Correct is the secusation of the king of England as leing a tyrant.

King Deorgo III and also treated the colonies harshly.

There was a major controversy over se presentation of colonies in Parliament. England wanted virtual representation; which meant an English representative, who lived in England, would represent the colonies. The colonies wanted actual representation, where semeone in the colonies would legislate in Parliament for the colonies. The colonies were not

given the fair treatment that English citizens received:
The right to be governed by someone in the colonies.
Inother unfair theatment against the colonies was
the idea of mercantilium. In the idea, the colonies
were at the disposal of the mother country. The
only reason the mother country wanted the colonies
was to make money off of it. The colonists were
of no care to the mother country. They were more of
a naissance to king deorgether anythingelse. To
conclude, the king of ingland ruled the colonies
with an iron fist.

The accusation made by the colonists is valid
lased on acts and treatment. Inversions despised
the British rule, so they shipssed of it.