Table 1. Unadjusted risk associates of frailty in CKD patients

Category	Туре	Prevalence (F vs. NF, %),	Patient CKD	Frail Assessment	Sample	Ref
		values, or correlation	Severity	method	Size	
Demographic profile	Age (years)	r = 0.24, p = 0.04	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	74	<sup>9</sup> 2018 Clinics
		57.0 vs. 52.0	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015
						CJASN
		82.5 vs. 65.4	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
				Scale		
		62.1 vs. 58.5	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
				questionnaire		
		69.4 vs. 56.6 (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
		69 vs. 59	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
		78.4 vs. 65.5	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	51	<sup>29</sup> Nephrology
		71.7 vs. 61.5	stage 5D (HD)	CHS scale	214	35 JBMM
		58 vs. 53	stage 5D (HD)	Performance-	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren Nutr
				based frailty		
		75.3 vs. 65.1	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	37 Nephrology
		69.5 vs. 63.7	stage 2-4	Modified Fried	168	<sup>40</sup> HQoLO
				Phenotypes		
		64.9 vs. 57.3	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>41</sup> HQoLO
				Phenotypes		

		62.9 vs. 55.1	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	146	<sup>50</sup> JAGS
		55.8 vs. 50.7	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	537	<sup>54</sup> Am J
						Transplant
	Gender (male)	56% vs. 21%	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
		(moderate/severe vs.				BMCG
		NF/mild)				
		55% vs. 72%	stage 5D (HD)	Performance-	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren Nutr
				based frailty		
		11% vs. 51%	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	37 Nephrology
		42.3% vs. 71.4%	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>41</sup> HQoLO
				Phenotypes		
		51.2% vs. 68.2%	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
				frailty		
		60.8% vs. 57.9%	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	383	<sup>51</sup> Am J
						Transplant
Inthropometric	BMI (kg/m²)	31.5 vs. 27.6 (based on	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015
arameters		DW)				CJASN
		22.53 vs. 26.16	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
						Aging Res
		28.3 vs. 25.6	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
		28.8 vs. 24.9	stage 5D (HD)	Performance-	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren Nutr
				based frailty		

		30.1 vs. 28.1	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
				frailty		
	Waist circumference (cm)	101.0 vs. 97.7	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		103.0 vs. 93.6	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
Multimorbidity	Charlson comorbidity index	5.0 vs. 2.0	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
		5.8 vs. 5.1	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		6.6 vs. 4.3 (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
	Number of comorbidities	6 vs. 5	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale (EFS)	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J Diabet
Dialysis duration	Duration	70.5 vs. 162.1 (weeks)	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
		47.9 vs. 34.3 (months)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		61.5 vs. 45.8 (months) (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
Physical examination	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	75.6 vs. 80.2	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		72.7 vs. 82.5 (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR

		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
Biological						
Cardiovascular	Heart Failure (%)	30% vs 12%	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
		44% vs. 11%	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	37 Nephrology
		36.4% vs. 25.3%	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported frailty	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
	Peripheral vascular disease (%)	38.8% vs. 17.21%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J Aging Res
		13.6% vs. 0% (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		42.6% vs. 10.5%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	146	<sup>50</sup> JAGS
	Angina (%)	34% vs. 22%	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
	Coronary heart disease (%)	27.3% vs. 5.1% (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
	Atherosclerosis (%)	40.1% vs. 30.6%	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported frailty	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
Central nervous system	Cerebrovascular Disease (%)	26.4% vs. 12%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015 CJASN
Endocrinologic/ Metabolic	Diabetes (%)	64% vs. 49%	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD

			63.6% vs. 27.1% (severe	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
			F vs. NF)		questionnaire		
			65% vs. 45%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
			80% vs. 44%	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	51	<sup>29</sup> Nephrology
			60% vs. 36%	stage 5D (HD)	Performance-	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren Nutr
					based frailty		
			63% vs. 43.7%	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
					frailty		
			75.4% vs. 44.7%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	146	<sup>50</sup> JAGS
	Obe	esity (%)	64% vs. 50%	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
					scale		
			51.8% vs. 23.9%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015
							CJASN
Musculoskeletal	Oste	eoporosis	Higher in frail patients	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
			(p = 0.01)		Phenotypes		Mansur
Immunological	Vira	l infection					
		HCV infection	21.5% vs. 2.6%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017 SJKDT
Body Composition	Fati	mass	r = 0.25, p = 0.04	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
					Phenotypes		Mansur
			40.2% vs. 30.5% (severe	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
			F vs. NF) (high fat		questionnaire		

		prevalence)				
		40.7% vs. 35.0%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
		30.7 vs. 24.4 kg				
Tot	tal mass					
	trunk mass (kg)	40.8 vs. 48.88	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
				Scale		Diabet
		29.4 vs. 33.5	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
	Cephalic mass (kg)	4.64 vs. 4.93				
Lo	wer lean body mass	57.1% vs .14.7%	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
		45.49 vs. 53.62 kg		Scale		Diabet
		34.7 vs. 43.1 kg	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
	Trunk lean mass (kg)	17.4 vs. 22.1				
		23.05 vs. 27.98	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
	Cephalic lean mass	3.74 vs. 4.69		Scale		Diabet
	(kg)	3.06 vs. 3.29	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
	Gynoid lean mass	6.64 vs. 7.91	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
	(kg)			Scale		Diabet
Lea	an tissue mass	37.2 vs. 41.4 kg	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
				questionnaire		
		53.6% vs. 67.5% (severe	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		F vs. NF)		questionnaire		

	Skeletal muscle index (kg/m²)	6.55 vs. 7.41	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
	Phase angle (degree)	5.24 vs. 6.24	stage 5D (HD)	Performance- based frailty	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Over-hydration (L)	4.19 vs. 2.49 (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
	Bone mineral density (g/cm²)		stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL Scale	43	<sup>34</sup> JAGS
	L3	0.81 vs. 0.97				
	L4	0.73 vs. 0.92				
	Femoral neck	0.43 vs. 0.63				
	T-score					
	L3	-1.97 vs0.64				
	L4	-2.6 vs0.95				
	Femoral neck	-3.47 vs1.68				
Laboratory Data	Prealbumin (mg/dL)	28.9 vs. 38.3	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
	Serum albumin (g/dL)	3.6 vs. 3.9	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
		r = -0.263, p = 0.025	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	74	<sup>9</sup> 2018 Clinics

		3.8 vs. 4.1	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
				Scale (EFS)		Diabet
		2.92 vs. 3.48	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
				Scale		
		3.61 vs. 3.85	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
						Aging Res
		3.29 vs. 3.49 vs. 3.58 (F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		+ D vs. F – D vs. NF )		questionnaire		
		3.17 vs. 3.62 (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
		3.7 vs. 3.9	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
		3.5 vs. 3.9	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	51	<sup>29</sup> Nephrology
		3.7 vs. 3.9	stage 5D (HD)	CHS scale	214	35 JBMM
		3.5 vs. 3.8	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	37 Nephrology
		3.2 vs. 3.4	Elderly with stage	Multidimensional	46	<sup>62</sup> JKMS
			5D	frailty score		
C	Creatinine	299 vs. 115 umol/L	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
				Scale (EFS)		Diabet
		11.6 vs. 9.9 mg/dL	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
		(moderate/severe vs.				BMCG
		NF/mild)				

	12.2 vs. 10.4 mg/dL	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
	(F/PF vs. NF)				
	8.1 vs. 11.1 mg/dL	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>37</sup> Nephrology
eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)	41.1 vs. 52.5 (cystatin C)	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
			scale		
	18 vs. 50	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J
			Scale (EFS)		Diabet
Albuminuria (mg/g Cre)	311.2 vs. 102	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
			scale		
Phosphate (mg/dL)	4.1 vs. 3.7	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
			scale		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	10.35 vs. 10.97	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
					Aging Res
	r = -0.336, p = 0.004	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	74	<sup>9</sup> 2018 Clinics
	12.2 vs. 13.2	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
			scale		
	10.1 vs. 9.2	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
	(moderate/severe vs.				BMCG
	NF/mild)				
Total cholesterol	4.48 vs. 5.18 mmol/L	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
	(severe F vs. NF)		questionnaire		
	134 vs. 148 mg/dL	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr

	LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.51 vs. 3.02 (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
	HDL cholesterol	1.18 vs. 1.38 mmol/L				
		(severe F vs. NF)				
		40 vs. 46 mg/dL	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
	iPTH (pg/mL)	248.8 vs. 127.9	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
				Phenotypes		Mansur
	Ferritin (ng/mL)	1202 vs. 534	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	37 Nephrology
	Transferrin saturation (%)	30.1 vs. 37.1	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
				Phenotypes		Mansur
	25-OH-D (ng/dL)	r = -0.363, p = 0.002	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	74	<sup>9</sup> 2018 Clinics
	CRP (mg/dL)	3.8 vs. 2.1	stages 1-4	Modified CHS	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
				scale		
		1.12 vs. 0.28 (natural	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
		Log transformed)		Scale		
	IL-6 (pg/mL)	2.45 vs. 1.58 (natural	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
		Log transformed)		Scale		
	nPNA (g/kg/day)	1.10 vs. 1.19	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
				questionnaire		
CKD-related	Counts of complications	r = 0.666, p < 0.0001	Elderly with	Edmonton Frail	35	<sup>17</sup> 2016 Rev
complications			unknown CKD	Scale		Rene
			stages			

Residual renal	Residual eGFR	1.54 vs. 2.46	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
function	(ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> )			questionnaire		
		0.9 vs. 2.2	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
				questionnaire		
Care modality	Assisted PD	38.6% vs. 0% (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
	Living with caregivers	45% vs. 72%	Elderly with stages	Groningen frailty	65	<sup>19</sup> Ren Fail
			4/5 CKD	indicator		
	Renal conservative care	45% vs. 2%	Elderly with stages	Groningen frailty	65	<sup>19</sup> Ren Fail
			4/5 CKD	indicator		
Dialysis related	Kt/V	1.69 vs. 1.55	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
parameters		(moderate/severe vs.				BMCG
		NF/mild)				
		1.44 vs. 1.58	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Weekly total Kt/V	1.74 vs. 1.96 (severe F	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		vs. NF)		questionnaire		
	URR	76.2% vs. 72.5%	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
		(moderate/severe vs.				BMCG
		NF/mild)				
	Daily exchange volume (L)	6.5 vs. 7.0 (severe F vs.	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR

		NF)		questionnaire		
Vascular access	Catheter, AVF, and AVG use	Catheter: 61.6% vs.	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
		17.8%				Aging Res
		AVF: 27.78% vs. 77.5%				
		AVG: 11.11% vs. 5%				
Microbiota	Bacterial Abundance of	Higher in F group	stages 3b/4	Fried Phenotype	79	<sup>20</sup> NDT
	some genera					
	(Mogibacteriacee,					
	Coriobacteriacee,					
	Eggerthella, Erwinia,					
	Coprobacillus,					
	Anaerotruncus, etc)					
Neurological	Quantitative EEG findings		stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL scale	46	<sup>16</sup> 2017
	Delta wave (central,	Lower in F group				BMCG
	right/left TO, left					
	frontal area)					
	Delta to alpha ratio	Lower in F group				
	(global, central, left					
	frontal, right/left TO					
	area)					

	Delta/theta to alpha/beta ratio (global, central, left frontal, right/left TO area)  Cognitive impairment  Prevalence Pre-transplant 3MS scores 3MS memory 3MS identification/associa tion	11% vs. 6.6% 93.0 vs. 96.0 20.0 vs. 21.0 23.0 vs. 24.0	stage 5T	Fried phenotypes	665	<sup>30</sup> JASN
Psychological Mood	Mood Change	Negative correlation	stage 5D (HD)	Edmonton Frail Scale	60	<sup>21</sup> Act Paul Enferm
Anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	Higher global, psychological and social components (women) Higher physical component (men)	stage 5D (online- HDF)	N/A	97	<sup>22</sup> NDT

Depression	Depression	38.8% vs. 12.58%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J	
						Aging Res	
	Hospital Anxiety and	Higher global,	stage 5D (online-	N/A	97	<sup>22</sup> NDT	
	Depression Scale	psychological, physical	HDF)				
		component (men)					
	Self-reported major	83% vs. 6%	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	<sup>14</sup> Can J	
	depression			Scale (EFS)		Diabet	
hysical activity	Minnesota Leisure Time	95 vs. 735	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	68	<sup>25</sup> J Ren Nutr	
	Activity						
	Low Physical Activity	280 vs. 798					
	Questionnaire						
	Sitting (hours/day) 6.5 vs. 5						
	Grip strength (kg)	16.4 vs. 24.6	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI	
	Walk speed (m/s)	0.79 vs. 1.67		Scale			
lutritional Status	SGA scores	5.25 vs. 5.75	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR	
				questionnaire			
		4.2 vs. 5.3 (severe F vs.	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR	
		NF)		questionnaire			
	MIS scores	8.14 vs. 5.12	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR	
				questionnaire			

		12.2 vs. 6.0 (severe F vs.	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		NF)		questionnaire		
		7.6 vs. 3.9	stages 3b/4	Fried Phenotype	79	<sup>20</sup> NDT
	MNA scores	18.0 vs. 22.0	Elderly with stage	Multidimensional	46	<sup>62</sup> JKMS
			5D	frailty score		
Quality of Life	Kidney Disease Quality of					
	Life components					
	Mental health	43.6 vs. 48.9	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Kidney disease	67.8 vs. 79.1				
	symptoms					
	SF-36					
	Physical functioning	46 vs. 84	stages 3-5	Modified Fried Phenotypes	61	<sup>41</sup> HQoLO
	Role physical	53.8 vs. 75				
	Bodily pain	58.4 vs. 76.5				
	General health	48.9 vs. 62	-			
	Vitality	58.8 vs. 77.4	-			
	Mental health	69.5 vs. 80.8	_			
Functional outcomes	Ability for basic ADL	33.33% vs. 76.4%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
						Aging Res
		55% vs. 91%	Elderly with stages	Groningen frailty	65	<sup>19</sup> Ren Fail

			4/5 CKD	indicator			
	Ability to transfer	38.8% vs. 84.7%	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J Aging Res	
	Barthel Index	90 vs. 100	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI	
Disability	≥1 disability in ADL	15% vs. 5%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD	
	≥1 disability in IADL	60% vs. 28%					
	≥1 disability in mobility	40% vs. 18%					
Functional	Karnofsky scores	44.4 vs. 95.36	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J	
status						Aging Res	
lealth-care itilization	Hospitalization >= 1 time per year	90% vs. 53%	Elderly with stages 4/5 CKD	Groningen frailty indicator	65	<sup>19</sup> Ren Fail	
	Hospitalization frequency (per year)	0.78 vs. 0.28 episodes	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J Aging Res <sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR	
	Hospitalization episode count	3.31 vs. 2.12 vs. 0.9 (in 2 years) (F + D vs. F – D vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	178		
		5.2 vs. 2.4 per year (severe F vs. NF)	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty questionnaire	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPF	
	Cardiovascular origin	1.4 vs. 0.5 per year					
	hospitalization count	(severe F vs. NF)					

	Hospital stay (days per	26.62 vs. 14.05 vs. 8.04	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
	year)	(2 years) (F + D vs. F – D		questionnaire		
		vs. NF )				
		58.5 vs. 18.3 per year	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016 KBPR
		(severe F vs. NF)		questionnaire		
Technique survival	Technique failure	42.5% vs. 35.8% vs.	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		13.7% (2 years) (F + D		questionnaire		
		vs. F – D vs. NF )				
Mortality	Overall mortality	20.45% vs. 12.36% (1	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	320	<sup>11</sup> 2017 J
		year)				Aging Res
		37.5% vs. 28.6% vs.	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	178	<sup>15</sup> 2018 KBPR
		13.4% (2 years) (F + D		questionnaire		
		vs. F – D vs. NF )				
		30% vs. 10% (1 year)	Elderly with stages	Groningen frailty	65	<sup>19</sup> Ren Fail
			4/5 CKD	indicator		

ADL, activity of daily living; AVF, arteriovenous fistula; AVG, arteriovenous graft; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP, C-reactive protein; DW, dry weight; EEG, electroencephalography; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HD, hemodialysis; HDL, high density lipoprotein; IADL, instrumental activity of daily living; IL-6, interleukin-6; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; LDL, low density lipoprotein; MIS, malnutrition-inflammation score; MNA, mini-nutritional assessment; nPNA, normalized protein equivalent of total nitrogen appearance; OR, odds ratio; PD, peritoneal dialysis; SGA, standardized global assessment; TO, temporo-occipital; URR, urea reduction ratio

 Table 2. Potential causes of frailty in patients with CKD reported in the literature

Category	Туре		Risk Difference (95% CI)	Patient CKD	Frailty Assessment	Sample Size	Ref
				severity	method		
Demographic profile	Adva	Age > 60 years	OR 4.0 (1.0-16.2)	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
	nced				Phenotypes		Mansur
	age	per year	OR 1.02 (1.01-1.03)	stages 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
					Phenotypes		
			OR 1.03 (1.01-1.04)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Femal	e gender	OR 11.3 (2.3-55.6)	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
					Phenotypes		Mansur
			OR 1.55 (1.27-1.88)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
					Phenotypes		
			OR 11.6 (1.7-79.1)	Elderly with stage	Multidimensional	46	<sup>62</sup> JKMS
				5D (HD)	frailty score		
	Male gender		OR 0.49 (0.39-0.62)	stage 5D (incident)	Modified Fried	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
					Phenotypes		
	Non-w	hite race	OR 1.9 (1.1-1.3)	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
	Unem	ployed status	OR 1.89 (1.36-2.62)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Higher	education level	OR 0.67 (0.49-0.91) for 7 <sup>th</sup> -				
			12 <sup>th</sup> grade, 0.53 (0.35-				
			0.82) for >12 <sup>th</sup> grade				
Lifestyle	Smoki	ng	RR 1.18 (1.04-1.34)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017 SJKDT

Anthropometric	BMI	OR 1.2 (1.0-1.4) per 5	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
parameters		kg/m²				
		OR 1.06 (1.02-1.1) per	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
		kg/m²				
		OR 0.58 (0.38-0.88) per	Elderly with stage	Multidimensional	46	<sup>62</sup> JKMS
		kg/m²	5D	frailty score		
	Waist circumference	OR 3.84 (1.39-10.61; 3 <sup>rd</sup>	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
	(cm)	tertile)				
CKD severity	Mild	OR 2.21 (1.49-3.28)	stages 1/2	Modified Fried	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
				Phenotypes		
		OR 1.48 (1.00-2.19)	Cre > 1.3 mg/dL	CHS scale	5888	<sup>6</sup> 2004 AJKD
	Moderate	OR 2.48 (1.57-3.93)	stages 3a	Modified Fried	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
	Severe	OR 5.88 (3.40-10.16)	stages 3b-5	Phenotypes		
		OR 2.8 (1.3-6.3)	stage 3b	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012 AJKD
		OR 2.1 (1.0-4.7)	stage 4			
Biological						
Cardiovascular	Hypertension	RR 1.6 (1.26-2.04)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017 SJKDT
	Peripheral vascular	RR 1.58 (1.34-1.8)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017SJKDT
	disease	OR 1.67 (1.16-2.41)	stage 5D (incident)	Modified Fried	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
				Phenotypes		
	Left ventricular	RR 1.18 (1.03-1.36)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017SJKDT
	dysfunction					

	Cardiac disorder (any)	OR 1.43 (1.01-1.98)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Endothelial dysfunction	OR 3.86 (1.00-14.88)	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
				Phenotypes		Mansur
Central nervous	Cerebrovascular	RR 1.34 (1.19-1.5)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017SJKDT
system	Accident	OR 1.55 (1.05-2.29)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
				Phenotypes		
		OR 1.85 (1.04-3.28)	stage 5D (incident)	Modified Fried	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
				Phenotypes		
		OR 1.56 (1.04-2.35)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
Pulmonary	COPD	OR 2.20 (1.20-4.03)	CKD stages 1-5	Modified Fried	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
				Phenotypes		
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes	OR 1.68 (1.16-2.45)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried Phenotypes	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
Metabolic		OR 1.35 (1.10-1.65)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
				Phenotypes		
		OR 1.52 (1.18-1.96)	stage 5D (incident)	Modified Fried	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
				Phenotypes		
		OR 1.44 (1.11-1.87)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
	Obesity	OR 6.63 (1.16-36.77)	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>3</sup> 2012
				Phenotypes		Mansur
Cancer	Cancer	OR 1.89 (1.19-2.99)	CKD stages 1-5	Modified Fried	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
				Phenotypes		

Musculoskeletal	Arthritis	OR 3.34 (2.08-5.38)	CKD stages 1-5	Modified Fried Phenotypes	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
Body composition	Fat mass	OR 3.27 (1.17-9.09; 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile) and 4.97 (1.7-14.55; 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren Nutr
	ECW to ICW ratio	OR 3.85 (1.18-10.50; 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile)				
Psychological	Depression	OR 3.97 (2.28-6.91)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	<sup>48</sup> Clin Transplant
Functional status	Disability	OR 5.6 (4.12-7.62)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren Nutr
Vascular access	Permanent vascular access (fistula or graft)	OR 0.71 (0.51-0.98)	stage 5D (HD)	Modified Fried Phenotypes	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
Laboratory Data	Creatinine < 4 mg/dL*	RR 1.46 (1.22-1.71)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	<sup>1</sup> 2017SJKDT
	eGFR (per 5 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> increase)	OR 1.44 (1.23-1.68)	stage 5D (incident)	Modified Fried Phenotypes	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
	Albumin < 3.2 (g/dL)	OR 1.89 (1.43-2.49)	stage 5D	Modified Fried Phenotypes	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
	Lower free testosterone, (per 50% lower)	OR 1.30 (1.03-1.58)	Male stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	440	8 2018 NDT

CKD, chronic kidney disease; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECW, extracellular water; ICW, intracellular water; HD, hemodialysis; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk

 Table 3. Potential modifiers of frailty trajectories in patients with CKD reported in the literature

Category	Туре	Risk Difference (95% CI)	Patient CKD	Frailty Assessment	Sample	Ref
			severity	method	Size	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	Frailty scores increase 0.6 (0-1.1)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	762	<sup>2</sup> 2017 CJASN
		per year				
	Black	Frail to non-frail after	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
		transplantation (RRR 1.98 [1.07-				Transplantation
		3.67])				
Biological						
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes	Remain frail after transplantation	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
Metabolic		(RRR 2.56 [1.22-5.39])				Transplantation
		Frailty scores increase 0.7 (0.3-	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	762	<sup>2</sup> 2017 CJASN
		1.0) per year				
Laboratory data	IL-6	Frailty scores increase 0.3 (0.1-				
		0.4) per year				
	Serum Albumin	Frailty scores decrease 1.1 (0.7-				
	Concentrations	1.5) per g/dL				
	(g/dL)					
	Low free	Developing Frailty over 12	Male stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	440	8 2018 NDT
	testosterone (<	months (OR 1.56, 1.04-2.33)	(HD)			
	147 pmol/L)					
Dialysis course	Time of dialysis	Frail to non-frail after	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53

		(year)	transplantation (RRR 0.88 [0.78-				Transplantation
			1])				
Hea	althcare						
util	ization						
	Hospitalization	Hospitalization	Frailty scores increase 0.6 (0.3-	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	762	<sup>2</sup> 2017 CJASN
		during past year	0.8) per year				

CKD, chronic kidney disease; CI, confidence interval; HD, hemodialysis; IL-6, interleukin-6; OR, odds ratio

 Table 4. Confounder-adjusted risk of complications resulting from frailty in CKD patients

ategory	Туре		Hazard/odds ratio, Risk Difference	Patient CKD	Frailty Assessment	Sample	Ref
			(95% CI), or values in F vs. NF groups	Severity	method	Size	
iological							
Cardiovascul	Cardiovascul QRS duration ar  Musculoskel Vertebral compression etal fracture (any)		β= -0.29, t = -2.03, p = 0.048	stage 5D	Edmonton frailty scale	41	<sup>28</sup> PeerJ
ar			β= -0.27, t = -1.84, p = 0.05	(HD)	FRAIL scale		<sup>34</sup> JAGS
			(I	stage 5D (HD)	FRAIL Scale	43	
Cognitive	3MS	At baseline	-2.37 (-4.21 to -0.53) compared to NF	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015
function	scores	1-year	-2.80 (-5.37 to -0.24) compared to NF	(HD)			CJASN
		Pre-	-1.8 compared to NF	stage 5T	Fried phenotypes	665	<sup>30</sup> JASN
		transplant					
		1-4 years	-0.04 per year (-0.06 to -0.01)				
		post-					
		transplant					
	TMT-A	At baseline	12.08 (4.73 to 19.43) compared to	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	324	<sup>10</sup> 2015
			NF	(HD)			CJASN
	ТМТ-В	At baseline	33.15 (9.88 to 56.42) compared to				
			NF				

Body	Lean mass	Lower lean mass over cephalic,	stage 5D	FRAIL scale	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
composition		trunk, and 4 extremities than NF	(HD)			
		group				
	BMD at 1 year follow		stage 5D	FRAIL Scale	43	33 PeerJ
	up		(HD)	TRAIL Scale	45	1 6613
	Total	ß = -0.53, t = -3.27, p < 0.01				
	L1	ß = -0.4, t = -2.18, p = 0.04				
	L4	ß = -0.39, t = -2.1, p = 0.046				
	Femoral neck	ß = −0.5, t = −2.96,				
		p < 0.01				
	Average L-spine areas					
	1 year of follow up	ß = -0.48, t = -2.84, p < 0.01				
	Interval changes	ß = -0.5, t = -3.02, p < 0.01				
	Interval changes in L-	ß = -0.45, t =-2.11, p=0.049				
	spine Z-score					
	percentages					
	QUS parameters					
	SOS	1487.8 vs. 1537.8 (female)	stage 5D	CHS scale	214	35 JBMM
		1493.7 vs. 1542.2 (male)	(HD)			
	BUA	86.2 vs. 100.7 (female)				
		93.8 vs. 107.8 (male)				

	Stiffness index	54.0 vs. 77.7 (female)				
		60.9 vs. 83.6 (male)				
	Muscles					
	Quadriceps muscle	r = -30.28, p = 0.02	stage 5D	Performance-based	80	<sup>36</sup> J Ren
	area		(HD)	frailty		Nutr
	Appendicular	Lower in Frail group (adjusted <i>p</i> <	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	<sup>14</sup> 2019 Can
	skeletal muscle	0.05)				J Diabet
	mass index					
	Appendicular fat		stage 5D	FRAIL scale scores	44	<sup>32</sup> JPSM
	percentage		(HD)			
	Left/Right lower	$\beta$ =0.34, t = 2.32; p = 0.03				
	extremity	(left); $\beta$ =0.3, t = 2.05; p = 0.048				
		(right)				
	Left/Right upper	$\beta$ =0.37, t = 2.66; p = 0.01				
	extremity	(left); $\beta$ =0.43, t = 3.09; p <0.01				
		(right)				
	Sarcopenia	OR 12.2 (2.27-65.5)	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
unctional status	Physical functioning	Lower in Frail group (adjusted <i>p</i> =	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	<sup>14</sup> 2019 Can
		0.004)				J Diabet
	Need assistance in ADL	OR 1.93 (1.01-3.68) for pre-frail	stage 5D	Modified Fried	742	<sup>31</sup> HDI
		OR 11.32 (5.49-23.32) for frail	(HD)	Phenotypes		
	Barthel index scores	OR 0.89 (0.86-0.93)	stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale	251	<sup>42</sup> 2016

						CJASN
Psychological						
Delirium	Post-transplantation delirium	OR 2.05 (1.02-4.13)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	893	<sup>23</sup> JASN
Distress	Self-reported distress thermometer	β = 0.35 (0.12-0.58), t = 3.0, p = 0.003	stage 5D (HD)	Canadian frailty score	382	<sup>38</sup> Nutr Clin Pract
Anxiety/dep ression	Hospital anxiety and depression scale	OR 1.21 (1.11-1.31)	stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale	251	<sup>42</sup> 2016 CJASN
Fall	Any fall	HR 2.1 (1.21-3.92)	stage 5D (HD)	Fried Phenotypes	205	1 2017SJKDT
		OR 2.39 (1.22-4.71)	Stage 5D (HD)	Modified Fried Phenotype	762	<sup>44</sup> CKJ
	Increased numbers of falls	HR 3.09 (1.38-6.90)	stage 5D (HD)	Modified Fried Phenotype	95	<sup>45</sup> BMCN
	Time to first fall	HR 1.60 (1.16-2.20)	stage 5D (HD)	Self-reported frailty	1646	<sup>47</sup> AJN
Quality of Life	KDQoL					
	Physical health	33.7 vs. 40.7	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	151	<sup>26</sup> J Ren
	Effects of disease	51.6 vs. 66.8	(HD)			Nutr
	KDQoL short form					
	Physical component	Difference -6.31 (-8.16 to -4.46)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	443	43
	Physical functioning	Difference -14.17 (-18.58 to -9.76)				Transplant

Role limitations	Difference -15.37 (-22.96 to -7.78)				tion
Bodily pain	Difference -9.45 (-14.33 to -4.57)				
General health	Difference -11.76 (-15.94 to -7.59)				
Emotional well-	Difference -3.05 (-6.01 to -0.09)				
being					
Social functioning	Difference -6.19 (-10.98 to -1.41)				
Energy	Difference -11.66 (-16.3 to -7.03)				
Kidney disease-specific	Difference -6.53 (-9.17 to -3.89)				
HRQoL					
Symptoms	Difference -5.5 (-8.2 to -2.79)				
Effects	Difference -7.69 (-11.66 to -3.72)				
Burden	Difference -10.19 (-15.94 to -4.44)				
Cognitive function	Difference -5.51 (-9 to -2.02)				
Social interaction	Difference -4.7 (-7.85 to -1.56)				
Sleep	Difference -6.29 (-10.56 to -2.02)				
Social support	Difference -5.69 (-9.92 to -1.47)				
HRQoL					
Fair/Poor HRQoL at	OR 2.79 (1.32-5.90)	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	233	<sup>39</sup> J Frailty
follow-up					Aging
Worse HRQOL after	RR 2.91 (1.08-7.80)				
follow-up					
SF-36					

Physical	Lower in Frail group (adjusted <i>p</i> =	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	<sup>14</sup> 2019 Can
components	0.002)				J Diabet
	β = -0.566, t = -8.792, p < 0.001	stage 2-4	Modified Fried	168	<sup>40</sup> HQoLO
			Phenotypes		
	Mean difference -1.12 (-1.47 to -	stages 3-5	Modified Fried	61	<sup>41</sup> HQoLO
	0.76)		Phenotypes		
Mental components	Mean difference -0.75 (-1.4 to -0.16)				
	β = -0.485, t = -6.709, p < 0.001	stage 2-4	Modified Fried	168	<sup>40</sup> HQoLO
			Phenotypes		
SF-12					
Lower MCS	OR 0.94 (0.91-0.97)	stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale	251	<sup>42</sup> 2016
Lower PCS	OR 0.88 (0.84-0.91)				CJASN
Symptom scores (high)	OR 1.23 (1.13-1.34)				
KDQOL-SF scores 3		stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	443	43
months after					Transplanta
transplant					tion
Physical HRQoL	0.34/month vs. 1.35/month				
Kidney disease-specific	2.41/month vs. 3.75 points/month				
HRQoL					
Effects	4.01/month vs. 7.1/month				
Cognitive function	1.28/month vs. 2.88/month				
Social interaction	-0.57/month vs. 1.18/month				

Graft Loss	Risk of graft loss in	aHR 6.20 (1.67 to 22.95)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	<sup>48</sup> Clin
	depressive patients					Transplant
Immunosuppress	MMF dose reduction	HR 1.29 (1.01-1.66)	stage 5T	Modified Fried	525	<sup>13</sup> 2015
ant use				Phenotypes		Transplant
Dialysis access	Access failure	HR 2.63 (1.03-6.71)	stage 5D	FRAIL scale	51	29
survival			(HD)			Nephrology
Health-care	Hospitalization or	HR 1.56 (1.36-1.79)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
utilization	mortality			Phenotypes		
	Hospitalization	HR 2.06 (1.18-3.58)	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	205	1
			(HD)			2017SJKDT
		aHR 1.83 (1.41-2.37)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren
						Nutr
		HR 1.43 (1.00-2.03)	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	<sup>50</sup> JAGS
			(HD)			
	Number of all-cause	beta = 0.29, p < 0.0001	stage 5D (PD)	In-house frailty	193	<sup>18</sup> 2016
	hospitalizations			questionnaire		KBPR
	Number of	beta = 0.37, p < 0.0001				
	cardiovascular					
	hospitalizations					
	Time to first	HR 1.26 (1.09-1.45)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	1576	<sup>46</sup> JAMA-IM
	hospitalization		(incident)	Phenotypes		

	Early Hospital	RR 1.59 (1.17-2.17)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	383	<sup>51</sup> Am J
	Readmission					Transplant
	Longer Length of Stay					
	LOS (days)	RR 1.15 (1.03-1.29)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	589	<sup>52</sup> Ann Surg
	> 2 weeks	OR 1.57 (1.06-2.33)				
		OR 2.02 (1.20-3.40) for increased	stage 5	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
		frail category; OR 1.92 (1.13-3.25) for				Transplanta
		increased frail scores				tion
	In depressive	aRR 1.88 (1.70-2.08)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	<sup>48</sup> Clin
	patients					Transplant
	Hospitalization	Higher in Frail group (adjusted <i>p</i> <	stages 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	<sup>14</sup> 2019 Can
	frequency	0.001)		(EFS)		J Diabet
	Emergency	Higher in Frail group (adjusted $p =$				
	department visit	0.002)				
	frequency					
	Total medical visit	Higher in Frail group (adjusted $p =$				
	frequency	0.001)				
<b>Nortality</b>	Overall mortality	HR 2.17 (1.01-4.65) after	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	537	<sup>54</sup> Am J
		transplantation				Transplant
		HR 2.0 (1.5-2.7)	stages 1-5	Modified Fried	10256	<sup>5</sup> 2009 AJM
				Phenotypes		

HR 1.57 (1.25-1.97)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	1576	46 JAMA-IM
	(incident)	Phenotypes		
HR 2.24 (1.60-3.15)	stage 5D	Modified Fried	2275	<sup>4</sup> 2007 JASN
		Phenotypes		
HR 1.22 (1.04-1.43)	stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale	390	<sup>55</sup> 2015
				CJASN
HR 4.28 (1.22-14.98)	stages 4/5	PRISMA questionnaire	104	<sup>56</sup> SJKDT
		& TUGT		
HR 9.83 (1.80-53.7)	stage 5D (PD)	Clinical Frailty Scale	119	<sup>12</sup> 2018 PDI
HR 2.60 (1.04-6.49)	stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	<sup>50</sup> JAGS
	(HD)			
HR 2.08 (1.04-4.16)	stage 5D	Modified CHS scale	1658	<sup>49</sup> J Ren
				Nutr
HR 1.78 (1.15-2.8) for performa	ance- stage 5D	Modified Fried	771	<sup>57</sup> CJASN
based frailty; HR 1.66 (1.06-2.6	6) for (HD)	Phenotypes and self-		
self-reported frailty; HR 1.95 (1	19-	reported frailty		
3.2) for both definition positivi	ty			
HR 1.66 (1.03-2.67) in general;	HR stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	370	<sup>59</sup> NDT
3.77 (1.10-12.92) in general ob	esity; (HD)			
HR 2.38 (1.17-4.82) in abdomir	nal			
obesity				
HR 2.43 (1.48-3.99)	stage 5D and	Inability to walk	425	<sup>60</sup> QJM

			5T from	without help		
			ANCA			
			vasculitis			
		HR 1.93 (1.58-2.36)	stage 5D and	Inability to walk	1462	<sup>61</sup> CJASN
			5T from MM	without help		
			or			
			amyloidosis			
	In depressive	aHR 2.62 (1.03 to 6.70)	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	<sup>48</sup> Clin
	patients					Transplant
	Modify the association	HR 0.75 (0.44-1.29) in F group vs.	stage 5	Fried Phenotypes	2086	<sup>58</sup> Am J
	between comorbidity	1.66 (1.17-2.35) in NF group				Nephrol
	and mortality					
		HR 1.93 (1.58-2.36)	stage 5D and	Inability to walk	1462	<sup>61</sup> CJASN
			5T from	without help		
			MM/			
			amyloidosis			
	Post-transplant	HR 2.27 (1.11-4.65) for increased	stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
	mortality	frail category; OR 2.36 (1.12-4.99) for				Transplanta
		increased frail scores				tion
Composite	Mortality or dialysis	HR 2.5 (1.4-4.4)	stages 1-4	Modified CHS scale	336	<sup>7</sup> 2012
						AJKD
	Mortality or	HR 23.58 (1.61-346.03)	Elderly with	Multidimensional	46	<sup>62</sup> JKMS

cardiovascular		stage 5D	frailty score		
hospitalization		(HD)			
30-day post-transplant	β=13.31 (5.72-20.89), p = 0.0007	stage 5T	Groningen Frailty	150	63
complications			Indicator		Transplant
					Int

ADL, activity of daily living; BUA, broadband ultrasound attenuation; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; HD, hemodialysis; HR, hazard ratio; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; LOS, length of stay; KDQOL-SF, Kidney disease quality of life instrument – short form; MCS, mental component score; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; OR, odds ratio; PCS, physical component score; PD, peritoneal dialysis; QUS, quantitative ultrasound; SGA, standardized global assessment; SOS, speed of sound