## Week 13: Discrete scalar dynamical systems

May 27, 2024

#### An exercise

In the previous lecture we solved the following exercise Using the stair-step diagram, estimate the basin of attraction for each of the fixed points (if there is any which is an attractor) of the map

$$f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R},\quad f(x)=\frac{x^2+5}{2x}$$
.

We found that f has a unique fixed point,  $\eta^*=\sqrt{5}$ , which is an attractor. More precisely, we found  $f'(\sqrt{5})=0$ , which is the smallest constant  $\lambda$  that satisfy the condition  $|\lambda|<1$ . Moreover, using the cobweb diagram we developed the intuition that the basin of attraction of the fixed point  $\eta^*=\sqrt{5}$  is the whole interval  $(0,\infty)$  and that just few steps are needed to arrive very close to  $\sqrt{5}$ .

On the other hand, note that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Recall that a rational number (from  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) can be written as a fraction (of two natural numbers) and, after division, it has a finite number of decimals or repeating decimals.

Rational approximations of  $\sqrt{5}$  =2.23606 79774 99789 69640 91736 68731 27623 54406 18359 61152 57242 7089...

$$f: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = \frac{x^2 + 5}{2x}.$$
  
 $f(\sqrt{5}) = \sqrt{5}, \quad f'(\sqrt{5}) = 0.$ 

We compute now the iterations of f starting with  $x_0 = 2$ . We have

$$x_1 = f(2) = \frac{9}{4} = 2.25,$$
  
 $x_2 = f(9/4) = \frac{161}{72} = 2.236(1),$   
 $x_3 = f(161/72) = \frac{51841}{23184} = 2.23606797792....$ 

# The basin of attraction of $\sqrt{5}$ (the proof)

$$f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R},\quad f(x)=\frac{x^2+5}{2x}\quad f'(x)=\frac{x^2-5}{2x^2}$$
.

We prove that: The sequence  $x_k = f^k(\eta)$  converges to  $\sqrt{5}$  for any  $\eta > 0$ .

We have that f is decreasing on  $(0, \sqrt{5})$  and increasing on  $(\sqrt{5}, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = +\infty$ ,  $f(\sqrt{5}) = \sqrt{5}$ ,  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = +\infty$ .

Then  $f(x) \in (\sqrt{5}, \infty)$  for any  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . This assures that it is sufficient to study the restriction of f to  $(\sqrt{5}, \infty)$ . On the other hand it can be easily seen that f(x) < x for all  $x \in (\sqrt{5}, \infty)$ .

Fix  $\eta \in (\sqrt{5}, \infty)$ . Then the sequence  $(f^k(\eta))_{k\geq 0}$  is decreasing and belongs to the interval  $(\sqrt{5}, \eta)$ . Thus, it is convergent.

As we know, the only possible limit of a sequence of iterates is a fixed point of f. We reach the conclusion by recalling that  $\sqrt{5}$  is the only fixed point of f.

### The Newton-Raphson method

We consider now the map

$$g:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R},\quad g(x)=x^2-5.$$

Of course,  $g(\sqrt{5})=0$ , i.e.  $\sqrt{5}$  is a zero of g. Using the graph of g, we present a graphical method to find again a sequence that converges to  $\sqrt{5}$ .

Start with  $x_0 = \eta > 0$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  do the following.

Find  $x_{k+1}$  such that the point  $(x_{k+1}, 0)$  belongs to the tangent to the graph of g in the point  $(x_k, g(x_k))$ .

In order to find the formula generated by this method we write first the equation of the tangent

$$y - g(x_k) = g'(x_k)(x - x_k).$$

Since  $(x_{k+1}, 0)$  belongs to it, we have  $-g(x_k) = g'(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)$ , which gives

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{g(x_k)}{g'(x_k)}.$$

4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□ > 4□

## The Newton-Raphson method

The sequence  $(x_k)$  given by

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{g(x_k)}{g'(x_k)}$$
 (1)

is the sequence of iterates of the function  $f(x) = x - \frac{g(x)}{g'(x)}$ . Note that for  $g(x) = x^2 - 5$  we have  $f(x) = x - \frac{x^2 - 5}{2x} = \frac{x^2 + 5}{2x}$ . Since we already studied the dynamic of the map f we are convinced that the Newton-Raphson method is very efficient for the map  $g(x) = x^2 - 5$ . What about for other maps g? We have

### Theorem (The Newton-Raphson method)

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty open interval and the  $C^2$  map  $g: V \to \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $g'(x) \neq 0$  for any  $x \in V$  and there exists  $\eta^* \in V$  such that  $g(\eta^*) = 0$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that whenever  $|x_0 - \eta^*| < \rho$  we have  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = \eta^*$ , where  $(x_k)$  is defined by (1).

## Proof of the Newton-Raphson theorem

Using the remark written in the previous slide and the definition of the attracting fixed point, the conclusion is equivalent to the following statement

 $\eta^*$  is an attracting fixed point of

$$f: V \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) = x - \frac{g(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

In order to prove this, we just have to compute  $f(\eta^*)$  and  $f'(\eta^*)$ .

We have  $f(\eta^*) = \eta^* - \frac{g(\eta^*)}{g'(\eta^*)} = \eta^*$ . Thus,  $\eta^*$  is a fixed point of f.

Since  $f'(x) = 1 - \frac{g'(x)g'(x) - g(x)g''(x)}{[g'(x)]^2}$  for all  $x \in V$ , we have  $f'(\eta^*) = 1 - 1 = 0$  (a very good value, the best one again).

Since  $|f'(\eta^*)| < 1$  we deduce that  $\eta^*$  is an attractor for the map f.



### Newton fractal

## Theorem (The Newton-Raphson method for complex maps)

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open disk and the  $C^2$  map  $g: V \to \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $g'(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in V$  and there exists  $\eta^* \in V$  such that  $g(\eta^*) = 0$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that whenever  $|z_0 - \eta^*| < \rho$  we have  $\lim_{k \to \infty} z_k = \eta^*$ , where  $(z_k)$  is defined by  $z_{k+1} = z_k - \frac{g(z_k)}{g'(z_k)}$ .

We consider just an example,  $g(z)=z^3-1$ . We see that g has 3 zeros, the roots of order 3 of the unity:  $\eta_1^*=1$ ,  $\eta_2^*=-\frac{1}{2}-i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ ,  $\eta_3^*=-\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ .

Check the hypotheses of the theorem: We have  $g'(z)=3z^2$  which takes the value 0 just in 0. Thus there are disks  $V_1, V_2, V_3 \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\eta_1^* \in V_1, \ \eta_2^* \in V_2, \ \eta_3^* \in V_3$  and  $g'(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ .

The theorem assures the convergence of the Newton's method to one of the  $\eta^*$  at least if we start sufficiently close to  $\eta^*$ . Of course, the actual basin of attraction can be larger. So, let us denote the basin of attraction of the Newton's method corresponding to  $\eta^*$  by  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ .

# Newton fractal for $g(z) = z^3 - 1$




Figure: The basins of attraction  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ 

The boundary of each basin of attraction is a fractal.

# Construction of the figure - the algorithm

Let  $g: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Fix a very small constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

- Step 1. Compute g'(z) and  $f(z) = z \frac{g(z)}{g'(z)}$ .
- Step 2. Compute the roots of g(z).
- Step 3. Pick an initial point and calculate the distance between the point and each of the roots of g. If the distance is less then  $\varepsilon$ , color the point with the color chosen for the respective root.
- Step 4. If not, iterate f until the distance between the iterate and one of the roots of g is less then  $\varepsilon$ . Color the original point with the color chosen for the respective root.
- Step 5. Repeat for many points.

You can find information and other pictures on the internet.

For example, here:

https://www.chiark.greenend.org.uk/~sgtatham/newton/

This is a nice video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-RdOwhmqP5s&t=968s

Chaos in 
$$f(x) = 4x(1-x)$$

Start with  $x_0 = \eta \in [0,1]$  and let  $x_k = f^k(\eta)$  for all  $k \ge 1$ , i.e.  $x_{k+1} = 4x_k(1-x_k)$  for all  $k \ge 0$ .

A simple formula for  $x_k$ . We have

$$x_k = \sin^2(2^k \theta)$$

where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $x_0 = \sin^2 \theta$ .

Proof of the formula.

$$x_{k+1} = \sin^2(2 \cdot 2^k \theta) = 4\sin^2(2^k \theta)\cos^2(2^k \theta) = 4x_k(1 - x_k).$$

Chaos in 
$$f(x) = 4x(1-x)$$

For example, when  $x_0 = 0.67$  we take  $\theta = \arcsin(\sqrt{0.67})$ , thus

$$x_{40} = \sin^2\left(2^{40}\arcsin\left(\sqrt{0.67}\right)\right).$$

This is a representation of the exact value. As we have seen in the lab, this is computationally challenging! Let us look at the sequence  $(x_k)$  using the cobweb diagram here

https://www.geogebra.org/m/gHYqKMSJ

#### Main features of this dynamic:

- 1) There are *p*-cycles for any  $p \ge 1$ .
- 2) The butterfly effect.
- 3) A dense orbit.



# Cycles of any period

The fixed points: 0 and 0.75 (found by solving x = 4x(1-x)).

Recall that  $x_k = \sin^2(2^k \theta)$  and that  $\sin(x + \pi) = -\sin(x)$ .

First let us find a cycle of period 3, i.e. we look for a value  $x_0$  such that  $x_3=x_0$ ,  $x_2\neq x_0$  and  $x_1\neq x_0$ . In other words, we look for a value  $\theta$  such that  $0<\theta<2\theta<4\theta<8\theta=\theta+\pi$ . Then  $\theta=\frac{\pi}{7}$ . It is clear that  $x_k=\sin^2\left(2^k\frac{\pi}{7}\right)$  is a cycle of period 3.

There is an article by Li and Yorke published in 1975 called *Period three implies chaos*. One of the theorems proved in it assures that, for any map, if there exists a cycle of period 3, then there exists a cycle of any period. Anyway, for our particular example we can also prove this like we proved for period three.

Indeed, for an arbitrary  $p \geq 2$  take  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2^p - 1}$  (found such that  $2^p \theta = \theta + \pi$ ). Then  $x_k = \sin^2\left(2^k \frac{\pi}{2^p - 1}\right)$  is a cycle of period p.

# The butterfly effect

Given  $\eta \in [0,1]$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $K \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{\eta} \in [0,1]$  such that  $|\eta - \tilde{\eta}| < \delta$  and  $|f^K(\eta) - f^K(\tilde{\eta})| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\eta = \sin^2(\theta)$ . Recall that  $x_k = f^k(\eta) = \sin^2(2^k\theta)$ .

Take  $K \ge 1$  such that  $\frac{\pi}{2^K} < \delta$ .

Take  $\zeta \in [0.\pi]$  such that

$$|\sin^2(2^k\theta) - \sin^2(2^k\theta + \zeta)| \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (2)

Now take  $\tilde{\eta} = \sin^2(\theta + \frac{\zeta}{2^K})$ . Then, using that

$$|\sin^2(\theta_1) - \sin^2(\theta_2)| \le |\theta_1 - \theta_2|,$$

(which can be proved using the mean value theorem and  $(\sin^2\theta)' = \sin 2\theta$ ), we obtain  $|\eta - \tilde{\eta}| = |\sin^2(\theta) - \sin^2(\theta + \frac{\zeta}{2^K})| \le |\frac{\zeta}{2^K}| \le \frac{\pi}{2^K} < \delta$ .

Also, from (2) we have 
$$|f^K(\eta) - f^K(\tilde{\eta})| \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
.  $\square$ 

### A dense orbit

# There exists $\eta \in [0,1]$ such that $\{f^k(\eta) : k \ge 0\}$ is dense in [0,1].

This means that for each  $x \in [0,1]$  there exists a sub-sequence of  $(f^k(\eta))$  which converges to x. Equivalently, for each  $x \in [0,1]$  there exists  $K \ge 1$  such that  $f^K(\eta)$  is arbitrarily close to x. In other words, each  $x \in [0,1]$  is as close as we want to a term of the sequence  $(f^k(\eta))$ .

[1] R. L. Devaney, An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems, 2nd ed. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1989.

A map with the three properties

- 1) There are p-cycles for any  $p \ge 1$ .
- 2) The butterfly effect.
- 3) A dense orbit. is said to be chaotic (see [1]).

## The pendulum equation

A nice video:

 $https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p\_di4Zn4wz4\&t=916s$