

0.5 The Chain Rule

The chain rule is so important that I listed it as the only prerequisite for general relativity in the prelude. Here I want to explicitly point out how it should be interpreted.

THE CHAIN RULE

$$df(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

The less open-minded coming from a mathematical background sometimes faint at the quantity df . In their church a derivative $\frac{df}{dx}$ is never a fraction, and the fraction-looking symbol is just a notation for a rigorously defined limit, with some epsilons and some deltas slaving behind the scenes.

We shouldn't restrict our views to such a narrow perspective.

The quantity $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, or in short just f , is the dependent variable. Its value depends on the values of the set of the independent variables $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. If some of the independent variables change their values by a little, it's natural to expect that the value of f will also change by a little. For example, say $f(x) = x^2$. If x changes from 1 to 1.0001, then f changes from 1 to 1.00020001. If you don't trust me, use your calculator to make sure!

$d(\text{blah})$ simply denotes a small change in the quantity *blah*. In the above example, $dx = 0.0001$, and $df = 0.00020001 \approx 0.0002$.

You might have noticed that $df = 2dx$, or in more familiar looks, $\frac{df}{dx} = 2$. If you calculate the derivative of $f(x) = x^2$ at our original point $x = 1$,

you get $\frac{df}{dx}\Big|_{x=1} = 2x\Big|_{x=1} = 2$. As you can see, the derivative is simply a **ratio** between the small changes of the dependent variable and the independent variable. In this sense, the derivative really *is* a fraction, since it's just a ratio.

The derivative is the ratio between the small changes of the dependent variable and the independent variable. However, since independent variables (the x s) can be, well, independently varied, their small variations (another word for “small change”) can be think of as known. In other words, the dx are usually known. **The derivative answers the question, if we change the independent variable by some small quantity dx , what will the small change in the dependent variable df be?** The answer is the chain rule, $df = \frac{df}{dx}dx$. Really, it's nothing more than multiplying out the denominator of a fraction.

The usual math textbook chain rule is somewhat different: $\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt}$. This is again because of mathematicians' fear of a standalone df . In its essence this version isn't any different than our version, just divided out by another dt . The chain of logic just extends by a little bit: now f depends on x , and x itself depends on another variable t . If we change the value of t by some small amount dt , it will first change x by $dx = \frac{dx}{dt}dt$, and that change in x will cause a change in f as

$$df = \frac{df}{dx}dx = \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt}dt$$

The ratio between the change in f and the change in t is thus

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt}$$

The two versions are essentially the same. Our version $df = \frac{df}{dx}dx$ is a statement about the small changes themselves; the textbook version $\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dt}$ is a statement about the ratios.

If the dependent variable depends on many independent variables instead of just one, i.e. $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ instead of just $f(x)$, the change in f is just adding the changes in f caused by the individual changes in $x_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

The quantity $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}dx_i$ is the small change in f caused only by a small change in x_i , with all the other x values held fix. This comes from the definition of partial derivatives: only one independent variable is being varied, and all the others are treated as constant. Thus,

$$df(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}dx_i$$

simply tallies up all the changes in f due to all the x s independently changing.

Explicitly, say we have two independent variables, i.e. $f(x, y)$. All this says is that if each independent variable is changed by a little bit by dx and dy respectively, then the resultant change df is the individual change brought by dx (with $dy = 0$, i.e. y held constant) plus the individual change brought by dy . This should be fairly intuitive: varying x and y together is the same as first varying x and leaving y unchanged, and then varying y with x unchanged. For example, changing (x, y) from $(0, 0)$ to $(0.01, 0.01)$ can be viewed as first changing from $(0, 0)$ to $(0.01, 0)$, then changing from $(0.01, 0)$ to $(0.01, 0.01)$.