

Paul Bouscasse

July 2022

Faculty of Economics
University of Cambridge
Austin Robinson Building
Sidgwick Avenue
Cambridge, CB3 9DD, UK

+33 6 71 03 15 91
paul.bouscasse@columbia.edu
www.paul-bouscasse.com
Date of birth: 12/13/1990

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

Sciences Po, Paris, France
Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, From 2023

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
Postdoctoral Research Associate, Faculty in Economics, 2022–23

EDUCATION

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
PhD in Economics, 2022

Ecole Polytechnique & Paris School of Economics, Palaiseau and Paris, France
Master in Analysis and Policy in Economics, With Highest Honors (*Mention Très Bien*), 2015

HEC Paris, Jouy-en-Josas, France
Master in Management (*Programme Grande Ecole*), 2014

FIELDS OF SPECIALIZATION

Macroeconomics, Monetary Economics, International Finance, Economic History

WORKING PAPERS

Canst Thou Beggar Thy Neighbour? Evidence From the 1930s

Does an exchange rate depreciation depress trading partners' output? I address this question through the lens of a classic episode: the currency devaluations of the 1930s. From 1931 to 1936, many of the biggest economies in the world successively left the gold standard or devalued, leading to a depreciation of their currency by more than 30% against gold. In theory, the effect is ambiguous for countries that did not devalue: expenditure switching can lower their output, but the monetary stimulus to demand might raise it. I use cross-sectional evidence to discipline the strength of these two mechanisms in a multi-country model. This evidence comes in two forms: (i) causal inference of the effect of devaluation on country-level variables, (ii) new product-level data to estimate parameters

that are essential to discipline the response of trade — the international elasticity of substitution among foreign varieties, and the pass-through of the exchange rate to international prices. Contrary to the popular narrative in modern policy debates, I find that devaluation did not dramatically lower the output of trading partners in this context. The expenditure switching effect was mostly offset by the monetary stimulus to foreign demand.

When Did Growth Begin? New Estimates of Productivity Growth in England from 1250 to 1870, with Emi Nakamura and Jón Steinsson

We provide new estimates of the evolution of productivity in England from 1250 to 1870. Real wages over this period were heavily influenced by plague-induced swings in the population. We develop and implement a new methodology for estimating productivity that accounts for these Malthusian dynamics. In the early part of our sample, we find that productivity growth was zero. Productivity growth began in 1600—almost a century before the Glorious Revolution. Post-1600 productivity growth had two phases: an initial phase of modest growth of 4% per decade between 1600 and 1810, followed by a rapid acceleration at the time of the Industrial Revolution to 18% per decade. Our evidence helps distinguish between theories of why growth began. In particular, our findings support the idea that broad-based economic change preceded the bourgeois institutional reforms of 17th century England and may have contributed to causing them. We also estimate the strength of Malthusian population forces on real wages. We find that these forces were sufficiently weak to be easily overwhelmed by post-1800 productivity growth.

Supply or Demand: What Drives Fluctuations in the Bank Loan Market? with Carlo Altavilla and Miguel Boucinha

We propose a new methodology to identify aggregate demand and supply shocks in the bank loan market. We present a model of sticky bank-firm relationships, estimate its structural parameters in euro area credit register data, and infer aggregate shocks based on those estimates. To achieve credible identification, we leverage banks' exposure to various sectors' heterogeneous liquidity needs during the COVID-19 Pandemic. We find that developments in lending volumes following the pandemic were largely explained by demand shocks. Fluctuations in lending rates were instead mostly determined by bank-driven supply shocks and borrower risk. A by-product of our analysis is a structural interpretation of two-way fixed effects regressions in loan-level data: according to our framework, firm- and bank-time fixed effects only separate demand from supply under certain parametric assumptions. In the data, the conditions are satisfied for supply but not for demand: bank-time fixed effects identify true supply shocks up to a time constant, while firm-time fixed effects are contaminated by supply forces. Our methodology overcomes this limitation: we identify supply and demand shocks at the aggregate and individual levels.

Fiscal Adjustment to Monetary Shocks

How does the fiscal side of the US government react to monetary policy? I estimate the response of several fiscal variables to monetary shocks. Following an interest rate hike, tax receipts fall, outlays excluding interest payments are constant, and interest payments and debt increase. The fall in output that follows a monetary tightening — not legislated changes in marginal tax rates — drives the response of receipts. The fiscal authority therefore responds passively to monetary shocks, keeping spending constant and letting debt adjust to satisfy its budget constraint. In heterogeneous agent models, this scenario dampens output's response to monetary policy.

OTHER APPOINTMENTS

Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

Visiting Scholar, Research Division, July 2022

European Central Bank, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

PhD Trainee, Directorate General Monetary Policy, 2020-21

Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC, USA

Dissertation Fellow, International Finance Division, Summer 2019

European Central Bank, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Trainee, Directorate General Market Operations, Spring 2013

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Teaching assistant for Jennifer La’O & Xavier Sala-i-Martin, Macroeconomic Analysis I (PhD), Fall 2019

Head teaching assistant for Martín Uribe, Intermediate Macroeconomics (undergraduate), Spring 2019

Head teaching assistant for S. Schmitt-Grohé, Intermediate Macroeconomics (undergraduate), Spring 2018

Teaching assistant for Jennifer La’O & Xavier Sala-i-Martin, Macroeconomic Analysis I (PhD), Fall 2017

AWARDS AND FELLOWSHIPS

Dissertation Fellowship, Columbia University, 2021–22

Wueller Teaching Award, Best TA for first-year PhD classes (runner up), Columbia University, 2020

Program for Economic Research (PER) grant, Columbia University, 2020

Marion B. Stewart and Marcia E. Glanz Fund, Columbia University, Spring 2020

AGES Teaching Award, Best TA for first-year PhD classes (2nd runner up), Columbia University, 2019

C. Lowell Harriss Prize, Best second-year paper, Columbia University, 2017

Dean’s Fellowship, Columbia University, 2015–16

Prize for the Research Internship, Ecole Polytechnique, 2014

Oratorical Contest, First prize, HEC Paris, 2011

PRESENTATIONS

2022: Binghamton University, CREi, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis,*
Federal Reserve Board, HEC Paris, Johns Hopkins University, JHU Carey Business School, JHU School of
Advanced International Studies, PSE, Sciences Po, UC Davis, University of Utah, Vanderbilt University, CEPR
International Macroeconomics and Finance (IMF) Programme Meeting, International Macroeconomics in His-
torical Perspective Workshop,* NBER Summer Institute (DAE),* SEA 92nd Annual Meeting*

*: scheduled

2021: European Central Bank

2020: Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

2019: Federal Reserve Board

2018: Young Economist Symposium (YES)

PERSONAL

Citizenship: French

Languages: French (native), English (fluent)

Programming: MATLAB, R, Stata, SQL, Stan, Python