \in GL_{n_2} \\ B \in M_{n_1 \times n_2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then } R(P) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a I_{n_1} & B \\ 0 & d I_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{array}{l} a, d \in \mathbb{G}_m, \\ B \in M_{n_1 \times n_2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$R_u(P) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & B \\ 0 & I_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Def G_K is reductive (resp semisimple) if

$R_u(G_K) = 1$ (resp. $R(G_K) = 1$). We say G is reductive (resp semisimple) if G_K is.

Eg • GL_n is reductive and SL_n is semisimple

• (More work) Sp_{2n} is semisimple, and

$$GSp_{2n} = \{g \in GL_{2n} \mid {}^t g J g = \lambda J, \lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m\}$$
$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is reductive.

2nd part follows from checking the natural representation of Sp_{2n} or GSp_{2n} on \mathbb{C}^{2n} is irreducible.

Thm 1. G is reductive \Leftrightarrow it admits a faithful semisimple (alg) representation over K .

2. If $\text{char}(K) = 0$, then G is reductive \Leftrightarrow every (alg) representation of G over K is semisimple.

Proof of 1 : Let $\rho : G_K \hookrightarrow GL(V)$ be a faithful semisimple representation of G_K . Let W be a simple subrepresentation of V .

Let $U = R_u(G_K)$. U normal in $G \Rightarrow W^U$ is G -stable. But U unipotent $\Rightarrow W^U \neq \{0\}$ by last time. Thus $W = W^U$ and the image of U in $GL(W)$ is trivial. But V is the direct sum of its simple subreps and V is faithful, $U = 1$. \square

Thm Let G be a reductive group. Then $R(G_k)$ is the connected component of the centre of G_k . In particular, a reductive group with finite centre is semisimple.

——//——

Until specified otherwise, say $k = \mathbb{C}$, i.e. k is alg closed. Let G be reductive.

Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus.

We have the representation

$$\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G).$$

$$T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r. \text{ Let}$$

$$X(T) := \text{Hom}_{k\text{-groups}}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$$

$$((t_1, \dots, t_r) \mapsto t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} \dots t_r^{n_r}) \mapsto (n_1, \dots, n_r)$$

called the character group of T

Consider the action of T on \mathfrak{g} , via Ad .

Since T is diagonalizable

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in X(T) \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^T$.

The roots of (G, T) , denoted $\Phi(G, T)$ are

the $0 \neq \alpha \in X(T)$ such that $\sigma_\alpha \neq 0$.

Eg • GL_2 , $T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$

where $e_1 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = t_1$ and $e_2 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = t_2$.

$\sigma = \sigma_{GL_2} = M_2(K)$ and $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$ acts on $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} b_X$

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1} & \\ & t_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{t_1}{t_2} b \\ \frac{t_2}{t_1} c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$\sigma_{GL_2} = \sigma_0 \oplus \sigma_\alpha \oplus \sigma_{-\alpha}$ where

$$\sigma_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \sigma_\alpha = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \sigma_{-\alpha} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\alpha = e_1 - e_2 \in X(T), \quad -\alpha = e_2 - e_1$$

$$\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm \alpha\}$$

• $G = SL_2$, $T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with

$$e \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = t. \quad \text{Then}$$

$\sigma = \sigma_{SL_2} = \sigma_0 \oplus \sigma_\alpha \oplus \sigma_{-\alpha}$

$$\alpha = 2e \quad \text{since} \quad \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & \\ & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & t^2 b \\ t^{-2} c & -a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm \alpha\}.$$

• $G = PGL_2$, $X(T) = \mathbb{Z}\chi$ $\chi \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = t_1/t_2$

$$\Phi(G, T) = \{\pm \chi\}.$$

• GL_n , $T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, $X(T) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$
 with $\alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} = t_i$. Then

$$\Phi(G, T) = \{ \alpha_i - \alpha_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \}$$

The Weyl group of (G, T) is

$$W(G, T) := N_G(T) / T$$

Normalizer of T in G

Eg $G = GL_n$, $N_G(T)$ = group gen by T and all permutation matrices.
 T = diagonal

So $W(G, T) \cong S_n$ = symmetric group on n elements

Note $W(G, T)$ acts on $X(T)$ by
 $(wx)(t) = x(g^{-1}tg)$ if $g \in N_G(T)$ lifting $w \in W(G, T)$.

Prop $W(G, T)$ stabilizes $\Phi(G, T)$.

Proof Choose $g \in N_G(T)$ lifting $w \in W(G, T)$.

If $\alpha \in \Phi(G, T)$ and $x \in \alpha^\vee$,

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot (g \cdot x) &= t \cdot (gxg^{-1}) = g(g^{-1}tg)x = g\alpha(g^{-1}tg)x \\ &= \alpha(g^{-1}tg)gx = (w\alpha)(t)(gx) \end{aligned}$$

So T acts on $g\alpha^\vee$ by $w\alpha$.

□

Let $X^\vee(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{groups}}(G_m, T)$, the cocharacter group of T . There is a perfect pairing

$$\langle , \rangle : X(T) \times X^\vee(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Hom}(G_m, G_m)$$

$$n \mapsto (t \mapsto t^n)$$

$$(X, \mu) = n \text{ if } X \circ \mu(t) = t^n$$

Let $\alpha \in \Phi(G, T)$ and let $T_\alpha = (\ker \alpha)^\circ \subseteq T$, a subtorus of codim 1.

Let $G_\alpha = \text{centralizer of } T_\alpha \text{ in } G$.

Fact G_α is a connected reductive group with maximal torus T whose derived (aka commutator) subgroup is $\cong SL_2$ or PGL_2 and \exists a unique hom

$\alpha^\vee : G_m \rightarrow G_\alpha$ such that

$$T = \alpha^\vee(G_m) T_\alpha \text{ and } \langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2.$$

Eg. $G = GL_n$, $T = \text{diag torus}$. $\alpha = \alpha_{12} = e_1 - e_2$, i.e.

$$\alpha_{12} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} = t_1 t_2^{-1}$$

$$T_{\alpha_{12}} = (\ker \alpha_{12}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & & \\ & t & \\ & & t_3 \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$G_{\alpha_{12}} = \text{centralizer of } T_{\alpha_{12}}$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \times & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \times \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

This commutator subgroup of $G_{A_2} \cong$ commutator subgroup of $GL_2 \cong Sh_2$

$$\alpha^v: G_m \rightarrow T \\ t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t & & & \\ & t^{-1} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then in general, we set

$$\Phi^v(G, T) = \{ \alpha^v \mid \alpha \in \Phi(G, T) \}$$

called the coroots of (G, T)