

# Mid-PhD Defense

Paul Dubois

TheraPanacea  
MICS, CentraleSupélec  
Institut du Cancer de Montpellier

21st June 2023

# Outline

## Introduction

Cancer treatments

Radiotherapy

Multi-Leaf Collimator

V-MAT Scheme

IMRT Scheme

Step-and-Shoot

Sliding-windows

Radiotherapy Workflow

## Problem Statement

Optimization workflow

Fluence discretization

FMO problem

Formulation

Optimization

## Early results

Optimizers Review

Meta-Optimization

Dose Distances

Dose Clustering

## Future work

## Others

Teaching

Doctoral Training

## References

## Cancer treatments

Surgery



- +: Safe (little damage to healthy tissues)
- : Tumor needs to be localized & accessible

Chemotherapy



- : Heavy medicine on all the body
- +: Tumor does **not** need to be localized

## Cancer treatments

Surgery



+: Safe

-: Tumor needs to be localized

## Radiotherapy



+: Relatively safe (most tissues are spared)

-: Tumor needs to be (relatively) localized


Chemotherapy



Medicine on all the body

does not need to be localized

# Multi-Leaf Collimator



# V-MAT Irradiation Technique




Figure: Typical V-Mat dose slice.

# IMRT Irradiation Technique





Figure: Typical 5 beams IMRT dose slice.

## Step-and-Shoot (1/3)



**Figure:** Optimal Continuous Fluence.

## Step-and-Shoot (2/3)




Figure: Discretizing the Fluence.

## Step-and-Shoot (3/3)




Figure: Delivering Discrete Fluence.

## Sliding-Windows (1/3)



Figure: Continuous Fluence to Bixel Fluence.

## Sliding-Windows (2/3)




Figure: Bixel Fluence to Row/Column Curves.

## Sliding-Windows (3/3)

Convert rows/columns fluence curves to leafs motions.



(<https://mics-lab.github.io/PresentationJuin2023MICS/demo>)

# Radiotherapy Workflow



# Radiotherapy Workflow



# Automatic Dose Optimization for Radiotherapy



# Problem Formulation

## IMRT

Bixel values:

$$x_{i,j}^{\theta} \geq 0, \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta \text{ and } 1 \leq i,j \leq 20^1$$

usually concatenated to a single bixels-value vector  $x$ .

Dose calculation:

$$\mathbf{y} = L\mathbf{x} \text{ with } L \text{ (pre-calculated) dose-influence (DI) matrix}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>20x20 is a typical bixel discretization

# Problem Formulation

## IMRT (bis)

Objective for *maximum* constraint  $c$  on structure  $s$ , dose  $d$ :

$$f_c(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} (\mathbf{y}_v - d)_+^2$$

(reverse sign for minimal constraint).

Final objective:

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} w_c f_c(\mathbf{y})$$

with  $w_c$  the weight of constraint  $c$ .

# Problem Optimization

## Optimizer review



Figure: Typical prostate case.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18014>

# Problem Optimization

## Optimizer review (bis)



Figure: Typical prostate case.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.18014>

# Meta-Optimization

## Usual optimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, w) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} > 0$$





... and fine-tune  $w$  until the dose is clinically acceptable.

## Meta optimization

$$\min_w \left\{ \min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}, w) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} > 0 \right\}$$

... still need to fine-tune the parameters (learning rate, momentum, etc...) of the meta-optimizer.

# Dose Distances




**Figure:** Example of two doses that have the same clinical effect (measured from the DVHs), but very different voxel-wise dose values.

# Dose Clustering



(a) (Circular Layout)



(b) (Spring Layout)

Figure: Doses Network

edges width  $\propto$  edge weight  $\propto 1/\text{distance}$

node's color reflects community attribution

# Dose Clustering




Figure: Dose-Volume Histogram

# Dose Clustering






Figure: Dose-Volume Histogram Standard Deviation per Community

# Current Workflow

## Patient data

- CT scan
- OARs & PTVs contours
- doctors' objectives



## Treatment plan


- Fluences
- Leaf movements
- Dose per organ

# 1<sup>st</sup> Automatization try “baseline”

## Patient data

- CT scan
- OARs & PTVs contours
- doctors' objectives

Fine tuning of hyper parameters



## Treatment plan

- Fluences
- Leaf movements
- Dose per organ

# 1<sup>st</sup> (bis) Automatization try

## Patient data

- CT scan
- OARs & PTVs contours
- doctors' objectives




## 2<sup>nd</sup> Automatization try (*work in progress*)

### Patient data

- CT scan
- OARs & PTVs contours
- doctors' objectives

Learning based on previous cases



### Treatment plan

- Fluences
- Leaf movements
- Dose per organ

# Teaching

## Lectures:

- ▶ Mathematics Refresher Course for DSBA (M2 students) 2021
- ▶ Deep Learning for HSB (3<sup>rd</sup> year students) 2023

## TDs:

- ▶ Coding Weeks (1<sup>st</sup> year) 2021
- ▶ Optimization (1<sup>st</sup> year) 2021
- ▶ Visual recognition (3<sup>rd</sup> year) 2022
- ▶ Coding Weeks (1<sup>st</sup> year) 2022
- ▶ Algorithm and Complexity (2<sup>nd</sup> year) 2022/2023

# Doctoral Training

- ▶ ED INTERFACES - Journée de Rentrée 2022 (12 janvier 2023)
- ▶ Math On Mars (06 mai 2022) Info@lèze
- ▶ Asymmetric Cryptography (23 septembre 2022) Info@lèze
- ▶ Genetic Algorithms (10 juin 2022) Info@lèze
- ▶ Math With Jupyter (18 décembre 2021) Info@lèze
- ▶ Writing skills in Science ADVANCED [Eng] (10 mai 2022)
- ▶ AI 4 Health (10 janvier 2022 - 14 janvier 2022)

Total participation: **109/125** heures; 7 modules

Total des Crédits/Points de Thèse: **22/25**

## References I

-  Thomas Bortfeld.  
The number of beams in imrt—theoretical investigations and implications for single-arc imrt.  
*Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 55:83 – 97, 2009.
-  Paul Dubois.  
Radiotherapy dosimetry: A review on open-source optimizer, 2023.
-  Gary A. Ezzell, James M. Galvin, Daniel Low, Jatinder R. Palta, Isaac Rosen, Michael B. Sharpe, Ping Xia, Ying Xiao, Lei Xing, and Cedric X. Yu.  
Guidance document on delivery, treatment planning, and clinical implementation of imrt: Report of the imrt subcommittee of the aapm radiation therapy committee.  
*Medical Physics*, 30(8):2089–2115, 2003.

## References II

-  Thomas P. Kole, Osarhieme Aghayere, Jason Kwah, Ellen D. Yorke, and Karyn A. Goodman.  
Comparison of heart and coronary artery doses associated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy versus three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy for distal esophageal cancer.  
*International Journal of Radiation Oncology\*Biology\*Physics*, 83(5):1580–1586, 2012.
-  Dinesh Kumar Mynampati, Ravindra Yaparpalvi, Linda Hong, Hsiang-Chi Kuo, and Dennis Mah.  
Application of AAPM TG 119 to volumetric arc therapy (VMAT).  
*Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 13(5):108–116, 2012.

## References III

-  David Palma, Emily Vollans, Kerry James, Sandy Nakano, Vitali Moiseenko, Richard Shaffer, Michael McKenzie, James Morris, and Karl Otto.  
Volumetric modulated arc therapy for delivery of prostate radiotherapy: Comparison with intensity-modulated radiotherapy and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy.  
*International Journal of Radiation Oncology\*Biology\*Physics*, 72(4):996–1001, 2008.
-  Lynn J. Verhey.  
Comparison of three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy and intensity-modulated radiation therapy systems.  
*Seminars in Radiation Oncology*, 9(1):78–98, 1999.  
Radiation Therapy Treatment Optimization.

## References IV



S Webb.

The physical basis of imrt and inverse planning.

*The British Journal of Radiology*, 76(910):678–689, 2003.

PMID: 14512327.