Lecture 09—OpenMP Basics

ECE 459: Programming for Performance

February 5, 2013

Assignment 1 Summary

- Use Pthreads, get practice with benchmarking.
- Results: (TBA)

Assignment 2 Preview

Three parts:

- Restructuring code for Automatic Parallelization.
- Manual parallelization of a loop with OpenMP.
- Using OpenMP tasks effectively.

Should be harder than Assignment 1; still, didn't take me very long to make solution.

Last Time

Automatic parallelization:

- Compiler splits effort for executing loop code among threads.
- Under the hood, compiler inserts OpenMP directives.

Today: how to write OpenMP directives manually.

Part I

${\sf OpenMP}$

Introduction

Now that we've seen Pthreads and automatic parallelization, let's talk about manual parallelization using OpenMP.

- OpenMP (Open Multiprocessing) is an API specification which allows you to tell the compiler how you'd like your program to be parallelized.
- All major compilers have OpenMP (GNU, Solaris, Intel, Microsoft).

You use $OpenMP^1$ by specifying directives in the source code. In C/C++, these directives are pragmas of the form #pragma omp ...

¹More information: https://computing.llnl.gov/tutorials/openMP/

Benefits of OpenMP

- uses compiler directives—
 - easily compile same codebase for serial or parallel.
- separates the parallelization implementation from the algorithm implementation.

Directives apply to limited parts of the code, supporting incremental parallelization of the program. (Start with the hotspots.)

Simple OpenMP Example

```
void calc (double *array1, double *array2, int length) {
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for (int i = 0; i < length; i++) {
        array1[i] += array2[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

Could we parallelize this automatically?

How The Example Works

#pragma will make the compiler parallelize the loop.

- It does not look at loop contents, only loop bounds.
- It is your responsibility to make sure the code is safe.

OpenMP will always start parallel threads if you tell it to, dividing iterations contiguously among the threads.

You don't need to declare restrict, although it's a good idea. Need restrict for automatic parallelization.

Basic pragma syntax

Let's look at the parts of this #pragma.

- #pragma omp indicates an OpenMP directive;
- parallel indicates the start of a parallel region.
- for tells OpenMP: run the next for loop in parallel.

When you run the parallelized program, the runtime library starts a number of threads and assigns a subrange of the loop range to each of the threads.

What OpenMP can Parallelize

for (int i = 0; i < length; i++) { ... } Can only parallelize loops which satisfy these conditions:

- must be of the form: for (init expr; test expr; increment expr);
- loop variable must be integer (signed or unsigned), pointer, or a C++ random access iterator;
- loop variable must be initialized to one end of the range;
- loop increment amount must be loop-invariant (constant with respect to the loop body); and
- test expression must be one of >, >=, <, or <=, and the comparison value (bound) must be loop-invariant.

Note: these restrictions therefore also apply to automatically parallelized loops.

What OpenMP Does

- Compiler generates code to spawn a team of threads; automatically splits off worker-thread code into a separate procedure.
- Generated code uses fork-join parallelism; when the master thread hits a parallel region, it gives work to the worker threads, which execute and report back.
- Afterwards, the master thread continues running, while the worker threads wait for more work.

As we saw, you can specify the number of threads by setting the OMP_NUM_THREADS environment variable. (You can also adjust by calling omp_set_num_threads()).

 Solaris compiler tells you what it did if you use the flags -xopenmp -xloopinfo.

Variable Scoping

Concept: thread-local variables (private) vs shared variables.

- Changes to private variables are visible only to the changing thread.
- Changes to shared variables are visible to all threads.

Variable Scoping for Example

```
for (int i = 0; i < length; i++) { ... }
```

- length could be either shared or private.
 - if it was private, then you would have to copy in the appropriate initial value.
- array variables must be shared.

Default Variable Scoping

Let's look at the defaults that OpenMP uses to parallelize the parallel-for code:

```
% er_src parallel — for.o
1. < Function: calc >

Source OpenMP region below has tag R1
Private variables in R1: i
Shared variables in R1: array2, length, array1
2. #pragma omp parallel for
```

Parallelization information (via OpenMP)

Default Variable Scoping Rules: A Summary

- Loop variables are private.
- Variables defined in parallel code are private.
- Variables defined outside the parallel region are shared.

You can disable the default rules by specifying default(none) on the parallel pragma, or you can give explicit scoping:

Reductions

Recall that we introduced the concept of a reduction, e.g.

```
for (int i = 0; i < length; i++)
  total += array[i];</pre>
```

What is the appropriate scope for total?

Reductions

Recall that we introduced the concept of a reduction, e.g.

```
for (int i = 0; i < length; i++)
  total += array[i];</pre>
```

What is the appropriate scope for total? Well, it should be shared.

We want each thread to be able to write to it.

Reductions

Recall that we introduced the concept of a reduction, e.g.

```
for (int i = 0; i < length; i++)
  total += array[i];</pre>
```

What is the appropriate scope for total? Well, it should be shared.

- We want each thread to be able to write to it.
- But, is there a race condition? (of course)

Fortunately, OpenMP can deal with reductions as a special case:

```
\#pragma omp parallel for reduction (+:total)
```

specifies that the total variable is the accumulator for a reduction over the + operator.

Accessing Private Data outside a Parallel Region

Sometimes you want private variables, but want them initialized before the loop.

Consider this (silly) code:

- data is private, so OpenMP will not copy in initial 1.
- To make OpenMP copy the data before the threads start, use firstprivate(data).
- To publish a variable after the (sequentially) last iteration of the loop, use lastprivate(data).

Thread-Private Data

You might have a global variable, for which each thread should have a persistent local copy—lives across parallel regions.

- Use the threadprivate directive.
- Add copyin if you want something like firstprivate.
- There is no lastprivate since the data is accessible after the loop.

Thread-Private Data Example (1)

```
#include <omp.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int tid, a, b;
#pragma omp threadprivate(a)
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    printf("Parallel #1 Start\n");
    #pragma omp parallel private(b, tid)
        tid = omp_get_thread_num();
        a = tid:
        b = tid:
        printf("T%d: a=\%d, b=\%d\n", tid, a, b);
    printf("Sequential code\n");
```

Thread-Private Data Example (2)

```
printf("Parallel #2 Start\n");
#pragma omp parallel private(tid)
{
    tid = omp_get_thread_num();
    printf("T%d: a=%d, b=%d\n", tid, a, b);
}
return 0;
}
```

```
% ./a.out
Parallel #1 Start
T6: a=6, b=6
T1: a=1, b=1
T0: a=0, b=0
T4: a=4, b=4
T2: a=2, b=2
T3: a=3, b=3
T5: a=5, b=5
T7: a=7, b=7
```

```
Sequential code
Parallel #2 Start
T0: a=0, b=0
T6: a=6, b=0
T1: a=1, b=0
T2: a=2, b=0
T5: a=5, b=0
T7: a=7, b=0
T3: a=3, b=0
T4: a=4, b=0
```

Collapsing Loops

Normally, it's best to parallelize the outermost loop.

Consider this code:

```
#include <math.h>
int main() {
    double array[2][10000];
    #pragma omp parallel for collapse(2)
    for (int i = 0; i < 2; i++)
        for (int j = 0; j < 10000; j++)
            array[i][j] = sin(i+j);
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

 Would parallelizing this outer loop benefit us? The inner loop?

OpenMP supports *collapsing* loops:

- Creates a single loop for all the iterations of the two loops.
- Outer loop only enables the use of 2 threads.
- Collapsed loop lets us use up to 20,000 threads.

Better Performance Through Scheduling: An Example

Default mode: Static scheduling.

• Assumes each iteration takes the same running time.

Does that assumption hold for this code?

```
double calc(int count) {
    double d = 1.0;
    for (int i = 0; i < count*count; i++) d += d;
    return d;
int main() {
    double data[200][100];
    int i, i;
   #pragma omp parallel for private(i, j) shared(data)
    for (int i = 0; i < 200; i++) {
        for (int j = 0; j < 200; j++) {
            data[i][j] = calc(i+j);
    return 0:
```

Better Performance Through Scheduling

- In example, earlier iterations are faster than later iterations. Result: sublinear scaling—wait for all iterations to finish.
- Turn on dynamic schedule mode by adding schedule(dynamic) to the pragma:
 - Breaks the work into chunks;
 - Distributes the work to each thread in chunks;
 - Higher overhead;
 - Default chunk size of 1
 (can modify, e.g. schedule(dynamic, n/50)).

More Scheduling

Other schedule modes exist, e.g. guided, auto and runtime.

- guided changes the chunk size based on work remaining.
 - Default minimum chunk size = 1 (can modify)
- auto lets OpenMP decide what's best.
- runtime doesn't pick a mode until runtime.
 - ► Tune with OMP_SCHEDULE environment variable

Part II

Beyond for loops: OpenMP Parallel Sections and Tasks

Why more than for?

So far, we can parallelize (some) for loops with OpenMP.

Less powerful than Pthreads. (Also harder to get wrong.)

Reflects OpenMP's scientific-computation heritage.

Today, we need more general parallelism, not just matrices.

Parallel Sections Example: Linked Lists (1)

Purely-static mechanism for specifying independent work units which should run in parallel.

Linked list example:

```
#include <stdlib.h>

typedef struct s { struct s* next; } S;

void setuplist (S* current) {
  for (int i = 0; i < 10000; i++) {
    current->next = (S*) malloc (sizeof(S));
    current = current->next;
  }
  current->next = NULL;
}
```

Parallel Sections Example: Linked Lists (2)

(Exactly) 2 linked lists:

```
int main() {
   S var1, var2;
   #pragma omp parallel sections
   {
        #pragma omp section
        { setuplist (&var1); }
        #pragma omp section
        { setuplist (&var2); }
   }
   return 0;
}
```

Parallelism structure explicitly visible.

Finite number of threads.

(What's another barrier to parallelism here?)

Nested Parallelism

Sometimes you don't want to collapse loops.

Example: (better example in PDF notes!)

To enable nested parallelism, call omp_set_nested(1) or set OMP_NESTED. (Runtime might refuse.)

OpenMP Tasks

Main new feature in OpenMP 3.0.

#pragma omp task: code splits off and scheduled to run later.

More flexible than parallel sections:

- can run as many threads as needed;
- tasks do not need to join (like detached threads).

OpenMP does the task-to-thread mapping—lower overhead.

Examples of tasks

Two examples:

- web server—unstructured requests
- user interface—allows users to start tasks which run in parallel.

Boa webserver main loop example

```
#pragma omp parallel
  /* a single thread manages the connections */
  #pragma omp single nowait
  while (!end) {
    process any signals
    foreach request from the blocked queue {
      if (request dependencies are met) {
        extract from the blocked queue
        /* create a task for the request */
        #pragma omp task untied
          serve_request (request);
    if (new connection) {
      accept_connection();
      /* create a task for the request */
      #pragma omp task untied
        serve_request(new connection);
    select();
```

Other OpenMP qualifiers

untied: lifts restrictions on task-to-thread mapping.

single: only one thread runs the next statement (not N copies).

flush directive: write all values in registers or cache to memory.

barrier: wait for all threads to complete. (OpenMP also has implicit barriers at ends of parallel sections.)

OpenMP also supports critical sections (one thread at a time), atomic sections, and typical mutex locks (omp_set_lock, omp_unset_lock).