Adverse Health Effects of Frailty in CKD Patients

Cause	2
Prevalence	5
T Te Valence	
Complications	17
Bibliography	32

Cause

	Effect (descriptions)	Risk Difference	CKD severity	Frailty	Sample	Reference
				Assessment	Size	
Biological						
Cardiovascular	Hypertension*	RR 1.6 (1.26-2.04)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Peripheral vascular	RR 1.58 (1.34-1.8)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
	disease*		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Left ventricular	RR 1.18 (1.03-1.36)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
	dysfunction*		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Endothelial dysfunction	r= -0.367 (p= 0.004)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	2
		OR 3.86 (1.00-14.88)		Phenotypes		
	Permanent vascular access	HR 0.71 (0.51-0.98)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	2275	3
	(fistula or graft)		(maintenance	Phenotypes		
			hemodiaysis)			
Cerebrovascular	Cerebrovascular Accident	RR 1.34 (1.19-1.5)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Pulmonary	COPD	OR 1.68 (1.16-2.45)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	4
				Phenotypes		
Immunological	Inflammatory					
	IL-6*	Worse frailty	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	5
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	CRP	After adjustment, OR	Chronic kidney	Fried	5888	6

	Fibrinogen	1.76 (1.28-2.41) to 1.50	insufficiency, serum	Phenotypes		
		(1.07-2.09)	creatinine ≥1.3mg/dL			
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes	Frailty scores +0.7	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	5
Metabolic		points per year	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		OR 1.68 (1.16-2.45)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	4
				Phenotypes		
	Obesity (IMC ≥ 30 kg/m²)	OR 6.63 (1.16-36.77)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	2
				Phenotypes		
	Higher parathyroid	r= 0.30 (p= 0.01)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	2
	hormones (PTH)			Phenotypes		
Body Composition	Higher fat mass	r= 0.25 (p= 0.04)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	2
				Phenotypes		
Cancer	Cancer	OR 1.89 (1.19-2.99)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	4
				Phenotypes		
Arthritis	Arthritis	OR 3.34 (2.08-5.38)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	4
				Phenotypes		
Laboratory Data	eGFR (mL/min/1.72m^2)					
	eGFRcys <30	Frailty prevalence 2.8	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
	eGFRcys 30-44	Frailty prevalence 2.1				
	eGFRcys >60	Referent				

	Serum Albumin	Frailty scores	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	5
	Concentrations (g/dL)	-1.1 points per g/dL	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Serum Creatinine <4	RR 1.46 (1.22-1.71)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
	mg/dL*		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Testosterone, per 50%		CKD stage 5D	Fried	440	8
	lower free testosterone*		(hemodialysis), men	Phenotypes		
	being frail	OR 1.40 (1.05-1.53)				
	becoming frail over 12	OR 1.40 (1.07-1.73)				
	months					
	Hemoglobin	Adjusted, OR 1.76	Chronic kidney	Fried	5888	6
	LDL, HDL	(1.28-2.41) to 1.50	insufficiency, serum	Phenotypes		
	LDL, TIDL	(1.07-2.09)	creatinine ≥1.3mg/dL			
Lifestyle	Smoking*	RR 1.18 (1.04-1.34)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Ethnicity	Hispanic*	Frailty scores +0.6	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	5
		points per year	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		

Prevalence

		Effect (descri	ptions)	Prevalence	CKD Severity	Frailty	Sample	Reference
						Assessment	Size	
В	iological							
	Cardiovascular	Heart Failure		30% vs 12%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
						Phenotypes		
		Angina		34% vs. 22%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
						Phenotypes		
	Cerebrovascular	Cerebrovascu	ular Disease Prevalence (%)	26.4 vs. 12.0	ESRD	Fried	324	9
						Phenotypes		
	Neurological	Brain Wave		F vs. NF	ESRD, under	Simple FRAIL	46	10
			Global DAR	283 ± 679 vs.	chronic dialysis	scale (SFS)		
				2971 ± 4859				
			DARs (left frontal)	135 ± 250 vs.				
				3073 ± 4702				
			DAR (left TO)	197 ± 318 vs.				
				3708 ± 6398				
			DAR (central)	55 ± 96 vs.				
				1773 ± 3262				
			DAR (right TO)	187 ± 261 vs.				
				4400 ± 7763				
			Global DTABR	191 ± 469 vs.				
				1781 ± 2793				

		DTABR (left frontal)	86 ± 158 vs.				
			1680 ± 2388				
		DTABR (left TO)	130 ± 210 vs.				
			1884 ± 2828				
		DTABR (central)	39 ± 65 vs.				
			1132 ± 1957				
		DTABR (right TO)	126 ± 178 vs.				
			2960 ± 5271				
Cognitive	Mini-Mental	State Examination (MMSE)		Elderly, ≥65y/o	Edmonton Frail	137	11
		Spearman's correlation	-0.607 (p<0.01)		Scale (EFS)		
		coefficient of EFS scores					
		with gross MMSE scores					
	Executive Fur	nction	F vs. NF at				
			cohort entry				
		Trail Making Tests A	+12.08	ESRD	Fried	324	9
		(TMTA) scores			Phenotypes		
		Trail Making Tests B	+33.15	ESRD	Fried	324	9
		(TMTB) scores			Phenotypes		
Microbiota	Gut Microbio	ta Composition	F vs. NF	Stage 3b-4, eGFR	Fried Phenotype	64 (and	12
		Malnutrition-	7.6 vs. 3.9	15-45ml/min	score	15	
		Inflammation-Score				control	
		(MIS)				subjects)	
		Abundance of	Directly				
		unclassified	proportional to				

		Mogibacteriaceae and	MIS				
		Oscillospira					
		Abundance of	Inversely				
		Akkermansia,	proportional to				
		Ruminococcus, and	MIS				
		Eubacterium					
		Bacterial Abundance of	↑				
		some genera	·				
		(Mogibacteriacee,					
		Coriobacteriacee,					
		Eggerthella, Erwinia,					
		Coprobacillus,					
		Anaerotruncus, etc)					
Immunological	Inflammatory						
		CRP (In CRP) (mg/dL)	1.12 vs 0.28	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty	119	13
		IL6 (In IL6) (mg/dL)	2.45 vs. 1.58	(peritoneal dialysis)	Scale (CFS)		
	Mycophenola	te mofetil (MMF) dose	F vs. NF	CKD stage 5T	Fried	525	14
	reduction (MI	OR)			Phenotypes		
		1 year since KT (%)	44 vs 40				
		2 years since KT (%)	54 vs. 45				
		3 years since KT (%)	67 vs. 51				
	Viral infection		F vs. NF				
		HCV (n=37)	36 vs. 1	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
				(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		

Functional	Disability		F vs. NF				
Status		At least one disability in activities of daily Living (ADLs)	15% vs. 5%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
		At least one disability in instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs)	60% vs. 28%				
	At least one disability in mobility tasks	40% vs. 18%					
		Less ADL-independent	55% vs. 91%	≥ 65 yo, predialysis, eGFR < 20 mL/min	Groningen frailty indicator (GFI)	65	15
	daily living	Ability to perform basic activities of daily living Ability to perform transfers		CKD stage 5D (hemodialysis)	Fried Phenotypes	320	16
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes		84.7% F vs. NF				
Metabolic		Prevalence	64% vs. 49%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
	Obesity		F vs. NF				
		Prevalence	64% vs. 50%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
		Prevalence	51.8% vs. 23.9%	ESRD	Fried Phenotypes	324	9

		BMI based on dry weight	31.5 vs. 27.6				
Body	Appendicular						
Composition		Appendicular skeletal	6.8 vs. 7.7	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
		muscle mass index			Scale (EFS)		
		(ASMI)					
		Higher appendicular fat	SFS scores				
		percentage (for left, right					
		lower and left, right					
		upper extremities,					
		respectively)					
		Left lower extremity	β = 0.34; t =	ESRD	Simple FRAIL	44	18
			2.32; p = 0.03		scale		
		Right lower extremity	β = 0.3; t =				
			2.05; p = 0.048				
		Left upper extremity	β = 0.37; t =				
			2.66; p = 0.01				
		Right upper extremity	β = 0.43; t =				
			3.09; p = <0.01				
		Higher appendicular fat	Frail/Prefrail				
		percentage (for left, right	vs. Nonfrail				
		lower and left, right					
		upper extremities,					
		respectively)					
		Left lower extremity	β = 0.33; t =	ESRD	self- report	44	18

		_	1		1	1
		2.31; p = 0.03		instrument		
	Right lower extremity	β = 0.32; t =		evaluating five		
		2.28; p = 0.03		dimensions of		
	Right upper extremity	β = 0.33; t =		frailty (fatigue,		
		2.35; p = 0.03		resistance,		
				ambulation,		
				illnesses, and		
				weight loss)		
Lower lean m	ass					
		F/PF vs. NF				
	Whole body (kg)	34.7 vs. 43.1	ESRD	Simple FRAIL	44	18
	Cephalic area (g)	3059 vs. 3288		scale		
	Trunk area (kg)	17.4 vs. 22.1				
	Right upper limb (g)	1831 vs. 2493				
	Left upper limb (g)	1869 vs. 2515				
	Right lower limb (g)	4920 vs. 6114				
	Left lower limb (g)	4650 vs. 6349				
		F vs. NF				
	lean body mass (i.e.	57.1%	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
	sarcopenia) (in frail vs.	vs .14.7%		Scale (EFS)		
	nonfrail)					
BMI		22.53 vs. 26.16	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	16
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		

Laboratory Data	eGFR (mL/mi	n/1.72m^2)	18 vs. 50	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale (EFS)	41	17
		eGFRcys <30	Frailty	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7*
			prevalence 2.8		Phenotypes		
		eGFRcys 30-44	Frailty				
			prevalence 2.1				
		eGFRcys >60	Referent				
	Prealbumin (I	 PRAB) (mg/dL)	28.9 vs. 38.3	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
					Scale (EFS)		
	Serum album	in (g/L)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	19
			correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
			coefficient r = -				
			0.263 (p =				
			0.025)				
			38 vs. 41	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
					Scale (EFS)		
			2.92 vs. 3.48	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty	119	13
				(peritoneal dialysis)	Scale (CFS)		
			3.61 vs. 3.85	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	16
				(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		Frail with depression vs.	32.9 vs. 34.9	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	20
		Frail without depression	vs. 35.8	(peritoneal dialysis)	Chinese		
		vs. Nonfrail	(p=0.025)		questionnaire		

	Calcium (mmol/L)	2.24 vs. 2.36	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
	Creatinine (umol/L)	299 vs. 115		Scale (EFS)		
	Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	19
		correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		coefficient r = -				
		0.336 (p =				
		0.004)				
		6.49 vs. 8.07 (p				
		= 0.037)				
		10.35 vs. 10.97	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	16
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	25-hydroxy vitamin D (ng/mL)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	19
		correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		coefficient r = -				
		0.363 (p =				
		0.002)				
		11.58 vs. 17.09				
		(p = 0.005)				
Miscellaneous	Dialysis clearance rate	↑	ESRD, under	Simple FRAIL	46	10
			chronic dialysis	scale (SFS)		
sychological						
Mood	Mood Change	Negative	CKD stage 5D	Edmonton Frail	N/A	21
		change	(hemodialysis)	Scale (EFS)		
Mental Health						

	Anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	<u>Women</u> : ↑ in	ESRD, under	N/A	97	22
		(HADS)	global,	online-			
			psychological,	haemodiafiltration			
			social frailty	(OL-HDF)			
			<u>Men</u> : ↑ in				
			Physical frailty				
	Depression	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	<u>Men</u> ↑ in	ESRD, under	N/A	97	22
		(HADS)	global,	online-			
			psychological,	haemodiafiltration			
			physical frailty	(OL-HDF)			
		Incidence (%) (Self-reported Major	83 vs. 6	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
		Depression Inventory)			Scale (EFS)		
N	Mental Function	Post-KT delirium	9.0% vs. 3.9%	CKD stage 5T	Fried	893	23
					Phenotypes		
Soc	iological						
Is	solation						
Ir	nteraction	Interaction with family	Good				24
Phy	sical activity	Minnesota Leisure Time Activity (LTA)	95 vs. 735	CKD stage 5D	Fried	68	25
			(p<0.001)	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		Low Physical Activity Questionnaire	280 vs. 798				
		(LoPAQ)	(p=0.003)				
		Sitting (hours/day)	6.5 vs. 5				
			(p=0.04)				
Nut	ritional Status	Overall subjective global assessment	5.04 vs. 5.41	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	20

	(SGA) (weight l	loss, anorexia,	vs. 5.75 (p <	(peritoneal dialysis)	Chinese		
	subcutaneous	fat, muscle mass) (Frail	0.0001)		questionnaire		
	with depressio	n vs. Frail without	Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	26
	depression vs.	Nonfrail)	rank	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
			correlation				
			coefficient r = -				
			0.44, p <				
			0.0001				
	Malnutrition in	nflammation score (MIS)	9.48 vs. 7.13	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	20
	(frail with depr	ression vs. frail without	vs. 5.12 (p <	(peritoneal dialysis)	Chinese		
	depression vs.	nonfrail)	0.0001)		questionnaire		
			Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	26
			rank	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
			correlation				
			coefficient r =				
			0.40, p <				
			0.0001				
Quality of Life	HRQoL						
		SF-36					
		Scores in physical	↓	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
		functioning, blood			Scale (EFS)		
		pressure, role physical,					
		and physical					
I		component summary					

		domains					
	Kidney Diseas	se Quality of Life (KDQoL)	F vs. NF				
		Physical health	33.7 vs. 40.7	ESRD CKD stage 5D	Fried	151	27
		Kidney disease effects	51.6 vs. 66.8	(conventional hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Independence	Functional Inc	dependence Measure		Elderly, ≥65y/o	Edmonton Frail	137	11
	(FIM)				Scale (EFS)		
	Spearman's	Frailty diagnosis with	-0.703				
	correlation	global FIM	(p<0.001)				
	coefficient	Frailty diagnosis with	-0.714				
		motor FIM	(p<0.001)				
		Frailty diagnosis with	-0.575				
		cognitive FIM	(p<0.001)				
		EFS scores with gross FIM	-0.53 (p<0.01)				
Health-care	Hospitalizatio	n	90% vs. 53% (p	≥ 65 yo, predialysis,	Groningen frailty	65	15
utilization			= 0.04)	eGFR < 20 mL/min	indicator (GFI)		
		Cumulative number of	↑	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail	41	17
		inpatient health-care			Scale (EFS)		
		visits					
		Cumulative number of					
		emergency health-care					
		visits					
		Cumulative number of					
		total health-care visits					

		Admissions/year	0.77727 vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	16
			0.2838	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Composite	Number of co	mplications (complications	Spearman's	Elderly (≥ 60 yo),	Edmonton Frail	35	28
	identified at d	lata collection: High	correlation	with diagnosis of	Scale		
	Pressure Cran	nping, Anemia, Weight loss	0.666 (p=0.000	CKD			
	Pain, Weakne	ss, Weight gain	in table)				
	Constipation,	Heart Arrhythmia,	(p<0.05 in text)				
	Headache, Itc	h, Recurrent infections,					
	Arterial hyper	tension)					
	Higher numbe	er of comorbid conditions	6 vs. 4 (p =				
			0.03)				
	Charlson's cor	morbidity score	Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	26
			rank	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
			correlation				
			coefficient r =				
			0.40 (p <				
			0.0001)				

Complications

	Effect (descriptions)	Risk Difference	CKD Severity	Frailty Assessment	Sample Size	Reference
Biological						
Cardiovascular	Cerebrovascular Accident	OR 1.55 (1.05-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
		2.99)	(hemodialysis)			
	QRS duration					
	Edmonton frailty scale	β coefficient =	CKD stage 5D	Edmonton frailty scale	41	29
		-0.29, t = −2.03	(chronic			
		(p = 0.048)	hemodialysis)			
	Simple FRAIL scale	β coefficient =		Simple FRAIL scale		
		-0.27, t = −1.84				
		(p = 0.05)				
	Vascular Access failure	HR 2.63 (1.03-	ESRD	Self-reported simple FRAIL	51	30
		6.71)	(CKD stage 5D)	scale		
Renal Function	Risk for death or dialysis	2.5 (1.4-4.4)-fold	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
Decline	therapy	greater				
Immunological	Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF)	HR 1.29 (1.01-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	525	14
	dose reduction (MDR)	1.66)				
Cognitive	Modified Mini-Mental State	-2.37 to -2.80 (1	ESRD	Fried Phenotypes	324	9
	(3MS)	year) (p=0.03)				
	Declined, 1-4 years post-KT	Slope = -0.04 vs.	CKD stage 5T	Fried physical frailty	665	31
	(points/week)	0.005		phenotypes (PFP)		

	At 4 year post-KT (points)	-5.5 (87.4 vs.				
		92.9)				
Functional	Disability	F vs. Prefrail vs.				
status		NF				
	Need for activities of daily	OR 11.32 (5.49-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	742	32
	livings (ADL) assistance	23.32) vs. 1.93	(hemodialysis)			
		(1.01-3.68) vs.				
		1.00				
Diabetes	Diabetes	OR 1.35 (1.10-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
		1.65)				
Body	Bones					
composition						
	Bone Mineral Density	One year follow-	ESRD	Simple FRAIL Scale (SFS)	43	33
	(BMD)	up, with frailty	CKD stage 5D			
	L1	ß = −0.4, t	(chronic			
		=-2.18, p=0.04	hemodialysis)			
	L4	ß =-0.39, t =-2.1,				
		p=0.046				
	Femur Neck (FN)	ß =−0.5, t= −2.96,				
		p<0.01				
		$\beta = -4$, t = -3.17,				
		p = 0.004				
	Total	ß = −0.53, t =	ESRD	Simple FRAIL Scale (SFS)	43	33
		−3.27, p < 0.01	CKD stage 5D			

		(chronic			
		hemodialysis)			
Areas	One year follow-	ESRD	Simple FRAIL Scale (SFS)	43	33
	up, with frailty	CKD stage 5D			
Average L-spine ar	eas	(chronic			
	=-2.84, p < 0.01	hemodialysis)			
Changes of average	e L-				
spine areas	=-3.02, p<0.01				
Z-score	One year follow-				
	up, with frailty				
Percentage change	of L1				
Z-score	=-2.11, p=0.049				
Vertebral Compressio	oR 1.8 (p = 0.01)	ESRD	Simple FRAIL Scale (SFS)	43	34
Fracture (VCF)		CKD stage 5D			
		(chronic			
		hemodialysis)			
Quantitative ultrasou	nd				
(QUS) parameters of					
calcaneus					
Speed of sound (S0	OS) Standardized β	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	214	35
	(range, p value)	(maintenance			
Female	Negative (-0.253	hemodialysis)			
(Five frailty crite	eria) to -0.439, p ≤				
	0.034)				

		1			 	
		Male	Negative (-0.277			
		(All criteria significant	to -0.402, p ≤			
		except weight loss)	0.003)			
	В	roadband ultrasound	Standardized β			
	a	ttenuation (BUA)	(range, p value)			
		Female	Negative (-0.209			
		(All criteria significant	to -0.354, p ≤			
		except weakness and	0.045)			
		weight loss)				
		Male	Negative (-0.171			
		(All criteria significant	to -0.371, p ≤			
		except weight loss)	0.045)			
	St	tiffness index	Standardized β			
			(range, p value)			
		Female	Negative (-0.271	1		
		(All criteria significant	to -0.461, p ≤			
		except weight loss)	0.018)			
		Male	Negative (-0.183	1		
		(Five frailty criteria)	to -0.461, p ≤			
			0.048)			
M	uscle	2S				
	Qua	driceps muscle area	Multivariable		Performance-based frailty	Performance-based frailty 80
	(ma	gnitude of association	coefficient -30.3		(PbF)	(PbF)

	with PbF vs. 10 years of age)	$cm^2 (p = 0.02) vs.$				
		-6.6 cm ² (p =				
		0.0001)				
Laboratory	Serum Albumin					
data	Concentrations (g/dL)					
	<3.2 vs. ≥3.9	OR 1.89 (1.30-		Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
		2.59)				
	Hypoalbuminemia	Negative	CKD stage 5D	Simple Frail Scale	46	37
		association (p =	(maintenance			
		0.01)	hemodialysis)			
			(ESRD)			
Psychological						
Delirium	Post-KT delirium	OR 2.05 (1.02-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	893	23
		4.13)				
Distress	Distress Thermometer	β = 0.35, t = 3.0	CKD stage 5D	Canadian frailty score	382	38
		(95% CL = 0.12-	(hemodialysis)			
		0.58) (p = 0.003)				
Quality of Life	HRQoL					
	Fair/Poor HRQOL at follow-	aOR 2.79 (1.32-	ESRD	Fried Phenotypes	233	39
	up (median 9.4 mo)	5.90)	CKD stage 5T			
	Worsening HRQOL at	aRR 2.91 (1.08-				
	follow-up (median 9.4 mo)	7.80)				
	SF-36					
	Hierarchical regression	29% (p<0.001)	CKD stage 2-4	Fried Phenotypes	168	40

	R^2 change (effects of					
	frailty on HRQoL) in					
	Physical Component					
	Summary (PCS)					
	Hierarchical regression	21.3% (p<0.001)				
	R^2 change (effects of					
	frailty on HRQoL) in					
	Mental Component					
	Summary (MCS)					
	Physical components	Simple linear	CKD stages 3-5	Fried Phenotypes	61	41
		regression	(predialysis			
		coefficient = -	treatment)			
		1.12 (-1.47 to -				
		0.76) (p < 0.001)				
	Mental components	Simple linear				
		regression				
		coefficient = -				
		0.75 (-1.40 to				
		016)				
S	F-12					
	MCS	Effect estimate	CKD stage 5D	The Canadian Study of	251	42
		0.94 (0.91-0.97)	(peritoneal dialysis,	Health and Aging Clinical		
		(p<0.01)	n=129;	Frailty Scale (CFS)		
	PCS	Effect estimate	hemodialysis,			

		0.88 (0.84-0.91)	n=122)			
		(p<0.01)	,			
_	KDQOL-SF scores within 3	F vs. NF				
	months post-KT					
	At KT	↓ ↓	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	443	43
	Physical HRQoL	-6.31 points				
		(95% CI -8.16 to -				
		4.46)				
	Kidney disease-	-6.53 points				
	specific HRQoL	(95% CI -9.17 to -				
		3.89)				
	Post-KT	Greater	1			
		improvement				
	Physical HRQoL	1.35				
		points/month				
		(0.65 to 2.05) vs.				
		0.34				
		points/month (-				
		0.17 to 0.85)				
	Kidney disease-	3.75				
	specific HRQoL	points/month				
		(2.89 to 4.60) vs.				
		2.41				
		points/month				

	(1.78 to 3.04)
Constituent domains	Greater
	improvement
General health	4.93
General nearth	points/month
	(3.51 to 6.35) vs.
	2.87
	points/month
	(1.82 to 3.92)
Effects of ESRD on	7.10
daily living	points/month
	(5.68 to 8.51) vs.
	4.01
	points/month
	(2.99 to 5.03)
Cognitive function	2.88
	points/month
	(1.80 to 3.96) vs.
	1.28
	points/month
	(0.50 to 2.07)
Social interaction	1.18
	points/month (-
	0.06 to 2.43) vs

		0.57				
		points/month (-				
		1.47 to 0.33)				
	Illness Intrusiveness Rating	Effect estimate	CKD stage 5D	The Canadian Study of	251	42
	Scale	1.14 (1.09-1.20)	(peritoneal dialysis,	Health and Aging Clinical		
	Barthel Index	Effect estimate	n=129;	Frailty Scale (CFS)		
		0.89 (0.86093)	hemodialysis,			
	Symptom score	Effect estimate	n=122)			
		1.23 (1.13-1.34)				
	Hospital Anxiety and	Effect estimate				
	Depression Scale	1.21 (1.11-1.31)				
	Falls	HR 2.1 (1.21-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	205	1
		3.92)	(hemodialysis)			
		OR 2.39 (1.22-	CKD stage 5D	Fried frailty index	762	44
		4.71)	(maintenance			
			hemodialysis)			
	Higher numbers of falls	HR 3.09 (1.38-	CKD stage 5D		95	45
		6.90)	(hemodialysis)			
	Time to first fall or fracture	HR 1.60 (1.16-	CKD stage 5D	Modified Fried	1646	47
	requiring medical attention	2.20)	(maintenance	Phenotypes by Bao Y 46.		
			hemodialysis)			
Graft Loss	Death-censored graft loss					

	F vs. NF (in patients with	aHR 6.20 (1.67,	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	48
	depressive symptoms)	22.95) vs. 3.16				
		(0.90, 11.04)				
Health-care	Hospitalization/Death	HR 1.56 (1.36-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
utilization		1.79)				
	Hospitalization	HR 2.06 (1.18-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	205	1
		3.58)	(hemodialysis)			
		aHR 1.80 (1.4-	CKD stage 5D	Adopted	1658	49
		2.3)	(maintenance			
			hemodialysis &			
			peritoneal dialysis)			
		Relative risk =	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	50
		1.43 (1.00-2.03)	(hemodialysis)			
	Number of hospitalizations	beta = 0.29 (p <	CKD stage 5D	Chinese questionnaire	193	26
	for all causes	0.0001)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
	Number of hospitalizations	beta = 0.37 (p <				
	related to cardiovascular	0.0001)				
	events					
	Non-vascular access-related	aHR 1.98 (1.41-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
	hospitalizations	1.87) (內文應該				
		寫錯,無勘誤)				
	Time to first hospitalization	HR 1.26 (1.09-	CKD stage 5D	Earlier modification of	1576	46
		1.45)	(maintenance	Fried Phenotypes by		
			dialysis)	Johansen et al ³ , but		

				without weight loss.		
Early Hospital Read	dmission al	RR 1.61 (1.81-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	383	
(EHR)		.19) (p=0.002)	one stage of	The armenotypes		
Duration of hospit						
Hospital stay (d	ays per year 20	6.62 (IQR 10.65-	CKD stage 5D	In-house Chinese	178	
of follow up) (fr	ail with 6:	1.18) vs. 14.05	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
depression vs. f	rail without (I	QR 3.57-37.27)				
depression vs. r	nonfrail) vs	s. 8.04 (IQR				
	0.	.91-19.42)				
	(p	><0.0001)				
Total length of h	nospital stay be	eta = 0.34 (p <	CKD stage 5D	Chinese questionnaire	193	
	0.	.0001)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
Longer Length of S	tay (LOS)					
with delayed gr	aft function Re	elative Risk 1.15	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	589	
(DGF), LOS	(1	1.03-1.29)				
With DGF, LOS	2 weeks O	R 1.57 (1.06-				
	2.	.33)				
≥2 weeks			CKD stage 5 to 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	
Change in 3	categories O	R 2.02 (1.20-				
(more frail)	3.	.40)				
Change in fra	ailty scores O	R 1.92 (1.13-				
(more frail)	3.	.25)				
With depressive	e symptoms al	RR 1.88 (1.70-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	
(aRR difference	between F 2.	.08) vs. 1.38				

	and NF)	(1.27-1.52)				
	CES-D score (10-point	aRR 1.23 (1.16-				
	increase) (aRR increase	1.31) vs. 1.17				
	between F and NF)	(1.08-1.27)				
Mortality	Mortality	2.17 fold	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	537	54
		HR 1.57 (1.25-	CKD stage 5D	Earlier modification of	1576	46
		1.97)	(maintenance	Fried Phenotypes by		
			dialysis)	Johansen et al ³ , but		
				without weight loss.		
		HR 2.24 (1.60-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	3
		3.15)				
		HR 1.22 (1.04-	CKD stage 5D	CFS	390	55
		1.43)	(incident chronic			
			dialysis)			
		HR 4.28 (1.22-	Predialysis (eGFR ≤	PRISMA questionnaire &	104	56
		14.98)	25 mL)	Timed up and Go test		
		aHR 9.83 (1.80-	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS)	119	13
		53.7)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
		HR 2.60 (1.04-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	50
		6.49)	(hemodialysis)			
		HR 2.37 (1.11-	CKD stage 5D	Adopted	1658	49
		5.02)	(maintenance			
			hemodialysis &			
			peritoneal dialysis)			

	20.45% vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	320	16
	12.36% (p<0.005)	(hemodialysis)			
Performance-based frailty	HR 2.16 (1.41-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes & a	771	57
	3.29)	(hemodialysis)	definition that substitutes		
Self-reported function-	HR 1.93 (1.24-		self-reported measures		
based frailty	3.00)		available on the Medical		
Patients who met both	HR 2.46 (1.51-		Outcomes Study 36-Item		
performance- and self-	4.01)		Short Form (SF-36) for the		
reported function-based			physical performance and		
frailty			exhaustion criteria.		
F vs. NF (in patients with	aHR 2.62 (1.03,	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	48
depressive symptoms)	6.70) vs. 1.92				
	(0.68, 5.38)				
At 24-month follow up, frail	62.5% vs. 71.4%	CKD stage 5D	In-house Chinese	178	20
with depression vs. frail	vs 86.6%	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
without depression vs.	(p=0.001)				
nonfrail					
Prediction ability of	HR 0.75 (0.44-	CKD stage 5T (KT	Fried Phenotypes	2086	58
comorbidities in F vs. NF	1.29) vs. 1.66	candidates, on			
	(1.17-2.35)	waitlist)			
Out of 10 deceased within 1	30% vs. 9%	≥ 65 yo, predialysis,	Groningen frailty indicator	65	15
year of initiation		eGFR < 20 mL/min	(GFI)		
(percentage of F vs. NF)					
Risk for <mark>death</mark> or dialysis	2.5 (1.4-4.4)-fold	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7

therapy	greater				
All-cause mortality					
Adjusted	HR 1.66 (1.03-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	370	59
	2.67)	(incident chronic			
Among BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	HR 3.77 (1.10-	dialysis)			
	12.92)				
Above median Waist-Hip	HR 2.38 (1.17-				
Ratio (WHR)	4.82)				
Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic	HR 2.43 (1.48-	CKD stage 5D to 5T	Inability to walk without	425	60
antibody (ANCA)-associated	3.99)	(RRT [hemodialysis,	help		
vasculitides (AAV) patients'		peritoneal dialysis,			
mortality		transplantation])			
Mortality of patients with	HR, 1.93 (1.58-	CKD stage 5D to 5T	Inability to walk without	1462	61
monoclonal gammopathy and	2.36)	(RRT [hemodialysis,	help		
ESRD caused by myeloma cast		peritoneal dialysis,			
nephropathy (MCN),		transplantation])			
immunoglobulin light chain					
amyloidosis (ALA), or light-					
chain deposition disease					
(LCDD)					
Post-KT mortality					
Change in 3 categories	HR 2.27 (1.11-	CKD stage 5 to 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
(more frail)	4.65)				
Change in frailty scores	HR 2.36 (1.12-				

	(more frail)	4.99)				
Composite	Composite outcomes of all-	HR 23.58 (1.61-	CKD stage 5D	Multidimensional frailty	46	62
	cause death or cardiovascular	346.03)	ESRD	score based on		
	hospitalization			comprehensive geriatric		
				assessment (CGA) protocol		
	30-day postoperative (KT)	β=13.31, 95% CI	CKD stage 5T	Groningen Frailty Indicator	150	63
	complications according to	5.72-20.89 (p =				
	Comprehensive Complication	0.0007)				
	Index (CCI)					

Bibliography

- 1. Yadla, M., John, J. & Mummadi, M. A study of clinical assessment of frailty in patients on maintenance hemodialysis supported by cashless government scheme. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transplant.* (2017). doi:10.4103/1319-2442.198102
- 2. Mansur, H. N. Fragilidade na doença renal crônica: prevalência e fatores associados. (2012).
- 3. Johansen, K. L., Chertow, G. M., Jin, C. & Kutner, N. G. Significance of frailty among dialysis patients. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **18**, 2960–2967 (2007).
- 4. Wilhelm-Leen, E. R., Hall, Y. N., M, K. T. & Chertow, G. M. Frailty and chronic kidney disease: the Third National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey. *Am J Med* **122**, 664–71 e2 (2009).
- 5. Johansen, K. L. *et al.* Factors Associated with Frailty and Its Trajectory among Patients on Hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* **12**, 1100–1108 (2017).
- 6. Shlipak, M. G. *et al.* The Presence of Frailty in Elderly Persons with Chronic Renal Insufficiency. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* **43**, 861–867 (2004).
- 7. Roshanravan, B. *et al.* A prospective study of frailty in nephrology-referred patients with CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis* **60**, 912–921 (2012).
- 8. Chiang, J. M. *et al.* Low testosterone is associated with frailty, muscle wasting and physical dysfunction among men receiving hemodialysis: a longitudinal analysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* (2018). doi:10.1093/ndt/gfy252
- 9. McAdams-Demarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty and cognitive function in incident hemodialysis patients. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **10**, 2181–2189 (2015).
- 10. Chao, C.-T., Lai, H.-J., Tsai, H.-B., Yang, S.-Y. & Huang, J.-W. Frail phenotype is associated with distinct quantitative electroencephalographic findings among end-stage renal disease patients: an observational study. *BMC Geriatr.* **17**, 277 (2017).
- 11. Fabrício-Wehbe, S. C. C. *et al.* Cross-cultural adaptation and validity of the 'Edmonton Frail Scale EFS' in a Brazilian elderly sample. *Rev. Lat. Am. Enfermagem* **17**, 1043–1049 (2009).
- 12. Margiotta, E. et al. SuO004GUT MICROBIOTA COMPOSITION AND FRAILTY IN ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC KIDNEY

- DISEASE. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 33, i618-i618 (2018).
- 13. Kamijo, Y., Kanda, E., Ishibashi, Y. &Yoshida, M. Sarcopenia and Frailty in PD: Impact on Mortality, Malnutrition, and Inflammation. *Perit. Dial. Int.* **38**, 447–454 (2018).
- 14. McAdams-Demarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty, mycophenolate reduction, and graft loss in kidney transplant recipients. *Transplantation* **99**, 805–810 (2015).
- 15. Meulendijks, F. G. *et al.* Groningen frailty indicator in older patients with end-stage renal disease. *Ren. Fail.* **37**, 1419–1424 (2015).
- 16. Bancu, I. *et al.* Frail Patient in Hemodialysis: A New Challenge in Nephrology-Incidence in Our Area, Barcelones Nord and Maresme. *J. Aging Res.* **2017**, 7624139 (2017).
- 17. Adame Perez, S. I., Senior, P. A., Field, C. J., Jindal, K. & Mager, D. R. Frailty, Health-Related Quality of Life, Cognition, Depression, Vitamin D and Health-Care Utilization in an Ambulatory Adult Population with Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Chronic Kidney Disease: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *Can. J. Diabetes* (2018). doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2018.06.001
- 18. Chao, C.-T., Chan, D.-C. & Huang, J.-W. Frail Phenotype Might Be Associated With Higher Appendicular but Not Truncal Fat Among End-Stage Renal Disease Patients. *Journal of pain and symptom management* **53**, e1–e4 (2017).
- 19. Demircioglu, D. The Association of Vitamin D Levels and the Frailty Phenotype Among Non-geriatric Dialysis Patients: A Cross-sectional Study. *Clinics* (2018). doi:10.6061/clinics/2018/e116
- 20. Szeto, C.-C. *et al.* Depression and Physical Frailty Have Additive Effect on the Nutritional Status and Clinical Outcome of Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis. *Kidney Blood Press. Res.* **43**, 914–923 (2018).
- 21. DeSouza Orlandi, F. &Gesualdo, G. D. Assessment of the frailty level of elderly people with chronic kidney disease undergoing hemodialysis. *ACTA Paul. Enferm.* **27**, 29–34 (2014).
- 22. Sales, C. et al. SP651ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION IN END STAGE RENAL DISEASE PATIENTS AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH CLINICAL AND LABORATORIAL DATA. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* **32**, iii355-iii355 (2017).
- 23. Haugen, C. E. *et al.* Incidence, Risk Factors, and Sequelae of Post-kidney Transplant Delirium. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **29**, 1752–1759 (2018).
- 24. Moffatt, H., Moorhouse, P., Mallery, L., Landry, D. & Tennankore, K. Using the Frailty Assessment for Care Planning Tool (FACT) to

- screen elderly chronic kidney disease patients for frailty: the nurse experience. Clin. Interv. Aging 13, 843 (2018).
- 25. Johansen, K. L., Painter, P., Delgado, C. & Doyle, J. Characterization of physical activity and sitting time among patients on hemodialysis using a new physical activity instrument. *J. Ren. Nutr.* **25**, 25–30 (2015).
- 26. Ng, J. K.-C. *et al.* Frailty in Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: Prevalence and Prognostic Significance. *Kidney Blood Press. Res.* **41**, 736–745 (2016).
- 27. Noori, N., Sharma Parpia, A., Lakhani, R., Janes, S. & Goldstein, M. B. Frailty and the Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients: The Importance of Waist Circumference. *J. Ren. Nutr.* **28**, 101–109 (2018).
- 28. deSousa Meira, A. *et al.* Frailty in elderly patients with chronic kidney disease under conservative treatment. *Rev. da Rede Enferm. do Nord.* **17**, 386–392 (2016).
- 29. Chao, C.-T. & Huang, J.-W. Frailty severity is significantly associated with electrocardiographic QRS duration in chronic dialysis patients. *PeerJ* **3**, e1354 (2015).
- 30. Chao, C.-T., Chiang, C.-K., Huang, J.-W. & Hung, K.-Y. Self-reported frailty among end-stage renal disease patients: A potential predictor of dialysis access outcomes. *Nephrology (Carlton, Vic.)* **22**, 333–334 (2017).
- 31. Chu, N. M. et al. Frailty and Changes in Cognitive Function after Kidney Transplantation. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. **30**, 336–345 (2019).
- 32. Kutner, N. G., Zhang, R., Allman, R. M. &Bowling, C. B. Correlates of ADL difficulty in a large hemodialysis cohort. *Hemodial Int* **18**, 70–77 (2014).
- 33. Chao, C.-T., Huang, J.-W. &Chan, D.-C. Frail phenotype might herald bone health worsening among end-stage renal disease patients. *PeerJ* **5**, e3542 (2017).
- 34. Chao, C.-T., Chiang, C.-K., Huang, J.-W. & Chan, D.-C. Effect of Frail Phenotype on Bone Mass and Vertebral Compression Fracture in Individuals Undergoing Dialysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **64**, e19-21 (2016).
- 35. Yoneki, K. *et al.* Association between frailty and bone loss in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* (2018). doi:10.1007/s00774-017-0898-4
- 36. Delgado, C., Doyle, J. W. &Johansen, K. L. Association of frailty with body composition among patients on hemodialysis. *J. Ren. Nutr.* **23**, 356–362 (2013).
- 37. Chao, C.-T. et al. Simple self-report FRAIL scale might be more closely associated with dialysis complications than other frailty

- screening instruments in rural chronic dialysis patients. Nephrology (Carlton). 20, 321–328 (2015).
- 38. Camilleri, S., Chong, S., Tangvoraphonkchai, K., Yoowannakul, S. &Davenport, A. Effect of Self-Reported Distress Thermometer Score on the Maximal Handgrip and Pinch Strength Measurements in Hemodialysis Patients. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* **32**, 682–686 (2017).
- 39. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty and Health-Related Quality of Life in End Stage Renal Disease Patients of All Ages. *J. frailty aging* **5**, 174–179 (2016).
- 40. Lee, S. J., Son, H. &Shin, S. K. Influence of frailty on health-related quality of life in pre-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease in Korea: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual. Life Outcomes* **13**, 70 (2015).
- 41. Mansur, H. N., Colugnati, F. A., Grincenkov, F. R. &Bastos, M. G. Frailty and quality of life: a cross-sectional study of Brazilian patients with pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease. *Heal. Qual Life Outcomes* **12**, 27 (2014).
- 42. Iyasere, O. U. *et al.* Quality of Life and Physical Function in Older Patients on Dialysis: A Comparison of Assisted Peritoneal Dialysis with Hemodialysis. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **11**, 423–430 (2016).
- 43. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty and Postkidney Transplant Health-Related Quality of Life. *Transplantation* **102**, 291–299 (2018).
- 44. Kutner, N. G., Zhang, R., Huang, Y. &Wasse, H. Falls among hemodialysis patients: potential opportunities for prevention? (2014). doi:10.1093/ckj/sfu034
- 45. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty and falls among adult patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Nephrol* **14**, 224 (2013).
- 46. Bao, Y., Dalrymple, L., Chertow, G. M., Kaysen, G. A. & Johansen, K. L. Frailty, dialysis initiation, and mortality in end-stage renal disease. *Arch Intern Med* **172**, 1071–1077 (2012).
- 47. Delgado, C. *et al.* Association of Self-Reported Frailty with Falls and Fractures among Patients New to Dialysis. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **42**, 134–140 (2015).
- 48. Konel, J. M. *et al.* Depressive symptoms, frailty, and adverse outcomes among kidney transplant recipients. *Clin. Transplant.* **32**, e13391 (2018).
- 49. Lee, S.-Y. et al. The prevalence, association, and clinical outcomes of frailty in maintenance dialysis patients. J. Ren. Nutr. 27,

- 106-112 (2017).
- 50. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty as a novel predictor of mortality and hospitalization in individuals of all ages undergoing hemodialysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **61**, 896–901 (2013).
- 51. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty and Early Hospital Readmission After Kidney Transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **13**, 2091–2095 (2013).
- 52. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. *et al.* Frailty, Length of Stay, and Mortality in Kidney Transplant Recipients: A National Registry and Prospective Cohort Study. *Ann. Surg.* **266**, 1084–1090 (2017).
- 53. Chu, N. M. *et al.* Dynamic Frailty Before Kidney Transplantation-Time of Measurement Matters. *Transplantation* (2019). doi:10.1097/TP.000000000002563
- 54. McAdams-DeMarco, M. A. et al. Frailty and mortality in kidney transplant recipients. Am. J. Transplant 15, 149–154 (2015).
- 55. Alfaadhel, T. A. *et al.* Frailty and mortality in dialysis: evaluation of a clinical frailty scale. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **10**, 832–840 (2015).
- 56. Ali, H., Abdelaziz, T., Abdelaal, F. &Baharani, J. Assessment of prevalence and clinical outcome of frailty in an elderly predialysis cohort using simple tools. *Saudi J. Kidney Dis. Transpl.* **29**, 63–70 (2018).
- 57. Johansen, K. L. *et al.* Association of Performance-Based and Self-Reported Function-Based Definitions of Frailty with Mortality among Patients Receiving Hemodialysis. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **11**, 626–632 (2016).
- 58. Pérez Fernández, M. *et al.* Comorbidity, Frailty, and Waitlist Mortality among Kidney Transplant Candidates of All Ages. *Am. J. Nephrol.* **49**, 103–110 (2019).
- 59. Fitzpatrick, J. *et al.* Frailty, body composition and the risk of mortality in incident hemodialysis patients: the Predictors of Arrhythmic and Cardiovascular Risk in End Stage Renal Disease study. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant* **34**, 346–354 (2019).
- 60. Romeu, M. *et al.* Survival of patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis on chronic dialysis: data from the French REIN registry from 2002 to 2011. *QJM* **107**, 545–555 (2014).
- 61. Decourt, A. *et al.* Trends in Survival and Renal Recovery in Patients with Multiple Myeloma or Light-Chain Amyloidosis on Chronic Dialysis. *Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **11**, 431–441 (2016).
- 62. Lee, S. W. et al. Is Frailty a Modifiable Risk Factor of Future Adverse Outcomes in Elderly Patients with Incident End-Stage Renal

- Disease? J. Korean Med. Sci. 32, 1800–1806 (2017).
- 63. Schopmeyer, L. *et al.* Frailty has a significant influence on postoperative complications after kidney transplantation-a prospective study on short-term outcomes. *Transpl. Int.* **32**, 66–74 (2019).