

Predicate logic cont.

Syntax and semantics

PATRICK D. ELLIOTT

JUNE 6, 2023

- Chapter 12, *Signaling Adversity* of "Haskell from first principles".

Predicate logic

Recap: Implementation in haskell

```
type Name = String
newtype Var = Var Name deriving Eq

data Formula = Atomic String [Var]
    | Neg Formula
    | Formula `Impl` Formula
    | Formula `Conj` Formula
    | Formula `Disj` Formula
    | Forall Var Formula
    | Exists Var Formula
    deriving Eq
```

```
at :: String -> String -> Formula
at p v = Atomic p [Var "v"]

forall :: String -> Formula -> Formula
forall v = Forall (Var "v")
```

Example formula

```
_allDogsBark = forall "x" $ at "dog" "x" `Impl` at "bark" "x"
```

$$\forall x[\text{dog}(x) \rightarrow \text{bark}(x)]$$

- A semantics for predicate logic is stated relative to a *model* and an *assignment*.
 - A model consists of a *domain of individuals* D , and an interpretation function I mapping predicate symbols to boolean-valued functions.
 - I maps a predicate symbol of arity **0** to a boolean value.
 - I maps a predicate symbol of arity **1** to a function $f : D \rightarrow \{\mathbf{True}, \mathbf{False}\}$.
 - I maps a predicate symbol of arity **2** to a function $f : D \times D \rightarrow \{\mathbf{True}, \mathbf{False}\}$
 - ...and so on.
- You're probably more familiar with a presentation where I maps predicate symbols to *sets* rather than functions, but this is equivalent.

An *assignment function* \mathbf{g} is a total function from the set of variables \mathbf{Var} to the domain of individuals D .

$$\mathbf{g}_1 := \left[\begin{array}{ll} x \rightarrow & \mathbf{Bart} \\ y \rightarrow & \mathbf{Milhouse} \\ z \rightarrow & \mathbf{Bart} \\ \dots & \end{array} \right]$$

We can now define $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{M,g}$ for atomic sentences, where $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{M,g}$ is a total function from wffs of predicate logic to boolean values.

$$\cdot \quad \llbracket P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rrbracket^{M,g} = I(P)(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n))$$

The semantics of the logical connectives is the same as in propositional logic.

- $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$
- $\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ or $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$
- $\llbracket \phi \rightarrow \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{False}$ or $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$
- $\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{True}$ iff $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} = \mathbf{False}$

- The semantics for quantifiers is a little more involved.:
 - $\llbracket \exists x \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} =$
True iff there is some assignment g' s.t. $g[x]g'$ and $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g'} = \mathbf{True}$
 - $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket^{M,g} =$
True iff there is no assignment g' s.t. $g[x]g'$ and $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket^{M,g'} = \mathbf{False}$

- $g[x]g'$ means that assignments g and g' differ *only* in the value they assign to x .

Interlude: hackage

- **Hackage** is the haskell package repository.
 - Chances are, if we can't find the datatype/function we need in the the haskell prelude, we can find it in a package on hackage.
 - Today I'll make use of one of the most ubiquitous haskell packages - *containers* - which provides an implementation of sets in the module `Data.Set`.

containers: Assorted concrete container types

[`bsd!`, `data-structures`, `library`] [`Propose Tag`]

This package contains efficient general-purpose implementations of various immutable container types including sets, maps, sequences, trees, and graphs.

For a walkthrough of what this package provides with examples of common operations see the [containers introduction](#).

The declared cost of each operation is either worst-case or amortized, but remains valid even if structures are shared.

[Build](#) [Install OK](#) [Documentation](#) [Available](#)

Versions [RSS]

01.0.0, 01.0.1, 0.2.0.0, 0.2.0.1, 0.3.0.0, 0.4.0.0, 0.4.1.0, 0.4.2.0, 0.4.2.1, 0.5.0.0, 0.5.1.0, 0.5.2.0, 0.5.2.1, 0.5.3.0, 0.5.3.1, 0.5.4.0, 0.5.5.0, 0.5.5.1, 0.5.6.0, 0.5.6.1, 0.5.6.2, 0.5.6.3, 0.5.7.0, 0.5.7.1, 0.5.8.1, 0.5.8.2, 0.5.9.1, 0.5.9.2, 0.5.10.1, 0.5.10.2, 0.5.11.0, 0.6.0.1, 0.6.1.1, 0.6.2.1, 0.6.3.1, 0.6.4.1, 0.6.5.1, 0.6.6, **0.6.7** (info)

Change log

[changelog.md](#)

Dependencies

`array` (`>=0.4.0.0`), `base` (`>=4.9.1` & `<4.5`), `deepseq` (`>=1.2` & `<1.5`), `template-haskell` [detail]

- To add packages from hackage to your haskell project there are basically two options.
 - **Cabal**, the official haskell project/package manager.
 - If you've installed ghc, you probably already have this installed.
 - **Stack**, an unofficial, but simple and widely-used project/package manager.

Qualified import

- It's good practice to use a *qualified* import for **Data.Set**, since some of the exported functions overlap with prelude (e.g., **delete**).
- We can use the *overloaded lists* language extension to simply express sets using list syntax.
 - Alternatively, you can build a set explicitly using **S.fromList**.

```
{-# LANGUAGE OverloadedLists #-}
```

```
import qualified Data.Set as S
```

```
aSet :: S.Set Int
```

```
aSet = [1,2,1,3]
```

```
ghci> aSet
```

```
fromList [1,2,3]
```


- `Data.Set` means that we no longer have to worry about accidentally duplicating elements of a list.
- The order of elements in a set doesn't matter.

```
ghci> [1,2,3] `S.union` [1,2,4]
fromList [1,2,3,4]
ghci> [1,2,3] `S.intersection` [1,2,4]
fromList [1,2]
ghci> S.delete 1 [1,2,1,3]
fromList [2,3]
ghci> fromList [1,2] == fromList [2,1]
True
```

Model theoretic semantics

Implementing a model

- In order to implement a semantics for predicate logic in Haskell, we first need to implement a *model*.
- The most convenient choice for an entity type is **Int**, since we can define some predicates in terms of built in functions in Haskell.

```
newtype Entity = E Int deriving (Eq, Show, Ord)
```

```
domE :: S.Set Entity
```

```
domE = S.fromList $ E <$> [1..10]
```

- Note: since we want computation for quantificational statements such as "everyone left" to *terminate*, it's particularly important that we define a finite domain as a subset of the set of integers (i.e., `domE`).

Adding predicates

```
oddP :: [Entity] -> Bool
```

```
oddP [E n] = odd n
```

```
oddP _ = undefined
```

```
evenP :: [Entity] -> Bool
```

```
evenP [E n] = even n
```

```
evenP _ = undefined
```

```
isEqualR :: [Entity] -> Bool
```

```
isEqualR [E n, E n'] = n == n'
```

```
isEqualR _ = undefined
```

```
evenlyDivisibleR :: [Entity] -> Bool
```

```
evenlyDivisibleR [E n, E n'] = (n `rem` n') == 0
```

```
evenlyDivisibleR _ = undefined
```

- Note: functions are simply left undefined if the wrong number of arguments are supplied.

Interpretation function

```
type I = String -> [Entity] -> Bool

lexicon :: String -> [Entity] -> Bool
lexicon "odd" = oddP
lexicon "even" = evenP
lexicon "evenlyDivisible" = evenlyDivisibleR
lexicon "isEqual" = isEqualR
lexicon _ = const True
```

Gathering variables

```
allVars :: Formula -> S.Set Var
allVars s = case s of
  (Atomic p vs) -> S.fromList vs
  (Neg p) -> allVars p
  (p `Impl` q) -> allVars p `S.union` allVars q
  (p `Conj` q) -> allVars p `S.union` allVars q
  (p `Disj` q) -> allVars p `S.union` allVars q
  (Forall v p) -> v `S.insert` allVars p
  (Exists v p) -> v `S.insert` allVars p
```

```
import Control.Monad (replicateM)

mkAssignments :: [Var] -> [Entity] -> S.Set Assignment
mkAssignments vs d = S.fromList [M.fromList $ zip vs es | es <-
  ↪ replicateM (length vs) d]
```

```
import qualified Data.Map as M

type Dom = S.Set Entity

eval :: I -> Dom -> Assignment -> Formula -> Bool
eval i d g (Atomic p vs) = i p [ g M.! v | v <- vs]
eval i d g (Neg p) = not $ eval i d g p
eval i d g (p `Conj` q) = eval i d g p && eval i d g q
eval i d g (p `Disj` q) = eval i d g p || eval i d g q
eval i d g (p `Impl` q) = not (eval i d g p) || eval i d g q
eval i d g (Exists v p) = undefined
```


Quantification via generalized conjunction/disjunction

```
eval i d g (Exists v p) = disjoin [ eval i d (modify g v x) p | x  
  ↪  <- S.toList d]  
eval i d g (Forall v p) = conjoin [eval i d (modify g v x) p | x  
  ↪  <- S.toList d]
```

Maybe

The **Maybe** datatype

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

Maybe is used to explicitly reason about undefinedness.

Writing a function with **Maybe**

- We use **Maybe** to write partial functions.
- For example, here is a safe version of **head** using **Maybe**:

```
safeHead :: [a] -> Maybe a
safeHead [] = Nothing
safeHead (x:xs) = Just x
```

- Kinds are types *one level up*, used to describe the types of *type constructors* such as **Maybe**.

```
ghci> :kind Int
Int  :: *
ghci> :k Bool
Bool :: *
ghci> :k Char
Char :: *
```

Here is a datatype isomorphic to **Maybe**:

```
data Example a = Blah | Woot a
```

- **Question:** what is the *kind* of **Example**

- **Question:** What is the kind of **Maybe**?
- **Question:** What is kind of the tuple type constructor `(,)`?
- **Question:** What is kind of the list type constructor `[]`?
- **Question:** What is kind of the function type constructor `(->)`?

Which of the following are concrete types?

```
ghci> :k Maybe Maybe
ghci> :k Maybe Bool
ghci> :k Example (Maybe (Maybe Bool))
ghci> :k Maybe Example
ghci> :l Maybe (Example Int)
```


- A functor is a way to apply a function over or around some immutable structure.
- Functors are a notion from category theory (a mapping from categories to categories), implemented in Haskell as a *type-class*.
- Remember, type-classes categorize types based on certain well-defined behaviours.

The functor type-class

```
class Functor f where  
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Notice that f is a *higher-kinded type*.

Examples of fmap

```
ghci> fmap (\x -> x > 3) [1..6]
[False,False,False,True,True,True]
ghci> fmap not (Just True)
Just False
ghci> fmap not Nothing
Nothing
```

This means that `[]` and **Maybe** are both higher-kinded types which implement the typeclass **Functor**.

fmap as function application

```
(<$>) :: Functor f =>  
  (a -> b) -> f a -> f b  
($ ) ::  
  (a -> b) -> a -> b
```

```
ghci> (\n -> n+1) <$> Just 3  
Just 4
```

- Instances of the **Functor** type class should abide by two basic laws.
 - **Alert:** ghci won't always warn you if you write a functor instance that doesn't obey these laws!
- The laws are:
 - Identity.
 - Composition.

The identity law

```
fmap id == id
```

- **Question:** what are the types of `fmap` and `id` in this expression?
- **Question:** what does this law guarantee?

```
fmap (f . g) == fmap f . fmap g
```

- If we compose two functions and **fmap** over some structure, we should get the same result as if we mapped and then composed them.

```
fmap ((+1) . (*2)) [1..5]  
fmap (+1) . fmap (*2) $ [1..5]
```

By composing `fmap` with itself we can tunnel into complex expressions.

```
(fmap . fmap) (++ "lol") (Just ["Hi,", "Hello"])
```

- **Exercise:** normalize this expression by hand.

Fin

