Interpreting logical expressions

PATRICK D. ELLIOTT

May 23, 2023

Propositional logic: semantics

Semantics of propositional logic

- Expressions of propositional logic are interpreted relative to an assignment function (let's call it g).
 - This is a total function from the propositional variables in the language to truth-values.

$$g_1 := \begin{bmatrix} p \rightarrow \mathsf{True} \\ q \rightarrow \mathsf{True} \\ r \rightarrow \mathsf{False} \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

Semantics of propositional logic cont.

- Specifying a semantics for propositional logic involves recursively defining a denotation function [.]⁸, which maps expressions to truth-values.
 - · If p is atomic, then $[p]^g = g(p)$.
 - $\|\neg \phi\|^g = \text{True iff } \|\phi\|^g = \text{False.}$
 - $\|\phi \wedge \psi\|^g = \text{True iff } \|\phi\|^g = \text{True } \& \|\psi\|^g = \text{True}$
 - · $\llbracket \phi \lor \psi \rrbracket^g = \mathbf{True} \text{ iff } \llbracket \phi \rrbracket^g = \mathbf{True} \text{ or } \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^g = \mathbf{True}$

An example

- $[\neg(p \land q) \lor r]^g = \text{True} \text{ iff } \dots$ • $[\neg(p \land q)]^g = \text{True} \text{ or } [r]^g = \text{True}$ • $[(p \land q)]^g = \text{False} \text{ or } g(r) = \text{True}$ • $[p]^g = \text{False} \text{ or } [q]^g = \text{False} \text{ or } g(r) = \text{True}$ • g(p) = False or g(q) = False or g(r) = True
- The denotation specifies the conditions a variable assignment g
 must meet in order for the sentence to be judged true relative to it.
- This information can be encoded as a truth-table.
- · Given a fixed expression ϕ , you can model $[\![\phi]\!]$ as a function from assignments to truth-values; a truth-table gives a condensed extension of this function.

Truth-table

· $[\neg(p \land q) \lor r]^g =$ True iff g(p) =False or g(q) =False or g(r) =True

p	q	r	$\neg (p \land q) \lor r$
1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0
1	0	1	1
0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1
0	0	0	1

Truth-table: implementation in Haskell

The task

- As an informal assignment, I asked you to try to implement a
 program that maps expressions of PropL (our recursive datatype for
 expressions of propositional logic) to a truth-table.
- In order to implement truth-tables in Haskell, we first need to decide on a couple of datatypes:
 - · The type for a variable assignment.
 - · The type for a truth-table.

Variable assignments in Haskell

- A straightforward option for a variable assignment is a *list of tuples*, where variables are paired with Truth-Values.
- Following our implementation of PropL, we'll assume that variables are just strings.
- We can declare a type synonym using the type keyword to declare a convenient abbreviation for this complex type:

```
type VarAssignment = [(String,Bool)]
```

```
ghci> [("p",True),("q",False),("r",True)]
```

Looking up values

- We need a way of "looking up" what value an assignment gives to a variable.
- In order to do this, we'll define a function lookup of type
 VarAssignment -> String -> Bool.

```
lookup :: VarAssignment -> String -> Bool
lookup [] _ = undefined
lookup ((key,val):ps) x = if key == x then val else lookup ps x
```

• lookup recurses through the list until it finds a tuple whose first element (the "key") matches the argument x; it returns the second element of that tuple (the "value").

Bonus: Generalizing lookup

• Exercise: what's the most general (i.e., maximally polymorphic) type that the definition of lookup is compatible with?

Aside: improving lookup

- Our definition of lookup will do for the purposes of this exercise, but it has some clear deficiencies:
 - Variables can be paired with multiple values (bad); lookup ignore all but the first paired value in the list.
 - lookup is unsafe (i.e., it's a partial function). Specifically, if the provided key isn't part of the variable assignment.
- The solution is to swap out the list of tuples with a data structure tailored for key value pairs - Map from the Data. Map module, which comes with a built-in safe lookup function.
 - We'll learn more about how to make functions like Map safe when we learn about the Maybe constructor, later in the semester.

Denotation

- Now that we have some concept of an assignment, we can recursively define a denotation function interpretAtA, which takes a variable assignment, an expression of PropL and returns a boolean.
 - this is the core implementation of the semantics of propositional logic.
 - · As a reminder, here's the **PropL** datatype.

```
data PropL = PVar String | PNot PropL | PropL `PAnd` PropL | PropL
    `POr` PropL deriving Eq
```

```
interpretAtA :: VarAssignment -> PropL -> Bool
interpretAtA v (PVar p) = lookup v p
```

- · Note that since lookup is unsafe, interpretAtA is also unsafe.
- This means we need to be careful to provide interpretAtA with an assignment which provides a value for every variable in the expression (in fact, we'll automate this).

Completing the recursion

- We can use Haskell's built-in boolean operators to provide a complete denotational semantics for Propl.
- Note that the semantics here completely parallels the recursive definition of the denotation function.

```
interpretAtA :: VarAssignment -> PropL -> Bool
interpretAtA a (PVar p) = lookup a p
interpretAtA a (PNot p) = not (interpretAtA a p)
interpretAtA a (p `PAnd` q) = interpretAtA a p && interpretAtA a q
interpretAtA a (p `POr` q) = interpretAtA a p || interpretAtA a q
```

An aside: case expressions

When we have a function definition which does different things depending on the form of the argument it receives (via pattern matching), we can often make the definition more terse by using a *case expression*.

```
interpretAtA a exp = case exp of
  (PVar p) -> lookup a p
  (PNot p) -> not (interpretAtA a p)
  (p `PAnd` q) -> interpretAtA a p && interpretAtA a q
  (p `POr` q) -> interpretAtA a p | | interpretAtA a q
```

Example

```
ghci> _g1 = [("p",True),("q",False),("r",True)]
ghci> _form1 = PNot (PVar "p" `PAnd` PVar "q") `POr` PVar "r"
ghci> interpretAtA _g1 _form1
True
```

Interim summary

- We've defined interpretA which maps an assignment and a formula to a truth-value (our denotation function).
- Our next task will be to define a function that generates all "relevant" assignments, given a formula. We'll call this mkAssignments.
- First we need a list of all the variables which occur in a formula we'll make use of our existing gatherNames function.

Reminder: gatherNames.

Here, we import a built-in function from the **Data.List** module for removing duplicate entries, rather than implementing it ourselves.

```
import Data.List (nub)

gatherNames' :: PropL -> [String]
gatherNames' (PVar s) = [s]
gatherNames' (PNot p) = gatherNames p
gatherNames' (PAnd p q) = gatherNames p ++ gatherNames q
gatherNames' (POr p q) = gatherNames p ++ gatherNames q
gatherNames = nub . gatherNames'
```

Example

Given a formula **gatherNames** will give you a list of variables in that formula.

```
ghci> gatherNames (PNot (PVar "p" `PAnd` PVar "q") `POr` PVar "r")
["p","q","r"]
```

Generating assignments

Now that we have a list of variables, we need to generate all of the
possible assignments of those variables to truth values, i.e., we need
a function mkAssignments of the following type:

```
mkAssignments :: [String] -> [VarAssignment]
```

 This is probably the hardest part of the task, and will involve some advanced list manipulation.

The secret sauce: replicateM from Control.Monad

We use this to create all sequences of boolean values of length n.

Creating assignments

In order to make assignments, we *zip* a list of variables with a list of boolean values:

```
ghci> import Data.List (zip)

ghci> zip ["p","q","r"] [True,True,True]
[("p",True),("q",True),("r",True)]
```

We need to do this for every list of boolean values of length n, where n is the number of variables we have.

Putting it all together

```
ghci> mkAssignments ["p","q"]
[[("p",True),("q",True)],
[("p",True),("q",False)],
[("p",False),("q",True)],
[("p",False),("q",False)]]
```

Assignments from a formula

To get all the "relevant" assignments for a formula p, we first gather all the variables in p, and then apply mkAssignments to the resulting list.

```
pAssignments :: PropL -> [VarAssignment]
pAssignments = mkAssignments . gatherNames
```

N.b., it's crucial that the definition of **gatherNames** removes duplicates (**nub**) in order for this to work properly.

Generating a truth-table

In order to generate a truth-table, we simply pair each assignment in the output of pAssignments, with the denotation of the formula at that assignment:

```
mkTruthTable :: PropL -> [(VarAssignment,Bool)]
mkTruthTable p = [(a,interpretAtA a p) | a <- pAssignments p]</pre>
```

```
ghci> mkTruthTable (PNot (PVar "p" `PAnd` PVar "q") `POr` PVar
□ "r")
[([("p",True),("q",True),("r",True)],True),
 ([("p",True),("q",True),("r",False)],False),
 ([("p",True),("q",False),("r",True)],True),
 ([("p".True).("g".False).("r".False)].True).
 ([("p".False),("g".True),("r".True)].True).
 ([("p",False),("q",True),("r",False)],True),
 ([("p",False),("q",False),("r",True)],True),
 ([("p",False),("q",False),("r",False)],True)]
```

 $\mathcal{F}in$

References