

△ Stochastic Programming

remark:

- difference between stochastic & regular
- stochastic programming:
 - we know the distribution
 - optimize the expected value
- robust programming:
 - we do not know the distribution
 - minimize w.r.t. worst-case

△ The Financial Problem

- given: sales
 - $\{2.5 \text{ Zone 1}, 3 \text{ Zone 2}, 20 \text{ Zone 3}\}$ → 500 min and 500 max
 - $\{200 \text{ T. min}, 200 \text{ T. max}\}$ → n min
 - $\{100 \text{ W. min}, 100 \text{ W. max}\}$ → 200 min
 - $\{2.5 \text{ Zone 1}, 3 \text{ Zone 2}, 20 \text{ Zone 3}\}$ → adding price
 - $\{100 \text{ T. min}, 100 \text{ T. max}\}$ → adding price
 - $\{100 \text{ W. min}, 100 \text{ W. max}\}$ → adding price
- $\max -150x_1 - 230x_2 - 260x_3$
- $+170w_1 + 150w_2 + 26w_3 + 10w_4$
- $-100t_1 + y_1 - 150t_2 + y_2$

s.t.

$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 500$

$w_1 \leq 6000$

$2.5x_1 + y_1 - w_1 \geq 200$

$3x_2 + y_2 - w_2 \geq 240$

$w_3 + w_4 \leq 2000$

$x_1 \geq 0$

$w_1 \geq 0$

$y_1, y_2 \geq 0$

(solution: 118,600)

△ Induction of stochastic programming

Normal year

Good year

Bad year

Better year

Worse year

assume the occurrence of the respective years is equal

good year: 1/3, bad year: 1/3, better year: 1/3, worse year: 1/3

new formulation (minimizing the expected value)

$\min -150x_1 - 230x_2 - 260x_3$

$+170w_1 + 150w_2 + 26w_3 + 10w_4$

$+3(t_1w_1 + t_2w_2 + t_3w_3 + t_4w_4) - 100(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$

$+3(y_1 + y_2) - 100(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$

$+3(w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4)$

s.t.

$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 500$

$w_1 \leq 6000$

$2.5x_1 + y_1 - w_1 \geq 200$

$3x_2 + y_2 - w_2 \geq 240$

$w_3 + w_4 \leq 2000$

$3x_1 + y_1 - w_1 \geq 200$

$3.6x_2 + y_2 - w_2 \geq 240$

$w_3 + w_4 \leq 2400$

$x_1 \geq 0$

$w_1 \geq 0$

$y_1, y_2 \geq 0$

much harder to solve, hard to satisfy each condition

△ Expected Value of Perfect Information (EVPI)

assume

good year: 1/2,667

normal year: 1/2,667

bad year: 1/2,667

if respectively X_1, X_2, X_3 to each year

then expected value 115,406

→ perfect information is

yet, same as above, standard stochastic problem,

the solution is 108,870

perfect information: 115,406 - 108,870

= 6,536

"expected value of perfect information"

→ represents the loss of profit

due to the presence of uncertainty

△ Value of stochastic solution

perfect info: 115,406

no info: 108,870, $\exists 2.0\%$

expected profit: 108,240

expected value of profit info: 108,240

value of stochastic sol: 1,160

remake:

EVPS measures the value of knowing

the future for making

VSS measures the value of having

the chance of getting desired outcomes

△ General Model Formulation

* 1st stage decisions: x
 (two different x in 1st stage)
 $\min Q(x) = \min_{x \in K_1} Q(x, \gamma)$

* 2nd stage decisions: y , $Q(x, \gamma)$
 (different decisions
 "realization of random var. γ , $Q(x, \gamma)$)
 $\min Q(x, \gamma) = \min_{y \in K_2} Q(x, y, \gamma)$

$\min Q(x) = \min_{x \in K_1} \min_{y \in K_2} Q(x, y)$

$Q(x, \gamma) = \min_{y \in K_2} Q(x, y, \gamma)$

$\gamma = [b, h, T]$ random variable

e.g. assume the financial problem

$Q(x, \gamma) = \min \{2.5x_1 - 170w_1 + 210x_2 - 150w_2 - 36w_3 - 10w_4\}$

s.t. $T_1x_1 + y_1 - w_1 \geq 200$
 $T_2x_2 + y_2 - w_2 \geq 240$
 $w_3 + w_4 \leq 2000$
 $y_1, y_2 \geq 0$

$T(\gamma)$ is the field of the crop

△ Implicit Representation

↓
 condensed implicit representation

$\min Q(x) = \min_{x \in K_1} \min_{y \in K_2} Q(x, y)$

$\min Q(x) = \min_{x \in K_1} Q(x)$

$Q(x) = E_Q Q(x, \gamma)$

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$E_Q Q(x, \gamma)$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

$Q(x) = E_Q \{Q(x, \gamma)\}$
 γ is the value of second stage for a given realization of the random var.

△ News Vender Problem

* News vender buys x newspaper @ c price

* $C \leq u$
 sell each newspaper @ g price

* return unsold paper @ r price

* $u \leq C$

* $Q: x = ?, \gamma$ demands γ (random)

y sales
 w returning paper

↓

$\min Q(x)$
 $0 \leq x \leq u$

$Q(x) = E_Q Q(x, \gamma)$ → profit function

$Q(x) = \min_{\gamma} g(x) - rw(\gamma)$

$y(\gamma) \leq x$
 $y(\gamma) + w(\gamma) \leq x$

and $y(\gamma), w(\gamma) \geq 0$

$Q(x) = \min_{\gamma} \{g(x) - rw(\gamma)\}$

solution omitted here

△ A Rating Example

solution omitted here

△ Probability Space

* event outcome W
 * set of W Ω
 * subsets of Ω \mathcal{L}
 * elements of \mathcal{A} $A \in \mathcal{A}$

* $A \rightarrow P(A)$
 * (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) probability space

↓ ω

△ Cumulative distribution

$F(x) = P(\gamma \leq x)$

$F_\gamma(x) = P(\{\gamma | \gamma \leq x\})$

* distribution

$f(\gamma^k) = P(\gamma = \gamma^k)$
 s.t. $\sum_{\gamma^k} f(\gamma^k) = 1$

$P(A) = \sum_{\gamma^k \in A} f(\gamma^k)$

$M = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma dF(\gamma)$

* variance

$E[(\gamma - M)^2]$

* expectation of γ^n is called n^{th} moment
 $\text{of } \gamma \rightarrow \gamma^{(n)} = E[\gamma^n]$
 central moment

* η is called α -quantile of γ
 i.e. $0 < \alpha < 1$

$\eta = \min \{x | F(x) \geq \alpha\}$

now, we can apply the something else

Q_1, Q_2 , then:

↓

△ Continuous Random Variables

For example: $\gamma \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

For example: $\gamma \sim U(a, b)$

The global problem is $\min Q(x)$

s.t. $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$\gamma \in \mathbb{$

△ Stochastic Integer Programs.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in X} \quad & \bar{z} = Cx + Q(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & \bar{z}(x) = E_Q \min \{ f(x), g(x) \} \\ & \quad \text{where } f(x) = h(x) - T(x), \\ & \quad g(x) = 6T(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$X \subseteq Z$$

$$Y \subseteq Z$$

△ Resource Problems

Proposition 20: The expected recourse function $\bar{Q}(x)$ of an integer program is in general, nonconvex, nonconcave, and discontinuous.

Proposition 21: The expected recourse function $\bar{Q}(x)$ of an integer program with an absolutely continuous random variable is continuous.

Proposition 22: The second-stage feasibility set $R_2(O)$ is in general nonconvex.

△ Simple Integer Recourse

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in X} \quad & \bar{z} = Cx \\ & + \sum_k p_k \left[\min \{ f_k(x), g_k(x) \} + T_k(x) \right] \\ & \quad \text{where } f_k(x) = h_k(x) - T_k(x) \\ & \quad g_k(x) = 6T_k(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \in X \quad \text{with integer components} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{recall: } w(y) = h(y) - T(y)$$

In stochastic programming, the second stage decision becomes discrete under uncertainty, where the future decisions are not made with certainty. The constraints now involve partial differential equations.

The first stage decision x represents the current state of the system.

The second stage decision y represents the future state of the system.

The problem is to find the best decision in the first stage, denoted by \bar{x} , which balances against the uncertainty of the second stage decisions.

In practice, we want to have a more compact model because it involves flexibility and allows a broader range of decisions. A simple decision makes it easier for computations and storage requirements. It also makes it easier to implement and solve.

We can use linear programming to solve this problem.

here, we can use linearizing [We It's like]

& derivative I of \bar{y} based on the output of $\bar{h}(x)$

$$\text{now: } \bar{y}$$

$$\min_{x \in X} \bar{z} = 100x_1 + 150x_2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\min_{x \in X} \bar{z} = Cx + \theta$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$Dx \geq z \leq d$$

$$Ex \geq e \leq E$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$\min_{w \in Y} \bar{w} = C^T w + \theta$$

$$w \geq 0$$

$$Ew = \sum_k p_k (\pi_k w)^T T_k$$

$$Ee = \sum_k p_k (\pi_k e)^T T_k$$

$$w = Ew - Ee$$

$$w = Ee - Ee$$

<math display="

△ Dynamical Systems

$$e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$$

$$e^A = \exp A$$

$$= I + A + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 + \frac{1}{4!} A^4 + \dots$$

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$

$$f(x) = f(0) + \frac{df}{dx}(0)(x-0)$$

$$+ \frac{\frac{d^2f}{dx^2}(0)}{2!}(x-0)^2$$

$$+ \frac{\frac{d^3f}{dx^3}(0)}{3!}(x-0)^3$$

e.g.

$$f(x) = \sin(x) \quad @ \quad x \approx 0$$

$$= \sin(0) + \cos(0)x + -\sin(0) \cdot \frac{x^2}{2}$$

$$= x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \frac{x^7}{7!} + \dots$$

$$f(x) = \cos(x) \quad @ \quad x \approx 0$$

$$= 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + \dots$$

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$

$$e^{ix} = 1 + ix + \frac{(ix)^2}{2!} + \frac{(ix)^3}{3!}$$

$$= \left(1 + \frac{-x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{4!} + \dots\right)$$

$$+ i \left(x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots\right)$$

$$= \cos x + i \sin x$$