Math 300.3 Homework 1

Paul Hacking

February 1, 2017

Reading: Sundstrom, Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

Justify your answers carefully.

- (1) Compute the truth tables for the following compound statements.
 - (a) $(NOT P) \Rightarrow Q$.
 - (b) $(P \circ R Q) \circ AND(N \circ T(P \circ AND Q))$. [This compound statement is sometimes called "exclusive or" and denoted $P \circ X \circ R \circ Q$.]
 - (c) $(P \text{ AND } Q) \Rightarrow R$.
- (2) In each of the following cases, show using truth tables that the two compound statements are equivalent.
 - (a) NOT(P OR Q), (NOT P) AND(NOT Q).
 - (b) $P \Rightarrow Q$, (NOT P) OR Q.
 - (c) P AND(Q OR R), (P AND Q) OR(P AND R).
- (3) Recall that the *converse* of the conditional statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ is the conditional statement $Q \Rightarrow P$. WARNING: The statements $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$ are *not* equivalent (it can happen that one is true and the other is false).

For each of the following true conditional statements, determine whether the converse is true or false.

[Note: In each case, the statement depends on a variable. I am asking whether the converse is true for all possible values of that variable. Later we will be more precise about this using "quantifiers".]

- (a) Let n be an integer. If n is a multiple of 6 then n is even.
- (b) Let x be real number. If x > 1 then $x^2 > 1$.
- (c) Let x be a real number. If x > 2 then $x^3 > 8$.
- (d) Let T be a triangle. If T has two sides of equal lengths then T has two equal angles.
- (4) Recall that the conditional statement $(P \Rightarrow Q)$ is equivalent to the conditional statement (NOT Q) \Rightarrow (NOT P), called its *contrapositive* (we showed this in class using truth tables).
 - (a) Let x be a real number. Write down the contrapositive of the conditional statement $(x^3 + x < 2) \Rightarrow (x < 1)$. (Simplify the contrapositive statement as much as possible.)
 - (b) Show that the contrapositive statement is always true (for any value of x), and deduce that the original statement is true as well. [In general, when we want to show that a conditional statement is true, it is often useful to replace the statement by its contrapositive.]
- (5) In class and in the exercises above we have shown the following equivalences of compound statements:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{NOT}(P \operatorname{AND} Q) &\equiv (\operatorname{NOT} P) \operatorname{OR}(\operatorname{NOT} Q) \\ \operatorname{NOT}(P \operatorname{OR} Q) &\equiv (\operatorname{NOT} P) \operatorname{AND}(\operatorname{NOT} Q) \\ P \operatorname{OR}(Q \operatorname{AND} R) &\equiv (P \operatorname{OR} Q) \operatorname{AND}(P \operatorname{OR} R) \\ P \operatorname{AND}(Q \operatorname{OR} R) &\equiv (P \operatorname{AND} Q) \operatorname{OR}(P \operatorname{AND} R) \\ P \Rightarrow Q &\equiv (\operatorname{NOT} P) \operatorname{OR} Q \end{aligned}$$

We also have the obvious equivalence $NOT(NOT P) \equiv P$.

Using these equivalences, show (without using truth tables) that in each of the following cases the two compound statements are equivalent.

- (a) $(NOT P) \Rightarrow Q$, POR Q.
- (b) $(P \text{ OR } Q) \Rightarrow R$, ((NOT P) AND(NOT Q)) OR R.
- (c) $NOT(P \Rightarrow Q)$, PAND(NOT Q).

- (d) $P \Rightarrow (Q \text{ AND } R)$, $(P \Rightarrow Q) \text{ AND}(P \Rightarrow R)$.
- (6) We say a compound statement is a *tautology* if it is true for all truth values of the component statements. Show using truth tables that each of the following statements is a tautology.
 - (a) P OR(NOT P).
 - (b) $(P \text{ AND}(P \Rightarrow Q)) \Rightarrow Q$.
 - (c) $((P \Rightarrow Q) \text{AND}(Q \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R)$.