Adverse Health Effects of Frailty in CKD Patients

Cause4
Lifestyle4
Ethnicity4
Biological4
Cardiovascular4
Vascular access4
Cerebrovascular4
Pulmonary4
Inflammatory4
Endocrinologic/ Metabolic5
Body Composition5
Cancer
Arthritis
Laboratory Data5
Non-adjusted differences in comorbidity and system-based influences
Biological
Cardiovascular7
Cerebrovascular7
Vascular Access7
Endocrinologic/ Metabolic7
Cognitive8

Immunological8
Body Composition8
Laboratory Data8
Miscellaneous
Composite
Functional Status
Microbiota11
Gut microbiota
Neurological
EEG
Psychological
Mood
Mental Health
Mental Function
Sociological
Interaction14
Physical activity
Nutritional Status
Quality of Life
Independence
Health-care utilization
Complications
Biological
Cardiovascular17
Renal Function Decline

	Immunological
	Cognitive
	Functional status
	Diabetes
	Body composition
	Laboratory data
	Psychological
	Delirium23
	Distress
	Quality of Life23
	Graft Loss 27
	Health-care utilization
	Mortality
	Composite
Bi	oliography

Cause

	Effect (descriptions)	Risk Difference	CKD severity	Frailty	Sample	Reference
				Assessment	Size	
Lifestyle	Smoking	RR 1.18 (1.04-1.34)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Ethnicity	Hispanic	Frailty scores +0.6	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	2
		points per year	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Biological						
Cardiovascular	Hypertension	RR 1.6 (1.26-2.04)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Peripheral vascular disease	RR 1.58 (1.34-1.8)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Left ventricular dysfunction	RR 1.18 (1.03-1.36)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Endothelial dysfunction	r = -0.367 (p= 0.004)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	3
		OR 3.86 (1.00-14.88)		Phenotypes		
Vascular access	Use of a permanent vascular	HR 0.71 (0.51-0.98)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	2275	4
	access (fistula or graft)		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Cerebrovascular	Cerebrovascular Accident	RR 1.34 (1.19-1.5)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Pulmonary	COPD	OR 1.68 (1.16-2.45)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	5
				Phenotypes		
Inflammatory	IL-6	Worse frailty	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	2

已註解 [W使1]: 要寫一下是相對於什麼(ex. fistula/graft vs. catheter)

			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	CRP	After adjustment, OR	Chronic kidney	Fried	5888	6
	Fibrinogen	1.76 (1.28-2.41) to	insufficiency, serum	Phenotypes		
		1.50 (1.07-2.09)	creatinine ≥ 1.3 mg/dL			
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes	Frailty scores +0.7	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	2
Metabolic		points per year	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		OR 1.68 (1.16-2.45)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	5
				Phenotypes		
	Obesity (IMC ≥ 30 kg/m²)	OR 6.63 (1.16-36.77)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	3
				Phenotypes		
	Higher parathyroid	r= 0.30 (p= 0.01)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	3
	hormones (PTH)			Phenotypes		
Body Composition	Higher fat mass	r= 0.25 (p= 0.04)	CKD stages 3-5	Fried	61	3
				Phenotypes		
Cancer	Cancer	OR 1.89 (1.19-2.99)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	5
				Phenotypes		
Arthritis	Arthritis	OR 3.34 (2.08-5.38)	CKD stages 1-5	Fried	10256	5
				Phenotypes		
Laboratory Data	eGFR (mL/min/1.72m^2)					
	reference: > 60					
	eGFRcys < 30	Frailty prevalence 2.8	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
			_	Phenotypes		
	eGFRcys 30-44	Frailty prevalence 2.1				

Serum Albumin	Frailty scores	CKD stage 5D	Fried	762	2
Concentrations (g/dL)	-1.1 points per g/dL	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Serum Creatinine <4	RR 1.46 (1.22-1.71)	CKD stage 5D	Fried	205	1
mg/dL*		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Testosterone, every 50%		CKD stage 5D	Fried	440	8
lower free form		(hemodialysis), men	Phenotypes		
being frail	OR 1.40 (1.05-1.53)	_			
becoming frail over 12 months	OR 1.40 (1.07-1.73)	_			
Hemoglobin	Adjusted, OR 1.76	Chronic kidney	Fried	5888	6
LDL, HDL	(1.28-2.41) to 1.50	insufficiency, serum	Phenotypes		
LUL, NUL	(1.07-2.09)	creatinine ≥1.3mg/dL			

Non-adjusted differences in comorbidity and system-based influences

	Effect (descriptions)	Prevalence	CKD Severity	Frailty	Sample	Reference
				Assessment	Size	
iological						
Cardiovascular	Heart Failure (%)	30% vs 12%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
				Phenotypes		
	Angina (%)	34% vs. 22%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
				Phenotypes		
Cerebrovascular	Cerebrovascular Disease (%)	26.4 vs. 12.0	CKD stage 5D	Fried	324	9
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes	336 324 320 336	
Vascular Access	Catheter vs. Native/Prosthetic FAVI (%)	61.6% vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10
		27.78/11.11%	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Endocrinologic/	Diabetes (%)	F vs. NF				
Metabolic		64% vs. 49%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
				Phenotypes		
	Obesity (%)	F vs. NF				
		64% vs. 50%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
				Phenotypes		
		51.8% vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried	324	9
		23.9%	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	BMI based on dry weight	31.5 vs. 27.6	CKD stage 5D	Fried	324	9
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	вмі	22.53 vs. 26.16	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10

已註解 [W使2]: prevalent diseases

已註解 [W使3]: prevalent disease

已註解 [W使4]: characteristics of hemodialysis initiates

!! 當在沒有 cerebrovascular disease 的患者當中,frailty 跟 cognitive function 沒有什麼關係。

已**註解 [W使5]:** Characteristics

!! 好像比較少人提到

已註解 [W使6]: prevalent diseases

已註解 [W使7]: prevalent diseases

已註解 [W使8]: characteristics of dialysis initiates

已註解 [W使9]: characteristics of dialysis initiates

已註解 [W使10]: characteristics

Laboratory Data	Prealbumin (PRAB) (mg/dL)	28.9 vs. 38.3	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	11
		,,,	73.17.770		(EFS)		
		vs. nonfrail) (%)	vs .14.7%	CVD Stage T-3	Frail Scale	41	
body composition		Lean body mass (in frail	57.1%	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
Body Composition	Lower lean m	 nass		(Helliodiarysis)	Hellotypes		
	l	HCV (n=37) (capitals)	36 vs. 1	CKD stage 5D (hemodialysis)	Phenotypes	205	7
	- I al lillection			CVD stage ED	Fried	205	1
	Viral infection	, , ,	F vs. NF				
		2 years since KT (%) 3 years since KT (%)	54 vs. 45 67 vs. 51	_			
		1 year since KT (%)	44 vs 40	_			
	reduction (M		44.40.40	_	Phenotypes		
		ate mofetil (MMF) dose	F vs. NF	CKD stage 5T	Fried	525	12
	1			dialysis)			4.2
		IL6 (In IL6) (mg/dL)	2.45 vs. 1.58	(peritoneal	Scale (CFS)		
		CRP (In CRP) (mg/dL)	1.12 vs 0.28	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty	119	11
Immunological	Inflammatory	У					
		(TMTB) scores		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		Trail Making Tests B	+33.15	CKD stage 5D	Fried	324	9
		(TMTA) scores		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		Trail Making Tests A	+12.08	CKD stage 5D	Fried	324	9
Cognitive	Executive Fu	nction	F vs. NF				
				(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		

已註解 [W使11]: at hemodialysis initiation

已註解 [W使12]: 跟 laboratory data 中的 PRAB (prealbumin), albumin 一樣都是以 frailty status 看不同

已註解 [W使13]: 在 complications 有 adjusted 的 data 了

已註解 [W使14]: 是 univariate 的,而且是分析 risk factor for frailty ,我覺得要刪掉

已註解 [W使15]: 根據 fraitly status 分的不同

			(EFS)		
Serum albumin (mg/L)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	15
	correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	coefficient r = -				
	0.263 (p =				
	0.025)				
	38 vs. 41	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
			Frail Scale		
			(EFS)		
	29.2 vs. 34.8	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty	119	11
		(peritoneal	Scale (CFS)		
		dialysis)			
	36.1 vs. 38.5	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10
		(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
Frail with depression vs.	32.9 vs. 34.9	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	16
Frail without depression	vs. 35.8	(peritoneal	Chinese		
vs. Nonfrail	(p=0.025)	dialysis)	questionnaire		
Calcium (mmol/L)	2.24 vs. 2.36	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
			Frail Scale		
			(EFS)		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	15
	correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	coefficient r = -				

巴註解 [W使16]: 單位不同,請統整。兩位數的話應是mg/L

已註解 [W使17]: 根據 frailty status 的不同

已註解 [W使18]: Creatinine (umol/L) . 299 vs. 115

		0.336 (p =				
		••				
		0.004)				
		6.49 vs. 8.07 (p				
		= 0.037)				
		10.35 vs. 10.97	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10
			(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	25-hydroxy vitamin D (ng/mL)	Pearson	CKD stage 5D	Fried	74	15
		correlation	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
		coefficient r = -				
		0.363 (p =				
		0.002)				
		11.58 vs. 17.09	•			
		(p = 0.005)				
Miscellaneous	Dialysis clearance rate (Kt/V and urea	↑	CKD stage 5D	FRAIL scale	46	17
	reduction ratio)		(chronic dialysis)			
Composite	Number of complications (complications	Spearman's	Elderly (≥ 60 yo),	Edmonton	35	18
	identified at data collection: High	correlation	with diagnosis of	Frail Scale		
	Pressure Cramping, Anemia, Weight loss	0.666 (p =	CKD	(EFS)		
	Pain, Weakness, Weight gain	0.000 in table)				
	Constipation, Heart Arrhythmia,	(p < 0.05 in				
	Headache, Itch, Recurrent infections,	text)				
	Headache, Itch, Recurrent infections, Arterial hypertension)	text)				
	, ,	6 vs. 4 (p =	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13

已註解 [W使19]: Clearance rate 哪一種?

				(EFS)		
	Charlson's comorbidity score	Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	19
		rank	(peritoneal	questionnaire		
		correlation	dialysis)			
		coefficient r =				
		0.40 (p <				
		0.0001)				
Functional Status	Disability	F vs. NF				
	≥1 disability in	15% vs. 5%	CKD stages 1-4	Fried	336	7
	activities of daily Living			Phenotypes		
	(ADLs)		_			
	≥1 disability in	60% vs. 28%	_			
	instrumental activities					
	of daily living (IADLs)					
	≥1 disability in mobility	40% vs. 18%	_			
	tasks					
	Less ADL-independent	55% vs. 91%	≥ 65 yo,	Groningen	65	20
			predialysis, eGFR <	frailty		
			20 mL/min	indicator (GFI)		
	Ability to perform basic activities of daily	33.33% vs	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10
	living	76.4%	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Ability to perform transfers	38.8% vs.	_			
	, ,					

已註解 [W使20]:

已註解 [W使21]: Mentioned in other articles as

complications, can omit

Gut microbiota	Malnutrition-Inflammation-Score (MIS)	7.6 vs. 3.9	Stage 3b-4, eGFR	Fried	64 (and	21
	Abundance of	Directly	15-45ml/min	Phenotype	15	
	unclassified	proportional to		score	control	
	Mogibacteriaceae and	MIS			subjects)	
	Oscillospira					
	Abundance of	Inversely	-			
	Akkermansia,	proportional to				
	Ruminococcus, and	MIS				
	Eubacterium					
	Bacterial Abundance of some genera	↑	-			
	(Mogibacteriacee, Coriobacteriacee,					
	Eggerthella, Erwinia, Coprobacillus,					
	Anaerotruncus, etc)					
Neurological						
EEG	Brain Wave	F vs. NF	ESRD, under	FRAIL scale	46	17
	Global DAR	283 ± 679 vs.	chronic dialysis			
		2971 ± 4859				
	DARs (left frontal)	135 ± 250 vs.	-			
		3073 ± 4702				
	DAR (left TO)	197 ± 318 vs.	-			
		3708 ± 6398				
	DAR (central)	55 ± 96 vs.	-			
		1773 ± 3262				
	DAR (right TO)	187 ± 261 vs.	-			

		4400 ± 7763	_			
	Global DTABR	191 ± 469 vs.				
		1781 ± 2793	_			
	DTABR (left frontal)	86 ± 158 vs.				
		1680 ± 2388				
	DTABR (left TO)	130 ± 210 vs.	_			
		1884 ± 2828				
	DTABR (central)	39 ± 65 vs.	_			
		1132 ± 1957				
	DTABR (right TO)	126 ± 178 vs.	_			
		2960 ± 5271				
Psychological						
Mood	Mood Change	Negative	CKD stage 5D	Edmonton	N/A	22
		change	(hemodialysis)	Frail Scale		
				(EFS)		
Mental Health						
Anxiety	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	<u>Women</u> :个in	ESRD, under	N/A	97	23
	(HADS)	global,	online-			
		psychological,	haemodiafiltration			
		social frailty	(OL-HDF)			
		<u>Men</u> :个in				
		Physical frailty				
Depression	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale	<u>Men</u> ↑in	ESRD, under	N/A	97	23

		psychological,	hae modia filtration			
		physical frailty	(OL-HDF)			
	Incidence (%) (Self-reported Major	83 vs. 6	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
	Depression Inventory)			Frail Scale		
				(EFS)		
Mental Function	Post-KT delirium	9.0% vs. 3.9%	CKD stage 5T	Fried	893	24
				Phenotypes		
Sociological						
Interaction	Interaction with family	Good				25
Physical activity	Minnesota Leisure Time Activity (LTA)	95 vs. 735	CKD stage 5D	Fried	68	26
		(p<0.001)	(hemodialysis)	Phenotypes		
	Low Physical Activity Questionnaire	280 vs. 798	-			
	(LoPAQ)	(p=0.003)				
	Sitting (hours/day)	6.5 vs. 5	-			
		(p=0.04)				
Nutritional Status	Overall subjective global assessment	5.04 vs. 5.41	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	16
	(SGA) (weight loss, anorexia,	vs. 5.75 (p <	(peritoneal	Chinese		
	subcutaneous fat, muscle mass) (Frail	0.0001)	dialysis)	questionnaire		
	with depression vs. Frail without	Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	19
	depression vs. Nonfrail)	rank	(peritoneal	questionnaire		
		correlation	dialysis)			
		coefficient r = -				
		0.44, p <				
		0.0001				

							16
		inflammation score (MIS)	9.48 vs. 7.13	CKD stage 5D	In-house	178	10
	(frail with de	pression vs. frail without	vs. 5.12 (p <	(peritoneal	Chinese		
	depression v	s. nonfrail)	0.0001)	dialysis)	questionnaire		
			Spearman's	CKD stage 5D	Chinese	193	19
			rank	(peritoneal	questionnaire		
			correlation	dialysis)			
			coefficient r =				
			0.40, p <				
			0.0001				
Quality of Life	HRQoL						
		SF-36					
		Scores in physical	\downarrow	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
		functioning, blood			Frail Scale		
		pressure, role physical,			(EFS)		
		and physical					
		component summary					
		domains					
	Kidney Diseas	se Quality of Life (KDQoL)	F vs. NF				
		Physical health	33.7 vs. 40.7	ESRD CKD stage 5D	Fried	151	27
		Kidney disease effects	51.6 vs. 66.8	_ (conventional	Phenotypes		
			2 0 . 0 . 0 . 0	hemodialysis)			
Independence	Functional In	dependence Measure (FIM)		Elderly, ≥65y/o	Edmonton	137	28
	Spearman's	Frailty diagnosis with	-0.703	_	Frail Scale		

coefficient	Frailty diagnosis with	-0.714				
	motor FIM	(p<0.001)				
	Frailty diagnosis with	-0.575	-			
	cognitive FIM	(p<0.001)				
	EFS scores with gross FIM	-0.53 (p<0.01)	-			
Hospitalizatio	on	90% vs. 53% (p	≥ 65 yo,	Groningen	65	20
		= 0.04)	predialysis, eGFR <	frailty		
			20 mL/min	indicator (GFI)		
	Cumulative number of	↑	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton	41	13
	inpatient health-care			Frail Scale		
	visits			(EFS)		
	Cumulative number of	_				
	emergency health-care					
	visits					
	Cumulative number of	-				
	total health-care visits					
	Admissions/year	0.77727 vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried	320	10
		0.2838	(hemodialysis)	Dl t		
		motor FIM Frailty diagnosis with cognitive FIM EFS scores with gross FIM Hospitalization Cumulative number of inpatient health-care visits Cumulative number of emergency health-care visits Cumulative number of total health-care visits	motor FIM (p<0.001) Frailty diagnosis with -0.575 cognitive FIM (p<0.001) EFS scores with gross FIM -0.53 (p<0.01) Hospitalization 90% vs. 53% (p = 0.04) Cumulative number of inpatient health-care visits Cumulative number of emergency health-care visits Cumulative number of total health-care visits Admissions/year 0.77727 vs.	motor FIM (p<0.001) Frailty diagnosis with -0.575 cognitive FIM (p<0.001) EFS scores with gross FIM -0.53 (p<0.01) Hospitalization 90% vs. 53% (p = 0.04) predialysis, eGFR < 20 mL/min Cumulative number of inpatient health-care visits Cumulative number of emergency health-care visits Cumulative number of total health-care visits Admissions/year 0.77727 vs. CKD stage 5D	motor FIM (p<0.001) Frailty diagnosis with -0.575 cognitive FIM (p<0.001) EFS scores with gross FIM -0.53 (p<0.01) Hospitalization 90% vs. 53% (p = 0.04) predialysis, eGFR < frailty indicator (GFI) Cumulative number of inpatient health-care visits Cumulative number of emergency health-care visits Cumulative number of total health-care visits Admissions/year 0.77727 vs. CKD stage 5D Fried	motor FIM (p<0.001) Frailty diagnosis with -0.575 cognitive FIM (p<0.001) EFS scores with gross FIM -0.53 (p<0.01) Hospitalization 90% vs. 53% (p = 0.04) predialysis, eGFR < frailty indicator (GFI) Cumulative number of inpatient health-care visits Cumulative number of emergency health-care visits Cumulative number of total health-care visits Admissions/year 0.77727 vs. CKD stage 5D Fried 320

Complications

	Effect (descriptions)	Risk Difference	CKD Severity	Frailty Assessment	Sample	Reference
					Size	
iological						
Cardiovascular	Cerebrovascular Accident	OR 1.55 (1.05-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4
		2.99)	(hemodialysis)			
	QRS duration	β coefficient =	CKD stage 5D	Edmonton frailty scale	41	29
		−0.29, t = −2.03	(chronic	(EFS)		
		(p = 0.048)	hemodialysis)		_	
		β coefficient =		FRAIL scale		
		−0.27, t = −1.84				
		(p = 0.05)				
	Vascular Access failure	HR 2.63 (1.03-	ESRD	Self-reported FRAIL scale	51	30
		6.71)	(CKD stage 5D)			
Renal Function	Risk for <mark>death</mark> or dialysis	2.5 (1.4-4.4)-fold	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
Decline	therapy	greater				
Immunological	Mycophenolate mofetil	HR 1.29 (1.01-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	525	12
	(MMF) dose reduction (MDR)	1.66)				
Cognitive	Modified Mini-Mental State	-2.37 to -2.80 (1	ESRD	Fried Phenotypes	324	9
	(3MS)	year) (p=0.03)				
	Declined, 1-4 years post-KT	Slope = -0.04 vs.	CKD stage 5T	Fried physical frailty	665	31
	(points/week)	0.005	_	phenotypes (PFP)		
	At 4 year post-KT (points)	-5.5 (87.4 vs.	-			

已註解 [W使22]: simple

已**註解 [W使23]:** simple

		92.9)				
Functional	Disability	F vs. Prefrail vs.				
status		NF				
	Need for activities of daily	OR 11.32 (5.49-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	742	32
	livings (ADL) assistance	23.32) vs. 1.93	(hemodialysis)			
		(1.01-3.68) vs.				
		1.00				
Diabetes	Diabetes	OR 1.35 (1.10-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4
		1.65)				
Body	Lower lean mass	F/PF vs. NF				
composition						
	Whole body (kg)	34.7 vs. 43.1	CKD stage 5D	Self- report instrument	44	14
			_ (hemodialysis)	evaluating five		
	Cephalic/trunk (g)	3059 vs. 3288		dimensions of frailty		
		(cephalic); 17.4		(fatigue, resistance,		
		vs. 22.1 (trunk)	_	ambulation, illnesses, and		
	Right/Left upper limb (g)	1831 vs. 2493		weight loss)		
		(right); 1869 vs.				
		2515 (left)				
	Right/Left lower limb (g)	4920 vs. 6114	_			
		(right); 4650 vs.				
		6349 (left)				

						33
	ineral Density	One year follow-	ESRD	FRAIL Scale	43	55
(BMD)		up, with frailty	CKD stage 5D			
L1		$\beta = -0.4$, t	(chronic			
		=-2.18, p=0.04	hemodialysis)			
L4		ß =-0.39, t				
		=-2.1, p=0.046	_			
Femu	ır Neck (FN)	ß =-0.5, t=				
		-2.96,				
		p<0.01				
		$\beta = -4$, t = -3.17,				
		p = 0.004				
Total		ß = −0.53, t =	ESRD	FRAIL Scale	43	33
		−3.27, p < 0.01	CKD stage 5D			
			(chronic			
			hemodialysis)			
Areas		One year follow-	ESRD	FRAIL Scale	43	33
		up, with frailty	CKD stage 5D			
Avera	age L-spine areas	ß = -0.48, t	(chronic			
		=-2.84, p < 0.01	hemodialysis)			
Chan	ges of average L-	ß = −0.5, t	-			
spine	e areas	=-3.02, p<0.01				
Z-score		One year follow-	_			
		up, with frailty				

Percentage change of L1	ß = −0.45, t				
Z-score	=-2.11, p=0.049				
Vertebral Compression	OR 1.8 (p = 0.01)	ESRD	FRAIL Scale	43	34
Fracture (VCF)		CKD stage 5D			
		(chronic			
		hemodialysis)			
Quantitative ultrasound					
(QUS) parameters of					
calcaneus					
Speed of sound (SOS)	Standardized β	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	214	35
	(range, p value)	(maintenance			
Female	Negative (-0.253	hemodialysis)			
(Five frailty criteria)	to -0.439, p ≤				
	0.034)	_			
Male	Negative (-0.277	-			
(All criteria significant	to -0.402, p ≤				
except weight loss)	0.003)	_			
Broadband ultrasound	Standardized β	-			
attenuation (BUA)	(range, p value)				
Female	Negative (-0.209	-			
(All criteria significant	to -0.354, p ≤				
except weakness and	0.045)				
weight loss)					

Male	Negative (-0.171				
(All criteria significant	to -0.371, p ≤				
except weight loss)	0.045)				
Stiffness index	Standardized β	-			
	(range, p value)				
Female	Negative (-0.271	-			
(All criteria significant	to -0.461, p ≤				
except weight loss)	0.018)				
Male	Negative (-0.183	-			
(Five frailty criteria)	to -0.461, p ≤				
	0.048)				
uscles					
Quadriceps muscle area	Multivariable	CKD stage 5D	Performance-based frailty	80	36
(magnitude of association	coefficient -30.3	(hemodialysis)	(PbF)		
with PbF vs. 10 years of	cm^2 (p = 0.02) vs.				
age)	$-6.6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ (p = }$				
	0.0001)				
	0.000=/				
Appendicular skeletal	Unadjusted 6.8	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale	41	13
Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMI)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CKD stage 1-5	Edmonton Frail Scale (EFS)	41	13

	Appendicular fat	FRAIL scale				
	percentage					
	Left/Right lower	t = 2.32; p = 0.03	CKD stage 5D	FRAIL scale	44	14
	extremity	(left); t = 2.05; p	(hemodialysis)			
		= 0.048 (right)				
	Left/Right upper	t = 2.66; p = 0.01	_			
	extremity	(left); t = 3.09; p				
		= <0.01 (right)				
	Appendicular fat	Frail/Prefrail vs.				
	percentage	Nonfrail				
	Left/Right lower	t = 2.31; p = 0.03	CKD stage 5D	Self- report instrument	44	14
	extremity	(left); t = 2.28; p	(hemodialysis)	evaluating five		
		= 0.03 (right)		dimensions of frailty		
	Right upper extremity	t = 2.35; p = 0.03	_	(fatigue, resistance,		
				ambulation, illnesses, and		
				weight loss)		
	Sarcopenia	aOR 12.2 (2.27-	CKD stage 5D	Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS)	11912	11
		65.5)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
aboratory	Serum Albumin					
data	Concentrations (g/dL)					
	<3.2 vs. ≥3.9	OR 1.89 (1.30-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4
		2.59)				
	Hypoalbuminemia	Negative	CKD stage 5D	Frail Scale	46	37
		association (p =	(maintenance			

已註解 [W使24]: 是 multivariate linear regression

已註解 [W使25]: adjusted for blah, blah, blah, risk factor for sarcopenia

		0.01)	hemodialysis)			
			(ESRD)			
Psychological						
Delirium	Post-KT delirium	OR 2.05 (1.02-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	893	24
		4.13)				
Distress	Distress Thermometer	β = 0.35, t = 3.0	CKD stage 5D	Canadian frailty score	382	38
		(95% CL = 0.12-	(hemodialysis)			
		0.58) (p = 0.003)				
Quality of Life	HRQoL					
	Fair/Poor HRQOL at follow-	aOR 2.79 (1.32-	ESRD	Fried Phenotypes	233	39
	up (median 9.4 mo)	5.90)	CKD stage 5T			
	Worsening HRQOL at	aRR 2.91 (1.08-	_			
	follow-up (median 9.4 mo)	7.80)				
	SF-36					
	Hierarchical regression	29% (p<0.001)	CKD stage 2-4	Fried Phenotypes	168	40
	R^2 change (effects of					
	frailty on HRQoL) in					
	Physical Component					
	Summary (PCS)					
	Hierarchical regression	21.3% (p<0.001)	_			
	R^2 change (effects of					
	frailty on HRQoL) in					
	Mental Component					
	Summary (MCS)					

Physical components	Simple linear	CKD stages 3-5	Fried Phenotypes	61	41
	regression	(predialysis			
	coefficient = -	treatment)			
	1.12 (-1.47 to -				
	0.76) (p < 0.001)	_			
Mental components	Simple linear				
	regression				
	coefficient = -				
	0.75 (-1.40 to				
	016)				
SF-12					
MCS	Effect estimate	CKD stage 5D	The Canadian Study of	251	42
	0.94 (0.91-0.97)	(peritoneal dialysis,	Health and Aging Clinical		
	(p<0.01)	n=129;	Frailty Scale (CFS)		
PCS	Effect estimate	hemodialysis,			
	0.88 (0.84-0.91)	n=122)			
	(p<0.01)				
KDQOL-SF scores within 3	F vs. NF				
months post-KT					
At KT	\downarrow	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	443	43
Physical HRQoL	-6.31 points	_			
	(95% CI -8.16 to -				
	4.46)				
Kidney disease-	-6.53 points	-			

specific HRQoL	(95% CI -9.17 to -
	3.89)
Post-KT	Greater
	improvement
Physical HRQoL	1.35
	points/month
	(0.65 to 2.05) vs.
	0.34
	points/month (-
	0.17 to 0.85)
Kidney disease-	3.75
specific HRQoL	points/month
	(2.89 to 4.60) vs.
	2.41
	points/month
	(1.78 to 3.04)
Constituent domains	Greater
	improvement
General health	4.93
	points/month
	(3.51 to 6.35) vs.
	2.87
	points/month
	(1.82 to 3.92)

	Effects of ESRD on	7.10				
	daily living	points/month				
		(5.68 to 8.51) vs.				
		4.01				
		points/month				
		(2.99 to 5.03)				
	Cognitive function	2.88				
		points/month				
		(1.80 to 3.96) vs.				
		1.28				
		points/month				
		(0.50 to 2.07)				
	Social interaction	1.18				
		points/month (-				
		0.06 to 2.43) vs				
		0.57				
		points/month (-				
		1.47 to 0.33)				
Illness	Intrusiveness Rating	Effect estimate	CKD stage 5D	The Canadian Study of	251	42
Scale		1.14 (1.09-1.20)	(peritoneal dialysis,	Health and Aging Clinical		
Barthe	el Index	Effect estimate	n=129;	Frailty Scale (CFS)		
		0.89 (0.86093)	hemodialysis,			
Sympt	om score	Effect estimate	n=122)			
		1.23 (1.13-1.34)				

	Hospital Anxiety and	Effect estimate				
	Depression Scale	1.21 (1.11-1.31)				
	Falls	HR 2.1 (1.21-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	205	1
		3.92)	(hemodialysis)			
		OR 2.39 (1.22-	CKD stage 5D	Fried frailty index	762	44
		4.71)	(maintenance			
			hemodialysis)			
	Higher numbers of falls	HR 3.09 (1.38-	CKD stage 5D		95	45
		6.90)	(hemodialysis)			
	Time to first fall or fracture	HR 1.60 (1.16-	CKD stage 5D	Modified Fried	1646	47
	requiring medical attention	2.20)	(maintenance	Phenotypes by Bao Y 46.		
			hemodialysis)			
Graft Loss	Death-censored graft loss					
	F vs. NF (in patients with	aHR 6.20 (1.67,	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	48
	depressive symptoms)	22.95) vs. 3.16				
		(0.90, 11.04)				
Health-care	Hospitalization/Death	HR 1.56 (1.36-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4
utilization		1.79)				
	Hospitalization	HR 2.06 (1.18-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	205	1
		3.58)	(hemodialysis)			
		aHR 1.80 (1.4-	CKD stage 5D	Adopted	1658	49
		2.3)	(maintenance			
			hemodialysis &			

		peritoneal dialysis)			
	Relative risk =	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	50
	1.43 (1.00-2.03)	(hemodialysis)			
Number of hospitalizations	beta = 0.29 (p <	CKD stage 5D	Chinese questionnaire	193	19
for all causes	0.0001)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
Number of hospitalizations	beta = 0.37 (p <	-			
related to cardiovascular	0.0001)				
events					
Non-vascular access-	aHR 1.98 (1.41-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4
related hospitalizations	1.87) (內文應該				
	寫錯,無勘誤)				
Time to first hospitalization	HR 1.26 (1.09-	CKD stage 5D	Earlier modification of	1576	46
	1.45)	(maintenance	Fried Phenotypes by		
		dialysis)	Johansen et al ⁴ , but		
			without weight loss.		
Early Hospital Readmission	aRR 1.61 (1.81-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	383	51
(EHR)	2.19) (p=0.002)				
Duration of hospitalization					
Hospital stay (days per year	26.62 (IQR	CKD stage 5D	In-house Chinese	178	16
of follow up) (frail with	10.65-61.18) vs.	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
depression vs. frail without	14.05 (IQR 3.57-				
depression vs. nonfrail)	37.27) vs. 8.04				
	(IQR 0.91-19.42)				
	(p<0.0001)				

	Total length of hospital stay	beta = 0.34 (p <	CKD stage 5D	Chinese questionnaire	193	19
		0.0001)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
	Longer Length of Stay (LOS)					
	with delayed graft function	Relative Risk	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	589	52
	(DGF), LOS	1.15 (1.03-1.29)				
	With DGF, LOS ≥2 weeks	OR 1.57 (1.06-				
		2.33)				
	≥2 weeks		CKD stage 5 to 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
	Change in 3 categories	OR 2.02 (1.20-	_			
	(more frail)	3.40)	_			
	Change in frailty scores	OR 1.92 (1.13-	_			
	(more frail)	3.25)				
	With depressive symptoms	aRR 1.88 (1.70-	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	48
	(aRR difference between F	2.08) vs. 1.38				
	and NF)	(1.27-1.52)	_			
	CES-D score (10-point	aRR 1.23 (1.16-				
	increase) (aRR increase	1.31) vs. 1.17				
	between F and NF)	(1.08-1.27)				
Mortality	Mortality	2.17 fold	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	537	54
		HR 1.57 (1.25-	CKD stage 5D	Earlier modification of	1576	46
		1.97)	(maintenance	Fried Phenotypes by		
			dialysis)	Johansen et al ⁴ , but		
				without weight loss.		
		HR 2.24 (1.60-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	2275	4

	3.15)				
	HR 1.22 (1.04-	CKD stage 5D	CFS	390	55
	1.43)	(incident chronic			
		dialysis)			
	HR 4.28 (1.22-	Predialysis (eGFR ≤	PRISMA questionnaire &	104	56
	14.98)	25 mL)	Timed up and Go test		
	aHR 9.83 (1.80-	CKD stage 5D	CFS	119	11
	53.7)	(peritoneal dialysis)			
	HR 2.60 (1.04-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	146	50
	6.49)	(hemodialysis)			
	HR 2.37 (1.11-	CKD stage 5D	Adopted	1658	49
	5.02)	(maintenance			
		hemodialysis &			
		peritoneal dialysis)			
	20.45% vs.	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	320	10
	12.36%	(hemodialysis)			
	(p<0.005)				
Performance-based frailty	HR 2.16 (1.41-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes & a	771	57
	3.29)	(hemodialysis)	definition that substitutes		
Self-reported function-	HR 1.93 (1.24-		self-reported measures		
based frailty	3.00)	_	available on the Medical		
Patients who met both	HR 2.46 (1.51-		Outcomes Study 36-Item		
performance- and self-	4.01)		Short Form (SF-36) for the		
reported function-based			physical performance and		

frailty			exhaustion criteria.		
F vs. NF (in patients with	aHR 2.62 (1.03,	CKD stage 5T	Fried Phenotypes	773	48
depressive symptoms)	6.70) vs. 1.92				
	(0.68, 5.38)				
At 24-month follow up, frail	62.5% vs. 71.4%	CKD stage 5D	In-house Chinese	178	16
with depression vs. frail	vs 86.6%	(peritoneal dialysis)	questionnaire		
without depression vs.	(p=0.001)				
nonfrail					
Prediction ability of	HR 0.75 (0.44-	CKD stage 5T (KT	Fried Phenotypes	2086	58
comorbidities in F vs. NF	1.29) vs. 1.66	candidates, on			
	(1.17-2.35)	waitlist)			
Out of 10 deceased within	30% vs. 9%	≥ 65 yo, predialysis,	Groningen frailty	65	20
1 year of initiation		eGFR < 20 mL/min	indicator (GFI)		
(percentage of F vs. NF)					
Risk for <mark>death</mark> or dialysis	2.5 (1.4-4.4)-fold	CKD stages 1-4	Fried Phenotypes	336	7
therapy	greater				
All-cause mortality					
Adjusted	HR 1.66 (1.03-	CKD stage 5D	Fried Phenotypes	370	59
	2.67)	(incident chronic			
Among BMI ≥30 kg/m²	HR 3.77 (1.10-	dialysis)			
	12.92)				
Above median Waist-Hip	HR 2.38 (1.17-	-			
Ratio (WHR)	4.82)				
Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic	HR 2.43 (1.48-	CKD stage 5D to 5T	Inability to walk without	425	60

	antibody (ANCA)-associated	3.99)	(RRT [hemodialysis,	help		
	vasculitides (AAV) patients'		peritoneal dialysis,			
	mortality		transplantation])			
	Mortality of patients with	HR, 1.93 (1.58-	CKD stage 5D to 5T	Inability to walk without	1462	61
	monoclonal gammopathy and	2.36)	(RRT [hemodialysis,	help		
	ESRD caused by myeloma cast		peritoneal dialysis,			
	nephropathy (MCN),		transplantation])			
	immunoglobulin light chain					
	amyloidosis (ALA), or light-					
	chain deposition disease					
	(LCDD)					
	Post-KT mortality					
	Change in 3 categories	HR 2.27 (1.11-	CKD stage 5 to 5T	Fried Phenotypes	569	53
	(more frail)	4.65)				
	Change in frailty scores	HR 2.36 (1.12-	_			
	(more frail)	4.99)				
Composite	Composite outcomes of all-	HR 23.58 (1.61-	CKD stage 5D	Multidimensional frailty	46	62
	cause death or cardiovascular	346.03)	(hemodialysis)	score based on		
	hospitalization			comprehensive geriatric		
				assessment (CGA)		
				protocol		
	30-day postoperative (KT)	β=13.31, 95% CI	CKD stage 5T	Groningen Frailty	150	63
	complications according to	5.72-20.89 (p =		Indicator		
	Comprehensive Complication	0.0007)				

Index (CCI)

Bibliography

- 1. YadlaM, JohnJ, MummadiM. A study of clinical assessment of frailty in patients on maintenance hemodialysis supported by cashless government scheme. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant*. 2017. doi:10.4103/1319-2442.198102
- 2. JohansenKL, DalrympleLS, DelgadoC, et al. Factors Associated with Frailty and Its Trajectory among Patients on Hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;12(7):1100-1108. doi:10.2215/CJN.12131116
- 3. MansurHN. Fragilidade na doença renal crônica: prevalência e fatores associados. 2012.
- 4. JohansenKL, ChertowGM, JinC, KutnerNG. Significance of frailty among dialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007;18(11):2960-2967.
- 5. Wilhelm-LeenER, HallYN, MKT, ChertowGM. Frailty and chronic kidney disease: the Third National Health and Nutrition Evaluation Survey. *Am J Med*. 2009;122(7):664-71 e2. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2009.01.026
- 6. ShlipakMG, Stehman-BreenC, FriedLF, et al. The Presence of Frailty in Elderly Persons with Chronic Renal Insufficiency. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2004;43(5):861-867. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2003.12.049
- 7. RoshanravanB, KhatriM, Robinson-CohenC, et al. A prospective study of frailty in nephrology-referred patients with CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2012;60(6):912-921. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2012.05.017
- 8. ChiangJM, KaysenGA, SegalM, ChertowGM, DelgadoC, JohansenKL. Low testosterone is associated with frailty, muscle wasting and physical dysfunction among men receiving hemodialysis: a longitudinal analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2018. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfy252
- 9. McAdams-DemarcoMA, TanJ, SalterML, et al. Frailty and cognitive function in incident hemodialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;10(12):2181-2189. doi:10.2215/CJN.01960215
- 10. Bancul, GraterolF, BonalJ, et al. Frail Patient in Hemodialysis: A New Challenge in Nephrology-Incidence in Our Area, Barcelones Nord and Maresme. *J Aging Res.* 2017;2017;7624139. doi:10.1155/2017/7624139
- 11. KamijoY, KandaE, IshibashiY, YoshidaM. Sarcopenia and Frailty in PD: Impact on Mortality, Malnutrition, and Inflammation. *Perit Dial Int*. 2018;38(6):447-454. doi:10.3747/pdi.2017.00271
- 12. McAdams-DemarcoMA, LawA, TanJ, et al. Frailty, mycophenolate reduction, and graft loss in kidney transplant recipients.

- Transplantation. 2015;99(4):805-810. doi:10.1097/TP.000000000000444
- 13. Adame PerezSI, SeniorPA, FieldCJ, JindalK, MagerDR. Frailty, Health-Related Quality of Life, Cognition, Depression, Vitamin D and Health-Care Utilization in an Ambulatory Adult Population with Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Chronic Kidney Disease: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *Can J Diabetes*. June2018. doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2018.06.001
- 14. ChaoC-T, ChanD-C, HuangJ-W. Frail Phenotype Might Be Associated With Higher Appendicular but Not Truncal Fat Among End-Stage Renal Disease Patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2017;53(4):e1-e4. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.01.004
- 15. DemirciogluD. The Association of Vitamin D Levels and the Frailty Phenotype Among Non-geriatric Dialysis Patients: A Cross-sectional Study. *Clinics*. 2018. doi:10.6061/clinics/2018/e116
- 16. SzetoC-C, ChanGC-K, NgJK-C, et al. Depression and Physical Frailty Have Additive Effect on the Nutritional Status and Clinical Outcome of Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2018;43(3):914-923. doi:10.1159/000490470
- 17. ChaoC-T, LaiH-J, TsaiH-B, YangS-Y, HuangJ-W. Frail phenotype is associated with distinct quantitative electroencephalographic findings among end-stage renal disease patients: an observational study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2017;17(1):277. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0673-3
- 18. deSousa MeiraA, Aparecido BatistaM, dePina PereiraRM, Partezani RodriguesRA, Silva FhonJR, KusumotaL. Frailty in elderly patients with chronic kidney disease under conservative treatment. *Rev da Rede Enferm do Nord*. 2016;17(3):386-392. doi:10.15253/2175-6783.2016000300012
- 19. NgJK-C, KwanBC-H, ChowK-M, et al. Frailty in Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: Prevalence and Prognostic Significance. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2016;41(6):736-745. doi:10.1159/000450563
- 20. MeulendijksFG, HamakerME, BoereboomFTJ, KalfA, VögtlanderNPJ, vanMunsterBC. Groningen frailty indicator in older patients with end-stage renal disease. *Ren Fail*. 2015;37(9):1419-1424.
- 21. MargiottaE, CaldiroliL, VettorettiS, et al. SuO004GUT MICROBIOTA COMPOSITION AND FRAILTY IN ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2018;33(suppl 1):i618-i618. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfy104.SuO004
- 22. DeSouza OrlandiF, GesualdoGD. Assessment of the frailty level of elderly people with chronic kidney disease undergoing hemodialysis. *ACTA Paul Enferm*. 2014;27(1):29-34. doi:10.1590/1982-0194201400007
- 23. SalesC, TavaresR, AmadoL, et al. SP651ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION IN END STAGE RENAL DISEASE PATIENTS AND ITS

- ASSOCIATION WITH CLINICAL AND LABORATORIAL DATA. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2017;32(suppl_3):iii355-iii355. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfx154.SP651
- 24. HaugenCE, MountfordA, WarsameF, et al. Incidence, Risk Factors, and Sequelae of Post-kidney Transplant Delirium. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2018;29(6):1752-1759. doi:10.1681/ASN.2018010064
- 25. MoffattH, MoorhouseP, MalleryL, LandryD, TennankoreK. Using the Frailty Assessment for Care Planning Tool (FACT) to screen elderly chronic kidney disease patients for frailty: the nurse experience. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2018;13:843.
- 26. JohansenKL, PainterP, DelgadoC, DoyleJ. Characterization of physical activity and sitting time among patients on hemodialysis using a new physical activity instrument. *J Ren Nutr.* 2015;25(1):25-30. doi:10.1053/j.jrn.2014.06.012
- 27. NooriN, Sharma ParpiaA, LakhaniR, JanesS, GoldsteinMB. Frailty and the Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients: The Importance of Waist Circumference. *J Ren Nutr.* 2018;28(2):101-109. doi:10.1053/j.jrn.2017.07.007
- 28. Fabrício-WehbeSCC, SchiavetoFV, VendrusculoTRP, HaasVJ, DantasRAS, RodriguesRAP. Cross-cultural adaptation and validity of the "Edmonton Frail Scale - EFS" in a Brazilian elderly sample. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2009;17(6):1043-1049. doi:10.1590/S0104-11692009000600018
- 29. ChaoC-T, HuangJ-W. Frailty severity is significantly associated with electrocardiographic QRS duration in chronic dialysis patients. *PeerJ*. 2015;3:e1354. doi:10.7717/peerj.1354
- 30. ChaoC-T, ChiangC-K, HuangJ-W, HungK-Y. Self-reported frailty among end-stage renal disease patients: A potential predictor of dialysis access outcomes. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2017;22(4):333-334. doi:10.1111/nep.12961
- 31. ChuNM, GrossAL, ShafferAA, et al. Frailty and Changes in Cognitive Function after Kidney Transplantation. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2019;30(2):336-345. doi:10.1681/ASN.2018070726
- 32. KutnerNG, ZhangR, AllmanRM, BowlingCB. Correlates of ADL difficulty in a large hemodialysis cohort. *Hemodial Int*. 2014;18(1):70-77. doi:10.1111/hdi.12098
- 33. ChaoC-T, HuangJ-W, ChanD-C. Frail phenotype might herald bone health worsening among end-stage renal disease patients. *PeerJ*. 2017;5:e3542. doi:10.7717/peerj.3542
- 34. ChaoC-T, ChiangC-K, HuangJ-W, ChanD-C. Effect of Frail Phenotype on Bone Mass and Vertebral Compression Fracture in Individuals Undergoing Dialysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2016;64(9):e19-21. doi:10.1111/jgs.14296

- 35. YonekiK, KitagawaJ, HoshiK, et al. Association between frailty and bone loss in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. *J Bone Miner Metab*. January2018. doi:10.1007/s00774-017-0898-4
- 36. DelgadoC, DoyleJW, JohansenKL. Association of frailty with body composition among patients on hemodialysis. *J Ren Nutr*. 2013;23(5):356-362. doi:10.1053/j.jrn.2013.02.010
- 37. ChaoC-T, HsuY-H, ChangP-Y, et al. Simple self-report FRAIL scale might be more closely associated with dialysis complications than other frailty screening instruments in rural chronic dialysis patients. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2015;20(5):321-328. doi:10.1111/nep.12401
- 38. CamilleriS, ChongS, TangvoraphonkchaiK, YoowannakulS, DavenportA. Effect of Self-Reported Distress Thermometer Score on the Maximal Handgrip and Pinch Strength Measurements in Hemodialysis Patients. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2017;32(5):682-686. doi:10.1177/0884533617697936
- 39. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, YingH, Olorundarel, et al. Frailty and Health-Related Quality of Life in End Stage Renal Disease Patients of All Ages. *J frailty aging*. 2016;5(3):174-179.
- 40. LeeSJ, SonH, ShinSK. Influence of frailty on health-related quality of life in pre-dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease in Korea: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2015;13(1):70.
- 41. MansurHN, ColugnatiFA, GrincenkovFR, BastosMG. Frailty and quality of life: a cross-sectional study of Brazilian patients with pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease. *Heal Qual Life Outcomes*. 2014;12:27. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-12-27
- 42. IyasereOU, BrownEA, JohanssonL, et al. Quality of Life and Physical Function in Older Patients on Dialysis: A Comparison of Assisted Peritoneal Dialysis with Hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(3):423-430. doi:10.2215/CJN.01050115
- 43. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, OlorundareIO, YingH, et al. Frailty and Postkidney Transplant Health-Related Quality of Life. *Transplantation*. 2018;102(2):291-299. doi:10.1097/TP.000000000001943
- 44. KutnerNG, ZhangR, HuangY, WasseH. Falls among hemodialysis patients: potential opportunities for prevention? 2014. doi:10.1093/cki/sfu034
- 45. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, SureshS, LawA, et al. Frailty and falls among adult patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2013;14:224. doi:10.1186/1471-2369-14-224
- 46. BaoY, DalrympleL, ChertowGM, KaysenGA, JohansenKL. Frailty, dialysis initiation, and mortality in end-stage renal disease. Arch

- Intern Med. 2012;172(14):1071-1077. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2012.3020
- 47. DelgadoC, ShiehS, GrimesB, et al. Association of Self-Reported Frailty with Falls and Fractures among Patients New to Dialysis. *Am J Nephrol*. 2015;42(2):134-140. doi:10.1159/000439000
- 48. KonelJM, WarsameF, YingH, et al. Depressive symptoms, frailty, and adverse outcomes among kidney transplant recipients. *Clin Transplant*. 2018;32(10):e13391. doi:10.1111/ctr.13391
- 49. LeeS-Y, YangDH, HwangE, et al. The prevalence, association, and clinical outcomes of frailty in maintenance dialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr.* 2017;27(2):106-112.
- 50. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, LawA, SalterML, et al. Frailty as a novel predictor of mortality and hospitalization in individuals of all ages undergoing hemodialysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2013;61(6):896-901. doi:10.1111/jgs.12266
- 51. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, LawA, SalterML, et al. Frailty and Early Hospital Readmission After Kidney Transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2013;13(8):2091-2095. doi:10.1111/ajt.12300
- 52. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, KingEA, LuoX, et al. Frailty, Length of Stay, and Mortality in Kidney Transplant Recipients: A National Registry and Prospective Cohort Study. *Ann Surg*. 2017;266(6):1084-1090. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000000002025
- 53. ChuNM, DengA, YingH, et al. Dynamic Frailty Before Kidney Transplantation-Time of Measurement Matters. *Transplantation*. February2019. doi:10.1097/TP.000000000002563
- 54. McAdams-DeMarcoMA, LawA, KingE, et al. Frailty and mortality in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2015;15(1):149-154. doi:10.1111/ait.12992
- 55. AlfaadhelTA, SorokaSD, KiberdBA, LandryD, MoorhouseP, TennankoreKK. Frailty and mortality in dialysis: evaluation of a clinical frailty scale. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2015;10(5):832-840. doi:10.2215/CJN.07760814
- 56. AliH, AbdelazizT, AbdelaalF, BaharaniJ. Assessment of prevalence and clinical outcome of frailty in an elderly predialysis cohort using simple tools. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2018;29(1):63-70. doi:10.4103/1319-2442.225175
- 57. JohansenKL, DalrympleLS, GliddenD, et al. Association of Performance-Based and Self-Reported Function-Based Definitions of Frailty with Mortality among Patients Receiving Hemodialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(4):626-632. doi:10.2215/CJN.03710415
- 58. Pérez FernándezM, Martínez MiguelP, YingH, et al. Comorbidity, Frailty, and Waitlist Mortality among Kidney Transplant

- Candidates of All Ages. *Am J Nephrol*. 2019;49(2):103-110. doi:10.1159/000496061
- 59. FitzpatrickJ, SozioSM, JaarBG, et al. Frailty, body composition and the risk of mortality in incident hemodialysis patients: the Predictors of Arrhythmic and Cardiovascular Risk in End Stage Renal Disease study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2019;34(2):346-354. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfy124
- 60. RomeuM, CouchoudC, DelaroziereJ-C, et al. Survival of patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis on chronic dialysis: data from the French REIN registry from 2002 to 2011. *QJM*. 2014;107(7):545-555. doi:10.1093/qjmed/hcu043
- 61. DecourtA, GondouinB, DelaroziereJC, et al. Trends in Survival and Renal Recovery in Patients with Multiple Myeloma or Light-Chain Amyloidosis on Chronic Dialysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(3):431-441. doi:10.2215/CJN.06290615
- 62. LeeSW, LeeA, YuMY, et al. Is Frailty a Modifiable Risk Factor of Future Adverse Outcomes in Elderly Patients with Incident End-Stage Renal Disease? *J Korean Med Sci.* 2017;32(11):1800-1806. doi:10.3346/jkms.2017.32.11.1800
- 63. SchopmeyerL, ElMoumniM, Nieuwenhuijs-MoekeGJ, BergerSP, BakkerSJL, PolRA. Frailty has a significant influence on postoperative complications after kidney transplantation-a prospective study on short-term outcomes. *Transpl Int*. 2019;32(1):66-74. doi:10.1111/tri.13330