

APPENDIX

In this section, we are going to prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *The Optimal Workers Problem (OWP) is decidable in PSPACE.*

We first state existing results from [13], which are going to support building the proof. The authors in [13] introduced a typed extension on parametric synthesis techniques for Markov Chains. A typed parametric Markov Chain (tpMC) admits expressions instead of constants as transition probabilities. In [13, Theorem 3] it is shown that the feasibility problem from tpMCs is decidable in PSPACE.

To solve the OWP instance, we compute the corresponding tpMC such that every well-defined instantiation of the tpMC corresponds to an induced CTMC $C[i]$ by applying the instantiation function i . Then the OWP instance has a solution iff the feasibility problem of the tpMC has a solution (decidable [13, Theorem 3]). We first show how to compute the embedded pDTMC of a given pCTMC C , which is a combination of Definition 5 and Definition 9.

Definition 12 (Embedded pDTMC). *Given a pCTMC $C = (S, \iota, G, V, \mathcal{R}, \text{rew})$ and the corresponding number of workers for each role num_r , a variable indicating the desired number of workers var_r , the frequency and the rate of each transition $s \xrightarrow{r} s'$ given a role $\text{freq}(s, s', r)$ and $\text{rat}(s, s', r)$ the embedded pDTMC is the pDTMC $\mathcal{M}_{\text{emb}} = (S, \iota, G, V, \mathcal{P}^{\text{emb}(C)}, \text{rew}^{\text{emb}(C)})$, where*

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{emb}(C)}(s, s') = \begin{cases} \frac{R(s, s')}{E(s)} & \text{if } E(s) \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } E(s) = 0 \text{ and } s = s' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{rew}^{\text{emb}(C)} = \frac{1}{E(s)} \cdot \text{rew}(s), \text{ where}$$

$$V = \text{var}_r \text{ (Definition 9)}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(s, s') = \sum_{\forall r} \text{var}_r \cdot \frac{\text{rat}(s, s', r)}{\text{num}_r} \text{ (Definition 9)}$$

$$E(s) = \sum_{s' \in S} R(s, s')$$

Now, given the embedded pDTMC we can construct the corresponding tpMC as follows:

Definition 13 (corresponding tpMC). *Given the embedded pDTMC $\mathcal{M}_{\text{emb}} = (S, \iota, G, V, \mathcal{P}^{\text{emb}(C)}, \text{rew}^{\text{emb}(C)})$ and a budget $B \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^{|V|}$ the corresponding tpMC $(S, \iota, G, V_M, \mathcal{P}^{\text{emb}(C)}, \text{rew}^{\text{emb}(C)})$ is given by*

$$V_M = \bigcup_{v \in V} V_M(\mathbb{R}_{\leq B_v}^v)$$

$$V_M(\mathbb{R}_{\leq B_v}^v) = \text{var}_v$$

Hence, the corresponding tpMC is the same as the pDTMC, but it also imposes the constraint that each parameter $v \in V$ is bounded on top by the budget B_v . Note that the authors in [13]

only consider equality constraints, but the ETR also supports inequalities of polynomials (Proof of Lemma 1 in [13] can also include inequalities).

The feasibility problem of tpMCs states:

Definition 14 (Feasibility Problem for tpMCs [13]). *Given a tpMC D and a threshold $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, does there exist a well-defined instantiation i such that $\text{ExpRew}(D[i]) \leq \tau$.*

Hence, our problem is encoded as a feasibility problem in tpMCs, which is decidable in PSPACE, hence, our problem is also decidable in PSPACE.