

[Music]

Ladies and gentlemen, may I have your attention, please? The
show starts in 10 9

8 7 6

5 4 3

2 1 go. Here we go.

It's showtime. First Amendment shining bright. Hold
your ground. Fight the fight. Freedom speech makes us strong in this land
where we belong.

Yeah.

They told me sit down, but I pulled the chair. Told them I'm the wrong one to frame. I swear.
Back in 2017, they drew

first flood. All this fabricated mud. No

mayor involved. Just a target on me because I spoke too loud in the land of
the free. Looks from Mississippi. put some rope

run that [__] back up. Yo,

they told me sit down, but I pulled the chair. Told them I'm the wrong one to frame. I swear
back in 2017 they drew

first blood called stocking fabricated blood. No mayor involved just a target

on me cuz I spoke too loud in the land of the free rock from Mississippi. Deep

in that game twisting laws like ropes tying up my name sess on the moon

collection in the mix. All eyes on me when I'm speaking and clicks hook the

plea forced hand family to protect but they kept on pushing disrespect on deck

Jeff be smirking clerk door close about judges the whole scene froze joey

waiting outside like a plot simple written hand another twisted shot next

day scripts commitment by lies outcomes affidavit didn't even try Foul, no call,

no care, no proof, just got me locked. While they celebrated truth little New

York like it was nothing. Didn't blink once, just rubber stamp plow
had still in sight. North Mid State Hospital taking my rights. I walked out
different, but I ain't done. Hold out corn direct, but proof don't run. She
told me the sheriff asked her to foul. So I dropped a grievance that traveled a
mile. Sent it to Rogers to little to court within 24 hours. They struck with
force. Second affidavit. Same play more dirt. This time I'm snatched while my
wife still hurt. Just came from the ER. Baby's lungs too weak. They think me
down while my newborn speaks. Solitary box. Seven days in the pit in East
Vists. Same [__] I miss my son's birth for a false claim trick. Still they say
it's justice. That narrative sick. Rob Norman from the DOJ said he passed a
flame. Then ghosted my fight like it wasn't his name. The visited peace. City Hall walk
through say no. They claimed I turned knobs fabricated threats. NBI's money
said arrest him yet we use his weapons free speech on trial while my baby's in
Memphis breathing fragile judging
in soul just shuffle numbers whole thing stall five hour hearing no win just f l
pled again just to walk through that gate fast forward time I leave that land
Utah skies But they still got plans. Late in PD getting word from afar. Oxford smoke
like an breach every boundary line. Found my box
like a fed up spy. Riches fired off. Defamation mail. 139 names like a
network trail. Grammar requests blocked. Stonewall by Arington calling on March
28. Now the panic begins at Metro City Silent, but the files don't lie. They
knew my address. Nobody told them why. I showed up at the FBI, docks in hand,
Salt Lake City, tried to take a stand. They wanted ID just to take my word.
Said no, they got hot then made it absurd. Kicked me off the public lot.
Wrote down my tag all while I'm live. Let me truth on bag. Filed my lawsuit in
district court. Laid every fact like a final report. Named Cessum McClexion

from Joey too without corn little. The whole damn crew. First amendment warrior. No need to shout. Flexual violence. It's my blackout. You call it rebellion. Call it defense. You call it disorder, call it redempt. They label me a threat when I exercise rights. I spit the law back in their face by night. This ain't rap. This is testimony and flame. It's lyric emotion. It's bar and name. No more silence. No turning cheek. I'm the storm that your sister made sleep. Now I write history while they file appeals. I don't bleed ink sign with steel. So s So tell Ces McClechen and the rest of the chain. Your smear campaigns just sharpen my aim. This ain't over. This just start. Next verse I drop going to tear you apart. I'm the voice you tried to banish in fear, but I'm louder now and I'm still here.

All right. All right. Let's get this started. And yes, I'm going to leave that in. All right, guys. Tonight we're

actually doing a pre-recorded. I'm actually here with everybody knows Matthew Rearen. Um he did ask for this time. But before we begin, we're going to be giving out some hopefully I get it done memberships here tonight because here during this week I am going to be releasing a membersonly first video of the case Crystal Rogers. Now I know a lot of people been kind of asking about that. Was there any updates? There is an update that I'm going to be doing and it's going to be aired here. Members are going to get to check it out first and then one week later I will release it to everyone. So for the 10 lucky people who are about to receive those memberships, get ready, get your notifications on. We are going to start putting up some more videos. And I would remind you guys, I wish there was a way I could match. get a membership to We the People News.

I mean, I'm a member. Come on now. I can't be the only one. So, definitely go get uh Matthew some memberships as well.

And Matthew, why don't you go ahead and take the stage? Uh give us a little tip on what we're talking about tonight, and we'll throw up the document and let you read about it.

All right. Well, I've got the document loaded. Um, I guess this is the case of uh, well, it's here. Go ahead. Go ahead and throw it up for me. All right.

And add to the stage. There you go, guys. All right. So, this is a case of uh, and and this case was brought to my attention actually by a lady by the name of uh, uh, Rebecca Bumgardner. She's actually serving a 24-year sentence in the Mississippi Department of Corrections and she is 100% innocent.

This is a a retaliation. It was actually she runs Loun County Accountability and um speak to her every day on the phone. Um support network there for her because I know how how it is to be behind those walls. um particularly for something that was retaliation that that you know stem from people that had power that never needed to have power. This is this is what gives me my ammunition uh my my driving force. Okay. Um

she brought this case to my attention and you know we are in the fifth circuit. I I want to I want to point

that out up front. Okay. This is an uh this is out of the 11th circuit. Um, this case actually stems from Foresight County, Georgia. But the facts of this case are what makes it so uh it makes it stand out because it's it mirrors it virtually mirrors a lot of the things that have happened in my case. Um, one of the things that I

feel like over the last eight years, one thing that that I've definitely learned, I've I feel like I've mastered the art of public corruption as far as um identifying it, as far as um just just

kind of seeing the progressive pattern of everything. And
um it's I I joke about it with some people, but I mean I'm very serious about the
matter as well. Well, if there was a a degree that could be that could be gotten on this, I I
believe I would have
uh I would have a a master's degree and and probably a doctorate on on this
because the government, what they do is they when they find something that works, which
obviously you're going to
see right here in this in this case, um uh Bennett and actually what the case is
styled as is Bennett verse Hendrickx. Hendricks. Uh Dennis Hendricks is actually the was
the sheriff of
Foresight County. But when this case was filed, it was filed by a couple of
business owners that filed it against um 10
John Doe's. They didn't know who these individuals
um were in particular, but they knew that they were um victim of of
retaliation. and and what I'll actually recategorize as gangster.
It's what it is. Um so I want to give a background of this
case and then I want what I want to do is I want to tie the similarities together with mine.
And what you're
going to see is you're going to see a lot of similarities, but you're also going to see where
while this happened,
this case was brought back in 2005. the case that's going to be brought 20
years later and I would actually go to say um it's it's going to be pretty
close to 20 years to the day later, okay? Is
um you're going to see a lot of similarities, but you're going to see where what's happened
from 2017 to now
actually dwarfs these claims in comparison. But um but this is a very
good very very good case. Um and it's going to be relied upon
to and pushed heavily heavily heavily in the fifth

circuit. Um and it may not even be the fifth circuit

because honestly the claims that I've got honestly they don't have to be filed in the fifth circuit. they could be brought in different jurisdictions because of the way the conspiracy has actually um

unraveled with involving multiple states. Uh plaintiff Danny Bennett and Danny Reed filed a complaint alleging that Dennis Hendricks who was the former sheriff of Forsythe County, Georgia and Earl

Singleary, David Walters, deputies who served under Hendrickx violated their civil rights. The plaintiffs alleged

these officers carried out a campaign of police harassment and retaliation after plaintiffs supported a county referendum opposed by the sheriff. After the district court entered an order denying the officers qualified immunity, they brought this appeal. The circuit court of appeals found no

error and the district's court's order and affirmed denying qualified immunity.

These corrupt state actors got their asses handed to them over this. Here's

what the background is like. Um, in 1998, Foresight County, Georgia voters

considered a referendum that would have established a countywide police force that diminished the power of Foresight

County Sheriff's Department. Most of the department's powers would have been transferred to the county

police, and the sheriff would have been under the supervision of county

officials. Now, those that know, especially in Mississippi, the sheriff holds one of the most powerful positions

in the state. The sheriff actually under the constitution actually doesn't have to answer to anybody but the governor.

And more often than not, they don't even answer to the governor. Nobody tells a sheriff what, you know, basically tells

a sheriff what to do. It's it's just a it's a a money a power hungry position,

especially when you get the wrong type of person in there. So, of course, no sheriff's going to

want to be under the supervision of county officials. Sheriff Hendricks opposed the referendum. Um, it over uh

the plaintiffs are local business owners who supported the referendum along with other citizens. They formed a committee in support of the referendum and sponsored a debate on the matter. The referendum was defeated at the polls,

but the plaintiffs alleged that Hendrickx along with the other defendants, fellow sheriff's deputy off

uh department officers engaged in a campaign of retaliation and

intimidation against the plaintiffs because of their support of the referendum.

I'm I'm not sure that back then the term gangstalking actually really truly existed and certainly probably wasn't

applied to um this type of behavior and action with the government. But in today's day and age um this is what we would call gangstalking.

The plaintiffs allege that Hendrickk formed a strike force within the

department to carry out this process of intimidation. Among many other acts of intimidation,

they alleged that defendants took down license tag numbers of cars at a forum

in support of the referendum, surveiled the plaintiff's homes and businesses,

set up roadblocks near their homes, stopped their cars without reason, and

issued false traffic citations. assessed government databases to obtain

confidential information on the plaintiffs, attempted to obtain a warrant for their arrest on trumped up

environmental charges, and mailed flyers to 35,000

homes and foresight county calling the plaintiffs the real criminals,

members of a pain gang. and the same type of criminals that terrorize

for county. According to the plaintiffs, most of these events occurred shortly before the 2000 election and were designed to intimidate the plaintiffs from opposing Hendrick's re-election that year. The plaintiffs assert that the intimidation tactics were successful. Although the plaintiffs voted and made campaign contributions during the 2000 election cycle, they alleged the defendants' actions killed them from engaging in further political activities like they did in 1998. So, of course, the plaintiff sued under 42 US code 1983 in the year 2000, alleging violations of the first, fourth, and 14th amendments, as well as a conspiracy to violate their civil rights along with several state tort laws. The district court granted summary judgment to the defendants on most of these claims, but denied summary judgment on the plaintiff's claim of retaliation in violation of the first amendment, their 1983 conspiracy claim, and state law claims against Hendricks, Singleary, and Waters. The defendants moved for summary judgment uh based upon the defense of qualified immunity. The court held the defendants were not entitled to qualified immunity because they had violated the plaintiff's constitutional rights and those rights were clearly established at the time. Accordingly, the district court denied summary judgment. So, that's where I'm gonna leave that there on that one. Okay. Um, and let me let me stop you real quick. Um, there's something important that I think we need to actually do. Um, each instance that was actually just read, it does match up to yours. Can you uh describe what happened in this case and then describe what exactly is similar in your case? Yeah, absolutely. So, let me go back right here to where it started.

So, it all started based on um

well, it started based on a referendum. It started based on a vote for something um that the sheriff was opposed to that the plaintiffs were in favor of um that then led to this type of retaliation. So in my case, you know, you see where it started right here. Okay, in my case, we we visit back to 2017.

It's it's strikingly odd. Um, so everything with me started and and it honestly it it started a couple of weeks before even this because I was um which I is is kind of neither here nor there for this particular broadcast. There was a foyer request with the Marine Corp. But right after that, I was um the city of Oxford,

Mississippi had taken it on themselves to remove the state flag of Mississippi from city property. Uh the the state flag had the Confederate battle flag emblem in the um in the in the corner of it that public officials and there were there were some citizens that that um were obviously against the uh against the imagery. But

where this drew the line for me, it was never about symbols or flags or anything. I saw this as a totally different issue. saw it as an intrusion, an encroachment on democracy because when they took this to a referendum vote, the overwhelming majority on the last

vote, I think it was like 71% voted in favor of the state flag that was flying. Now, you can feel how you want to feel on that matter, okay? on on the flag itself. But what was so important to me was that a vote was being circumvented and the dangers of if city officials are allowed to bypass the vote of the people on this

then what else are they going to bypass the will of the people on? You know, we live in a society where, you know, things of importance are voted on. And

so, you know, that strikes right at the heart of democracy to take that out of the hands of the voters that they had already voted and to make that controversial decision to basically take something down like that. And where it really just rubbed me raw was where you know these cities like Oxford that did this took the flag down but they were still taking state funded tax dollars from the state of Mississippi which means that these tax dollars are flowing by flowing from the taxpaying citizens. The taxpaying citizens are the ones that voted. And so how do you ignore the vote of the people but then take their tax dollars from the state while holding the middle finger up and saying screw your vote, your vote doesn't matter. And so that's where, you know, this was this was that crucial of a thing to me um that I took a hard stand for it. I was arrested on the square in Oxford May 1st holding the state flag of Mississippi on a public sidewalk. It was an event that that actually went viral on the internet. Um the deputy got uh he wasn't wearing his badge and and uh um he arrested me because I asked him what his badge number was and that video just took off. Okay. Well, I took to city hall to redress my grievances on the next city hall board of alderman meetings because one of the board of alderman members, Robin Tanahill, who is now the mayor of Oxford, she was the one that authored the referendum to remove the state flag from city property. And so I wanted to redress the city about their controversial ordinance uh decision to do that. And it just so happened that um Robin Tanahill was running for mayor of Oxford. Uh she was running for mayor unopposed and I was not allowed to speak at that at that next meeting because I I was not

on the docket to speak. So, I was not re formally recognized, although I spoke out anyways. So, then I I I did put my

name on the docket for the following city hall city council meeting, which just so happened to be June the 6th, 2017.

This is a very important key day because June the 6, 2017 just so happened to be election day for Robin Tanahill where she was elected mayor. her and her husband Rey, who is a high-profile attorney in Oxford, who has then become a judge, you know, in in later years, and then also is the president, chairs the uh Mississippi Bar complaint tribunal, you know, so over all basically all the attorneys in the state. Um, they took a restraining order out on me May 22nd, 2017. This was approximately two weeks before the um June 6th hearing or the June 6th um election day. And they used this restraining order that was filled with nothing but lies, constitutionally protected activity. There was uh I can

100% allege now u with confidence that this restraining order was fraud committed on the court. by a somebody that was running for mayor and her husband, which was a attorney who knew better because he was in the the um law field.

Uh they used their influence to push this thing through to use it as a prior restraint to keep me from speaking

on June 6th, 2017. had a judge, Judge Alderson, that actually um said that if I showed up on June 6th to speak, even though I'm on the docket, okay, at the city minutes, that I would be um immediately arrested on site for that. they

I was hit with this um felony stalking charge which made absolutely zero sense 4 days after getting this restraining order on May 26th. What I what I feel like happened right there um with all of that I think a couple of things. Okay. The rest or the

the felony charge May 26 alleged that on or before May 8th, 2017, I put these bar owners in fear of their life with an AR-15, you know, the specific AR-15 that I purchased on May 20th, had a bill of sale um proving that they and they specifically alleged in the affidavit the same serial number, you know, like like it's it's the only AR-15 I've ever had. I never threatened anybody's life. And I think what happened here um is I think that they knew that their restraining order would would not stand up to um constitutional scrutiny. I think they they uh had a good idea that that it would get dissolved um through any push back on it. They wanted to have their um they wanted to have a a alterior a alternative route to go to make sure that my voice was completely silenced that I couldn't show up. So this this charge came about but it also came about served served multiple purposes. You know the arrest on the square May 1st they needed to Lefay County needed to find a way out of that problem. they wanted to get their um senior sheriff's deputy out of the bind that he created for himself. And so ultimately they used this charge to get me to um through lies and coercion by by my attorney Brennan Heran. Um I fled out, as I like to say, I sold my soul to the devil. Um, and what did I get out of the whole situation? Absolutely nothing. Um, I was it indemnified the county and the and the wrongdoers for the May 1st arrest on the square as well as this May 26th felony charge they put against me. They banished me from the county that I was living in and raising my daughter in for five years. Um, they took my guns, my way of defending

myself when I had an active death threat that was actually
um active. Uh that was the whole reason why I got
the AR-15 in the first place is to adequately defend myself and my family because you
can't count on the police. They if they show up, they show up too late.
And so all of this, okay, there's a there's a shocking similarity right
there. And I had to kind of I you know I know that's that that was kind of drawn out right
there but I I felt the need to
actually adequately explain that right there to actually know how deep and
indepth this goes that um it all goes back to a prior restraint
and a silencing of a voice keeping a keeping an outspoken
opponent and somebody that was going to cast a scent away from a public hearing, from a
public body on an election day. Um, that's I mean that's it's
I mean it's it's right along the same lines as is what happened here. I mean except obviously
I think this goes a lot
further, a lot deeper. The next thing on here was that All right. Uh,
hold on a minute. Um, as you're talking about both cases,
yours and this previous one, I actually happen to remember something that quite
similar happened and it kind of refreshed it in my memory. Um, a lot of people may not
realize this, but in 2020
when we were electing uh Joe Biden as president here in Mississippi, we were also voting
on whether or not we would legalize marijuana medically, of course. And it
actually was voted by the people that yes, we wanted it legalized. And it
literally took them two years to actually listen to the people and put
it through. There was um members of Congress. Um the governor himself
literally sat there and tried to block what the people were trying to say. Yes,
legalized. And it kind of sounds quite similar to the case that we're talking
about and of course yours with the uh state flag. It's like they don't want to

listen to the people. They want to do what they want to because of their best interest. They're not looking out for us. Yeah, you're exactly right. And you know, I'll give you another one. Um that's a that's a very good example of this.

So after the controversy continued to build about the cities and the universities that were taking it on themselves to remove the state flag of Mississippi from city property,

the state of Mississippi, well they of all the people to put on a flag committee, of course they put Robin Tanahill, the the same one that authored the referendum to remove the state flag

in the first place. Um, they decided that they were going to hold a new vote for a new flag in Mississippi or hold a vote for a flag.

But guess what? They conveniently left off of the ballot when they did this.

The previous state flag, the one that's under controversy. Okay. So, so instead of actually giving the people that fair chance to actually vote and let their voices be heard, the state of Mississippi decided that they were too scared of the people of giving the

people that right to actually vote because I feel like honestly they felt like the vote would probably go the same way again. And so what do the the um state officials do is they they hold a vote with different

state flags to to choose from, but they left off the state flag that they were trying to change from. And you know it was

I just I think it's a it was it was just a very like I said my my fight has never been about about symbols you know it's it's purely the vote you know circumventing the voters and if it's that easy to

um to remove something that maybe out of taste doesn't sit well with Um, think of where that can lead when you're you're ultimately putting feelings over rights, feelings over democracy. And not just that, but the feelings of

the government. They're not wanting to have any type of actual real fair vote here. They're wanting to basically artificially um set the stage for it.

Well, why don't we go on to this next part because I if I remember correctly, there's something that's coming up that

would definitely be very very interesting um to point out. So, why don't we go ahead and continue? So, um,

so I mean, you know, well, and and this is all kind of combined right here. So,

you know, it says that among, uh, there there were acts of intimidation. They alleged the defendants took down license

tag numbers, cars at a forum um, and supported the referendum. Of course, that happened to me, but that happened,

you know, primarily later on, although I'm I'm I'm sure that there was um surveillance at the early stage as well. They

uh so surveiled the planet's homes and businesses, set up roadblocks near their homes, stopped their cars without reason, issued false traffic citations.

Okay. um access government databases to obtain confidential information on the plaintiffs attempted to obtain a warrant

for their arrest on trumped up environmental charges. So right there we can stop and we can we can go ahead and

draw the similarity to the um to

obviously I was I was set to speak out at city hall. Okay. I the county really

screwed the pooch on what it did to me May 1st. So they didn't just attempt to obtain a warrant for my arrest on trumped up

fabricated charge. They did. They actually did. And then any any real

evidence that would support all that they cleverly discarded and got rid of.

There was a according to a police report uh from the sheriff's department,

Sheriff's Deputy Jared Bunder

received a call from a mystery caller that he would not name and a report on

May 24th saying that I was threatening these bar

owners, Todd and Ashley Wench, on on Facebook and that he looked at my Facebook and

saw threats, but there were never any threats. I've never issued any any any threats on anybody. Um,

but what's really really odd about this situation

is that police report that says that about May 24th

was actually written up on May 30th, 6 days later.

And actually, so so on May 30th, he wrote up the report saying that he received a call on

May 24th. How convenient. Why wasn't a report written up that day? I was

arrested on this um sham felony charge May 26, 2017.

I had my bond hearing, my initial bond hearing. On May 30th,

the judge met with sheriff's deputies behind closed doors before

my initial bond hearing. And then now you see this police report

by Jared Bundon written up on May 30th, the same day

as that initial bond hearing saying that he received a call but

wouldn't name the caller on May 24th. It sounds like they just rushed and made

the arrest on May 26th, deprived me of due process and kept me,

you know, locked up for 4 days before they held a initial bond hearing. At that point, they're like, "Oh [__] we

got to um make sure that we have our ducks in a row." And at that point, they

started drawing up paperwork, which is where Jared Bundon drew up that police report, uh the the um incident report.

Uh but no officer I mean

police people in law enforcement are not the brightest tool in the shed period on

anything. Okay. And you're if they don't if they don't write it

down and document it down right then it's kind of like a dog. It immediately leaves their their brain. They they forget facts. they, you know, it's it's like they open their mouth and and nothing but lies come out. So, it's very important for a law enforcement officer to document things down right away or else they don't get correctly documented down. So, why this dumbass waited, you know, till the 30th to actually make this report, I don't know. But it was um you know the the things that that transpired in this paragraph right here have virtually all happened to me. It's just been in different order that it's all happened to me. I've you know we can we can just you know just to name the events. Okay. Um defendants took down license tag numbers of cars at a forum in support of the referendum. Okay. Uh that's happened to me multiple occasions. that's happened to me when I filed a lawsuit um up in Salt Lake City, Utah, because they ran illegal interstate surveillance on me. Um, Hilden Cessum, a captain with the Oxford Police Department, 1,700 miles away, obtained my address, and then sent it out with uh misleading information to Lake Utah Police Department, which then that was uh disseminated out, doxed out to 139 government employees and law enforcement officers. Um, I filed the lawsuit and I took the I took a sworn declaration up to the FBI office because I wanted criminal charges put against Hilden Cess. This was before I actually knew that the FBI was behind uh getting this illegal or or or getting my address basically was their they were the go-to for for this road captain to obtain my information, to obtain my address. Uh they were the direct fee. And so they um refused to accept the declaration. They wanted to run an a background check

on me just to speak to an agent, which I declined on fourth amendment grounds. I don't believe that a victim of a crime should be subjected to additional scrutinizations. I think that that actually serves as a um a chilling effect. If a victim of a crime knows that they have to go under a um uh a rigorous background investigation to speak to law enforcement uh federal law enforcement. I think that's a deterrent that's going to make people not want to do it. Of course. Um so I started reporting on the story in that parking lot. Two FBI agents came out. They threatened me with arrest if I didn't leave for trespassing on a public lot. Uh and they wrote down my tag. Um you know, make the surveillance worse. Had the same thing happen at the Memphis airport. I was trespassed from the Memphis airport. Uh these officers were wrong for doing what they did. I was a journalist lawfully um conducting investigative journalism activity and I was trespassed from the airport and they wrote down my tag right there um and disseminated I know they they uh sent it somewhere but uh same thing happened at the governor's mansion when I was violently attacked by this uh bulldog state trooper Mississippi state trooper who they have refused to denounce. They've kept his name silent, covered up all this time since July 3rd of this year. Um, once again, my tag got jotted down on that ordeal. Uh, all right. Well, let me ask you this. You mentioned uh Cesum, I think was his name. Didn't he uh attend something like an FBI academy? I remember you saying something about him. Um, what was this? Was it an actual academy that he went to? All right. So, um, this is where it gets it gets really Tracy. Okay. So, the FBI National Academy is actually out of Quantico, Virginia. Um, this is where I won't say every law enforcement

officer. This is where FBI agents go and get trained. But this is also where some, you know, certain law enforcement

officers with different agencies, you know, go to to get extra training, you

know, by by completing this academy. And Hilden Cessum was bragging about it in

his email that he was a graduate of the FBI National Academy. The FBI National

Academy is actually it's in Quantico, Virginia, and it's actually uh that's

that's the same place where the Marine Corps uh headquarters is. And actually, I

didn't know this until I actually visited it more recently, but you can't get to the FBI National

Academy because the FBI National Academy actually sits

in the middle of the Marine Corps

headquarters base in Quanico. So unless you have military clearance or unless

you have a a direct invite or or whatever from the FBI National Academy,

have an escort, you're not getting in there because you're not getting past the the military gate. And

uh you know what's what's so damn crazy about this whole um just just set of

events is that back in 2017,

I was I did a foyer request into headquarters Marine Corps Quanico

seeking records to prove that the Marine Corps had covered up a

significant event that happened. It It was a It was a suicide that I actually witnessed

in combat training back in 2008. Um they it was it was a complete cover

up by high ranking officials that labeled this as a training accident. it.

I self-medicated for alcohol or with alcohol for the for the PTSD for the trauma that I had witnessed and I was

discharged from the Marine Corps May of 2011 for alcohol rehabilitation failure.

I there was a lot of um it it affected me in so many different

ways because it was about a year prior to my actual discharge date and I was discharged for a general under other

than honorable conditions. Lots of trolls will say that it was a dishonorable discharge is absolutely

false. It was a um alcohol rehabilitation failure under a general

other than honorable um conditions. And I I wanted to prove

that this suicide happened because officially on the record the suicide didn't exist. Didn't happen

because it wasn't labeled as a suicide. And so my claims of of you know what

happened were basically brushed off. And I needed to prove that it actually happened so that I could actually, you

know, these these um false claims, these these uh

there just a lot of evil people out there saying a lot of evil things. Um I wanted to dispel it. And so I requested

the standard operating procedures in April of 2017 because I knew after this

suicide happened that I witnessed, they changed the standard operating procedures in the Marine Corps to

disallow live ammunition on Armory watch

for students. And so I sought any changes in the SOP, the standard operating procedure. over a

set of months. Okay. So, I it wasn't exactly I didn't want to I didn't want to tip them off right then. And sure

enough, well, before before I get to the results coming in, I had to send a final

demand letter on May 25th, 2017. I have the email. I had an attorney, Charles

Yao, that was actually sitting right next to me. And I sent a final demand

letter to the Freedom of Information Act officer for headquarters Marine Corps

saying that if they didn't turn over the records within 24 hours that I was going to sue the Marine Corps to get these

records. Um, hours later, the next day, they uh uh

the morning of the 26th, got a emailed response back that they were approving the release of these records, full

release. Hours after that was when I got ambush arrested for this felony stalking charge. Um, I think it's also very key to note that I met with the FBI that day, the day before May 25th, same day that I sent that final demand letter. I met with the FBI, two agents, about the restraining order that was taken out on me by the Tanahills. I met with them about um the reported death threat that was uh made to me, and I informed them that I had purchased an AR-15 for self-defense. being a Marine Corps veteran. Um, and the 302 investigative report from sitting down with those agents, which would have proven that I couldn't possibly have committed this crime that Lefayet County was charging me with. at 302 investigative report just grew two legs and just walked off. It disappeared. It vanished.

Well, let me ask you this. Um, I'm sure people may not know, but what exactly is a 302? So, a 302 investigative report. Um, and and I've I've been told that there are other ways that they can classify reports, but generally when there's um some type of investigation, okay, there's uh when when somebody has to meet with the FBI, they they log that into a report which is generally called a 302. There has to be two FBI officer or two FBI agents present. One of them asking questions, the other one documenting the one asking questions and the response of the person that they're interviewing. And there were in fact two people in the conference room, two agents. Um they were doing just that.

And so I sought, you know, just proof of the the whole, you know, sitting down with them. Of course, I had to walk through a metal detector and make sure that I had no recorders. You know, this is what the government loves to do. They

love to tell you, you can't come in with recorders. You can't you can't document this. Okay?
And then look what happens

when you can't make your own record. They tried to tell me, you know, ask me,

"Well, who are the officers?" You know, and this is years later when when I'm trying to get these records, okay, after

everything that happened. Uh well well who was who are the people

that you sat down with? I don't [__] know. I mean like uh

you know this is this is one of the most this was by far the craziest set of events that had ever taken place in my

life. And I knew I sat down with two FBI agents. Did I did I remember their name

or everything about you know their looks? Hell no.

um they couldn't find 302. You know, there's a lot of things that have happened since then, like the the

complicity, and I think it's actually grown past complicity and actually gone to culpability shown by the FBI over

everything that's happened. How they've passed this surveillance over to Oxford

to Hilden Cessums. How they threatened me with arrest for being on their parking lot reporting the story that

they wouldn't take a a sworn affidavit. how they've literally stood down on

everything and allowed this public corruption to go on. But when you look at the totality of everything and know

that the FBI National Academy is in the not only in the same city as

headquarters Marine Corps, who I threatened with legal action to sue the

Marine Corps to get these records which would ultimately

uncover the cover up. It's it all to me it all becomes you

know clear as to the why

you know I I believe that and and I don't think it takes a rocket scientist to to come

to this conclusion that you know they it was there's there's clearly a a I rub

your back and you rub mine because we're both you know federal agencies and and you're on our same base in the same city. There's no doubt in my mind that there's um that there's favors traded.

It happens all the time across the country with government agencies. Of course, it's going to happen right here

with uh the FBI and the Marine Corps. And then I think, you know, over time

with everything that's happened that they

realize and believe that to help me once to actually

uh do the right thing one time means that they were guilty

all times, you know, and and they just don't wanna they can't come to grips

on that. And it's been a complete smear campaign to silence my voice. That's why

I've deemed this whole thing operation silence the press. Because when you look at everything, especially the more

recent occurrences, that's what this is. It's operation silence the press. And it's going to be a lot more than operation silence the press if they get away with this. It's going to be operation silence the people

next. Okay? cuz cuz you got a Marine Corps veteran and journalists. They didn't

give two shits about doing it to me. Guess what? They're going to do it to everybody else.

Uh, mark my words. It's

it's you can't let it happen to one person and not expect it to happen to anybody. That's just the way these

things work. When precedence is created, you can't there's there's no,

you know, back the blue until it happens to you. Guess what? People that are backing the government and saying, "Ah,"

laughing about it, um, do you really think that you're that that they're not going to turn their

back on you at some point? I mean, you're talking about power here. And when you put that kind of power in the

hands and allow them to get away with it and don't immediately check it,

you're inviting that cancer in to grow.

And once it grows, there's no eradicating. You have to

eradicate it as it happens. You have to expose it and you have to eradicate it

as it happens because once it gets to the point where it becomes accepted and it's it's it's, you know, happening as a

um uh just a regular thing,

it's going to be too late to do anything about it. You know these these are

these are the real criminals. These are the members of a chain gang. Okay. These

are the same type of criminals that terrorize. Okay. So, so taking the same

words right here as this sheriff from Foresight County called the plaintiffs

is what these people are doing. They're gaslighting.

Doesn't matter how many different camera angles you have of highdefinition 4K

footage, they'll still try to tell you that you were doing something that you weren't doing.

Which is exactly why

everybody always needs to record the government anytime they have any type of interaction with the government. You

can't trust them. You you you can't you just you can't. Stories like this right

here are are all the reason you need make sure that you record each and every

conversation that you have with police or with the government. But you know it's it's turned into what I call

gangstalking. You know I know that people have heard the term and it's a

it's a coordinated effort, a conspiracy. It's a um they're working

inner agents or you know they're they're intertwining agencies.

I'll tell you another scary thing that I'm seeing our government is one of the ways that

that our government has always operated. Um that's hard to say smoothly because I

mean what's smooth about it when when you look at all this but you know three branches of government the separation of

powers. You have the legislative branch which would be the state which would be the senators and uh in congress. You have the executive branch which is going to be your mayor, your governor, your police force. Okay. And then you have the judicial branch which is the the courts. And they're supposed to operate independently of each other so that if one gets out of out of line that one of the other two branches can bring it in fit. But when you have two out of the three that are working in tandem or even as I've seen time and time again all three blended together then you have no check and balance on the system. You have no, you know, it's it's and it's it's a damn hard job to do to be a check and balance as a citizen. But ultimately, that's what I'm doing day in and day out with the auditing and the investigations is I'm checking them to let them know that that, you know, there are checks and balances. There there is accountability. There is transparency that must be had and if we can't get it through um through a separation of powers and through through accountability being had then we've got to take to more um rogue measures to do that.

All right. So, um, so far, let's do a little recap. Um, the case that we're reviewing, you have a sheriff who's attacked people for standing against him and what he wants. And in your case, as a similarity, it's the Tanah Hills and Joey which are trying to stop you from voting or getting a vote together on the state flag. I know there was statues also involved which I think we might have missed. Um well they found something they found something that worked when they hit me with the stalking charge and and deprived due process.

Yeah, they found something that worked that they could then repeat. And then we've got the writing down the

tags. We all know that that's happened multiple times to you. We've seen it on the video. Even the uh July 3rd event,

they wrote your tag down. We seen that. It wasn't right. They had no reason to do it other than doing a legal investigation on you.

But um one of the one of the things that we didn't match up, and this is a case that you know I know very well because

I've actually sat there and lived through it with you.

And all I had to do is read the records. The illegal arrests that they were doing on this particular case that we're looking at. Your Galveston case also matches up to it because you were sentenced to 11 months in jail waiting for trial being held a year and a half. Yeah.

Well, we're getting into that, but it was 11 months in Galveston. You got transferred to Mississippi, had your

probation violated illegally. I will say robbed of a robbed of a trial in

Galveston. So, two weeks prior to going to trial to actually clear my name, extradited to Mississippi. So, robbed of

a trial. Um, used the officer's lies to put me in prison, which were proven

lies. Uh, said that there was alcohol in my system and there was zero. toxicology

report shows zero alcohol. Um but it didn't matter. That's they

were just looking for the moment. They wanted to um

to silence my voice. This is operation silence my uh uh silence the press.

They it was it was um reaching across state lines. It was it was all favor driven. It was quid proquo. It was, you

know, two sheriff's deputies, one in particular, Candace Beavers, that I exposed for lying on stand in courts.

I mean, it's it's unmistakable. Video got traction.

And for those people who are actually in the audience, if you're not understanding what's going on with

Candace Beaver, she is actually the person who got up on the stand when Matthew actually called her and he has

recording. I've heard it. I've seen the transcript. He literally proved on

YouTube that she gave him permission to enter the county to go to the FBI building to

have a sit down with them. And in court, she perjured herself

by stating that Matthew didn't have any permission. Now, I do know that uh

during Matthew's trial, Matthew said, "I've got recording that can actually

prove that she is lying. I need to be released to get that

information. Bring it here." And Matthew, can you remind me of who that

judge was? Yeah, it was uh it was Dre.

And and wasn't he the same one that violated your probation the second time with the

Yson um arrest? Yep. Yep. And and you know, hey, look,

here's a here's another thing that's look look who's right in the middle. Okay. Once again, we have the FBI. Okay.

And I was reporting law enforcement corruption with two different agencies. the Lefayet County

Sheriff's Department and the Holly Springs Police Department, the FBI agent. You know, I I clearly worked

everything out on the phone about coming up there, bringing the evidence, and then um told everything correctly

verbatim to to Candace Beavers. So, where the hell was is the FBI even

today? Why have they still stayed silent instead of doing the right thing and

saying, "Yeah, I mean, we our agent did talk to that guy. Um,

that sheriff's deputy lied on stand in court and put him in prison for a year.

That was wrong. Uh why why have they it's not okay to me that that they

are allowed to stay silent while an innocent man goes to prison

for reporting law enforcement corruption or attempting to report law enforcement corruption

to their agency. And once again, this ties back into the bigger picture about the complicity and the culpability in regards to the FBI and why they're um they they just they cannot. It's it's literally impossible for the FBI to do the right thing. They they just can't. They're they're wired to not to do the opposite because otherwise they would have done the right thing and and come forward by now. Instead, they've allowed the problem to compound and get worse and worse and worse. And because of their intentional inaction, Candace Beavers struck again. And because I exposed her lies, because the FBI wouldn't do the proper thing and come forward, they chose to stay silent. Because I had to expose her lies like anybody would do after they just served a year in prison for a deputy lion. She attacks again and calls in a favor to Galveastston and they just so happened to have I guess the world's dumbest cop to take the bait, William Ostein. And um it was just steadily passing favors back and forth to ensure as little liability as possible and as much damage inflicted as possible to me.

Um, I mean it's like

where as as this stream is titled a miscarriage of justice's worst nightmare. Can you imagine a worst nightmare? Then I mean what do you do when you go to the, you know, the proper authorities and stop something? It's a cry for help. It goes ignored and it's like they're watching in the stands and laughing and cheering it on while you get attacked over and over and over and a defenseless position.

Um and then we see

the latest stuff, you know, the um

with the US Marshall Service, the foyer that I just got back that um the US Department of Justice and the and the Marshall Service have circulated bolos around the country with my name, my picture because I go u saying that basically I'm a threat um because I'm a journalist that documents uh my interaction with the government and stress test is the first amendment inside of government buildings and in particular courouses something that's 100% yeah one would go ahead and uh take a short break for the audience. It'll be like 3 seconds, but I definitely want to go

outside, smoke a cigarette. So, why don't we pause the recording and have a little break and then we'll come back.

Yeah, we've been on here for an hour and 10. This is a good s Yeah, this I think this is going to be a powerful powerful show.

All the facts and it needs to it needs to hammer homes. But yeah,

so guys, we're gonna go ahead and take a break. And uh for you guys, it'll be just a few seconds. I will throw up

something to let you know, hey, we're taking a little intermediate. I'm going to go smoke a cigarette, get me another

soda because I am completely out. I need to get me something to drink cuz I'm thirsty. So, let me go ahead.

I just want to say I just want to say this right here to the FBI and the DOJ since I got on the subject of talking

about them. Um, since they don't want to do anything and since they just want to keep attacking me.

Look, look at that. All right, so give us a moment, guys.

All right, guys. We are back. We've taken a little break. Got a little smoke, used the bathroom. Both of us

have got some drinks. And now we're going to let Matthew continue. um he

does have something that he wants to read. Uh I'm not sure if we're done reviewing what this case is, but I'm

going to go ahead and return the floor back to him and let him continue.

So, I want to I want to wrap everything, you know, up basically that we that we talked to. First of all, do you have a

clear signal on my audio? I just uh I just hit the noise cancelling on my mic. Um, does it sound better like this or

should I take the noise cancelling off of it? Oh, I can still hear the crickets in the background, but it's not as bad. It's like every once in a while you'll hear like a cricket. You're still good.

Awesome. Awesome. All right. So, um I want to this is going to just basically tie everything together and put a nice, you know, bow on it in a nice, um, you know, easy to understand way since this is about tying this case into what's happened um, methodically over the last eight

years. This is the the core holding of everything. um

and Bennett versz Hendris. Sheriff's deputies in Georgia retaliated against political opponents by running surveillance, pulling cars over, making traffic stops, and intimidating them in various ways.

The 11th Circuit held that government retaliation against private citizens for protecting First Amendment activity supporting a political candidate is unconstitutional even if no direct criminal charges are filed. The standard retaliation violates the Constitution if the government's actions would chill a person of ordinary firmness from continuing to exercise protected rights.

I don't think uh it takes a rocket scientist on here, but just hearing the nightmare of things that have happened and all the losses, I'm I'm pretty safe to say that

at least a overwhelming majority, if not all listening to this would be very hesitant to um do any of the things that that I have done that has brought about this type of unlawful action because naturally they would be afraid that the same thing would happen to them. I've lost countless friends and family simply because they are scared of any association with me because of what has happened because of of how um you know targeting this madness has been. They're they're afraid of it, you know. um basically washing over to them by proxy and a standard of retaliation violates the Constitution if the government's actions would chill a person of ordinary firmness from continuing to exercise protected rights. Here's how my situation once again compares to Bennett. scope of retaliation in Bennett. Um it was limited to harassment, surveillance, tickets, intimidation and and even with that harassment, the court held that these state actors, the sheriff and the deputies were not entitled to qualified immunity. My case goes far beyond, not just harassment, but false arrest, felony charges, banishments, which Mississippi and Georgia, to my knowledge, are the only two states that actually utilize banishment. And it's strongly looked at as unconstitutional. um wrongful incarcerations, extraditions, involuntary commitments to state hospitals, and surveillance banning multiple states. The actors involved in Bennett verse Hendris

involved one county sheriff's department's retaliating against critics
sheriff's department Sarah and its deputies.

In my case, we have a multi-state,

multi- agency network. Oxford Police Department,

Lefayet County Sheriff's Office, Galveastston Police Department,

Leighton City, Utah Police Department, prosecutors,

judges, even the FBI. the Department of Justice

refusing to take my affidavit and instead

threatening criminal charges for trespass.

It's systemic conspiracy. It's not just a local feud.

the methods used in all this in Bennett verse um

and in Bennett here you got traffic stops intimidation

in my case you've got fabricated charges stalking

DUI with no alcohol detected child custody smears years

allowing my ex to double dip on child support

to intentionally put me in a rears when I've never um been behind on on child support to

intentionally drain me of all resources because me with no resources is good for

the state and good for the bad actors. It's been a way of of just literally

depleting me down to nothing. You got weaponized restraining orders

and pantry court filing by my ex Liz Crowder and her attorneys.

One of them being the husband of the Oxford mayor Ray Tanahill.

got prolonged imprisonments and banishment order.

You've got crossstate coordination between the state of Mississippi, the

state of Texas, the state of Utah. Now, it's also looking like

well, it's it's not looking like we can go ahead and we can add the state of Louisiana in there
as well.

direct FBI obstruction and killing of speech.

What was the impact? And Bennett
intimidation sufficient to chill speech
and Rearen actual loss of liberty,
years in prison, loss of child custody.
destruction of career surveillance
across jurisdictions and defamation.

Richen's email calling me a stalker of the mayor, saying that in 2017

I was arrested for stalking the mayor. This is not the case.

This is not just a chilling effect. It's freezing.

It's gagging and it's physically eliminating the
target. Um, you know, it's it's I said in the

beginning about, you know, not only are you going to see the similarities right here, but
you're going to see where, you

know, 20 years later that the act that are

undergone go so far above and beyond and really

bury in scope what happened in Bennett. Uh, Ben, it was about harassment.

With my case, it's about elimination. They didn't just try to chill my speech.

They used lawfare, medical commitments,

fabricated criminal charges, and imprisonment to silence me.

You know, and Bennett, it was local. It was localized to that sheriff's

department, to that jurisdiction because it was all about

going against a vote the sheriff didn't like.

But in mine it involves Mississippi, Texas,

Utah, Louisiana, federal actors,

state actors, a blending of the separation of powers,

institutional cover. The FBI not only ignored my evidence,
threatened me when I tried to report.

It suggests federal insulation of the conspiracy,
raising this above local retaliation and into RICO territory.
collateral attack not only on me but through custody battles,
false filings and financial deprivation.

Complete and total financial
devastation. Things that Bennett never reached.

In short, Bennett set the baseline that retaliatory harassment alone
is unconstitutional. What's happened to me
is far more extreme, involving imprisonment, forced banishments,
coordinated interstate prosecution, and FBI complicity.

If Bennett was the warning flare, my case is the wildfire
proving how retaliation can metastasize into full-blown racketeering.

I mean, it's this is uh it's it's a nightmare that I can't wake
up from. It's, you know, and and and the shittiest part about it
outside of feeling alone so many times and and literally feeling
like what's next, you know, feeling like I'm I'm constantly
having to uh watch my back.

It's, you know, It it's

I mean what what's going to happen what's going to happen if these people,
you know, are not put to stop? You know, nobody wrote a book. There there's
there's not a there's not a book basically saying here's what to do in
this situation. You know, it's a this is a very unique situation that I don't think people can
truly understand. Uh there's no appreciation to be gotten

here. So I can't say appreciate.

But there's, you know, people are quick to draw judgments and and and try to say, well, you know, you need to to give up and move on. But but what is there to move on to when you've been stripped clean everything and these people have shown that they're not going to stop

you know so I guess you know the what that what that leads to there's

there's not a you know there's not a rule book there's not a book on on what

to do and so I guess that responsibility falls on me with

American injustice. I think the the way that this thing I

knew I had to document everything well and I had to

there was no there was no question about putting a book together because anytime

I had to explain any thing that had gone

on over the last eight years, it's so convoluted and they did this

intentionally. to make me feel like I'm crazy, sound

like I'm crazy, and everything

there's there's there's always an act before that, you know, in talking about if I if

I started, you know, trying to tell about one story, I got I feel the need to explain how we got to that and then

and then that has a, you know, how did we get to that and I'm steadily having

to go backwards. And in the process of doing that,

naturally, the human mind, I mean, you can't possibly keep up with everything

right there on the fly. It's got to be in a book. It's got to be laid out in an easy to follow way.

And I think the

biggest mistake that a lot of people have made and assessing looking at things that have happened is looking at

these things that have happened as maybe isolated events. Okay? But when you

truly know all the facts and can see it for what it is, when you can actually

zoom out and see the whole playing board. Okay.

It's very easy to see how one act leads

to another and and it's the same people that are involved

over and over over again.

And you know what these are is their predicate acts. Okay. Um,

I was I I had made brief mention of of RICO. That's that's what this is. This is a criminal

racketeering enterprise. And in a criminal racketeering enterprise,

it's composed of predicate act that basically,

you know, uh, it's it's it's one after

the other. It's it's they're they're all connected. and they're all equally important to

what's going on. And I would encourage

everybody to to, you know, truly look at all the facts here

and to truly stay vigilant, you know, don't don't trust the

government. if if if they get away with with something. Oh boy, they're they're

balls to the wall. Literally, um

they're they're they're putting everything behind it and they've

got a lot of resources put behind it. It's so easy for them to

continue on that path and it's so easy for them to

drain any and all resources and any and all light

from somebody that gets in their crosshairs and their path.

I don't know what other choice I have.

All right. Are we ready to show off the foil request?

Yeah. Um, so I think uh I think that would be a um

Well, while you're pulling that up, let me go ahead and just say one thing that most of our audience, including you,

should actually realize what you were just talking about. It can be summed up

into one saying that is well known in our community.

Back to blue until it happens to you. Back to blue. I'm going to act I'm actually going to kind of stretch it out a little bit. I'm going to simply say back to blue until it happens to you. This is your final warning.

Cuz if it can happen to Bennett and it's now happening to Matt, guess what? You could be next. And and it and it's only getting worse. And it's only going to get worse. it a natural progression of things. I mean, it's sickening to me to it's it's beyond sickening to me to see people that laugh in the face of the stuff happening that you know I I can't I I I could never laugh at anybody's rights. I don't I don't care how much I hate somebody. I could never laugh and cheered on somebody's rights being grotesqually violated like this by the government. Um, same thing with I could never sit there and laugh and fear on law enforcement.

Physically assaulting anybody and getting away with it is simply exercising their rights. You know, they're well within their rights. They haven't committed any crimes,

but we're in some type I mean the the evil that has played this country is something I have I hope to never see in my life and and we're seeing it. And

you know I just when I see that I like I I I struggle to understand the thought process behind that about

You know, do you always think that

I guess the easiest way of of of kind of paraphrasing this is I don't I don't care if you're friends with somebody or

you support somebody. Are you always 100% of the time

in agreeance with everything? Are y'all always

always and forever will y'all be on the same page on everything

or is there going to come is there times where there's a different of
um you know you feel one way about a
matter and and somebody feels another. I mean you see it in politics all the time. You know,
you see people that, you
know, I believe, you know, that everybody has the way
to feel the way that they want to feel about an issue. You know,
we we may have a difference of opinion, but I believe that you have the right to
have your opinion, you know, and fearing this madness on,
cheerleading it. When they get that type of power,
that's, you know, once they have it, there's no
they aren't letting it go. once they they prove that they can get away with it.
So what's going to happen when you have a difference of opinion
with somebody in the government that's got that's that's achieved that power
does what it wants. So in cheering that on,
you are openly inviting that to happen to you because it's not a matter of if.
It's only a matter of when. You don't see eye to eye on something.
And power is going to win if you cheer this on and allow this to
happen. It has to be condemned. immediately
at the forefront. There can be no waiting.
Just like fighting for our rights, if we relax, if we allow a right to get taken
away. First amendment, fourth amendment, fifth
amendment. Yeah, that's it. Second amendment, of course. um
it you're not going to get that right back once it's gone. It's it's it's
gone. I I hate to tell you it's gone. You got that's why it's so important to fight for it actively
now. And not only that, but when you look at one and and look at
it like it's not important and diminish it, dilutes the rest. Because if one's not

important, how can the other one be held to important?

It can't.

All right. Well, I've got the documentation up. If you're ready, go ahead and talk about it.

Yeah, I will. Um, so actually, let me do this before we get to that.

I'm uploading this right now to my my drive.

Um, let's see.

because I think it's important to do this. Um, I I want to talk about what, you know, real real quickly. I want to

show the FOYA request that I actually submitted and talk about why real briefly why I did this. Learn to follow

my gut, right? You know, there's there's a you know, more often than not, when I

when I feel like something, and you've heard the saying, you know, uh if you see something, say something. Okay? If I

if I if I feel something, I do something. Okay? And when something's

feeling foul and out of check, I have to do something from the standpoint of I

have to seek that information. Um, and so what I did

is go ahead and throw this up right here. This was um when I was in Lafayette, Louisiana.

I had a runin with the US Marshall supervisor at the federal courthouse and

this escalated into a um a two-part event. I had to come back a second time

to actually go and make a complaint or try to make a complaint on him. When I went back the second time,

um he threw up a whole bunch of identifiers. So, I gave him the name of Don whenever I was there.

um that first time and you know he he clearly did not know

the federal rules and regulations governing conduct on federal property which were right there at the door tried

to point that out to him to Jonathan. But when he started throwing up these identifiers, like when I went back

calling me Matthew Raren, saying that uh like started regurgitating things that have happened and basically uh pushing the false narrative that the state conjured up. obviously and knowing how the cross state coordination has worked because it's actually physically happened with Galveastston and Utah. It's what's called a pattern and practice. When there's a pattern in practice, when something continues to happen, which is a pattern and a practice, the it tends to continue being a pattern. Okay. So, obviously, I'm no I'm no dummy. I I I knew that there was communication that happened. So I so I put in a foyer request to the Freedom of Information Officer the United States Marshall Service asking for all communications, emails, letters, facts, Miranda memoranda reports, notes, text messages, bulletins, bolos, or any other correspondence sent to or received from Lefayet County or Oxford, Mississippi, and/or any law enforcement or government entity or official therein, including but not limited to Lefayet County Sheriff's Department, Oxford Police Department, Leave county circuit clerk's office or any other government official or agency referencing or relating myself Matthew Oliver Rearen also known as Don Matthew from January 1st 2025 to the present. Also, all internal bulletins, bolo, be on the lookout, notices, advisories, alerts, or any other information or communication distributed internally or externally to any law enforcement agencies or federal offices referencing or pertaining to me, Matthew Oliver Rear, and Don Matthews following incidents occurring on June 23rd, 2025 and June 26, 2025 at US

District Court, Lafayette, Louisiana. Any and all communications or transmissions internal or external

referencing my prior interactions with any law enforcement agencies or court entities in LA in Lefay County,

Mississippi, Galveistston, Texas, or Leighton, Utah. Specifically concerning

or referencing alleged criminal history, prior litigation, civil rights activism,

journalism activities, or any other investigative information gathered or

transmitted. The justification for this request was based upon credible belief

and documented evidence of coordinated interstate communications intended to

target, surveil, or otherwise infringe upon my constitutionally protected

activities, notably journalism and civil rights advocacy.

Specific recent events strongly suggest improper and unauthorized dissemination

of sensitive and personal information by officials from Lefayet County,

Mississippi to federal officials within the US Marshal Service in Lafayette,

Louisiana. This foyer request seeks to confirm potential patterns and practices.

There's that word. Indicative of gangstalking.

There's that word. Coordinated retaliatory harassment or conspiracy

under the Racketeer Influence and Corrupt Organizations Act, RICO.

There's that word. Given the clear public interest in identifying and preventing government

misconduct, harassment, and constitutional rights violations, I respectfully request expedited

processing in a fee waiver for this FOYA request to five US code 552.

Please provide the responsive documents electronically to the provided email address if possible. If not, please advise me of any anticipated fee before

processing if my fee waiver is not granted. Should you require additional

clarification or information, please contact me directly at the contact information provided above. Thank you

for your prompt attention to this important matter. This was sent June 27th, 2025. Typically, a federal agency has 20 days to respond to um a foyer request.

August 23rd when I actually got the results. I was about to actually check in on it. Um, and then I got the results. So, they're way past their their deadline. They're way past their deadline because they they got this request from me and they

were like, "Oh, shit." you know, um,

you hit the nail on the head with that request. What do we do? What do we do? What do we do?

Well, what do you think they did? They redacted the [] [] out of it. You know, they they it's okay for them to, you know, attribute my personal information and and conspire and screw my wife up. But let me try to get to the bottom of it. Find out who's doing it. Oh no. Government wants to cover that up. They want to they want to make sure to redact, seal, and drag it out. So, let's go to the requests. All right. Or let's go to the the documents.

I believe that was the second one that we were looking at. And uh I'm I'm going to say this is what

Okay, this is a 14page responsive document. Um

this is this is what they gave they they

might as well just come out and say

they they they made this process as difficult as possible. Not only with the redactions they sent to me these um documents

and they're at the bottom they have you know document one, document two, document three, you know all the way to

14. But one thing that I think that's very important that needs to to be taken a look at because I think that you know we haven't truly put the puzzle together

yet. Tyrants Beware. And we need to because

look at what they gave me. And look at how out of order it is. You have this one right here starting Friday, June

27th at 3:35 p.m. about Happy Friday.

This is after, you know, you you're going to see emails from June

23rd, uh, 24th. We need to try to piece this stuff

together actually the way that like in the order the chronological order of things happening and we might actually get another

separate look at this stuff that we haven't already seen. I we see

the coordinated effort right here, but we see a bunch of documents that are I

think that they did this on purpose that they intentionally

well they they intentionally redacted them and I think they intentionally

scrambled them to mitigate

how bad they actually are. I think they had no choice but to turn

over stuff. And I think they got to realize that that in no way are these redactions

going to stay on. You know, Tyrware, exactly how serious I

am and where I'm I'm I'm going to take this with litigation. Oh, yeah.

Um and so, you know, but but we'll read it in the order that they sent it. Okay.

And and mark the pages. Um, but we're going to do a followup on this and

actually piece these things together like a puzzle. Uh, June 27th, 3:35 p.m.

Happy Friday. Please see the above alert notice and district court electronic

device policy and share with your employee. First Amendment audits are becoming a fast growing trend at federal

courouses. Don't play their game. Just follow your training and local

orders policy from the judiciary. Why does it have to be a game? I mean, it's stress testing a right to

make sure that they're not going to violate openly violate it. How's that a game, you know? It should just be just

follow your training and local orders and you'll be okay, you know. But no, they have to label it as a game. Um,

take note of all the redactions, the two redacted out CC redacted out. You know, there's looks to be three people CC there. I would love to find out who all it was, but look who this was sent from. Okay, this is regarding Lafayette, Louisiana um federal courthouse where I was just in the public lobby area. Wasn't trying to go past security or anything. Sent from the supervisory deputy US marshal for the northern district of Mississippi.

We got to find out who that is. parents beware. We can find out who that is before we get these things unredacted. It should not be hard to find out who the supervisory deputy US marshal is for the northern district of Mississippi. We need to find it out and we need to

publish the information. You definitely know I can get something going on there. But I do want to make a comment about this little game.

I'm going to simply say this right now. For all the law enforcements around the country, our rights are not a [__] game. You

can cut that [__] out. Go on. And that's the thing is they look at it like it's a game. This is all a game to

them. And they're and it's a game that they're playing. They're playing war games on taxpayer dollars.

They are paying they are playing war games against people and violating rights and looking at your rights as a game to violate and they're doing it all on your dollars. How does that feel? It's just it's

disgusting. It's respectful. It's I mean it's so much more than that.

the the next page. We don't know who this who sent this. We don't know who he

sent it to. Um

I'm not sure what this last blank is over

over here after Matthew Rear and FID. Not sure what that could be for

that that reduction. Um good deal. I may use your photos.

sent from. We don't know who that's from. Um, this was sent Monday, June 30th at

10:43. Uh, I wish there was a way that we could

I wish I was like taking notes so I can try to put these in order as we go. But

um I put this out Friday to all my CSOS on Rearen. He is banished from Oxford

until September 30th, 2027, but I expect he will show up in

Greenville or Aberdine sooner than later. Here you have the federal government basically cheerleading a

banishment that should be viewed as unconstitutional, especially once they take the totality of the facts,

especially the you know when the person was crying for help to the federal

authorities for eight years, but it's fine for them

to talk about I'm banished. How about

saying something about being banished and how just

morally incomprehensible that it's um the federal government is supposed to

they're not supposed to take sides like this, you know, and and cheer on abishment.

Once again, we got to find who that northern district of Mississippi supervisory deputy US marshal. There's

one There's not uh so so everywhere that we see SD USM

it's not Southern District that's supervisory deputy and there's one at

each district Jonathan is a supervisory deputy over in Lafayette Louisiana

need to find the SE uh supervisory deputy um over in North Mississippi because I'll tell you why

you know in my story, you know, when when Ray Tanahill, May 30th, 2017,

um threatens me with physical assault, saying that he's going to bash my head in and charges at me while I'm in

handcuffs in a in a jumpsuit. And the sheriff's deputy at the time,

John Hill, separated him and wrote a report on it.

John Hill didn't stay with the sheriff's department long after that. He ended up transitioning to the US Marshall's office for the Northern District of Mississippi.

Seen him um and to my knowledge he was working his way up.

you know, he was a he was a supervisory officer with the Lefaya County Sheriff's

Department. Of course, I've started making mention about it like with the book and about the, you know, with how

basically he witnessed a crime occurring with Ry

and did restrain him. But, you know,

why wasn't Ry criminally charged? Why was why was why were they allowed to

fabricate [___] on me and me be criminally charged and here he's

committing a crime in front of a law enforcement officer but he's not. And so

we might find some interesting stuff out about that supervisory deputy.

Might find out that it's John Hill. Um,

so in case you don't have this photo, here

you go. More redactions. More redactions. Conellis.

We have three Conellis's email. Four Canellis email address. Let me look that

up real quickly. What company is Conellis?

uh because that's you know clearly

so constellac

driven professionals navigate our customers through diverse environments and guide them to success.

Um well, at least we know that they have some money behind them. Um if they're part of this uh scandal, 1.4 billion

with a B and revenue in 2024.

Um, they've got 14,000 employees

plus uh but so it looks like security past,

present and future. So they would appear

to be um it's end to end risk management and

comprehensive security solutions to safeguard people and infrastructure globally.

So what that is okay what I can just about tell you with confidence that that

is it's it's kind of like um communicate how communicate Yes. They're their own

entity, but they operate as a faction of the government. They're what's called a

quasi governmental agency. And I would be willing to bet

that Constell is also going to be considered a quasi

governmental agency because they're so closely aligned with

the government. they might as well be and they draw it they they you know

they're directly paid by the government and what I think you know why I think that's very important for them is it

helps put a player in the middle so that somebody can take the fall

it's kind of like the I guess you could say it's it's probably like the path for

um the US Marshall Service if I had to guess.

Um, for your information, be aware once I get a bolo,

I'll send it out. They're already anticipating the bolo,

guys. Apparently, this guy is hitting the federal courouses in Mississippi and Louisiana. Oh my god.

And I hadn't hit any courouses in Mississippi. Any federal courouses

went to Lafayette, Louisiana. Oh my god.

Well, just remember for all the law enforcement officers that got that bolo,

just remember all you have to do is go into the judge's chamber, crawl up underneath the desk, put your head

between your uh legs, and kiss your ass goodbye. Because Matthew Matthew is about to let a gas bubble

ripped from his ass and you're just not going to survive it. I'm sorry, but go

ahead. They picked the wrong one to [__] with. Hey, your gas can be deadly. I will give them that. They they they should have picked somebody else to [__]

with that was going to just bow over and just take it. I mean, like they picked somebody that that um

that's got the fight of a lion and uh very resourceful and

um they've they've created this monster that they view me as. Now, it's not I

wasn't like this before they did what they did. But now I promise you and I and I I I promise the Department of

Justice and I promise the US Marshals Service that every single federal

building that I come across, I'm going in there and I'm going to film and uh I'm going to um exercise my rights,

stress test my rights to the fullest, and anybody that stands in the way, I'm

going for their career. I'm going to see how many careers I can send up in smoke over the next year because

they traded me. Well, I I think the one thing that they

needed to realize is we never give up. We never surrender.

And we sure as [__] don't back down. That is our sole purpose.

That was ingrained in me by my grandfather and I'm sure it was ingrained into you by someone important

to you and they need to realize it. How about the Marine Corps?

Why do you think I have the heart of a Marine? Yeah, I mean this is uh it's it's only

going to get I just want them to know I want to assure them so there's no confusion

that it's only going to get a lot worse. A lot worse. I'm I'm not

gonna just um you know I'm not going going away. It's gonna like I think if

you look at just even over the last few days like I'm going out of my way to do it like it's it's uh because I feel like it's it's that much more important, you know, and uh and and they asked for it and so it's it's only going to get so much worse. And no justice, no peace. When the Department of Justice decides to unscrew its finger from its ass that's lodged so far up, the Civil Rights Division has been dare electing their duty for God knows how long, paid to do nothing except basically incite crime of their inaction.

Um, you know, we can we can we can put a stop to all this. Simply just do your job and and and you know, make it so I don't have to keep doing this. You know, that's that's all it takes is acknowledging the problem, the institutional rot, and doing something about it. But they're not going to do that. So, it's going to get a lot worse. No justice, no peace, and I mean it. Um, so

greetings, SDSM, uh, USM,

I have attached reports detailing the encounter with Matthew Rearen. Feel free to pass along to your staff. Now, this

is obviously from Jonathan, supervisory deputy marshal at Lafayette and Lake Charles. Um,

we skipped over the date because I made mention this before. This email right here sent June 26th at 5:05 p.m. So, this email was sent June 30th at 10:45. This email sent June 27th, 2025. So right here immediately you can see that slide three

actually needs to go before slide one. June 26 comes before June 27th.

Okay. So why are they why did they jump like like um

put all these out of order is what I want I would love to know. Um, and then

of course right here, this this was sent Tuesday, June 24th,
417. Um, lawd.uscourts.gov.

Okay, so this is

very important. Um,

this is very concerning. Because

the idea of fundamental fairness

and a nonbiased court obviously doesn't exist know that.

Well, and this just takes it further to prove that right here on this email. All

right. So, there's several things on on the upcoming couple of pages starting

right here. Take a look at these emails that are redacted at lawwd.

uscourts.gov. These are not marshals. Do you know who

these are? Lawd stands for Louisiana Western District

uscourts.gov. Okay. So, this is the only people that have these us.gov Go like

that are, you know, abbreviated like this in suffix or

court clerks are

administrative staff for judges or

our judges chambers. I would be willing to bet that when I

get this unredacted that there is

that they are involving it's it's not just between the Marshall

Service. It's It's so much larger because they're basically

painting a picture of me to the federal courts

so that they turn the federal courts to not take any claims that I have to

bring seriously and to to to take it with malice. You know, it's um

up in Salt Lake City, Utah. I just dismissed that that lawsuit. Voluntarily dismissed that
lawsuit up there about

the illegal surveillance. It's It's gone for now, but it's not gone. Um because it was filed
March

31st. I think it's March 31st or March 20 March 31st.

Yeah. This year. and it's still been on a stay

despite multiple emergency filings asking the judge to lift the stay

because I filed indigent. Obviously, I've been stripped of all my resources,

stripped of all opportunity and I have a constitutional right to

access the court just like anybody does. If you've been injured,

if you've been, you know, severely wrong,

it is a constitutional obligation to be able to for the court to hear

the complaint. And if you can't afford the \$400 and something dollar filing fee,

you can bypass that by filing as a popper filing, you know, showing that

that you know, based on your income and your resources that it's not

feasible to pay \$400 and something dollar filing fee and

service costs and all that. Okay. Um,

so I've already discovered in this journey a complete

it's it's a miscarriage justice. It's a complete discrimination. If it's not on everybody, it's at least

aimed at me where Salt Lake City

allowed these attacks to continue happening, refused to take any sworn declaration

attached filed separately to that matter seriously.

And the magistrate judge over there thought it would just be

Oh, fun games. Just ignore everything, every cry for help on an active filed

case by a plaintiff seeking redress of civil

right major civil rights issues and just allowed continuing harm

without allowing the case to advance forward. It's that it doesn't take

four, five months to review a single page and determine that person

titled receives without the prepayment of fees and is not a vexacious litigant.

So there's already that discrimination there and a refusal to act by Salt Lake City. Galveston took their time in advancing everything forward. It's finally advanced forward. But you clearly have the Western District of Louisiana, their court staff and their judges chambers being alerted by the US Marshall Service.

Um subject first amendment auditor

Matthew Rearen. Greetings all. Yesterday Matthew Rearen proclaimed first amendment

auditor in the lobby near the CSO screen station recording live streaming with a cell phone. I've attached a copy CSO report and a draft

my report detailing the incident. Please pass this on to your staff and remind them to report any suspicious behavior,

no matter how small, to any US personnel, CSO or outside guard. Feel

free to contact me directly if you have any questions or concerns. Um, this one sent 27th uh somebody in the Marshall Service to somebody in the Marshall Service. This is very concerning right here.

Okay. um conviction docs. Per earlier conversation, here is his conviction docs from stalking the mayor here in Oxford, Mississippi. It is a felony conviction and he is on unsupervised release. From what I'm told, without a felony

charge and probably conviction, they likely wouldn't do anything with

him on the state side. Why does anybody have to do anything with him? Period.

I watched the video posted yesterday. You mentioned a DUI arrest him. Where

was he arrested for DUI in preparation for him showing up any of our sub offices? I'm going to our local rules.

What do you think about that, TB? Well, it sounds like they're getting ready to conspire to have you arrested.

That's the only thing I can get out of it. I mean, it is a from what I'm told. So,

so now I want to know who he was told this by. So, so this is coming from the supervisory deputy US marshal from the northern district of Mississippi. That's in Oxford, Mississippi. Okay, that's

where that's at from what I'm told. Okay, so he's being told something. That means somebody's telling him without a felony charge. It's not about, you know, it's simply how do we get rid of this guy without a felony charge and probably conviction? They likely. So they so so it's easy to kind of now make to

bridge the gap. From what I'm told they Okay. So they are the ones the ones that would prosecute me or be law enforcement. I would have to say

with about a 95% guarantee that they the person that told him this would be somebody from the Lefayet County Sheriff's Department more than likely.

And there's only one name that I can come up with that would actually fit that bill. Joey. Yep.

or possibly

the mayor, mayor's husband. Mayor's husband's actually Ray is actually a pretty good um I mean, he has put his

hands in in so much and he is a highly connected, powerful attorney that's also

a judge and also over the Mississippi Bar Tribunal, president of the

Mississippi Bar Tribunal. That's a lot of unchecked power

and I wouldn't putress that either.

But without a felony charge and probably conviction, they likely wouldn't do anything with him on the state side. So

like it's not no mention of a crime being committed. They're just trying to do something with me on the state side.

Polo district alert notice. You can always

tell when they're lined in red like this.

Telltale signs. All right. Well, I'm going to step outside real quick. Uh you just keep

continuing. Um if you have any questions, I'll answer them when I come back. I'll let you know when I'm back.

Okay. Um, so district alert notice. This was

sent out June 27th. Uh,

purpose to purposes. District alert notices. Then informal operational employees and court security officers of

Matthew Rearen. Should he appear in person, please monitor this subject closely and notify blank or blank.

Rearen has recently been frequent frequenting local, state, and federal courouses to conduct what he describes

as first amendment audits. In the past, he has been more coop uh more

cooperative than his current videos show. And it's only going to get worse. It's only going to get worse. Um like if

they think it's bad now, it's only going to get I'm I'm gonna I'm gonna stay well within my rights, but I'm going to I'm

gonna, you know, I'm I'm going to do that as obnoxious of

a way as possible. In the past, he has been more than more uh see refer to your training and local

rules from the judiciary on how to handle this type of encounter. Got all my information there.

You have my pictures. And of course, even though the state of Mississippi, Wagyu County

physically disarmed me, like literally stole everything, extorted it all. On a

coerced plea back in 2017, they find it necessary

to take a picture of me wearing a bulletproof vest and holding my AR-15.

because they want to represent that that that you know I'm a dangerous person.

What better way of trying to convey that I'm a dangerous person than to put to

make the picture the largest and put it at the top of me wearing a bulletproof

vest and an AR-15 so they can say

he's ready to go open season. He's ready to score. Of course, they put a booking picture and then at least they uh watched a video and pull that one right there on the right.

Um, yep. The incident reports John Shaw Federal Courthouse. Date of the incident, June 23rd. This report was typed up June 24th. It was submitted. Okay. Um,

I think that,

you know, this is kind of I guess you could this is like the, you know, wiping before [__] It just doesn't make sense. You have US Department of Justice. You have a document that's marked unclassified, but then they redact an uncl an unclassified document.

Um, I I could definitely understand if it was a classified document redacting it, but an unclassified document they're redacting. Um,

so lies, lies, more lies, vomit. Um, now

here's where I think this

is a very telling sign

is underneath the incident description. I don't know if I can zoom in. I don't think I can. It's okay because you can see it right there. reporting

CSO name.

What do you see right there? Right before that, that redaction, there's four letters.

LCSO,

Lefayet County Sheriff's Office.

We're back to [__] up there.

There's only one LCSO Bay County Sheriff's Office.

We're redacting everything

on Monday, 1600 hours. Supervisory Deputy US Marshall, received a call from Deputy U. United States Marshall, but an unknown individual recording in the courthouse lobby outside the court security screening station. The individual refused to leave, stating it was his first amendment right to film video in a public area. SDUSM instructed Tasm uh to call Lafayette Police Department for assistance. called the police to a federal courthouse. Shame at 4:25. SD USM encountered an individual who introduced himself to be Don. Don stated he has no official business in the courthouse and he had a first amendment right to record inside the building. After various conversations and several insults towards deputies and PD officers, Don refused to exit the building. suggest that SDUSM arrest him. SDUSM informed Dawn that he would not be arrested because he had not done anything that warranted arrest. But somehow this threat that warrants Bolo's put on yet there you have it that I would not be arrested because I had not done anything that warranted an arrest. No trying asked Don to see us in the building and captured video footage if it would be best uh it would be best if he exited the building if he didn't have any official business to conduct yet. Don still refused. later discussing the issue with a USA criminal say not sure but I know the next one with a USA uh United States attorney I don't know something and a USA which would be um assistant I think it's assistant US

attorney is what a USA stands for if I'm not mistaken.

Um, so they went to they went to the US attorneys

and uh and tried to get them to bring federal

charges. There was nothing to bring federal charges on. I mean, and and Jonathan

even said that I had broken no laws. Approximately 4:48

SDSM instructed the CSOS to close the building to the public. Don was instructed to lead to exit the building

due to closure. Um while exiting, Dawn became

belligerent yelling obscenities towards LPD officers and SD USM. So,

it might have been another one that I saw this uh that another report, but they admitted in a report that they actually closed it early just to get me to leech.

Um, but I guarantee you they didn't

take less on their paycheck for not leaving the courthouse open. Uh,

it's scheduled public hours. Um,

another picture of me inside that day. Picture of me

And this one right here is

I still don't there's a lot of funny things going on

in this report that need to be assessed. First of all, this report

is about the incident when I went back three days later on

Thursday, June 26th to go and see about filing a complaint against Jonathan and the others. But hold on a second.

Why, this is June 26th. Why is this field operational report

written up July 16th? That is

over three weeks later. And as you know, people in the government do not have a

very high intelligence level. They tend to forget things very very

easily. So with the point of making things up,

which is another reason why I record everything with the government have to
because they're a bunch of lying sacks of [_]

But what I can't seem to

This is where they admit to it. Okay. about closing it early.

After discussing it with the assistant US attorney, criminal chief something and assistant
US attorney

uh decided to close the building since all courts had a journe for the day to
get the individual to leave the premises.

So, no lawful reason to close the building that's scheduled to be open
till 5. They did it by their own admission to

get the individual, myself, to leave premises where I had every lawful right
to be. Um, well, here's a question I have. Uh,

since they closed the building early, do they want to surrender whatever they were
supposed to be getting paid for

those hours that they didn't work? Well, that's what I was saying. I guarantee you that their
their paycheck

didn't didn't um lack anything. Uh, so so to me that's fraud. They
committed fraud on,

you know, government taxpayer resources.

Oh, yeah. Um, on Thursday, June 26, Matthew Rearen

and Blank entered the US courthouse with their cell phones recording video of the
lobby. I can tell you who the redacted blank is right there. Um,

uh, Brandon Duplicit,

uh, by you boys. Actually, he had when I was in jail in

Galveston on that false charge, the rigged um, false DWI charge. He had

reached out to Harvey Freeberg and said that when Matthew Reen gets

out, if he needs a place to stay, tell him to holler at him. He can come stay

here. And I went there

after Utah.

But how many I mean TV if if um

if you're an auditor okay and and the government hates auditors clearly

um can you can you think of one reason why you know they would and and he never was identified. Okay. So, like other than

I watched his Facebook live stream from that from that event and he said uh when

he walked in, one of the um court security people or I don't know if he

was a marshal or if it was court security over the left said that he remembered him from the police

department. And um I

can you think of any reason why you know when the government hates auditors the way they do

why that names would be redactous.

Well, I've actually spoken to you about this once before and I've told you and I will stick to it.

I think this might be an FBI informant. I don't think he's a true auditor.

Yes.

When SD USM blank contacted Rearen, he stated he needed to file a complaint.

Um, so

Basically, you know what's what's funny is, you know, I could pick this thing apart on technicalities all day. It's

not going to get anywhere. Like, if I really wanted to, you know, this is this is all the more reason why people should write up a [__] report right when

[__] happens and not wait three weeks later because you can't expect anybody in the government to remember anything

past um the next dinner cycle. I mean, really, the the next the next break that

they take, which is um

you know uh every time the uh

the minute hand hits any number that has a five.

Uh, so but you know, going back and looking at the

video, I did tell Jonathan that it was assault

and that I will defend myself. I will lawfully defend myself. I'll go hands on

with him if he he did it again to me

because I have every reason to um defend against an assault and I'm sick

and tired of people thinking they can put their hands on and get away with it.

I'm not. Uh it's it's [__] ain't going down any. It's not. You know, it's it's

uh you know, the government's done a damn fine dandy job of showing that it's

okay to assault somebody and then and then, you know, it just basically be

gaffed off and and shrugged off, you know, not cared about.

They've done a really good job showing it's okay to, you know, it's okay to use violence

um against somebody that is um a nuisance to you.

But he did enhance this sentence because go

back and watch the video. I said, "Don't put your [__] hands on

it was my words." So, I'm not making a like

it's nitpicky, you know, and I'm not going to nitpick this thing apart because I I could do it for days. Okay.

But I didn't say [__] I said don't put your [__] hands on. But he

decided he was going to put [__] in there. Um, and it's quoted. So apparently

that's that's verbatim what I said.

You already know that these guys aren't going to get everything perfect, Matt. You should know that by now.

No, but I think that that's all the more reason why I I I film, you know, and so

that I can create an accurate record and you know, these people have cameras going and you know that they're that,

you know, they're filming and documenting. So, I mean, there should be a standard that they're held to also at

the end of the day because, you know, little things like this lead to a lot

bigger mistakes later. You know,

my thoughts on it.

So,

so um

I think it's funny in the next paragraph that Rearen and Blank continue to create loud and unusual noises by yelling

obscinities. Here's one thing I'll say about Brandon.

whatever he is. He didn't cuss. He doesn't cuss. Okay.

Um and so and he didn't throw any insults, but yet

the paragraph was written that me and him continued to create.

Moreover, they continued to disrupt the lobby and refused to leave and stop recording

which impeded the performance of officials duties by government employees. No, they impeded their own

duties. All they had to do was

go do whatever, you know, they're supposed to do and leave me the

hell alone. They intentionally I mean, I I 100% look at it as they

impeded the performance of official duties of a journalist.

They could they they should have left me the hell alone. No,

they just turn the sto they they they created turned itself into a whole another story.

Um,

so

I'm going to take a quick break. Um, that's that's a probably a good sign right there. So, if you want to take

over talking for a second or do what you do what you want to do and then we'll come back and finish this up. There's we

got three more pages in it and then uh we'll go to close because we're it's going to be a long be a long show.

Yep. Well, I can definitely say from what I've been hearing on this paragraph, it kind of sounds like they

were trying to go for a disorderly conduct charge that it wouldn't have

worked because it didn't match up to what they're writing in here. Just like you said,

yeah, I'll take a disorderly conduct, you know, because I that's

I'll beat that based on my video evidence. A disorderly conduct does nothing for them. You saw on the the

third page, they you know, they need a disorderly conduct is a misdemeanor and

it's on the state side. It's not a federal charge. It's not a you know,

it's it's something on the state state side. Um, so really it needs to be witnessed

by a state actor, not even a federal. Um, and then

my video is all the explanation for that. As I

said, they need a felon charge and likely a conviction.

Disorderly conduct's not going to get them there. Nope.

I guess in a way you got to be very careful when you're wanting to defend yourself because that could be a federal

charge. And that's why I've always told you be very very careful. Don't let these guys

get to you. No, it's it's got to be an actual lawful

self-defense, you know, like it's it's got to be a um you know, you can defend

you know, and and a lot of attorneys and all will tell you that that you have you can you can lawfully defend

yourself against an unlawful arrest. Although

it's generally not looked to looked at as too advisable

because you have a bunch of trigger happy morons in the government that are looking for any reason to

um to to use to to step their violence up.

As you as you see, they want to scan you for weapons going into a building, but they are the only ones that can have weapons. so that they can, you know, ultimately

be the, you know, the Nazis of the building.

Yep. They're the true sovereign citizens. So, I'm going to take a quick break. Um, all right. I'll probably go ahead and pause it because I'm not sure what to really say other than what we've already

said already. I could probably go over everything that was

Don't you have a song that you want? Well, but you were probably um put that a song like like I know you have some music and and um

Oh, I can play some music for maybe take a take a a short music break.

Well, you know, play a play a song. Yeah, I've actually got a song already queued up. Uh, this has been one of my

favorite songs and I think it might actually run true to what we're talking

about right now. This is actually a song that I wrote called Living Rent Free in Your Head, which is about what you're

about to make, too. So, we can go ahead and play that.

I'm gonna take a a wild guess. Is this was uh this have anything to do with um

uh Harvey Freeberg? No. Okay. Because I know I've heard him say about the living rentree and your uh

like like in regards to the the Lowry situation. No. This is a song that I wrote uh about the trolls that were sitting there trying to figure out who I am. And still to this day, they cannot figure out that I'm telling the truth that my name is Josh. I told them and they're like, "No, no, no. You're Maverick Terry Moore." And

it's like, "No, I'm not. I'm using a voice cloning software, dumb asses." But
this is the song that I wrote just for them. Oh yeah.

living free. [Music]

Now you're just a shadow man. [Music]

All the games now.

Every move was just a guess. Now I'm deep within messy thoughts.

You can't shake me off your mind. All the games

now [Music]

move. Now I'm living deep within messy thoughts you can't suppress living in
your head. You can't shake me off your mind. All the games that you misled. Now
you're in a fight. [Music]

I play simple. You play but the truth now crystal clear we play out of now I'm

what you always hear in your head

all the games now you're in a bind

[Music]

[Music]

[Music]

Locked up in a cell in my life.

I'd never ready to take flight. Injustice in the system. They try to

bring me down, but I won't stay. I won't wear this crown. They thought they Break me, make
me disappear, but I'm still

Stand strong. My truth crystal clear. I'm

fighting for my [Music] innocent but locked up. A victim of the

system. They tried to

reclaim my life. Lost in the darkness, but I found my

way. Through the lies and deceit, I see the light of day. I won't back down, won't let them in.
I'll keep on fighting

until justice begins. My story's not unique. There are many like me. Trapped in a broken system, struggling to be free. But I won't give up.

I'll keep on fighting

for my innocent but locked up. A victim of the system [Music]

now.

I won't be silenced. I won't be ignored. I'll keep on fighting until I'm finally restored. My voice will be heard. My

story will be justice.

Hell yeah. [Music] It ain't over with yet.

[Music] dust. Now I'm here to reclaim my life

and whom I trust. I won't give up, won't back down. I'll keep on fight.

And that was your fault that I actually played for you the first time I met you.

I figured I'd throw that in there just that's what got you turned on to doing music my way. Yes. Absolutely.

Absolutely. Um, so I just plugged in uh

my big main frames computer. So I

uh and I see that my computer is kind of acting a little bit slower now because I don't have direct power plugged into the to the laptop. So um let's see.

Uh so yeah, I mean it's it's there's a lot of inaccuracies in this report right here.

Uh, and why did they create it three weeks

later? Um, I think the date and why they chose July

16th, you know, there's there's a reason for

that. There's a reason why this report was created July 16th and was not created right then. There's a purpose.

They wanted this fully documented out three weeks later for some reason.

What was the reason was it? That's definitely a good question.

Um the only I mean one possible

motive and reason that I can think of for this

would have been I don't know maybe the maybe the foyer

maybe because they knew that I had hit the nail on the head with the foyer request. So the Department of Justice

said, "Well, he's got us dead rights on this.

You better I I don't know. I don't know. But I do know

they doubled down on stupid when they redacted Brandon's name right there." So,

um,

should be noted after the first encounter with Rearen on June 23rd.

SDSUSM blank blank SDSUSM blank blank.

How many crazy screwed up things could fit in the blank right there?

I mean, we could we could troll the hell out of this all day long and come up with all types of just, you know,

just just what we ought to do is is is we ought to

troll back and just just make up what we want to put in where they put redactions. Okay? Okay. If you're not

going to give the actual what it is, then

it is left up to interpretation to our interpretation.

Oh, you know, I can have fun with that. I think you should do that. I think that

we should do that. I think we should do that. I think we should everywhere there's a blank, we need to actually

troll back and fill in the blank. What do you What do you say? Oh, you know, I would definitely fill in

those little gaps with the funny hilarious [__] Like back in the email

where they were redacting names, I would put like uh
Joe [__] a lap. I mean, it's it's if you think about it,
it's it can only be left up to our interpretation. Yeah. Well, you know, if they don't want
to actually be transparent, then the way to counter that is to leave
it up to our interpretation. Oh, interpretation.

I just had a great idea.

Epstein client number one. Epstein client number 14.

Everybody's wanting to know who's on the Epstein list. Might as well put them on the email.

I wonder how they would like that. They're off They're off the Epstein files.

You've got the Epstein documents, Matt. That's a great idea. I think we'll go
with that. And see these B7. Okay, so B7.

Okay. B6, B7 C. What I'm wondering is

what do these letters stand for? When you see the same letter, does that mean
that that's the same person? Is it is there some type of m like like code?

Because I'm seeing when I look at this, I'm seeing the number six person on the
Epstein piles. U the number seven person on the Epstein the the person that took
the seven trip out to the Epstein Islands uh is who signed this

Yeah, I can't really see because the writing is very small on my screen. As
you know, I'm on my phone and I can't see [__] All I'm seeing is black line
going across. I know you're probably sitting there with your mouse saying, "Yeah, this part
right here, but we're not seeing your mouth." You may, but we're not. I caught that a
couple times earlier when you were trying to say right here and then I was like, Matt, what
are you talking about?

I can't see your mouse. Stop trying to say that your mouse is scrolling over it when we can't
see it. You know, I think

that this page right here that I have up actually gets into showing really the
gory details of the stupidity of the people that were um that were we're

dealing with in the government that they actually have to have a page for instructions on what what is the title of the report, what is the date of occurrence, you know, like what they have to actually find these things. And then the last one. And as I said, we've got a job putting these things in order, piecing it together because I something tells me that we might have a different and we may not. It may not paint a different but there's some reason that they scrambled.

This was sent Tuesday, June 24th at 9:17 p.m. So, nighttime. And it went to the courts and CCD US Marshalss.

Matt Rearen photo yada yada.

Um,

so he so he knew

he knew immediately and like who I was. He did the audit on

the 23rd and he already knew and already had all

this stuff. So, it's like they went into overdrive. So,

um I believe we look at the time stamps on

everything. Looks like the main point of contact was

this supervisory marshall in the northern district of

Mississippi, which would make sense because if you look at the Hilden Cesums

thing about reaching over state lines um with the illegal surveillance, uh Lefayet County knows that they

[__] the sheep the sheep dog um multiple times. times over the last, you

know, eight years. And they they look for for scapegoats for for people to

basically do their bidding for them where when it all

gets exposed, it doesn't all come back on the

sheriff's department. So, they can basically spread out the rot.

So, make no mistake about it, zero.

I never had any issues with the Oxford Police Department. For them to say that I've been a persistent issue for their

department. It was Lee County had Oxford PD do their

bidding 100%. And see, Joey East was the police chief

at Oxford Police. when he left that position to become the

sheriff. And I just think that, you know, they

couldn't withstand everything, always coming back on the sheriff's department, and they needed

other people to basically do their bidding. And so they had Hilden Cessums,

which I never met. And then whoever this supervisory agent

at the northern district, you know, district court, I had no association

with that court, nothing. So they had him do the bidding right here.

And it's all And then we saw that one sheet that said LCSO.

I'm telling you. Telling you. I'm telling you. All goes back to that

sheriff's department. That sheriff needs to be in prison.

Well, I've definitely got a couple of ideas, but figuring out if it's the right one,

it's going to take some time. But if they watch this, they'll they'll find

out. They'll find out my name right away.

And it's a lot of information to just immediately come up with for somebody

that's not broken any laws, but simply

that identifies as a journalist and was recording in a public lobby. That is an awful lot of resources to expend, a lot

of stuff to gather in less than 24 hours.

Um, and I think that there's this is worth one more thing um, touching on,

and that's uh, let's see.

All right. Uh, I think I just got stage.

All right. It's up. All right. So,

this right here was a response that I got with the uh record submitted. And

um this reiterates what I requested date range. Pursuant to your request,

the US conducted a search for records responsive to your request and located 14 pages of responsive documentation

within the following offices and division. Uh um

and it states it right there. What I was really after in all this was

communication from state officials because we know the state has involves itself heavily.

Um, it says

uh

says turns it reasonably disclosure withheld information. Further, the USM

has determined it's reasonably foreseeable that disclosure of the withheld information would harm an

agency interest protected by the exemption. What about my interest? What

about my right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness? Or better yet, uh knowing who's giving

out false information about you. You have that right to take them to court

and really nail them for giving out false information that is not true.

Especially when it comes to the Galveistston arrest and saying that you were found [__] guilty when it's

clearly the charges were dropped and the probation revocation was thrown back and said, "Yeah, that's not right way to do it. It's illegal.

Done. He's a free man. You know, you have the right, no matter

what anybody says, to defend your name. You did not commit DUI.

Your violation of probation was overturned. So, it needs to be put out there.

They're not putting out the correct information. It's defamation by law. And

you have the right to know who is saying all these things and who's behind that curtain cuz we all know it ain't the [__] Wizard of Oz.

Yeah. It's it's defamation per se. And um Yeah. So So what I'm trying to understand right here is they located 14 pages and it would

appear that I have 14 pages. So what I'm what I'm trying to understand here these pages are released to you with portions of 10

pages in part pursuant to the following exemptions. What does that mean?

Portions of 10 pages but I got 14

responsive records. Um

so FOY exemption allows an agency to withhold personal medical or similar files of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal

privacy. But it but it never mattered about the

unwarranted invasion of my person privacy. Mhm. And here's one other thing.

This actually uh answers a question that you asked earlier about the guy that attended the court uh house with you. Why is his name redacted? Is it because they're saying that he's part of the agency? Yeah. I there's a lot of questions that

have to be and and I mean I I think that it's it's going to have to be clear that that I mean there's going to be more defendants than I intended.

Matt, I think you got a [__] ton more coming.

Yeah. For right now, it's all on

figuring out who this one person is sending all this information.

So for uh production of such directors could reasonably constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. Um there's something else that I saw. Uh

okay. So who was in danger said to you? Additionally, a personally identifiable

information of third parties named in the records was withheld. Disclosure of third party information

could constitute unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Subject the individuals to embarrassment, harassment, and undue public attention. Individuals have a recognized privacy

interest. Hold on. and not I can't see that bottom part,
but so I'm gonna have to pull it up. But um and and not I need to know that
because if if they're saying that people have um a
uh down individual have a recognized
privacy interest. So I would be an individual and if there's
a recognized interest and if I'm not afforded that but if others are
then that constitutes a 14th amendment violation for equal protection
of the law because it's not being it's it's being applied selectively
But not to me. Exactly.

It has to be fair all around. And they're completely
taking all your information and throwing it out there. But names and email
addresses of people who need to be identified as potential
uh slander prosay. Yeah.

I mean, if they're going to be fair, they might as well release their information, too.

So, they uh so they they

uh so they gave a website to appeal

and see, let me pull this up on StreamYards

on my phone. So I I I did what they said to appeal and of course

um anything any website associated with the government to appeal any incision of
theirs is is almost guaranteed to
not be working. Oh yeah.

So, we've all heard the Yeah, that didn't

come through because our system went down [__]

right? Um, let me studio. Um, you don't have to
bring me on stage on that one. I was doing that to
uh trying to find

Do you need me to take the banner off?

No, I'm trying to find how to Oh, I guess I could have done this also. See?

Uh, take the banner off and you can see the bottom.

Where? Oh, yeah. Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. Um,
yeah, this is it right here. Send this to myself.

Uh

photo sync computer.

Start device detection

also may not be on the same Wi-Fi. I'm on that 2G. That's what it is. Might be on
that um 5G.

Okay, there it is. All right. So, %

So, of course, whenever I uh tried to get to the bottom of getting this appeal file on all of
this, I

probably redressed the Department of Just

um This is basically where I showed

uh exactly how I felt

iPhone.

All right. So, that's going to pop up any second. Yep.

Go ahead and put no working yet. Put that uh video clip in there.

All right. I'm going to take it. It's the last one. Yeah.

No, take take that one off stage. Take take the other Matthew Ven off.

Oh, that would be you. Yeah, I'm saying that there's a video
clip right there on the uh present.

All right, hold on. He's got quite a few name, phone number, and a brief message.

Record your message at the time. When you are finished, hang up or press pound for more options.

Yes, my name is Matthew Rearen. I uh I'm trying to appeal this FOYA response that

I got today. And I got to say, y'all have got the most godamn trashiest

[__] website to do it on this justice.gov uh this this foyer star. I've created a

damn account and there's nowhere to make a request. I've done it on my phone and I've even gone to the computer to go and

and you know do a a a desktop version of it and there's no [__] place that you

can make a request. All it shows you is your current request. And I've gone through each of the links on the profile

area. It bugs out on phone and on computer. Y'all make the [__] [__]

impossible to to appeal. First of all, I mean this shouldn't even need to be

appealed. that should have been, you know, cooperated with. Please give me a call. My number is 66258.

Uh, this is this is ridiculous. I I mean, the Department of Justice should be better than this. This is [__]

trashy. Please give me a call. I'm trying to appeal this [__]

Okay, that was it. That's one way of doing it, you know. So, so, um, what I what I thought was,

and it's it's somewhere. I have to find it. But actually, you know what? I think

it might, and if it's right here on this email, I'll just read it off of this email. I'm not going to try to send it

and upload it. But they had I know there's more records. I know that for a

fact. And they basically have all but admitted it. Um,

right here. So

final response letter think

so they said somewhere I I don't remember where I freaking saw

it but um

they said somewhere that basically

uh supplemental records from other agencies outside of like basically

they're trying to make the insinuation that the Lafayette County Sheriff's Department and Oxford Police and all

that that they were exempt from, you know, being part of their stuff that

they only maintain their own records or something like that. And I think it's pretty, you know,

crystal clear that there's additional communication from

the state that's not shown here. We're all we're seeing

is just literally

communication between Fed to Fed.

Um, they're basically acting and I gotta find where that's where that's at. It's

it's somewhere somewhere on my stuff. But they they actually said that, you know, they weren't going to

provide anything. I think it was I think it was whenever

they acknowledged everything and told me that it was put on the backlog and uh

they were going to need some extra time. Uh, but

I mean I'm not too worried because I know a big lawsuit's about to get filed

and it's all going to come out in Discovery. They can't hide that.

I need to actually get some uh some some preservation notices sent out

immediately. Well, I'm pretty sure I know what you're

going to be talking about, but I am not going to reveal it. So,

yeah. Yeah, you know what I'm talking about. Oh, yeah. They don't.

I'm sure that they think they know, but I'm trying to remember what that thing that

we we discussed that we said we were going to bring up at the end. Um uh about the um

something about the uh about the

Are you talking about the playbook? Huh? Are you talking about the playbook?

how the playbook from the actual case that we were discussing matches up to yours about I'm gonna say 98%.

Well, yeah. No, that's already a given and and and that's already been established, but when you came out here, we discuss like I we were talking about

everything and you were like, "Yeah, that's a really good point. You know, that needs to be brought up right there

at the end." And I'll be damned if I didn't forget what that what that really important point was.

Oh [__] I'll think of it here in a moment.

We think of it, we can always punch it in, you know, um because it was something that that was important that

that, you know, needed on, you know, it was kind of like a a common sense

uh analysis like like line drawn on something.

I'm actually looking for the layout to reset the screens where we're side by

side, but I can't seem to find it anymore. Oh, wait. There it is.

There. Now we're better. Uh, let's see.

playbook is the same that what we talked about.

It looks like I like I don't know if it looks like that on your end, but it looks like my uh like my face is like

all sweated up, but I can promise you it's not like it's like reflection of the light or something.

Kind of my mind. It's whatever light is over to your right

or it would be my right, your left.

So, let's see. So I think uh you know that there's

there's one thing that I want to if we can do this actually I think this

is going to be my my final statement on the matter.

Um, and to do that, I'm going to go right here to

YouTube to the thing that, um, I just put out that right there at the very

end. um you know where I'm basically saying what I'm going to do now because

of you know what y'all like y'all have have literally
um brought this on and this is what I'm going to do. Um,
and I I would just, you know, it I think I hit the nail on the head on
that. And um, I wouldn't mind getting that
reiterated. I'm going to find it real quickly. Just that last little part.
Um it's basically a statement to the DOJ about fact that you know when they
decide to get their thumb unlock the
depth from the crevice of their ass.
Um then maybe I mean at that point then we can we can um
talk about this madness ending. But
okay, so back this up right here.
I need to share this present.

Share screen.

breaking the house. Share audio. Okay.

So, go ahead and put that uh front and center. Make that make it primary.

All right. I'm seeing a picture here. Hopefully the right one.

That's it. Now change the layout.

Yeah, that's about the right layout. That's fine.

Yeah, it doesn't have the layouts with the report. They speak for
themselves. That's why I report everything. That's why everybody
everything, every conversation they have with the government because that's what they do
is they lie and they gaslight.

I'm not hearing it though on my end. Let me see.

Well, I definitely am. So, I can't hear if I'm at the right place.

Not uh

And then as you can see, this is taking a break where they're actually reaping across state
lines. And this is part of

a broader conspiracy to silence my voice and to attack a journalist.

It's not enough that they've done things that they've done. It's not enough that I spent a year and a half locked up for

a false charge from Galveston. Not enough that the prison sentence got vacated because it was illegal. Not enough that my private information got doxed out to 100.

They want to continue this attack further and they wonder why I go out and

I record in public buildings and I record the government. They created this monster. For the idiots that are

watching this live stream that are with the US Marshall Service, with the Department of Justice, with the Lafayette

County Sheriff's Department, with the Oxford Police Department, with the Galveston Police Department, with the

Lake Police Department,

and it's a result of your corruption,

of your conspiracy. You have created this monster that you

hate. You have created this person that you are putting bolts out on. And guess

what? I'm only going to do it more and more and more and more.

I'm going to record every single federal building I come in contact with until

the Department of Justice decides to get off their ass and actually do something

about this. I'm going to hold them accountable. I'm going to record. I'm

going to be well within my rights. And I'm going to sink as many careers as I

can possibly think in the government. It's what I'm going to do. You can hold

it. You can hold me to that. That's what I will do 100%.

I am out to destroy as many careers

with the people that get in my way. And that's not going to stop.

And was that the end of it? I'm not committing any crimes. I'm

exercising my rights as a journalist. You've created this monster.

I'm going to expose it the entire time, just like I'm exposing it now.

And these records that are redacted, they're not going to stay redacted for long. They're either going to get

unredacted on appeal or a lawsuit's going to be filed and

we'll take this all the way up. until a judge actually grants the release and he's redacted their records.

Take it to the bank. I am absolutely livid.

But I can't say that I'm surprised because my intuition has told me to make these public record requests where I found out this information.

And I'm not going to stop digging and finding more and exposing more of the institutional rot that is going on within the government both on the state side and on the federal side. With that, I'm going to end the live

stream. I've got things I've got to do. There was actually mention of a couple of courthouses that they said that I'll show up to at some point. I think I'm

going to go show up there. And I think we need to be reminded about what the government does about

gaslighting being their number one weapon, their number one turn to weapon.

We'll end this live stream right now. gaslight Anthony

and just know not going to intimidate me off of doing what I'm doing.

You get pissed off and mad that I'm doing it. Take an internal look

because it's the people that are angry about what I'm doing. It's the reason why I'm doing it. I'm going to go audit a courthouse.

I'm going to go audit some public buildings. I'm going to be the biggest thorn in their side they've tried to make me out to be. Rest assured, take that to the bank and the Mississippi Highway Patrol. I'm going to find out every single road block you have posted. I'm going to blow the whistle on it and if I can get

there, be on the side of the road raising hell.

making your job as difficult as possible because you didn't want to do your job and exposing the bad cop that assaulted

you. Take that to the bank.

Matthews Matthews [Music]

even The footage showed it

for you

when the system was falling apart. This isn't confusion.

It's strategy gasoleny

[Music] another

[Music]

gas They said

it misunderstood. Evened

word for word for word.

This isn't confusion.

Every

[Music] time

[Music]

gaslighting the government's But every time they lie another rollen

[Music] and we're filming it in real time

[Music]

[Music] And that is what you call righteous

anger. I got

I really just need break. Let's go ahead and remove that.

I know that I'm doing all right. You did it for me. Okay, that

works. All right, so that is the show. Uh Matthews, thank you for showing up.

Thanks for uh sharing your story and all the evidence that you showed us tonight.

I'm definitely going to reach out to you because while I was watching that Gas

Light Anthem, which was a hell of a song. Thank you for writing it. Um I did

come up with a couple of ideas that I want to run by you and unfortunately

I'm not going to reveal it here on YouTube. So,

I think some of these uh folks are going to find out that I'm smarter than

I look and I'm going to dig and I'm going to dig. Hey, these people are going to live in

infamy. Okay. Um through multiple ways and they're just making it worse the

more that they do. I mean, it's just uh Ostein, William Ostein, dumbass

officer over in Galveston, has got I think five tracks attributed to him on the Bad Actors album. So, um,

you know, you know, I've got one on him as well. So, yeah, me out of it.

So, so yeah, let me let me just go ahead and throw that out there. The Bad Actors album is available. You can you can go

to my website, www.wt wtpnews.org.

Um, on the menu, you click on the menu and select Bad Actors, the album, and

you can listen to all 15 tracks right there. I don't have a way to download it on the site uh yet. I'm actually waiting

for one thing in particular before I actually do open that, open up the floodgates on that, but you can listen

to the entire album um on We the People News website. uh wtpnews.org

uh and then click on bad actors album off the menu and check out the site while you're over there because it is a

um it's it's got a lot of of evidence and and great information on it. I

appreciate your time and sticking with me uh throughout this long show times to where you know I love you my brother.

Well, you know me. Never give up. Never surrender. Never back down. Am I giving

up? No. You truly are a brother. Well, you know I look at you the same

way. But um let's get on off here and make sure you guys show. Go over and subscribe to We the People News. Make sure you go and get some memberships for his channel and mine. We are definitely needing it. I still not have gotten one. And hopefully by the time we air this video, I'll have at least 10, but I definitely want to get more. We definitely want to get those super chats in there. That way u I can do some stuff with the extra cash. Maybe do a giveaway. And and and just to touch on that real quickly, um you know, if if if you don't get infuriated and watching this video and if it doesn't invoke some type of feeling in you, um you know, then I don't you might want to check your your folks. Um, but it's uh I I I got to tell you the number one way that they are attacking is going after resources. And we the people have the ability to counter that. So, if you're angry about everything that you just watched, okay, and you really want to let the Department of Justice and US Marshals Service uh basically know that um know your true feelings about it. Uh, I'm I'm just going to say they have literally they have gone after my resources. I'm very resourceful and they have made it so I have literally nothing. I'm unemployable um because of the stuff that's happened and there's a lot of travel involved. Um, you know, fighting for our rights is, you know, I'm not going to stop. I mean, it's it's the these people took it this far, so we're going to take it all the way. And um the number one way to get your voice to to actually um send that message is um you know, drop some support because they want me with zero resources. But if I have resources, I can I taking

I I haven't really been able to take it to the level I need to take it, you know, with these people because they've

tried to literally keep me resourceless. Um you know uh Tyrants beware mentioned

super chats and you know anything is is certainly appreciative but just

understand that with super chats YouTube Google Google is taking 40% of

it. Google's not doing any work. YouTube, you know, they're not doing any work except putting a a weird algorithm

in place that, you know, is is gonna selectively choose when to um throttle

you and when not to. And so, um, keep that in mind with super chats and and

all that. Plus, you know, that stuff doesn't come until the the next month.

Uh the quickest way to make sure that

boots from the ground is always going to be, you know, Cash App, Vinmo, um buy me

a coffee, you know, there's ways that um you can support your local auditors, you

know, your your activist and make sure that, you know, money is really going to

the right purpose and isn't just free flowing into Google Pocket, you know, and installing them off of mine. So, I

just wanted to say that. All right, so let's get on out of here. So, I'm going to actually edit in the

outro and for those who actually love that song,

this is for you. We'll definitely be seeing you in the next one. Take care,

guys. Freedom

and recording. Please remember to like, subscribe, hit

the bell notification, and leave a comment.

Camera rolls troops to raw voices rise, they stand tall. Rise to speak, rights

to show. Under light they freely go. City streets justice calls. Constitution

guards your soul. Keep them honest. Keep them clear. Every word they have to

hear. First amendment shining bright. Hold your ground. Fight the fight.

Freedom speech makes us strong in this land where we belong.

[Music]

Camera rolls truth to roll. Voices rise they stand tall. Rise to speak, rise to show. Under light they freely go. City streets justice calls. Constitution guards a song. Keep them honest. Keep them clear. Every word they have to hear. First Amendment shining bright. Hold your ground. Fight the fight.

Freedom speech makes us strong. In this land where we belong,

[Applause] [Music]

truth to voices rise, they stand tall. Rise to speak, rights to show. Under light they freely go. City streets, justice calls. Constitution guards a song. Keep them honest. Keep them clear. Every word they have to hear. First amendment shining bright. Hold your ground. Fight the fight. Freedom speech makes us strong in this land where we belong.