ASC Lecture 5 → FAR and NEAR addresses + Pointer arithmetic

■ Notes | lecture notes 5

offset_spec = [base] + [index * scale] + [constant]

Even though the elements of this formula are optional, at least one has to be present:

- → [base] can be a register
 - · if only the base is present we call it base addressing
 - · can take any register: EAX, EBX, ECX, EDX, ESP, EBP
- → [index] can be a register
 - · if only the index is present we call it index addressing
 - can take any register except ESP
- \rightarrow [scale] $\in 1, 2, 4, 8$
- → [constant] an integer
- offset_spec = [base] + [index] ⇒ indirect addressing
- offset_spec = [constant] ⇒ direct addressing

FAR addresses and NEAR addresses

When dealing with **complete address** specification it is called the *FAR address* (both parts, not only the offset) \Rightarrow a *NEAR address* is **an incomplete** address specification, having only the offset.

A far address can be specified as follows:

- $s_3s_2s_1s_0: offset$ where $s_3s_2s_1s_0$ is constant
- ullet $segment_{register}: offset$ where the segment register is CS, DS, SS, ES, FS, GS
- FAR[variable], where the type is a *qword* and contains 6 bytes representing the *far address* (4 bytes for the *offset* + 2 bytes for *segment*)

! little endian representation only applies in memory

```
The general structure of an instruction looks like this: instr_name [destination], [source]
```

```
mul bx ← source, destination is implicitly dx:ax

inc esi ← destination

cbw ← has both operands implicit
```

```
mov ebx, 17
mov eax, ebx ; eax = 17
mov eax, [ebx] ; a register doesn't have an address
; this is an "into the memory" addressing mode, not an imediate one
```

```
; essentially goes into memory at 17 and wants to take out 'x' bytes; eax = 569AB231h

mov eax, ebx + 2; stupid syntax error
mov eax, [ebx + 2]; eax = 1C78569Ah

mov eax, [ebx + 2 * esp - 7]; synax error, esp cannot be an index
mov eax, [ebx - edx]; syntax error, there's no such a thing as "-register"

mov eax, [ebx + esp]
mov eax, [ebx * 2 - 7]
mov eax, [ebx * 3 - 7]; seems incorrect but it changes to [ebx + ebx * 2 - 7]
mov [ebx * 2 - 7], eax

mov eax, [a]; direct addressing BUT "a" is NOT a constant -> incorrect
```

In any programming language, any declared variable will have a *fixed* address, containing the *segment* and the *offset*, which is determinable only at assembly or compile time

Pointer arithmetic

Pointer arithmetic represents the set of arithmetic operations allowed to be performed with pointers, this meaning **using arithmetic expressions which have addresses as operands**. In addressing system operations with pointers are performed.

At the low level framework, working with addresses is vital; this is why every time we work with addresses they **must obey** the offset specification formula.

The contents of a variable are variable, but the address is constant.

When you allocate a variable, it's address is **fixed** and the *offset* is a constant determinable at any time. #TODO

Q: To what is little endian applied?

→ when you do:

```
a db 1, 2, 3, 4, 6
-> memory: 01 02 03 04 06, NOT 06 04 03 02 01 little endian
```

Little endian is applied to structures that are at least 2 bytes long (words, dwords, qwords)

- Q: Why did the designers choose little endian representation?
 - \rightarrow in a loop, for example, you want to take measures so that n can be as high as possible, BUT from a statistical point of view, most loops, even if n is a dword, the value doesn't exceed 100.
 - \rightarrow usually we work with small values \Rightarrow they wanted to offer the optimal solution and came to the conclusion that in most cases the most significant bytes are $0 \Rightarrow$ the most used byte is the last one
 - → therefore they chose to place it *first* so you have fast access to it
- Q: Why do we need the offset specification formula?
 - → They are used to compute the pointer that redirects you somewhere else
 - → you look at the data in memory and have to decide how to interpret it
 - ⇒ every program you write must obey this specification formula

- Q: Which are the arithmetic operations that are allowed with pointers in computer science?
 - → Any operation that makes sense: adding a constant to a pointer, subtracting a constant from a pointer, subtracting 2 pointers!!