

## Linear Regression with Weakly Dependent Data

Consider the weak regression model

$$y_t = x_t' \beta + u_t$$

### Consistency

Provided

(a)  $\{(x_t', u_t)\}$  is  $\alpha$ -mixing of any size

$$(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t = 0$$

$$(c) E|x_{tj}|^{2+\eta} < \Delta \text{ for all } t \text{ and } j=1, \dots, k \text{ and some } \eta > 0$$

$$(d) E|u_t|^{2+\eta} < \Delta \text{ for some } \eta > 0$$

$$(e) M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \text{ is uniformly positive definite over } n.$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{\beta}_n - \beta = o_p(1)$$

Proof:

We start from the moment condition

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t (y_t - x_t' \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t y_t \right)$$

Sample analogue: drop the "E"!

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t y_t \right)$$

$$= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t$$

$$= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' - M_n + M_n \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t$$

$$E\|x_t x_t'\|^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq (E\|x_t\|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} (E\|x_t'\|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz

WLLN  
 $\{x_t x_t'\}$

$$= \beta + \left( o_p(1) M_n^{-1} + M_n M_n^{-1} \right)^{-1} M_n^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t$$

$$= \beta + \left( o_p(1) O(1) + I_K \right)^{-1} O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t$$

(\*)  $\{x_t u_t\}$  and  $\{x_t x_t'\}$  are also  $\alpha$ -mixing of the same size, by Proposition L.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \\
&= \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t \right] \\
&\stackrel{\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz}}{=} \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \left[ o_p(1) + o(1) \right] \\
&\stackrel{\text{WLLN}}{=} \beta + I_K O(1) o_p(1) + o_p(1) O(1) o_p(1) \\
&= \beta + o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

### Asymptotic Normality

Provided

(a)  $\{x_t' u_t\}$  is  $\alpha$ -mixing of size  $-p/p-2$

(b)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t = o(1)$

(c)  $E |x_{tj}|^{2p} \leq \Delta$  for all  $t$  and  $j=1, \dots, K$

(d)  $E |u_t|^{2p} \leq \Delta$  for all  $t$

(e)  $M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t x_t'$  is uniformly positive definite over  $n$

(f)  $\Sigma_n = \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \right)$  is uniformly positive definite over  $n$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_K)$$

$$\text{where } V_n = M_n^{-1} \Sigma_n M_n^{-1}.$$

proof:

From the previous proposition we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= (I_K + o_p(1)) M_n^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \\
&= M_n^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) O(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}}_{S_n} + o_p(1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \xi_{n,t}}_{(A)} + \underbrace{\text{op}(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}}_{(B)} + \text{op}(1)$$

- We will deal with (B) first. We're interested in the process  $\{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}$ , so we check for the conditions

(i)  $\{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}$  is a measurable function of  $\{x_t, u_t\}$  so by proposition 4 this is  $\alpha$ -mixing of size  $-p/p_2$ .

$$(ii) E \|x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\|^p \leq \{(E \|x_t u_t\|^p)^{1/p} + (E \|x_t u_t\|^p)^{1/p}\}^p$$

Minkowski's  
Inequality

$$\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} 2^p (E \|x_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} (E \|u_t\|^{2p})^{1/2}$$

$$(iii) \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} \right) = \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \right) = -\lambda n \text{ which is uniformly p.d. by assumption.}$$

Then, by the CLT  $\sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} = \text{Op}(1)$ .

We write (B) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{op}(1) \frac{-\lambda_n}{\sqrt{n}} \lambda_n \lambda_n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} &= \text{op}(1) \frac{-\lambda_n}{\sqrt{n}} \lambda_n \text{Op}(1) \\ &= \text{op}(1) O(1) O_p(1) \\ &= \text{op}(1). \end{aligned}$$

- Now we can deal with (A). We're interested in the array  $\{M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\}$ , so we will check for the conditions

(i)  $\{M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\}$  is a measurable function of  $\{x_t, u_t\}$  so by proposition 4 it's  $\alpha$ -mixing of size  $-p/p_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) E \|M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\| &\leq \|M_n^{-1}\| E \|x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\| \\ &\leq O(1) O(1) \end{aligned}$$

$\leq 0$

$$(iii) \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) \right) = M_n^{-1} \lambda_n M_n^{-1} \text{ must be positive definite.}$$

This requires that for arbitrary  $x$  s.t.  $\|x\|=1$

$$x' M_n^{-1} \lambda_n M_n^{-1} x > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x' M_n^{-1} A_n M_n^{-1} x &= x' M_n^{-1} C_n A_n C_n M_n^{-1} x \\
&= y_n' A_n y_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k e_{ni} y_{ni}^2 \\
&\geq \underline{e_n} \|y_n\|^2 \\
&\geq \int x' M_n^{-1} C_n C_n' M_n^{-1} x \\
&= \int x' M_n^{-2} x \\
&= \int x' D_n P_n^{-2} D_n x \\
&= \int \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k d_{ni}^{-2}}{\bar{d}^2} w_{ni}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{\int}{\bar{d}^2} \|x' D_n\| \\
&= \frac{\int}{\bar{d}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$M_n$  uniformly p.d.  
 $\sup_n \bar{d}_n < K$  for some  
 $K > 0$ .

Then, by the CLT  $Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I)$ .

Putting it all together yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
Vn^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + Vn^{-1/2} o_p(1) \\
Vn^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + O(1) o_p(1) \\
&= Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + o_p(1) \\
&\xrightarrow{d} N(0, J_K).
\end{aligned}$$

### Estimation of Asymptotic Variance Matrix

Recall that  $V_n = M_n^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_n M_n^{-1}$  and  $M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E[x_t x_t']$ . Then we can estimate  $M_n$  using

$$\hat{M}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hope that } \hat{M}_n - M_n = o_p(1).$$

To estimate  $\tilde{\sigma}_n$  we need  $\tilde{\sigma}_n(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E[x_t u_t (x_{t+h} u_{t+h})']$ .

Now, our initial estimator could be

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(0) + \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} (\tilde{\sigma}_n^2(h) + \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(h'))$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n [x_t u_t (x_{t+h} u_{t+h})']$$

- Problem: we need to ensure that  $(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2(h) + \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(h'))$  grow slower than  $n$ . A solution would be to allow for autocovariances to grow slower than  $n$ .

- New problem: when we truncate we can get non positive definite matrix, so we need to put weights in the sum.

The (infeasible) HAC estimator of variance is

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) (\tilde{\sigma}_n^2(j) + \tilde{\sigma}_n^2(j'))$$

Proposition HAC 1. - Suppose that for some  $p > 2$  and  $\Delta, \delta, C > 0$

(a)  $\{(x_t', u_t)\}$  is  $\alpha$ -mixing of size  $-\frac{p}{p-2}$

(b)  $E x_t u_t = 0$  for all  $t$

(c)  $E |x_{tj}|^{4p+\delta} \leq \Delta$  for all  $t$  and all  $j = 1, \dots, k$

(d)  $E |u_{tj}|^{4p+\delta} \leq \Delta$  for all  $t$

(e)  $|w(j, m)| \leq C$  for all  $j$  and  $m$

(f)  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(j, m) = 1$  for all  $j$

(g)  $m_n = o(n^{1/4})$ .

Then

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n - \sigma_n = o_p(1).$$

Proof: By the Cramér-Wold device it suffices to show that

$$c' (\hat{m}_n - m_n) c = o_p(1) \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Now, define  $h_t = c' x_t u_t$  and notice that by Proposition 1 it is  $\alpha$ -mixing of size  $-p/2$ . Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} c' (\hat{m}_n - m_n) c &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (h_t - E h_t)}_{R_{n,0} = o_p(1) \text{ by LLN}} + \underbrace{2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) \frac{1}{n} \sum (h_t h_{t-j} - E h_t h_{t-j})}_{R_{n,1} := \text{regular estimation error of covariances}} + \underbrace{2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (w(j, m_n) - 1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j}}_{R_{n,2} := \text{bias due to using weights}} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j} \\ &\quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{R_{n,3} := \text{bias due to truncation of autocovariances}} \end{aligned}$$

- $R_{n,2}$ : We want to use the covariance inequalities, so we need to show that  $\sup_t |E h_t|^p < \infty$ . To see this

$$\begin{aligned} E |h_t|^p &\leq \|c\| E \|x_t u_t\|^p \\ &\leq \|c\| (E \|x_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} (E \|u_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{n,2}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proposition 4}}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K \alpha(j)^{1-2/p} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K j^{(-\frac{p}{p-2} - \epsilon)(\frac{p-2}{p})} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K j^{-1-\eta} \quad \text{for some } \eta > 0 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \frac{1}{n} K j^{-1-\eta} (n - (h+1) + L) \\ &\leq K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |w(j, m_n) - 1| j^{-1-\eta} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_{n,2}| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |w(j, m_n) - 1| j^{-1-\eta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(j, m_n) - 1 \right| j^{-n}$$

Dominated  
Convergence  
Theorem

$$= 0.$$

$K(C+1) j^{-n}$  can be  
the dominating function and  
it's integrable/summable.

- $R_{n,3}$  : We will use the same idea as in the previous part.

$$|R_{n,3}| \leq \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |E h_t h_{t-j}|$$

using bounds  
computed in  $R_{n,2}$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{n} n^{-n} K + \frac{K}{n} m_n^{-n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_{n,3}| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{K}{n} n^{-n} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{n} m_n^{-n}$$

$$= 0.$$

- $R_{n,1}$  : before we deal with third  $\mathbb{F}$  will write this term again to see why this can be difficult to check.

$$R_{n,1} := \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n (h_t h_{t-j} - E h_t h_{t-j})$$

call this process  $Z_{jt}$ . Moreover, notice that  
 $Z_{jt} = g(h_t, h_{t-j})$  so by Proposition 1  
it is  $\alpha$ -mixing and  $\alpha_j(\ell) \leq \alpha_h(\ell-j)$   
for all  $\ell = j+1, j+2, \dots$

You will see why this is important  
later. Mark this as  $\textcircled{*}$ .

that number must  
be positive!

We want to show that the object is  $O_p(1)$ , so we write

$$\begin{aligned} P \left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \underbrace{w(j, m_n)}_{\leq C} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \varepsilon \right) &\leq P \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C} \right) \\ &\leq P \left( \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) + \dots + P \left( \left| \sum_{t=m_{n+1}}^n Z_{m_n t} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} P \left( \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Markov's Inequality}}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} E \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 4. - If  $E|\sum z_{jt}|^2 \leq K \cdot n \cdot (j+2)$  then  $D_{i,n} = o_p(1)$ .

Using this claim we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} K \cdot n \cdot (j+2) \\
&= K \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (j+2) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{m}_n \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (j+2) = \frac{\text{first} + \text{last}}{2}} \\
&= K \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \left[ \frac{(m_n+2) + 3}{2} \right] m_n \\
&\leq K \frac{m_n^4}{n} \\
&= K \frac{L}{n} o(n) \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we only need to show that the assumption for Claim 1 is true. write

$$\begin{aligned}
E|\sum z_{jt}|^2 &= \sum_{t=j+1}^n E|z_{jt}|^2 + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Variance formula}}{\leq} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \sup_t E|h_t|^4 + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left( \sup_t E|h_t|^2 \cdot \sup_t E|z_{jt}|^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell}) \\
&\leq K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell}) \\
&= K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^j \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n |E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell})| + 2 \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=j+\ell+1}^n |E(z_{jt} z_{jt-\ell})| \\
&\quad \text{split the sum} \\
&\quad \text{we cannot use mixing coefficient properties here but we can use Cauchy-Schwarz, recall \#} \\
&\quad \text{we can use mixing coefficient properties here because } \ell \geq j+1, \text{ recall \#} \\
&\leq K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^j \sum_{t=\ell+j+1}^n (E|z_{jt}|^2 E|z_{jt-\ell}|^2)^{1/2} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} (n-\ell-j) \cdot \ell^{-1/n} \\
&\quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz + Proposition 4} \\
&\leq K \cdot n + K \cdot n \cdot j + 2n \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-j-1} \ell^{-1/n} \\
&\quad \text{by summability} \\
&\leq K \cdot n + K \cdot n \cdot j + K \cdot n = K \cdot n(j+2). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$