

AI Toolkit – Grounded Link Extracts (Batch 10)

Each entry includes: (1) a real, checkable link; (2) a concise relevant extract for building a grounded citation layer; (3) where in the source the extract comes from (for audit).

1. Claim Verification in the Age of Large Language Models: A Survey (arXiv 2408.14317)

Link: <https://www.arxiv.org/pdf/2408.14317>

Relevant extract (for grounding): The paper surveys LLM-based claim verification frameworks and breaks the pipeline into key components—retrieval, prompting, fine-tuning—and emphasizes that approaches like Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) are used to bring in more up-to-date evidence during verification.

What to cite from this page/paper: Key lines: Abstract notes surge of LLM-based approaches and RAG; and states the three main components: claim detection, evidence retrieval, veracity prediction.

Audit note: Source evidence: turn3view0 (PDF) lines 6–22 and 64–67; plus lines 85–86.

2. Claim Detection for Automated Fact-checking: Survey (arXiv 2401.11969)

Link: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.11969>

Relevant extract (for grounding): This survey focuses specifically on claim detection (the first stage of an automated fact-checking pipeline), highlighting that fact-checking is commonly a sequence: detect check-worthy claims, then verify them; it also frames multilingual/multimodal spread as requiring more generalized solutions.

What to cite from this page/paper: Key lines: Abstract describes the two-stage sequence (claim detection then verification) and focus on multilingual data/methods.

Audit note: Source evidence: turn5view0 lines 39–42.

3. Meedan Check (product overview)

Link: <https://meedan.org/check>

Relevant extract (for grounding): Meedan describes Check as supporting community ‘tiplines’ on major messaging platforms. This is directly relevant if your grounded-citation layer needs a pathway from audience-submitted content to a verification workflow.

What to cite from this page/paper: Key lines: ‘Runs on WhatsApp, Messenger, Telegram, LINE, and Viber’ and partners ‘set up tiplines’ to collect content via messaging apps.

Audit note: Source evidence: turn4view2 lines 69–72.

4. Verificado 2018 (Online Journalism Awards entry)

Link: <https://awards.journalists.org/entries/verificado-2018/>

Relevant extract (for grounding): The OJA entry describes Verificado 2018 as a collaborative election reporting initiative (with 90+ partners) built to debunk misinformation around Mexico's 2018 elections, explicitly citing social networks including Facebook, Twitter and WhatsApp, and outlining coalition structure and reach.

What to cite from this page/paper: Key lines: 'collaborative election reporting initiative... over 90 partners... debunk viral misinformation... Facebook, Twitter and WhatsApp...'

Audit note: Source evidence: turn3view4 lines 90–95.