


THE BOYNE ISLAND SMELTER:

A CASE STUDY

Patrick O'Callaghan and John Mangan
AIBE, University of Queensland

Economic Modelling
with
Sector-specific Euler Equations

“emsee” model overview



CGE model with forward-looking dynamics:

Supply = Demand (output = med + con + inv + xpo) at each time

Output is a function of capital, labour, intermediates (with imports) and a fixed factor.

Capital depreciates and is optimally replenished to grow the economy.

Balanced growth paths: each sector grows in range 1% to 2%

Solve as a sequence of overlapping nonlinear dynamic programs

Sector-specific Euler Eq'ns:

Testable condition: ``value capital today'' = ``**expected value** of capital in the future''

*When the **SEE** hold, capital is optimally allocated across sectors*

- Absent in intersectoral models: CoPS; Atalay; Cesa-Bianchi et al; Baqaee and Farhi

Transition to net zero: some SEE may fail to hold and, in this case:

- sectoral shocks are more likely to spill over/propagate to other sectors
- the economy has more capacity for adaptation: chance to nudge economy to new equilibria

Aluminium Industry and Boyne Smelters Limited (**BSL**)

Australia: energy-abundant and fully integrated Aluminium supply chain

- One of only three countries in the world along with Brazil and Venezuela.

Qld: Weipa Bauxite Gladstone for AlOx refining and Al smelting at BSL

- Gladstone Bauxite imports less than half of Weipa production
- QAL and Yarwun: Alumina sales to BSL is 15% of total output

No obvious major threats to overall supply chain: Rio Tinto is majority owner

BSL: consumes 1/8 of Qld's electricity; large energy subsidy (\$250m+)

- Like other smelters it is in close proximity of energy resources
- Recent Smelter closure: Kurri Kurri smelter, closed in 2012
- Tiwai Point smelter, New Zealand *almost* closed in 2020-2021:

- Qld Energy and Jobs Plan:

- Sustaining heavy industry in Qld is a key part of the transition

Gladstone, Central Queensland

Gladstone (2018-19 economy, SA3/LGA):

\$15.5bn aggregate output: approx. 25% of Central Qld, 2% Qld

29k FTE: approx. 28% of Central Qld, 1.3% of Qld

63k population: highly skilled, but aging with 0.7% growth

- Multi-commodity deep-water port plus rail and road infrastructure



Gladstone is Qld's regional manufacturing hub:

\$5.5bn to \$6bn Manufacturing output: of which approx. \$1bn is BSL

4k to 4.5k Manufacturing FTE employees: of which 1k at **BSL**

- **BSL represents approx. ¼ of Manufacturing activity except in energy consumption**

Other Heavy industry: Ammonia, Cement, LNG, Oil refinery

Growth industries: ag-tech, AlOx for batteries, aquaculture, Mining Serv., green {...}



Data sources

Using data we specialise the model to the Gladstone region with 19 ANZSIC divisions

Jobs in Australia ABS data: labour per sector for Gladstone 2019.

Input-output flows between sectors: ABS tables 5 and 8 for Australia

Investment flows between sectors: following Atalay (2017)

- Adapt investment flows tables from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis
- ABS Gross Fixed Capital Formation by Industry by type of Asset

BLADE (and Remplan): for output per sector for Gladstone 2019

Gladstone Port data for Bauxite, Alumina, Aluminium and Coal

- Eg. Bauxite imports

Rio Tinto accounts

Studies on aluminium production e.g.

- Gagne and Nappi 2000
- Best Available Techniques 2017

Experiments and shocks

Experiment Type (1):

1st phase: tune parameters to regionalise, *all 20 SEE hold*

2nd phase: capital evolves towards a balanced growth path

3rd phase: continue along same path and generate

- “status quo” path
- “shock” (BSL closure) path

Experiment Type (2):

1st phase: tune parameters to regionalise; *not all 20 SEE hold*

2nd phase: capital evolves towards a balanced growth path

3rd phase: continue along same path and generate

- “status quo” path
- “shock” (BSL closure) path

Shock type (a): one-off “MIT shock” agents don’t see coming

- 1/4 decrease in Manufacturing productivity, capital and exports
- 5/6 decrease in Utilities (energy + water) purchases by Manufacturing
- No **exogenous** decommissioning or replacement activity

Experiment (1a) Summary of Results

Shock is sector-specific

Aggregate Output -\$1.7bn	
Manufacturing	Others
-\$1.59bn	-\$0.1bn

Utilities prices ↓ by 4%

Agriculture, Consumption ↑

* But Gladstone is connected to NEM ...

Manufacturing employment ↑ 6%:

- At 32% lower pay as economy strives to preserve **current equilibrium**.

Experiment (2a) *an example* of Results

Shock is more dispersed

Aggregate Output -\$0.85bn	
Manufacturing	Others
-\$1.14bn	+\$0.29bn

Prices: Utilities ↓ 10%, Manuf. ↓ 3%

Agriculture, Mining, ... ↑ Consumption ↑

* But Gladstone is connected to NEM ...

Employment: Manuf. ↓ 1.5%:

- At 19% lower pay.
- Agric. employment ↑ 5%, Mining more

Comparison of experiments:


(1a)

All 20 SEE hold

(2a)


Not all 20 SEE hold

Experiment-shock (1a): % change relative to status quo, Aggregates




Aggregate Capital permanently down by 1.6-1.7%
Aggregate Output permanently down by 11% or \$1.7bn
Consumption falls as aggregate price levels rise

Experiment-shock (2a): % change relative to status quo, Aggregates



Aggregate Capital is higher than status quo after 7 years
Output initially falls by 6% or \$0.85bn before rising;
Consumption is 3.5% higher in the long run.

Experiment-shock (1a): % change relative to status quo, Manufacturing




Manufacturing capital quickly recovers

Manufacturing output down by 27% or \$1.5bn

Consumption falls as Manufacturing prices rise.

Experiment-shock (2a): % change relative to status quo, Manufacturing




Manufacturing capital slowly recovers

Manufacturing output down by 20% or \$1.1bn


Consumption rises as Manufacturing prices fall.

Experiment-shock (1a): % change relative to status quo, Utilities




Capital down by 9% in the long run.
Utilities (Energy and Water) price initially fall by 4%;
Consumption up compensating for falls elsewhere;

Experiment-shock (2a): % change relative to status quo, Utilities




Capital down by 6% in the long run;
Utilities price down by 10% and remains there;
Consumption up and remains there

Experiment-shock (1a): % change relative to status quo, **Agriculture** (Similar pictures for Mining.)



Cheaper energy and water prices cause
Capital up, Output up and consumption down

Experiment-shock (2a): % change relative to status quo, **Agriculture**



Similar, but more extreme:
Capital up by over 15% in the long run.

Key takeaways

BSL is important to Gladstone's economy and Qld's Al/AlOx supply chain

Transition needs to be handled with care: **major consumer of energy and energy prices ↑**

Gladstone Aluminium: internationally competitive (given right energy transition)

[June 2022](#): Rio Tinto calls for clean Gladstone Aluminium by 2030.

[September 2022](#), Qld Energy Plan: supergrid can keep Gladstone in proximity of power supply

Economic modelling with SEE:

Testable equations with long history in macroeconomics / finance (absent in CGE)

- If the SEE hold, then the shock is more sector-specific (less macroeconomic)

Transition: the SEE unlikely to hold (given uncertainty and out-of-date capital)

Greater **propagation of shocks**, but also greater **opportunity for change**

Policy implications:

We can estimate how the SEE fail and identify paths of least resistance for transforming the economy

References

Short list of Articles:

- Atalay, E. (2017). How important are sectoral shocks?. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 9(4), 254-80.
- Baqaee, D. R., & Farhi, E. (2019). The macroeconomic impact of microeconomic shocks: Beyond Hulten's theorem. *Econometrica*, 87(4), 1155-1203.
- Cai, Y., & Judd, K. L. (2021). A Simple but Powerful Simulated Certainty Equivalent Approximation Method for Dynamic Stochastic Problems (No. w28502). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Cusano, G., Rodrigo Gonzalo, M., Farrell, F., Remus, R., Roudier, S., Delgado Sancho, L. (2017). *Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Non-Ferrous Metals Industries. Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control)* (No. JRC107041). Joint Research Centre (Seville site).
- Dixon, P., & Rimmer, M. T. (2020). *Developing a DSGE consumption function for a CGE model*. Centre of Policy Studies (CoPS), Victoria University.
- Gagné, R., & Nappi, C. (2000). The cost and technological structure of aluminium smelters worldwide. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 15(4), 417-432.
- Na, S., Anitescu, M., & Kolar, M. (2021). A fast temporal decomposition procedure for long-horizon nonlinear dynamic programming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.11560*.

Data:

- Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 2018-2019. *Tables 5 and 8: Industry by Industry Flow Table*. Released May 2021.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics, Business Longitudinal Analysis Data Environment (BLADE), 2018-2019, <https://www.abs.gov.au/about/data-services/data-integration/integrated-data/business-longitudinal-analysis-data-environment-blade>
- Bureau of Economic Analysis (2003). *Capital flow data for 1997*. <https://www.bea.gov/news/2003/capital-flows-us-economy-1997>
- Gladstone Regional Council. <https://www.gladstone.qld.gov.au/downloads/file/3466/gladstone-region-investment-prospectus> . Retrieved October 2022
- Queensland Government (2022). *Energy and Jobs Plan*. <https://www.epw.qld.gov.au/energyandjobsplan> . Retrieved October 2022
- Queensland Government. https://yoursayhpw.engagementhq.com/understand-qrez/news_feed/central . Retrieved October 2022
- Port of Gladstone, “Trade Statistics Data,” <https://www.gpcl.com.au/trade-statistics> . Retrieved April 2020
- Rio Tinto, (2019). *Annual Report Production, Reserves and Operations*. Retrieved in April 2020.