# Supplementary Information for Breaking the Upper Bound of Siloxane Uptake: Metal-Organic Frameworks as an Adsorbent Platform

Ezgi Gulcay (D †a, Paul Iacomi (D †a, Youngsang Kob, Jong-San Changb, Guillaume Riolandc, Sabine Devautour-Vinot (D a, and Guillaume Maurin (D a

† These authors contributed equally

\* E-Mail: guillaume.maurin1@umontpellier.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>ICGM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, F-34095 Montpellier, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Research Group for Nanocatalyst (RGN) and Convergent Center for Chemical Process (CCP), Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology (KRICT), Gajeong-ro 141, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34114, South Korea

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, DSO/AQ/LE, 18 Avenue Edouard Belin, 31401 Toulouse, Cedex 09, France

# **Contents**

1	Con	nputational details	<b>S</b> 3
	1.1	Force field parameters for D4	S3
	1.2	Screening dataset	S4
	1.3	Radial distribution functions for D4/PCN-777 $\dots \dots \dots$	S
2	МО	F samples	S
	2.1	MIL-101(Cr)	SS
	2.2	DUT-4	SS
	2.3	PCN-777	S
3	D4 :		<b>S</b> 11
	3.1	D4 benchmarking with known MOFs	S11
		Isosteric heat of sorption of D4	
Re	ferer	nces	S12

### 1. Computational details

1.1. Force field parameters for D4. D4 was modelled as a semi-flexible molecule with an all-atom atomistic model. All intramolecular bonds, angles, dihedrals, and cross terms parameters for methyl groups were taken from the consistent-valence force field (CVFF) reminded below. S1

#### **Harmonic Bond**

$$U = \frac{1}{2}p_0(r - p_1)^2$$
 [S1]

where  $p_0/\kappa_B$  in units K/Å<sup>2</sup>,  $p_1$  in Å.

Table S1: D4 bonding potential parameters.

Pseudo atom	Type of bond	$p_0/\kappa_B$ (K/Ų)	$p_1$ (Å)
Si-O	RIGID_BOND	-	-
Si-C	HARMONIC_BOND	286248.126	1.809
C3-H	HARMONIC_BOND	409668.576	1.105

#### Harmonic Bend

$$U = \frac{1}{2}p_0(\theta_{ijk} - p_1)^2$$
 [S2]

where  $p_0/\kappa_B$  in units K/rad<sup>2</sup>,  $p_1$  in degree.

Table S2: D4 bending potential parameters.

Pseudo atom	Type of angle	$p_0/\kappa_B$ (K/rad $^2$ )	$p_1$ (°)
Si-C-H	HARMONIC_BEND	41614.223	112.3
C-Si-C	HARMONIC_BEND	53400.911	113.5
C-Si-O	HARMONIC_BEND	53040.094	117.3
H-C-H	HARMONIC_BEND	47507.567	106.4

#### **CVFF** Dihedral

$$U = p_0 (1 + \cos(p_1 \phi_{ijk} - p_2))^2$$
 [S3]

where  $p_0/\kappa_B$  in units K,  $p_2$  in degree.

Table S3: D4 dihedral potential parameters.

Pseudo atom	Type of torsion	$p_0/\kappa_B$ (K)	$p_1$ (multiplicity)	$p_2$ (°)
H-C-Si-C	CVFF_DIHEDRAL	240.545	3	0
H-C-Si-O	CVFF_DIHEDRAL	-60.136	3	0
C-Si-O-Si	CVFF_DIHEDRAL	240.545	3	0

## **CFF Bond Bond Cross**

$$U = p_0(r - p_1)(r' - p_2)$$
 [S4]

where  $p_0/\kappa_B$  in units K/Å<sup>2</sup>,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in Å

Table S4: D4 cross-term bonding potential parameters.

Pseudo atom	Type of bond-bond	$p_0/\kappa_B$ (K/Ų)	$p_1$ (Å)	$p_2$ (Å)
Si-C-H	CFF_BOND_BOND_CROSS	14312.406	0	0
C-Si-C	CFF_BOND_BOND_CROSS	7336.612	0	0
C-Si-O	CFF_BOND_BOND_CROSS	25257.188	0	0

#### **CFF Bond Bend Cross**

$$U = (\theta - p_0)[p_1(r - p_2) + p_3(r\prime - p_4)]$$
 [S5]

where  $p_0$  in degrees,  $p_1$  and  $p_3$  in units K/Å/rad,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in Å.

Table S5: D4 cross-term bonding-bending potential parameters.

Pseudo atom	Type of bond-angle	po (°)	$p_1$ (K/Å/rad)	$p_2$ (Å)	$p_3$ (K/Å/rad)	$p_4$ (Å)
Si-C-H	CFF_BOND_BEND_CROSS	0	14733.359	0	9742.06	0
C-Si-C	CFF_BOND_BEND_CROSS	0	781.770	0	0	0
C-Si-O	CFF_BOND_BEND_CROSS	0	11425.871	0	27061.27	0

# D4 LJ parameters and charges

The electronic potential (ESP) derived partial charges of D4 were computed by density functional theory (DFT) calculations with PBE (Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof) functional S2 and DNP (double numeric plus polarization) basis set S3, using DMol<sup>3 S4</sup> (Table S6).

Table S6: Charges and LJ parameters for all atoms of D4.

Pseudo atom	Charge $(e^{-})$	$\epsilon/\kappa_B$ (K)	$\sigma$ (Å)
Si	1.321	202.429	3.826
0	-0.763	30.213	3.118
C	-0.889	52.873	3.431
Н	0.2032	22.156	2.571

#### 1.2. Screening dataset. Material details.

Table S7: Details of the 29 MOFs added to the COREMOF database.

MOFs	Reference	MOFs	Reference
RAVWAO	S5	DUT-5	S6
RAVWES	S5	DUT-51-Zr	S7
RAVWIW	S5	DUT-67-Zr	S8
RAVWOC	S5	MIL-68(AI)	S9
RAVWUI	S5	Cr-soc-MOF-1	S10
RAVXAP	S5	MIP <sup>[4]</sup> -177	S11
RAVXET	S5	MIP-200	S12
RAVXIX	S5	Zr-IPA <sup>[5]</sup>	S13
MIL-125	S14	Ni-BPM <sup>[6]</sup>	S15
MOF-808-acetate	S14	Ni-BPP <sup>[7]</sup>	S15
MOF-808-formate	S14	Ni-TPM <sup>[8]</sup>	S15
NU <sup>[1]</sup> -1000	S14	Ni-TPP <sup>[9]</sup>	S15
UiO <sup>[2]</sup> -68	S14	Ni-MOF-74	S15
Zr6-AzoBDC <sup>[3]</sup>	S14	PCN <sup>[10]</sup> -224(Ni)	S16

[1]NU: Northwestern University; [2]UiO: University of Oslo;

[3]AzoBDC: azobenzenedicarboxylate;

[4]MIP: Material of the Institute of Porous Materials from Paris;

[5]IPA: isophatale; [6]BPM: biphenyl-meta;

[7]BPP: biphenyl-para; [8]TPM: triphenyl-meta;

[9]TPP: triphenyl-para; [10]PCN: Porous coordination network;




Fig. S1: Overview of the diversity of the MOF database with PLD > 6 Å in terms of void fractions,  $N_2$  accessible surface areas and PLDs. Data points are color coded by PLDs of MOFs. Pore volumes of all structures are represented by size.




Fig. S2: Overview of the diversity of the hydrophobic MOFs database in terms of void fraction and  $N_2$  accessible surface areas. Data points are color coded by PLDs of MOFs. Pore volumes of all structures are represented by size.




Fig. S3: The relation between the gravimetric D4 uptake of the 811 hydrophobic MOFs (g g<sup>-1</sup>) and their pore volumes (cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>), color coded by void fraction of the MOFs.




Fig. S4: Predicted D4 uptake performance at 298 K for the hydrophobic MOFs database plotted as a function of their computed Henry constant of water, color coded by void fraction,  $\phi$ . Top performing 10 candidates are represented by different symbols in the legend to the right.

MOF Details FOTNIN (PCN-777) Organic ligand: 4,4',4'-s-triazine-2,4,6-triyl-tribenoic acid Metal site: Zr PLD: 28.36 Å **SA:**  $2990 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.27 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ **PV:** 3.31 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-</sup>  $\phi$ : 0.90 Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $2.68 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.72 g cm<sup>-3</sup> Organic ligand: **RUTNOK (IRMOF-76)** 4,7-bis(4-carboxylphenyl)-1,3-dimethylbenzimidazium-tetrafluoroborate Metal site: Zn **PLD**: 14.65 Å **SA:**  $6200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.24 \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ **PV:**  $3.72 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{g}^{-1}$  $\phi$ : 0.90 Gravimetric D4 uptake: 2.57 g g<sup>-1</sup> **Volumetric D4 uptake:** 0.62 g cm<sup>-3</sup> CUSYAR (MOF-210) Organic ligand: biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxylate Metal site: Zn PLD: 12.18 Å **SA:**  $5700 \,\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$ **Density:**  $0.25\,\mathrm{g\,cm}^{-3}$ **PV:**  $3.65 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{g}^{-1}$  $\phi$ : 0.90 Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $2.35 \,\mathrm{g\,g}^{-1}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.59 g cm<sup>-3</sup> WUHDAG (NU-1104) Organic ligand: meso-tetrakis-(4-((phenyl)ethynyl)benzoate) porphyrin Metal site: Zr **PLD**: 10.50 Å **SA**:  $5500 \,\mathrm{m}^2\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.29 \,\mathrm{g \, cm^{-3}}$ **PV**: 2.99 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-</sup>  $\phi$ : 0.87 Gravimetric D4 uptake: 2.01 g g<sup>-1</sup> Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup> **HOHMEX Organic ligand:** 4,4'-carbonyldibenzoato - ( $\mu$ 2-4,4'-bipyridine) Metal site: Cu **PLD:** 14.89 Å **SA:**  $5000 \,\mathrm{m}^2\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.32\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:** 2.74 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>  $\phi$ : 0.87 Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $1.97 \,\mathrm{g\,g^{-1}}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.63 g cm<sup>-3</sup> **ECOKAJ** Organic ligand: s-heptazine tribenzoate Metal site: Zn **PLD:** 17.58 Å **SA:**  $3600 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.33\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:**  $2.68 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{g}^{-1}$  $\phi$ : 0.87 Gravimetric D4 uptake: 1.97 g g<sup>-1</sup> Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.65 g cm<sup>-3</sup>


# **DAJWET**

Organic ligand: tetrakis (4-carboxylatophenyl) porphyrin

Metal site: Mg PLD: 26.59 Å **SA:**  $5000 \, \text{m}^2 \, \text{g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.28\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:** 3.06 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>

 $\phi$ : 0.87

Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $1.93 \,\mathrm{g \, g^{-1}}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.54 g cm<sup>-3</sup>



Organic ligand: phenylene ethynylene macrocycle

Metal site: Zn PLD: 19.25 Å **SA:**  $4200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.30\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:**  $2.90 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{g}^{-1}$  $\phi$ : 0.87

Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $1.93 \,\mathrm{g \, g^{-1}}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.58 g cm<sup>-3</sup>


#### WUHCUZ (NU-1103)

### Organic ligand:

4,4',4",4"'-((pyrene-1,3,6,8 tetrayltetrakis(benzene-4,1-diyl)) tetrakis(ethyne-2,1 diyl))tetrabenzoate

Metal site: Zr **PLD:** 12.21 Å **SA:**  $5500 \,\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$ **Density:**  $0.30\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:** 2.91 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-</sup>  $\phi$ : 0.87

Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $1.80\,\mathrm{g\,g^{-1}}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.54 g cm<sup>-3</sup>




#### Organic ligand: **ADATAC**

5,5',5"-(4,4',4"-[1,3,5-phenyltris(methoxy)] tris-phenylazo) tris-isophthalic acid

Metal site: Zn **PLD**: 10.28 Å **SA:**  $5130 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ **Density:**  $0.34\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$ **PV:**  $2.57 \, \text{cm}^3 \, \text{g}^{-1}$  $\phi$ : 0.87

Gravimetric D4 uptake:  $1.68 \,\mathrm{g \, g^{-1}}$ Volumetric D4 uptake: 0.57 g cm<sup>-3</sup>






Fig. S5: All-atom averaged radial distribution functions between (a) H atom from CH<sub>3</sub> group of D4 molecules and H atom from coordinated water of the framework at 10% total loading and (b) H atom from CH<sub>3</sub> groups of D4 at 100% loading.

#### 1.3. Radial distribution functions for D4/PCN-777.

#### 2. MOF samples

**2.1.** MIL-101(Cr). The benchmark MIL-101(Cr) sample was taken from a previous work <sup>S17</sup>, with all textural characteristics as stated in reference.

# 2.2. DUT-4. DUT-4 was purchased from Materials Center (TU Dresden, Germany).




Fig. S6: Characterization of the DUT-4 sample, in duplicates as black and blue: (a) PXRD, alongside simulated pattern in red (b) TGA curves and (c) N<sub>2</sub> physisorption isotherms at 77 K.

#### 2.3. PCN-777. Synthesis

To synthesize the PCN-777, ZrOCl $_2 \cdot 8$  H $_2$ O (1.08 g, 3.351 mmol) and 4,4',4"-s-Triazine-2,4,6-triyl-tribenzoic acid (0.270 g, 0.612 mmol) were put into 36 ml N,N-Diethylformamide (DEF) in a 100 ml Teflon-lined autoclave reactor, alongside an amount of trifluoroacetic acid (1.8 ml) to form a reaction solution. After sonicating the reaction solution at room temperature for 10 min, the reactor was transferred to a convection oven followed by heating at 423 K for 12 h. The PCN-777 crystalline solid was recovered by filtration after purification with 100 ml N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and acetone for 3 h at room temperature. The collected crystalline solid was dried at 393 K for 12 h.

#### Characterisation




Fig. S7: Thermogravimetric curve recorded on as-synthesised PCN-777.




Fig. S8: Nitrogen sorption isotherms at 77 K for the pristine PCN-777, alongside with those measured on samples after D4 and water sorption.




Fig. S9: BET and Rouquerol plots displaying selection of applicable isotherm points for the pristine PCN-777 isotherm.

### 3. D4 sorption experiments

**3.1. D4 benchmarking with known MOFs.** Isotherms were recorded on benchmark materials MIL-101(Cr) and DUT-4 using the same methodology detailed in the main manuscript.




Fig. S10: D4 isotherms recorded on samples of MIL-101(Cr) (red) and DUT-4 (blue), used to validate our computational methodology for predicting total D4 capacity. Note the different desorption behavior (open symbols) of the two materials under secondary vacuum: partial desorption for MIL-101(Cr) and no desorption for DUT-4.

**3.2.** Isosteric heat of sorption of D4. A further isotherm was recorded at  $313\,\mathrm{K}$  ( $40\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ ) to allow for the calculation of the isosteric heat of adsorption through the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, as depicted in Fig. S11.




Fig. S11: D4 sorption isotherms on PCN-777 recorded at 303 K (blue) and at 313 K (green) in an absolute (a) and relative (b) pressure scale. (c) The calculated isosteric heat of adsorption as a function of D4 uptake.

#### References

- (S1) Dauber-Osguthorpe, P.; Roberts, V.A.; Osguthorpe, D.J.; Wolff, J.; Genest, M.; and Hagler, A.T. "Structure and energetics of ligand binding to proteins: Escherichia coli dihydrofolate Reductase-Trimethoprim, a Drug-Receptor system." Proteins: Struct, Funct, Bioinf, 1988. 4(1):31-47
- Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; and Ernzerhof, M. "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple." Physical Review Letters, 1996. 77(18):3865–3868. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865
- (S3) Hehre, W.J.; Ditchfield, R.; and Pople, J.A. "Self-consistent molecular orbital methods. XII. Further extensions of gaussian-type basis sets for use in molecular orbital studies of organic molecules." J Chem Phys, 1972. 56(5):2257-2261
- Delley, B. "An All-electron numerical method for solving the local density functional for polyatomic molecules." J Chem Phys, 1990. 92(1):508-517
- (S5) Gulcay, E. and Erucar, I. "Biocompatible MOFs for storage and separation of O2: A molecular simulation study." Ind Eng Chem Res, 2019. 58(8):3225-3237
- Senkovska, I.; Hoffmann, F.; Fröba, M.; Getzschmann, J.; Böhlmann, W.; and Kaskel, S. "New highly porous aluminium based metal-organic frameworks: Al(OH)(ndc) (ndc=2,6-naphthalene dicarboxylate) and Al(OH)(bpdc) (bpdc=4,4'-biphenyl dicarboxylate)." Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 2009. 122(1-3):93-98. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2009.02.020
- (S7) Bon, V.; Senkovsky, V.; Senkovska, I.; and Kaskel, S. "Zr(iv) and Hf(iv) based metal-organic frameworks with reo-topology." Chem Commun, 2012. 48(67):8407. doi:10.1039/c2cc34246d
- (S8) Bon, V.; Senkovska, I.; Baburin, I.A.; and Kaskel, S. "Zr- and Hf-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks: Tracking Down the Polymorphism." Crystal Growth & Design, 2013. 13(3):1231-1237. doi:10.1021/cg301691d
- Yang, Q.; Vaesen, S.; Vishnuvarthan, M.; Ragon, F.; Serre, C.; Vimont, A.; Daturi, M.; De Weireld, G.; and Maurin, G. "Probing the adsorption performance of the hybrid porous (S9) MIL-68(AI): A synergic combination of experimental and modelling tools." Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2012. 22(20):10210. doi:10.1039/c2jm15609a
- (S10) Nandi, S.; Aggarwal, H.; Wahiduzzaman, M.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Maurin, G.; Eddaoudi, M.; and Devautour-Vinot, S. "Revisiting the water sorption isotherm of MOF using electrical measurements." Chem Commun, 2019. 55(88):13251-13254
- (S11) Wang, S.; Kitao, T.; Guillou, N.; Wahiduzzaman, M.; Martineau-Corcos, C.; Nouar, F.; Tissot, A.; Binet, L.; Ramsahye, N.; Devautour-Vinot, S.; Kitagawa, S.; Seki, S.; Tsutsui, Y.; Briois, V.; Steunou, N.; Maurin, G.; Uemura, T.; and Serre, C. "A phase transformable ultrastable titanium-carboxylate framework for photoconduction." *Nature* Communications, 2018. 9(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04034-w
- Wang, S.; Lee, J.S.; Wahiduzzaman, M.; Park, J.; Muschi, M.; Martineau-Corcos, C.; Tissot, A.; Cho, K.H.; Marrot, J.; Shepard, W.; Maurin, G.; Chang, J.S.; and Serre, C. "A robust large-pore zirconium carboxylate metal-organic framework for energy-efficient water-sorption-driven refrigeration." Nat Energy, 2018. 3(11):985-993. doi:10.1038/s41560-018-0261-6
- (S13) Wang, S.; Chen, L.; Wahiduzzaman, M.; Tissot, A.; Zhou, L.; Ibarra, I.A.; Gutiérrez-Alejandre, A.; Lee, J.S.; Chang, J.S.; Liu, Z.; Marrot, J.; Shepard, W.; Maurin, G.; Xu, Q.; and Serre, C. "A Mesoporous Zirconium-Isophthalate Multifunctional Platform." Matter, 2020. p. S2590238520305634. doi:10.1016/j.matt.2020.10.009
- Soares, C.V.; Leitão, A.; and Maurin, G. "Computational evaluation of the chemical warfare agents capture performances of robust MOFs." Microporous Mesoporous Mater, 2019. (S14)
- (S15) Zheng, J.; Barpaga, D.; Trump, B.A.; Shetty, M.; Fan, Y.; Bhattacharya, P.; Jenks, J.J.; Su, C.Y.; Brown, C.M.; Maurin, G.; McGrail, B.P.; and Motkuri, R.K. "Molecular Insight into Fluorocarbon Adsorption in Pore Expanded Metal-Organic Framework Analogs." J Am Chem Soc, 2020. 142(6):3002-3012. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b11963
- (S16) Feng, D.; Chung, W.C.; Wei, Z.; Gu, Z.Y.; Jiang, H.L.; Chen, Y.P.; Darensbourg, D.J.; and Zhou, H.C. "Construction of Ultrastable Porphyrin Zr Metal-Organic Frameworks through Linker Elimination." J Am Chem Soc, 2013. 135(45):17105–17110. doi:10.1021/ja408084j

  (S17) Pillai, R.S.; Yoon, J.W.; Lee, S.J.; Hwang, Y.K.; Bae, Y.S.; Chang, J.S.; and Maurin, G. "N 2 Capture Performances of the Hybrid Porous MIL-101(Cr): From Prediction toward
- Experimental Testing." J Phys Chem C, 2017. 121(40):22130-22138. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b07029

DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.14222261 Supplementary Information | March 17, 2021 | \$12