

## 1. States with the Highest Profit

```
1  WITH temp AS (
2    SELECT
3      state,
4      SUM(profit) AS sum_profit,
5      SUM(amount) AS sum_sales
6    FROM dane
7    GROUP BY state
8    ORDER BY sum_profit DESC
9  )
10   SELECT *,
11     ROUND(sum_profit*100/sum_sales,0) AS proc_margin
12   FROM temp|
```

	state text	sum_profit bigint	sum_sales bigint	proc_margin numeric
1	Florida	308706	1091174	28
2	New York	308506	1130048	27
3	California	278814	1086436	25
4	Texas	257780	1011475	25
5	Illinois	240372	978738	24
6	Ohio	216519	884768	24

- Florida generates the highest total profit despite not recording the highest total sales. This suggests a higher profit margin and stronger overall profitability compared to other states
- Observed positive relation between total sales and profit margin. States with higher sales tend to achieve slightly higher margins
- Profit margins remain relatively consistent across states (24–28%)

## 2. Most Profitable Category in Each State

```
1  WITH temp AS (
2    SELECT
3      state,
4      category,
5      SUM(profit) AS sum_profit,
6      SUM(profit)*100/SUM(SUM(profit)) OVER (PARTITION BY state) AS p_profit,
7      ROW_NUMBER() OVER (PARTITION BY state ORDER BY SUM(profit) DESC) AS num
8    FROM dane
9    GROUP BY state, category
10   )
11   SELECT state, category, sum_profit, ROUND(p_profit,2) AS proc_profit
12   FROM temp
13   WHERE num=1
14   ORDER BY sum_profit DESC|
```

	state text	category text	sum_profit bigint	proc_profit numeric
1	California	Furniture	115391	41.39
2	Florida	Electronics	111892	36.25
3	New York	Office Supplies	108449	35.15
4	Texas	Electronics	103942	40.32
5	Illinois	Office Supplies	91755	38.17
6	Ohio	Furniture	80369	37.12

- The most profitable product category varies across states, indicating differences in local demand and market structure
- A particularly strong category dominance is visible in California (Furniture) and in Texas (Electronics), where over 40% of total profit is generated by the leading category

### 3. Sales vs Profitability Analysis (for sub-categories with sales >= 300k)

```
1  WITH temp AS (
2    SELECT
3      sub_category,
4      category,
5      SUM(profit) AS sum_profit,
6      SUM(amount) AS sum_sales
7    FROM dane
8    GROUP BY category,sub_category
9    HAVING SUM(amount)>=300000
10   )
11  SELECT *,
12    ROUND(sum_profit*100/sum_sales,0) AS proc_margin
13  FROM temp
14  ORDER BY sum_sales DESC
```

	sub_category text	category text	sum_profit bigint	sum_sales bigint	proc_margin numeric
1	Markers	Office Supplies	174749	627875	27
2	Tables	Furniture	156796	625177	25
3	Sofas	Furniture	142854	568367	25
4	Printers	Electronics	146259	566359	25
5	Electronic Gam...	Electronics	148454	565092	26
6	Pens	Office Supplies	129846	552269	23
7	Paper	Office Supplies	149723	524755	28
8	Phones	Electronics	113607	503055	22
9	Chairs	Furniture	122892	431964	28
10	Laptops	Electronics	110260	419950	26
11	Bookcases	Furniture	118000	413165	28
12	Binders	Office Supplies	97257	384611	25

- High sales volume at the sub-category level does not necessarily correlate with higher profit margins
- The highest sales and total profit are generated by Markers and Tablets. However, the highest profit margins are achieved by Paper, Chairs and Bookcases (28%), followed by Markers (27%)
- The lowest profit margins are observed for Phones (22%) and Pens (23%)

### 4. Year-Over-Year Performance Analysis

```
1  WITH sums AS (
2    SELECT
3      year,
4      SUM(profit) AS sum_profit,
5      SUM(amount) AS sum_sales
6    FROM dane
7    GROUP BY year
8    ORDER BY year
9  ),
10  yoy AS (
11    SELECT *, ROUND(sum_profit*100/sum_sales,0) AS proc_margin,
12    LAG(sum_profit) OVER (ORDER BY year) AS prev_profit,
13    LAG(sum_sales) OVER (ORDER BY year) AS prev_sales
14    FROM sums
15  )
16  SELECT
17  year,
18  ROUND((sum_profit-prev_profit)*100/prev_profit,1) AS yoy_profit,
19  ROUND((sum_sales-prev_sales)*100/prev_sales,1) AS yoy_sales,
20  proc_margin
21  FROM yoy
```

	year integer	yo_y_profit numeric	yo_y_sales numeric	proc_margin numeric
1	2020	[null]	[null]	26
2	2021	26.0	37.0	23
3	2022	38.0	23.0	26
4	2023	-18.0	-15.0	26
5	2024	-4.0	-2.0	25

- **2021:** Strong growth in both profit and sales
- **2022:** Continued growth in profit and sales, with an improvement in margin. These two years represent a period of strong performance for the company
- **2023:** A noticeable decline in both profit and sales, while the margin remained stable at 26%. This suggests the end of the growth phase and the beginning of a slowdown.
- **2024:** A slight further decrease in profit and sales, indicating that the decline is slowing down and the company may be stabilizing

## 5. Sales Trends by Months

```

1  WITH temp AS(
2    SELECT
3      month,
4      ROUND(AVG(profit),0) as a_profit,
5      CASE
6        WHEN month='styczeń' OR month='luty' OR month='marzec' THEN 1
7        WHEN month='kwiecień' OR month='maj' OR month='czerwiec' THEN 2
8        WHEN month='lipiec' OR month='sierpień' OR month='wrzesień' THEN 3
9        WHEN month='październik' OR month='listopad' OR month='grudzień' THEN 4
10      END AS quarter
11      FROM dane
12      GROUP BY month, year
13    )
14    SELECT month, ROUND(AVG(a_profit),0) AS avg_profit, quarter
15    FROM temp
16    GROUP BY month, quarter
17    ORDER BY avg_profit DESC

```

	month text	avg_profit numeric	quarter integer
1	marzec	1630	1
2	sierpień	1472	3
3	wrzesień	1412	3
4	czerwiec	1356	2
5	kwiecień	1343	2
6	lipiec	1337	3
7	listopad	1310	4
8	październik	1292	4
9	luty	1282	1
10	grudzień	1255	4
11	styczeń	1218	1
12	maj	1209	2

- The highest average profit is observed in March (1630), while the lowest is recorded in May (1209)
- The difference between the most and least profitable months is around 25%, which suggests seasonality in sales
- Higher profits are generally observed in the 2nd and 3rd quarters than in 1st and 4th quarters