

STDF project 246: creating an SPS Action Plan for Cambodia

Task force meeting, III

FAO, Cambodia: 27 July 2009

H.E. Hang Moeun, Camcontrol
Dr Mike Robson, FAO

STDF 246: an SPS Action Plan for Cambodia

if Cambodia can better manage the SPS risks associated with its food and agricultural products it will be better placed to sell them as exports

agenda

1. introductions (all)
2. project status (MR)
3. discussion (all)
4. intervention from STDF (M. Hopper)
5. other business

2. project status - main activities

1. **sector-based studies**

- which sectors could produce to sell where (possible value \$\$\$)
- what are the inherent SPS risks
- how can these be mitigated (at what cost \$\$\$)

2. **system-wide synthesis includes**

- border inspection
- laboratories, testing and diagnostics
- certification of exports
- field/ production/ Good Agricultural Practices

project status - summary report



- 1. sector studies**
- 2. plan for sector studies**
- 3. system-wide synthesis**

/1 sector-based studies (3)

1. phytosanitary risks on high/medium potential export products (rice, mango, other?) – field/ storage pests
2. management of contamination risks – to enable farmers to capture high value niche markets
3. progress on fisheries action plan

[supported by an economist/ agronomist]

Terms of reference – Phytosanitary consultant

1. Review recent literature on sector development (mango, cashew, etc)
2. Determine criteria for selection of additional fruit and vegetable products
3. Agree a selection of products with GDA MAFF (mango +....)
4. Determine possible **phytosanitary** risks for selected products.
5. Identify market access conditions for the selected products in principal markets (eg US, Japan, EU, Australia, China, India, ASEAN)
6. Identify measures to be taken to avoid or address phytosanitary risks
7. Outline for national scheme(s) to show phytosanitary risks are managed
8. Determine what **investment** would be needed to address risks and ensure adequate documentation for market access.

Terms of reference – Phytosanitary consultant

1. Review recent literature on sector development (mango, cashew, etc)
2. Determine criteria for selection of additional fruit and vegetable products
3. Agree a selection of products with GDA MAFF (mango +....)
4. Determine possible **phytosanitary** risks for selected products.
5. Identify market access conditions for the selected products in principal markets (eg US, Japan, EU, Australia, China, India, ASEAN)
6. Identify measures to be taken to avoid or address phytosanitary risks
7. Outline for national scheme(s) to show phytosanitary risks are managed
8. Determine what **investment** would be needed to address risks and ensure adequate documentation for market access.

Terms of reference – Laboratory/contamination risks consultant

1. Review previous projects on laboratory capacity for analysis of contamination of food products
2. Determine likely contamination risks for selected products.
3. Identify market access conditions for selected products in principal markets
4. Analyse existing laboratory capacity for contamination testing
5. Identify any new capacity needed, and make proposals with options regarding the improved organisation of this capacity
6. Outline requirements for a system to demonstrate that contamination risks have been addressed
7. Determine what investment would be needed to address the identified contamination risks to ensure adequate documentation for market access.

Terms of reference – Laboratory/contamination risks consultant

1. Review previous projects on laboratory capacity for analysis of contamination of food products
2. Determine likely contamination risks for selected products.
3. Identify market access conditions for selected products in principal markets
4. Analyse existing laboratory capacity for contamination testing
5. Identify any new capacity needed, and make proposals with options regarding the improved organisation of this capacity
6. Outline requirements for a system to demonstrate that contamination risks have been addressed
7. Determine what investment would be needed to address the identified contamination risks to ensure adequate documentation for market access.

Terms of reference – Fisheries sector consultant

1. Review materials on compliance of fisheries sector with int'l standards
2. Determine current progress towards previously-defined action plans
3. Confirm market access conditions for fisheries products in principal markets
4. Analyse existing options for meeting contamination testing requirements of the various markets, and suggest improvements
5. Identify measures to avoid or address potential contamination risks
6. Outline requirements for a system to demonstrate that contamination risks in the sector have been countered
7. Determine what investment would be needed to address the identified contamination risks and to ensure adequate documentation for market access.

Terms of reference – Fisheries sector consultant

1. Review materials on compliance of fisheries sector with int'l standards
2. Determine current progress towards previously-defined action plans
3. Confirm market access conditions for fisheries products in principal markets
4. Analyse existing options for meeting contamination testing requirements of the various markets, and suggest improvements
5. Identify measures to avoid or address potential contamination risks
6. Outline requirements for a system to demonstrate that contamination risks in the sector have been countered
7. Determine what investment would be needed to address the identified contamination risks and to ensure adequate documentation for market access.



current status:

- further interviews/ brainstorming to define studies (20-24 July)
- terms of reference prepared for international consultants (draft)
- consultants identified (2/4)
- national consultants – first study defined on imports of fresh produce to high value niche (tourism, etc) – by 31 Aug

/2 plan for sector studies

- 4 international consultants (RK; KZ?) to start from September – 4-6 weeks input each
- national consultant support
- progress review meeting (end October)
- studies to be complete by end December

/3 system-wide synthesis

to be:

- based on sector studies
- written up in January-February 2010
- reviewed by senior stakeholder group, and with development partners, finalised March 2010



3. discussion