

Lemma 1 - (CLT) Let $\{X_{nt}\}$ be a sequence such that $E X_{nt} = 0$ for all n, t and

(i) α coefficients are of size $\frac{-p}{p-2}$, $p > 2$

(ii) $\sup_t E |X_{nt}|^p \leq \Delta$ for all n

(iii) $\omega_n := \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{nt} \right) > \delta > 0$ for all n sufficiently large

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{X_{nt}}{\omega_n^{1/2}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

* The books show CLT for random variables. We can show the same for random vectors.

Definition - Let $\{M_n\}$ be a sequence of $K \times K$ matrices. Let $\underline{\epsilon}_n$ be the smallest eigenvalue of M_n . Then M_n is said to be uniformly positive definite if for all n sufficiently large $\underline{\epsilon}_n > \delta > 0$ uniformly in n .

Proposition 6 - Let $\{X_{nt}\}$ be an d -mixing sequence of random vectors such that $E X_{nt} = 0$ for all n, t and for some $p > 2$ and $\Delta > 0$,

(i) α is of size $\frac{-p}{p-2}$

(ii) $E |X_{nt}|^p \leq \Delta$ for all t, n

(iii) $\Omega_n = \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{nt} \right)$ is uniformly positive definite.

Recall $X_{nt} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{nt1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{ntK} \end{pmatrix}_{K \times 1}$

Then

$$\Omega_n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{nt} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_K)$$

proof: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^K$ such that $\|\lambda\| = 1$. Then by the Cramér-Wold device we want to show that $\lambda' \Omega_n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{nt} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$ using Lemma 1.

We need to check for the conditions of lemma 1

• $E(\lambda' \Omega_n^{-1/2} X_{nt}) = \lambda' \Omega_n^{-1/2} E(X_{nt}) = 0$
for all n, t . new random variable (linear comb)

(i) • Define the process $\{Y_{nt}\} := \{\lambda' \Omega_n^{-1/2} X_{nt}\} = g(X_{nt1}, \dots, X_{ntK})$ where g is measurable. Then by Proposition 1 $\{Y_{nt}\}$ is d -mixing of the same size $\frac{-p}{p-2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{iii}). \quad & \sup_t E \left| \lambda' \underbrace{\Delta_n^{-1} x_{nt}}_{\text{depends on } n} \right|^p = \sup_t E \left| \sum_{j=1}^k c_{jn} x_{ntj} \right|^p \\
& = \sup_t \left(E \left| \sum_{j=1}^k c_{jn} x_{ntj} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& \stackrel{\text{Minkowski's Inequality}}{\leq} \sup_t \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |c_{jn}| (E |x_{ntj}|^p)^{1/p} \right)^p \right\}^{1/p} \\
& \leq \Delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |c_{jn}| \right)^p \\
& \stackrel{\text{Norm inequality}}{\leq} \Delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |c_{jn}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\
& = \Delta (\lambda' \Delta_n^{-1} \lambda)^{p/2} \\
& = \Delta (\lambda' \underbrace{c_n \Delta_n^{-1} c_n'}_{\text{spectral decomposition}} \lambda)^{p/2} \quad \text{where } \Delta_n' \Delta_n = I \\
& = \Delta (\underbrace{d_n' \Delta_n^{-1} d_n}_{d_n' \text{ is the largest eigenvalue of } \Delta_n^{-1}})^{p/2} \\
& \stackrel{\text{largest eigen of } \Delta_n^{-1}}{\leq} \Delta \left(\underbrace{e_1' \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2}_{\|d_n\| = d_n' d_n = 1} \right)^{p/2} \\
& \leq \Delta \delta^{p/2} \\
& < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bullet \quad \text{Var} \left(\lambda' \Delta_n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_{nt} \right) &= \lambda' \Delta_n^{-1/2} \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_{nt} \right) \Delta_n^{-1/2} \lambda \\
&= \lambda' \Delta_n^{-1/2} \Delta_n \Delta_n^{-1/2} \lambda = 1 > 0 \quad \text{no matter } n, t
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by lemma 1 the desired result holds and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

Linear Regression with Weakly Dependent Data

Consider the usual regression model

$$y_t = x_t' \beta + u_t$$

Consistency

Provided

(a) $\{(x_t, u_t)\}$ is α -mixing of any size

$$(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t = 0$$

$$(c) E|x_{tj}|^{2+\eta} < \Delta \text{ for all } t \text{ and } j=1, \dots, k \text{ and some } \eta > 0$$

$$(d) E|u_t|^{2+\eta} < \Delta \text{ for some } \eta > 0$$

$$(e) M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \text{ is uniformly positive definite over } n.$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{\beta}_n - \beta = O_p(1)$$

Proof:

We start from the moment condition

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t (y_t - x_t' \beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t y_t \right)$$

Sample analogue: drop the "E"!

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_n &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t y_t \right) \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t x_t' - M_n + M_n \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \\ &\quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\quad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\quad \text{WLLN} \\ &= \beta + \left(O_p(1) \underbrace{M_n^{-1}}_{O(1)} + M_n \underbrace{M_n^{-1}}_{O(1)} M_n^{-1} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \\ &= \beta + \left(O_p(1) O(1) + I_K \right)^{-1} O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \end{aligned}$$

(*) $\{x_t\}$ and $\{x_t x_t'\}$ are also α -mixing of the same size, by Proposition L.

$$\|x_t u_t\|^{1+\eta} \leq (\|x_t\|^{2+\eta})^{1/2} (\|u_t\|^{2+\eta})^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \\
&= \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t \right] \\
&\stackrel{\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz}}{=} \beta + [I_K + o_p(1)] O(1) \left[o_p(1) + o(1) \right] \\
&\stackrel{\text{WLLN}}{=} \beta + I_K O(1) o_p(1) + o_p(1) O(1) o_p(1) \\
&= \beta + o_p(1). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic Normality

provided

(a) $\{(x_t' u_t)\}$ is α -mixing of size $-\rho/\rho-2$

④ Reminder: conditions for CLT in Proposition 6

(i) α -mixing size $-\rho/\rho-2$

(ii) $E \|x_t\|_F^p \leq \Delta$ for all t, n

(iii) $\sigma_n = \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \right)$ is uniformly pd. and $p > 2$.

(b) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t u_t = o(1)$

(c) $E |x_{tj}|^{2p} \leq \Delta$ for all t and $j=1, \dots, K$

(d) $E |u_t|^{2p} \leq \Delta$ for all t

(e) $M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t x_t'$ is uniformly positive definite over n

(f) $\sigma_n = \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \right)$ is uniformly positive definite over n

Then

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I_K)$$

where $V_n = M_n^{-1} \sigma_n M_n^{-1}$.

proof:

From the previous proposition we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= (I_K + o_p(1)) M_n^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \\
&= M_n^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) O(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} + o_p(1) \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}}_{\text{Since } O(1) O_p(1) = o_p(1)} + o_p(1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \xi_{n,t}}_{(A)} + \underbrace{\text{op}(1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}}_{(B)} + \text{op}(1)$$

- We will deal with (B) first. We're interested in the process $\{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}$, so we check for the conditions

(i) $\{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\}$ is a measurable function of $\{x_t, u_t\}$ so by Proposition 1 this is α -mixing of size $-p/p-2$.

$$\text{(ii)} \quad E \|x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\|^p \leq \{ (E \|x_t u_t\|^p)^{1/p} + (E \|x_t u_t\|^p)^{1/p} \}^p$$

Minkowski's Inequality

$$\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{=} 2^p (E \|x_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} (E \|u_t\|^{2p})^{1/2}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n [x_t u_t - E x_t u_t] \right) = \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t u_t \right) = -I_n \text{ which is uniformly p.d. by assumption.}$$

Then, by the CLT $\sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} = O_p(1)$.

We write (B) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{op}(1) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \{x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\} &= \text{op}(1) \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} O_p(1) \\ &= \text{op}(1) O(1) O_p(1) \\ &= \text{op}(1). \end{aligned}$$

- Now we can deal with (A). We're interested in the array $\{M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\}$, so we will check for the conditions

(i) $\{M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\}$ is a measurable function of $\{x_t, u_t\}$ so by Proposition 1 it's α -mixing of size $-p/p-2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad E \|M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t)\|^p &\leq \|M_n^{-1}\| E \|x_t u_t - E x_t u_t\|^p \\ &\leq O(1) O(1) \end{aligned}$$

\Leftarrow

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) \right) = M_n^{-1} I_n M_n^{-1} \text{ must be positive definite.}$$

This requires that for arbitrary x s.t. $\|x\| = 1$

$$x' M_n^{-1} I_n M_n^{-1} x > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x' M_n^{-1} A_n M_n^{-1} x &= x' \underbrace{M_n^{-1} C_n}_y A_n \underbrace{C_n M_n^{-1} x}_y \\
&= y_n' A_n y_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ni} y_{ni}^2 \\
&\geq \underline{e_n} \|y_n\|^2 \\
&\geq \delta \underbrace{x' M_n^{-1} C_n C_n' M_n^{-1} x}_{= J_k} \\
&= \delta x' M_n^{-2} x \\
&= \delta \sum_{i=1}^n d_{ni}^{-2} w_{ni}^2 \\
&\geq \frac{\delta}{d_n^{-2}} \|x' D_n\| \\
&= \frac{\delta}{d_n^{-2}} \|M_n\| \stackrel{\text{because }}{>} 0 \quad \|M_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|X_t X_t'\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|X_t\|^2 \\
&\leq \Delta < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the CLT $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I)$.

Putting it all together yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{bounded } \xrightarrow{b.c.} \frac{Vn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= \frac{Vn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + Vn^{-1/2} o_p(1) \\
\text{Var}(\sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1}) Vn^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) &= Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + O(1) o_p(1) \\
&= Vn^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n M_n^{-1} (x_t u_t - E x_t u_t) + o_p(1) \\
&\xrightarrow{d} N(0, J_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Asymptotic Variance Matrix

Recall that $V_n = M_n^{-1} \tilde{M}_n M_n^{-1}$ and $M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E x_t x_t'$. Then we can estimate M_n using $\hat{M}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_t x_t'$ and hope that $\hat{M}_n - M_n = o_p(1)$.

To estimate $\sqrt{\Sigma_n}$ we need $\sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E [x_t u_t (x_{t+h} u_{t+h})']$.

Now, our initial estimator could be because they are centered at 0.

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_n = \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(0) + \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} (\sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h) + \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h'))$$

$$\text{where } \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n [x_t u_t (x_{t+h} u_{t+h})']$$

- Problem: we need to ensure that $(\sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h) + \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(h'))$ grows slower than n . A solution would be to allow for autocovariances to grow slower than n .
- New problem: when we truncate we can get non positive definite matrix, so we need to put weights in the sum.

The (infeasible) HAC estimator of variance is

* Infeasible: use true u_t
Feasible: use $u_t^* := \gamma_k - X_k \beta^*$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_n = \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(0) + \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) (\sqrt{\Sigma_n}(j) + \sqrt{\Sigma_n}(j'))$$

Proposition HAC 1. Suppose that for some $p > 2$ and $\Delta, \delta, C > 0$

- $\{(x_t, u_t)\}$ is α -mixing of size $-\frac{p}{p-2}$
- $E x_t u_t = 0$ for all t
- $E |x_{tj}|^{4p/\delta} \leq \Delta$ for all t and all $j = 1, \dots, k$
- $E |u_{tj}|^{4p/\delta} \leq \Delta$ for all t
- $|w(j, m)| \leq C$ for all j and m
- $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(j, m) = 1$ for all j
- $m_n = o(n^{1/4})$.

Then

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_n - \Sigma_n = o_p(1).$$

Proof: By the Cramér-Wold device it suffices to show that

$$c' (\hat{S}_n - S_n) c = o_p(1) \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

Now, define $h_t = c' x_t u_t$ and notice that by Proposition 1 it is α -mixing of size $-p/p_2$. Then we write

$$\begin{aligned} c' (\hat{S}_n - S_n) c &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (h_t - E h_t)}_{R_{n,0} = o_p(1) \text{ by LLN}} + \underbrace{2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n (h_t h_{t-j} - E h_t h_{t-j})}_{R_{n,1} := \text{regular estimation error of covariances}} + \underbrace{2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (w(j, m_n) - 1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j}}_{R_{n,2} := \text{bias due to using weights}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{2 \sum_{j=m_0+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j}}_{R_{n,3} := \text{bias due to truncation of autocovariances}} \end{aligned}$$

- $R_{n,2}$: We want to use the covariance inequalities, so we need to show that $\sup_t E |h_t|^p < \infty$. To see this

$$\begin{aligned} E |h_t|^p &\leq \|c\| E \|x_t u_t\|^p \\ &\leq \|c\| (E \|x_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} (E \|u_t\|^{2p})^{1/2} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{n,2}| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n E h_t h_{t-j} \right| \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proposition 4}}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K \alpha'(j)^{1-2/p} \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K j^{-\frac{p}{p-2} - \varepsilon} \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=h+1}^n K j^{-1-\eta} \right| \quad \text{for some } \eta > 0 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} |w(j, m_n) - 1| \left| \frac{1}{n} K j^{-1-\eta} (n - (h+1) + l) \right| \\ &\leq K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |w(j, m_n) - 1| j^{-1-\eta} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_{n,2}| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |w(j, m_n) - 1| j^{-1-\eta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(j, m_n) - 1 \right| j^{-n}$$

Dominated
Convergence
Theorem

$$= 0.$$

$K(C+1) j^{-n}$ can be
the dominating function and
it's integrable/summable.

- $R_{n,3}$: We will use the same idea as in the previous part.

$$|R_{n,3}| \leq \sum_{j=m_n+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n |E h_t h_{t-j}|$$

Using bounds
computed in $R_{n,2}$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{n} n^{-n} K + \frac{K}{n} m_n^{-n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_{n,3}| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{K}{n} n^{-n} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{n} m_n^{-n}$$

$$= 0.$$

- $R_{n,1}$: before we deal with third \mathbb{E} will write this term again to see why this can be difficult to check.

$$R_{n,1} := \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n (h_t h_{t-j} - E h_t h_{t-j})$$

Call this process Z_{jt} . Moreover, notice that $Z_{jt} = g(h_t, h_{t-j})$ so by Proposition 1 it is α -mixing and $\alpha(\ell) \leq \alpha_n(\ell-j)$ for all $\ell = j+1, j+2, \dots$ You will see why this is important later. Mark this as $\textcircled{*}$. This number must be positive!

We want to show that the object is $O_p(1)$, so we write

$$\begin{aligned} P \left(\left| \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} w(j, m_n)}_{\leq C} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \varepsilon \right) &\leq P \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C} \right) \\ &\leq P \left(\left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) + \dots + P \left(\left| \sum_{t=m_n+1}^n Z_{m_n t} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} P \left(\left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon \cdot n}{C \cdot m_n} \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Markov's Inequality}}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} E \left| \sum_{t=j+1}^n Z_{jt} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 4. - If $E|\sum z_{jt}|^2 \leq K \cdot n \cdot (j+2)$ then $\rho_{1,n} = o_p(1)$.

Using this claim we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} K \cdot n \cdot (j+2) \\
&= K \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (j+2) \quad \xrightarrow{\text{first + last}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} (j+2)}{m_n} = \frac{\text{first} + \text{last}}{2} \\
&= K \frac{C^2 m_n^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \left[\frac{(m_n+2) + 3}{2} \right] m_n \\
&\leq K \frac{m_n^4}{n} \\
&= K \frac{L}{n} o(n) \\
&= o(L).
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we only need to show that the assumption for Claim 1 is true. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
E|\sum z_{jt}|^2 &= \sum_{t=j+1}^n E|z_{jt}|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Variance formula}}{\leq} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \sup_t E|h_t|^4 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left(\sup_t E|h_t|^8 \cdot \sup_t E|z_{jt}|^8 \right)^{1/2} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l}) \\
&\leq K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l}) \\
&= K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n |E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l})| + 2 \sum_{l=j+1}^{n-j-1} \sum_{t=j+l+1}^n |E(z_{jt} z_{jt-l})| \\
&\quad \text{split the sum} \\
&\quad \text{we cannot use mixing coefficient properties here but we can use Cauchy-Schwarz, recall \#} \\
&\quad \text{we can use mixing coefficient properties here because } l \geq j+1, \text{ recall \#} \\
&\leq K \cdot n + 2 \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{t=l+j+1}^n (E|z_{jt}|^2 E|z_{jt-l}|^2)^{1/2} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} (n-l-j) L^{-l-n} \\
&\quad \text{Cauchy-Schwarz + Proposition 4} \\
&\leq K \cdot n + K \cdot n \cdot j + 2n \sum_{l=1}^{n-j-1} L^{-l-n} \\
&\quad \text{we just showed these are bounded} \\
&\stackrel{\text{By summability}}{\leq} K \cdot n + K \cdot n \cdot j + K \cdot n = K \cdot n(j+2). \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition HAC 2. - Suppose that for some $p \geq 2$ and $\Delta, \delta, C > 0$

- (a) $\lambda(x_t, u_t)$ is α -mixing of size $-\frac{p}{p-2}$
- (b) $E x_t u_t = 0$ for all t
- (c) $E |x_{tj}|^{4p+\delta} \leq \Delta$ for all t and all $j = 1, \dots, k$
- (d) $E |u_{tj}|^{4p+\delta} \leq \Delta$ for all t
- (e) $|w(j, m)| \leq C$ for all j and m
- (f) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(j, m) = 1$ for all j
- (g) $m_n = o(n^{1/4})$.

Then

$$\sqrt{n} - \hat{\sigma}_n = o_p(1).$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_n = \hat{\sigma}_n(\omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) (\hat{s}_{nj} + \hat{s}_{nj}')$ is the feasible estimator.

$$\hat{s}_{nj} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n (x_t \hat{u}_t) (x_{t-j} \hat{u}_{t-j})'$$

proof:

$$\hat{\sigma}_n - \sigma_n = \hat{\sigma}_n - \hat{\sigma}_n + \hat{\sigma}_n - \sigma_n$$

we just need $\hat{\sigma}_n - \hat{\sigma}_n$ is $o_p(1)$ by Proposition HAC 1
to know that this

Recall that $\hat{u}_t = u_t - x_t' (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)$. We now write

$$\hat{\sigma}_n - \sigma_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (\hat{u}_t^2 x_t x_t' + \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn)) \frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{u}_t \hat{u}_{t-j} (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t') \right)$$

-

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (u_t^2 x_t x_t' + \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn)) \frac{1}{n} \sum u_t u_{t-j} (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t') \right)$$

$$= -2 \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t u_t) x_t x_t'}_{B_{n,1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t)^2 x_t x_t'}_{B_{n,2}}$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t u_{t-j}) (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t')$$

$B_{n,3}$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_{t-j} u_t) (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t')$$

$B_{n,4}$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j,mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t) ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_{t-j}) (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t')$$

$B_{n,3}$

Then by the cramer wold device we will work with $c' (\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$. we will work with each term separately.

• $B_{n,1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |c' B_{n,1} c| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t u_t) c' x_t x_t' c \right| \\ &\leq \| \hat{\beta}_n - \beta \| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \|x_t\|^3 \|c\|^2 |u_t| \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{using} \\ \|c\|=O(1)}}{=} O_p(1) O(1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \|x_t\|^3 |u_t| - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|x_t\|^3 |u_t| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|x_t\|^3 |u_t| \right] \\ &= O_p(1) O(1) \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|x_t\|^3 |u_t| + o_p(1) \right] \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{Hölder inequality} \\ p=4}}{=} O_p(1) O(1) \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (E |u_t|^4)^{1/4} (E \|x_t\|^4)^{3/4} \right)^{1/4} + o_p(1) \right] \\ &= O_p(1) O(1) [O(1) + o_p(1)] \\ &= o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

• $B_{n,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |c' B_{n,2} c| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n ((\hat{\beta}_n - \beta)' x_t)^2 c' x_t x_t' c \right| \\ &\leq \|c\|^2 \| \hat{\beta}_n - \beta \|^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \|x_t\|^4 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|x_t\|^4 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n E \|x_t\|^4 \right] \\ &= O(1) o_p(1) [o_p(1) + O(1)] \\ &= o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

• $B_{n,3}$:

$$|c' B_{n,3} c| \leq 2 \|c\|^2 \| \hat{\beta}_n - \beta \| \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j,mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n (|u_{t-j}| \cdot \|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= o_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left\{ \|u_{t-j} \cdot \|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\| - E(u_{t-j} \cdot \|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|) + E(u_{t-j}) \|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\| \right\} \\
&\quad \text{Define as } z_{j,t} \text{ and notice that it's a mixing of size } \frac{p}{p-2} \\
&\stackrel{\text{R}_m, \text{ in proportion HAC 1 + Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} o_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left\{ o_p(1) + (E|u_{t-j}|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|^2 E\|x_t\|^4)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} o_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left\{ o_p(1) + ((E|u_{t-j}|^4 E\|x_{t-j}\|^4)^{1/2} E\|x_t\|^4)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\leq o_p(1) O(mn) \\
&\stackrel{\text{we need to wk } \|p_n - \beta\|}{=} \|V_n\|^{1/2} \|V_n^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} (\beta_n - \beta)\| \frac{o_p(1)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
&\leq o_p(1) o_p(1) \frac{o(n^{1/4})}{n^{1/2}} \\
&= K o_p(1) o(n^{-1/4}) \\
&= o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

• $B_{n,4}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|c' B_{n,4} c| &\leq 2 \|c\|^2 \|\hat{\beta}_n - \beta\| \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n |u_{t-j}| \|x_t\| \|x_{t-j}\|^2 \\
&= o_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left\{ \|u_{t-j} \cdot \|x_t\| \cdot \|x_{t-j}\|^2 - E(u_{t-j} \cdot \|x_t\| \|x_{t-j}\|) + E(u_{t-j}) \|x_t\| \|x_{t-j}\| \right\} \\
&\quad \text{Define as } z_{j,t} \text{ and notice that it's a mixing of size } \frac{p}{p-2}
\end{aligned}$$

= $o_p(1)$,
By same steps
as $B_{n,3}$

• $B_{n,5}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|c' B_{n,5} c| &\leq 2 \|c\|^2 \|\hat{\beta}_n - \beta\|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|^2 \\
&= o_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{mn} w(j, mn) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=j+1}^n \left\{ \|x_t\| \cdot \|x_{t-j}\|^2 - E\|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|^2 + E\|x_t\|^2 \|x_{t-j}\|^2 \right\} \\
&\quad \text{Define as } z_{j,t} \text{ and notice that it's a mixing of size } \frac{p}{p-2} \\
&= o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By same steps as $B_{n,3}$

Block / Cluster Dependence (Hansen, JOE 2020)

Consider the sample mean $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as an estimator of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E X_i$

Define cluster sums

$$\tilde{X}_g = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{gj} \quad \text{mutually independent under clustered sampling for } g \neq g'.$$

We may rewrite the sample mean as

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g=1}^G \tilde{X}_g$$

Assumption 1 - As $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{g \in G} \frac{n_g}{n} &\rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{i.e. } n_g \text{ is asymptotically negligible so implicitly } G \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= \left(\max_{g \in G} \frac{n_g^2}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{g=1}^G \frac{n_g^2}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 - If A1 holds and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_i \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{E} \|X_i\| \mathbf{1}\{\|X_i\| > M\}}_{\leq (\mathbb{E} \|X_i\|^p)^{1/p} (\mathbb{P}\{\|X_i\| > M\})^{1/q}}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \right) &= 0 \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E} \|X_i\|^p)^{1/p} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E} \|X_i\|^p}{M^p} \right)^{1/q} \\ \text{so } \sup_i \mathbb{E} \|X_i\|^p < \infty \text{ is sufficient, } p > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|\bar{X}_n - E \bar{X}_n\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 1 - For random vectors X_i , set $\tilde{X}_m = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$. For $r \geq 1$ if

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \sup_i \mathbb{E} (\|X_i\|^r \mathbf{1}\{\|X_i\| > B\}) = 0$$

then

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \sup_m \mathbb{E} (\|m^{-1} \tilde{X}_m\|^r \mathbf{1}\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{X}_m\| > B\}) = 0$$

Proof of Lemma :

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \sup_i E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_i\| > B\}}) = 0 \iff \sup_i E\|x_i\|^r \leq C, r > 1.$$

By Cr inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \tilde{x}_m \right\|^r = \frac{1}{m^r} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right\|^r \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|^r$$

Then

$$E\left\| m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m \right\|^r \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E\|x_i\|^r \leq C.$$

Write

$$E(\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\| > B\}})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\| > B\}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\| > B\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_i\| > \sqrt{B}\}})$$

+

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\| > B\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_i\| \leq \sqrt{B}\}})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_i\| > \sqrt{B}\}}) + B^{r/2} E \mathbb{1}_{\{\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\| > B\}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E(\|x_i\|^r \mathbb{1}_{\{\|x_i\| > \sqrt{B}\}}) + B^{r/2} \frac{E\|m^{-1} \tilde{x}_m\|^r}{B^r}$$

want this $\leq \epsilon/2$

want this $\leq \epsilon/2$

\downarrow
 $B^{r/2} \geq 2C/\epsilon$ does the work

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

by assumption
we can find
 B large enough

proof of Theorem 1: Without loss of generality assume $E X_i = 0$.

By Lemma 1 under $r=1$ we can pick B such that

$$\sup_n E \| (\eta_3^{-1} \tilde{X}_3 \mathbb{1}\{\|\eta_3^{-1} \tilde{X}_3\| > B\}) - E(\eta_3^{-1} \tilde{X}_3 \mathbb{1}\{\|\eta_3^{-1} \tilde{X}_3\| > B\}) \| \leq \epsilon$$

(*)

Notice that

$$P(\|\bar{X}_n - E \bar{X}_n\| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{2E\|\bar{X}_n\|}{\epsilon} \text{ by Markov}$$

Then, write

$$\begin{aligned} E\|\bar{X}_n\| &= E\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{X}_j\right\| \\ &\leq E\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B) - E(\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B))] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E[\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| > B)] - E(\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| > B))\right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} E\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B) - E(\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B))] + \right.$$

+

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n E \eta_j$$

$$\stackrel{\text{norm inequality}}{=} \left(E\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B) - E(\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}(\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B))] \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

+

ϵ

$$\stackrel{\text{by cluster uncorrelatedness}}{=} \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E \|\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}\{\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B\} - E(\tilde{X}_j \mathbb{1}\{\|\eta_j^{-1} \tilde{X}_j\| \leq B\})\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (2B\eta_j)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon$$

$$= \left(4B^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\eta_j^2}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} + \epsilon \leq O(1) + \epsilon \text{ by (A1).}$$

CLT
www

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} := \text{Var}(\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n) &= E\left(n(\bar{X}_n - E\bar{X}_n)(\bar{X}_n - E\bar{X}_n)'\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g=1}^G E\left((\tilde{X}_g - E\tilde{X}_g)(\tilde{X}_g - E\tilde{X}_g)'\right) \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 2 :- For some $2 \leq r < \infty$

- $\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{g=1}^G n_g^r \right)^{1/2} \leq C < \infty$
- $\max_{g \leq G} \frac{n_g^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$

Theorem 2 :- If for some $2 \leq r < \infty$ (A2) holds and

$$(i) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_i (E\|\tilde{X}_i\|^r \mathbb{1}\{\|\tilde{X}_i\| > M\}) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \lambda_n = \lambda_{\min}(-\lambda_n) \geq \lambda > 0.$$

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n^{-1/2}} \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - E\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_p)$$

proof:- WLOG assume $E\tilde{X}_i = 0$. Note that

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n^{-1/2}} \sqrt{n} \bar{X}_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n^{-1/2}} \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tilde{X}_g \quad \text{~inid random vectors}$$

We can apply Lindeberg - Feller multivariate CLT if Lindeberg's condition holds

$$\frac{1}{n\lambda_n} \sum_{g=1}^G E(\|\tilde{X}_g\|^2 \mathbb{1}\{\|\tilde{X}_g\|^2 \geq n\lambda_n\epsilon^2\}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ and pick B large by Lemma 1 such that

$$\sup_g E(\|n_g^{-1} \tilde{X}_g\|^r \mathbb{1}\{\|n_g^{-1} \tilde{X}_g\| > B\}) \leq \frac{\delta \epsilon^{r/2-1}}{C^{r/2}}$$

By A2

$$\max_{g \leq G} \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} \frac{n_g^2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \max_{g \leq G} \frac{n_g^2}{n} = o(1)$$

Then we pick n large enough so that $\max_{g \leq G} \frac{n_g^2}{n\lambda_n} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{B^2}$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} \sum_{j=1}^6 E \left(\| \tilde{x}_j \| ^2 \mathbb{1} \{ \| \tilde{x}_j \| ^2 \geq n\lambda_n \epsilon \} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} \sum_{j=1}^6 E \left(\frac{\| \tilde{x}_j \| ^r}{\| \tilde{x}_j \| ^{r-2}} \mathbb{1} \{ \| \tilde{x}_j \| \geq (n\lambda_n \epsilon)^{1/2} \} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} \cdot \frac{1}{(n\lambda_n \epsilon)^{r-2}} \sum_{j=1}^6 E \left(\| \tilde{x}_j \| ^r \mathbb{1} \{ \| \tilde{x}_j \| \geq (n\lambda_n \epsilon)^{1/2} \} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{C^{r/2} (n\lambda_n)^{r/2}} \sum_{j=1}^6 n_j^r E \left(\| n_j^{-1} \tilde{x}_j \| ^r \mathbb{1} \{ \| n_j^{-1} \tilde{x}_j \| \geq B \} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{C^{r/2}} \sum_{j=1}^6 \frac{n_j^r}{(n\lambda_n)^{r/2}} \\
 &\leq \delta \quad \text{and the proof is complete because } \delta \text{ can be arbitrarily small.} \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

$$y_j = x_j' \beta + u_j$$

Now, consider a case where x_i is mean zero. Then

$$\sqrt{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 E(x_j \tilde{x}_j')$$

and we can estimate

$$\sqrt{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_j'$$

⊗ Heteroscedasticity

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 E((x_{ij})(x_{ij})')$$

cluster

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 E((x_{ij} u_j)(x_{ij} u_j)')$$

still white approach!

Theorem 3.- Under Theorem 2 if $E x_i = 0$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^6 (\sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \tilde{x}_j) (\sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \tilde{x}_j)' \xrightarrow{P} J_p$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \bar{x}_n \xrightarrow{D} N(0, J_p).$$

proof: Fix $\delta > 0$ and let $\epsilon = \delta^2 / 4p$. Define $\tilde{x}_j^* = \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \tilde{x}_j$ and

$$\tilde{y}_j = \tilde{x}_j^* \mathbb{1} \{ \| \tilde{x}_j^* \| ^2 \leq n\epsilon \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{n} \tilde{x}_n^* &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 \tilde{x}_j^* \tilde{x}_j^{*\prime} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j' + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^6 \tilde{x}_j^* \tilde{x}_j^{*\prime} \mathbb{1} \{ \| \tilde{x}_j^* \| ^2 > n\epsilon \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } P(\|\tilde{\alpha}_n^* - \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}\| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \underbrace{\|\tilde{\alpha}_n^* - \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}\|}_{\text{Bound this}}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|\tilde{\alpha}_n^* - \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j' - \mathbb{E} \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j') \right\| + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} (\|\tilde{x}_j\|^2 \mathbf{1}\{\|\tilde{x}_j\|^2 > n\epsilon\})$$

From Theorem 2 we can bound
an object like this for n large

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j' - \mathbb{E} \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j') \right\| + 2d$$

$$\stackrel{\text{norm ineq}}{=} \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j' - \mathbb{E} \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j') \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\|^2 - \mathbb{E} (\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j')^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$\text{We } \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\| \leq n\epsilon \Rightarrow \text{multiplying } \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\|^2 \leq n\epsilon \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\|^2$$

$$\leq 2\epsilon^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \|\tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j'\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by cluster indep}}{=} 2\epsilon^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_j' \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$= 2\epsilon^{1/2} (n \text{Var}(\tilde{x}_n))^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$= 2\epsilon^{1/2} (\text{tr}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}))^{1/2} + 2d$$

$$= d + 2d.$$

by choice
of ϵ

The second result is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \tilde{x}_n &= \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} n^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \tilde{x}_n \\ &= \sqrt{n}^{-1/4} \sqrt{n}^{1/4} \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n}^{1/4} n^{1/4} \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \tilde{x}_n \\ &= \sqrt{n}^{-1/4} \sqrt{n}^{1/2} n^{1/4} \sqrt{n}^{1/2} \sqrt{n} \tilde{x}_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [I_p + o_p(1)] \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \bar{x}_n \\
 &\quad \text{by the previous result} \\
 &\quad \text{and continuous mapping} \\
 &= \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \bar{x}_n + o_p(1) \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \bar{x}_n \\
 &= \sqrt{n}^{-1/2} \sqrt{n} \bar{x}_n + o_p(1) O_p(1) \\
 &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_p). \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

④ Other options : • Wild bootstrap. (Cameron)

Obtain draws (\hat{y}_g^*, x_g) such that

$$\hat{y}_g^* = x_g \hat{\beta} + \hat{u}_g$$

$$\text{where } \hat{u}_g^* := \begin{cases} \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \hat{u}_g & , \text{ prob } \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} \\ \left(1 - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \hat{u}_g & , \text{ prob } 1 - \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} \end{cases}$$

Basically, we multiply \hat{u}_g by a random weight using a distribution resembling white noise. The most common (shown above) comes from Mammen 1993.

• Parametric bootstrap

draw \hat{u}_g from some parametric family (e.g. Normal $(0, 1)$).

We compute our statistic (e.g. clustered s.e.) across all simulations to obtain critical values.