Lecture 03—Threading I¹ ECE 459: Programming for Performance

Patrick Lam

University of Waterloo

January 14, 2014

¹r1: updated after class

Last Time: Modern Hardware, Amdahl's Law

Live coding: branch mispredicts, cache misses.

Amdahl's Law: speedup is bounded by serial part.

Gustafson's Law: with more resources, can compute more in same time.

More on Branch Mispredicts, Cache Misses

I just remembered the perf stat command:

```
plam@polva:~/459$ perf stat -B ./likelv-simplified
0. 500000000
Performance counter stats for './likely-simplified':
                                           # 1.001 CPUs utilized
      1596.640310 task-clock
                                           # 0.002 K/sec
                3 context-switches
                0 cpu-migrations
                                           # 0.000 K/sec
            1,115 page-faults
                                           # 0.698 K/sec
    3,597,491,979 cycles
                                           # 2.253 GHz
                                                                             [83.20%]
     1,089,460,677 stalled-cycles-frontend
                                           # 30.28% frontend cycles idle
                                                                             [83.25%]
      390,957,750 stalled-cycles-backend
                                           # 10.87% backend cycles idle
                                                                             [66.91%]
    5,502,609,732 instructions
                                           # 1.53 insns per cycle
                                                0.20 stalled cycles per insn [83.45%]
     1,999,589,096 branches
                                           # 1252.373 M/sec
                                                                             [83.45%]
            6,133 branch-misses
                                                0.00% of all branches
                                                                              [83.20%]
```

1.595834533 seconds time elapsed

Updates: Amdahl's Law Calculation



Part I

Parallelism versus Concurrency

Parallelism versus Concurrency

Parallelism

Two or more tasks are parallel if they are running at the same time.

Main goal: run tasks as fast as possible.

Main concern: dependencies.

Concurrency

Two or more tasks are concurrent

if the ordering of the two tasks is not predetermined.

Main concern: synchronization.

Part II

Threads

Threads



• What are they?

• How do operating systems implement them?

• How can we leverage them?

Processes versus Threads

Process

An instance of a computer program that contains program code and its:

- Own address space / virtual memory;
- Own stack / registers;
- Own resources (file handles, etc.).

Thread

"Lightweight processes".

In most cases, a thread is contained within a process.

- Same address space as parent process
 - Shares access to code and variables with parent.
- Own stack / registers
- Own thread-specific data

Software and Hardware Threads

Software Thread:

What you program with (e.g. with pthread_create()).

Corresponds to a stream of instructions executed by the processor.

On a single-core, single-processor machine, someone has to multiplex the CPU to execute multiple threads concurrently; only one thread runs at a time.

Hardware Thread:

Corresponds to virtual (or real) CPUs in a system. Also known as strands.

Operating system must multiplex software threads onto hardware threads, but can execute more than one software thread at once.

Thread Model—1:1 (Kernel-level Threading)

Simplest possible threading implementation.

The kernel schedules threads on different processors;

 NB: Kernel involvement required to take advantage of a multicore system.

Context switching involves system call overhead.

Used by Win32, POSIX threads for Windows and Linux.

Allows concurrency and parallelism.

Thread Model—N:1 (User-level Threading)

All application threads map to a single kernel thread.

Quick context switches, no need for system call.

Cannot use multiple processors, only for concurrency.

• Why would you use user threads?

Used by GNU Portable Threads.

Thread Model—M:N (Hybrid Threading)

Map M application threads to N kernel threads.

A compromise between the previous two models.

Allows quick context switches and the use of multiple processors.

Requires increased complexity:

- Both library and kernel must schedule.
- Schedulers may not coordinate well together.
- Increases likelihood of priority inversion (recall from Operating Systems).

Used by Windows 7 threads.

Live Coding Demo: Deducing the Thread Model

time, top, and perf stat all let you figure this out.

Part III

Types of Multiprocessing

Example System—Physical View



Only one physical CPU

Example System—System View

```
jon@ece459-1 ^{\sim} \% egrep 'processor\midmodel name' /proc/cpuinfo
processor: 0
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor : 1
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor: 2
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor : 3
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor: 4
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor : 5
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor: 6
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
processor: 7
model name: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7 -2600K CPU @ 3.40GHz
```

Many processors


SMP (Symmetric Multiprocessing)

Identical processors or cores, which:

- are interconnected, usually using buses; and
- share main memory.


• SMP is the most common type of multiprocessing system.

Example of an SMP System



- Each core can execute a different thread
- Shared memory quickly becomes the bottleneck

Executing 2 Threads on a Single Core




On a single core, must context switch between threads:

- every N cycles; or
- wait until cache miss, or another long event

Resources may be unused during execution.

Why not take advantage of this?

Executing M Threads on a N Cores



Here's a Chip Multithreading example.

UltraSPARC T2 has 8 cores, each of which supports 8 threads. All of the cores share a common level 2 cache.

SMT (Simultaneous Multithreading)

Use idle CPU resources (may be calculating or waiting for memory) to execute another task.

Cannot improve performance if shared resources are the bottleneck.

Issue instructions for each thread per cycle.

To the OS, it looks a lot like SMP, but gives only up to 30% performance improvement.

Intel implementation: Hyper-Threading.

Example: Non-SMP system



PlayStation 3 contains a Cell processor:

- PowerPC main core (Power Processing Element, or "PPE")
- 7 Synergistic Processing Elements ("SPE"s): small vector computers.

NUMA (Non-Uniform Memory Access)

In SMP, all CPUs have uniform (the same) access time for resources.

For NUMA, CPUs can access different resources faster (resources: not just memory).

Schedule tasks on CPUs which access resources faster.

Since memory is commonly the bottleneck, each CPU has its own memory bank.

Processor Affinity

Each task (process/thread) can be associated with a set of processors.

Useful to take advantage of existing caches (either from the last time the task ran or task uses the same data).

Hyper-Threading is an example of complete affinity for both threads on the same core.

Often better to use a different processor if current set busy.

Part IV

Processes vs Threads

Background

Recall the difference between processes and threads:

 Threads are basically light-weight processes which piggy-back on processes' address space.

Traditionally (pre-Linux 2.6) you had to use fork (for processes) and clone (for threads).

History

clone is not POSIX compliant.

Developers mostly used fork in the past, which creates a new process.

- Drawbacks?
- Benefits?

Benefit: fork is Safer and More Secure Than Threads

- Each process has its own virtual address space:
 - Memory pages are not copied, they are copy-on-write—
 - ▶ Therefore, uses less memory than you would expect.
- ② Buffer overruns or other security holes do not expose other processes.
- If a process crashes, the others can continue.

Example: In the Chrome browser, each tab is a separate process.

Drawback of Processes: Threads are Easier and Faster

- Interprocess communication (IPC) is more complicated and slower than interthread communication.
 - Need to use operating system utilities (pipes, semaphores, shared memory, etc) instead of thread library (or just memory reads and writes).
- Processes have much higher startup, shutdown and synchronization cost.
- And, Pthreads fix the issues of clone and provide a uniform interface for most systems (focus of Assignment 1).

Appropriate Time to Use Processes

If your application is like this:

- Mostly independent tasks, with little or no communication.
- Task startup and shutdown costs are negligible compared to overall runtime.
- Want to be safer against bugs and security holes.

Then processes are the way to go.

For performance reasons, along with ease and consistency, we'll use Pthreads.