

Vineri 15 dec 18-21!

ex1: al, 200 MON bl, -1 Mov cup al, bl —— compares nothing bets the flags for future jumps why a subtraction? a 6 2 0 signed interpretation ; -56 < -1 => the jump will be performed ja et 2 unsigned interpretation , 200 > 255 =) the jump will NOT be performed jb et 3 ursigned ; 200 2 255 => the jump will be gerhoused ja of h signed =) NO

It you can only compare values part of the same admissable representation intorval

Signed word: [- 324683 + 32467]

ex 2: edx, edx dl, OFh white a following sequence which multiplies by 4 the value in EBX: EAX SHL EDX: EAX, 2" not a valid instruction I the multiplication will remain within the limits of the gword SHL EAX, 1 ; but most RCL EDX, 1 Ghe EAX, L ncl EDX, 1 not the same as SHL EAX, 2 RCL Ebx, 2 6 It Evory shift to left multiplies the original value by 2 actual start of lecture 1st recording is the written exam pattern SEGMENT data 01 02 03 04 ef a: a db 1, 2, 3, 4 egin la db ; FB 5 | db 6/c egu a-\$ OR. · - G = FA le db a-\$; 04 00 Y X dw X => Byntax proope because "obj format con 1x db xx only handle 16 or 32 bit

 ba ox, [x] mov ax, x ah, x1 Vew db lg-9; 04 db [\$-a] (syntax ever [lg-a] A seuls for prefixing mor ah, DS: [lg-a] = runtime ever memory violation eroser the you don't have memory at asseryou cannot use the value of an expression MUST always be determinable at asm time lg1 egu lg1 lg1=0 recursive macro echo alo L it is a NASM bug, it works egu g1-a lg1 = 0 egu lgl-e > Should be been a syntax lorser diffood segments a - start; syntax prozon b1 dd * here - somewhere dee stout -a; OK! dd somewhere else - hor works stort - stort L; OK! dd

```
segment code
      stort:
          mov ah, lg1; = 0
          mor ah, lg; Syntax erosor! Cannot put an offset on a byte
         mov ch, lg-a; = 04
         mov oh, [1g-a]; Do: Toh? memory violation ovoror
                             not syntax ovorer
         mov ex, $-a; syntax over
          * every segment has it's own $ => here - somewhere obse
         moi ch, a-$; syntax eroror because a FAR address doesn't
    * only if they are part of the same segment they are determinable
        at assembly time
         mov ex, a-$; OK!
          mov cx, $-start
          mov Cx, stout -$
      Q: Which one works and which one doesn 1+?
           A: They both work!
          mov ch, $-start } work because it's a scalar and fits on CH mov ch, start-$
               cx, a-stort works
                    storat - a syntax over
          mov ah, (a+b) NOT syntax everel
                a+b=(a-\$\$)+(b-\$\$)
Code segment
or data segment?
                     NOT pointer arithmetic!
          mor ax, [a+b] syntax over !!
```

back to code segment:
var 1 dd a+b syntax vroz!!

♥ Draw - ② ① Read about Ast Copiles - + 53 a at 7 ③ □

Conclusions:

Expressions of type et1 – et2 (where et1 and et2 are labels – either code or data) are syntactically accepted by NASM,

Either if both of them are defined in the same segment

Either if et1 belongs to a different segment from the one in which the expression appears and et2 is defined in this latter one. In such a case, the expression is accepted and the data type associated to the expression et1-et2 is POINTER and NOT SCALAR (numeric constant) as in the case of an expression composed of labels belonging to the same segment. (So SOMEWHERE ELSE – HERE OK I, but HERE – SOMEWHERE ELSE NO III)

Subtracting offsets specified relative to the same segment = SCALAR Subtracting pointers belonging to different segments = POINTER