

Radiotherapy Dosimetry: A Review on Open-Source Optimizer

Paul Dubois

May 17, 2023

Abstract

Radiotherapy dosimetry plays a crucial role in optimizing treatment plans for cancer patients. In this study, we investigate the performance of a dozen standard state-of-the-art open-source optimizers for radiotherapy dosimetry. Our evaluation includes the use of TGG119 benchmark cases as well as one real case obtained from the Institute du Cancer de Montpellier (ICM). Among the tested optimizers, Newton CG demonstrates the fastest convergence in terms of the number of iterations. However, when considering the computation time per iteration, LBFGS emerges as the most efficient optimizer. These findings shed light on the performance of open-source optimizers for radiotherapy dosimetry, aiding practitioners in selecting suitable optimization tools for efficient treatment planning.

1 Introduction

Radiotherapy, a widely utilized intervention for cancer treatment, employs ionizing radiation to eliminate malignant cells. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) has emerged as a notable technique within radiotherapy, aiming to deliver high radiation doses to tumors while minimizing exposure to healthy surrounding tissues. Traditional IMRT strategies typically employ a set number of beams, often 5, 7, or 9, originating from various angles around the patient, commonly distributed evenly. Each beam's intensity is modulated to optimize the delivery of radiation doses to the tumor while reducing exposure to healthy tissues. This approach surpasses the effectiveness of the 3D-conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) technique. To facilitate precise and efficient radiation delivery, a computer-controlled device called the multi-leaf collimator (MLC) is utilized to shape the radiation beam according to the contours of the tumor.

The effectiveness of a radiotherapy treatment plan relies on the optimization procedure, which involves a series of steps aimed at ensuring the optimal delivery of radiation in accordance with the prescribed guidelines of medical practitioners. Typically, computer software is employed to facilitate the optimization process, taking into account various factors such as patient anatomy, the size and location of the tumor and organs, and the radiation objectives defined by medical professionals.

Pre-dose-optimization The initial stage of the optimization process entails the creation of a virtual representation of the patient's anatomical structure using advanced medical imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT)

or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. This model is subsequently utilized to accurately determine the size and location of the tumor, as well as to delineate the surrounding healthy tissues that necessitate protection from radiation exposure. Following this, the radiation dose required for effective treatment is established, typically based on dose-volume objectives defined by physicians (e.g., ensuring that 95% of the planning target volume receives a minimum dose of 75 Gy). Determining the appropriate dose takes into consideration factors such as tumor characteristics, location, size, as well as the patient’s medical history and overall health status. These essential steps in the optimization process are carried out by medical professionals with expertise in radiotherapy treatment planning.

Radiotherapy doses The subsequent step entails the computation of the radiation dose distribution within the patient’s volumetric anatomy. This is achieved by simulating a particular configuration of the multi-leaf collimator (MLC) on the patient’s body, utilizing the available medical imaging data. The resulting computed dose represents a mapping from the three-dimensional volume of the patient’s anatomy to a scalar value measured in Grays (Gy), which denotes the absorbed radiation energy. In practical implementation, a discrete representation of the dose distribution is utilized, wherein the dose is calculated for each individual voxel comprising the patient’s anatomical structure.

Dose-Volume Histograms

Dose Evaluation

2 Methods

3 Results

4 Discussion