

Exposé: Machine Learning for Prediction and Detection of Epileptic Seizures Using ECG and Other Non-Invasive Sensor Data

Paulin Saher

27. November 2025

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1	Introduction	1
2	Technical Background	2
2.1	Epileptic Seizures	2
2.2	Wearable Technology	2
2.3	Machine Learning	2
2.4	Patient-Specific Fine-Tuning	3
2.5	Datasets and Synthetic Data	3
3	Methodology	3
4	Overview of literature Review	4

1 Introduction

Epilepsy is one of the most common chronic diseases of the central nervous system, affecting around 7.6 per 1,000 people (Beghi, 2019). Despite this, epilepsy remains poorly understood, resulting in 30% of patients being drug resistant and patients responding to the drugs report severe side effects and subsequent impacts on quality of life. (Chen et al., 2020; Kwan & Brodie, 2000). The prediction and detection of epileptic seizures can be helpful by enabling timely drug administration, the anticipation and inhibition of seizure-related accidents as well as prompt first aid responses.

When treatment fails patients are at risk for sudden death of epilepsy (SUDEP), as well as physical injuries related to muscle spasms and falls during seizures. (Hesdorffer et al., 2011).

This highlights the need for reliable and accessible seizure detection and prediction methods. In clinical settings reliable detection and prediction has already been achieved using EEG, however there is a lack of solutions for ambulatory monitoring since EEG requires bulky and obtrusive equipment (Chen et al., 2020).

The rapid introduction of wearable technology specifically regarding health monitoring, paired with improvements in the machine learning sector has opened up the possibili-

ty of dependable, accessible and affordable seizure detection and prediction in ambulatory settings.

This literature review aims to consolidate the current state of research regarding seizure detection and prediction using wearable technology and machine learning methods to identify the most promising methods and modalities , give a concise overview and highlight future directions for research in this area.

2 Technical Background

2.1 Epileptic Seizures

Epileptic seizures are classified by their onset as focal (starting in a specific area of the brain) or generalized (affecting both hemispheres from the onset).

1. **Focal Seizures:** Seizures are further split up into focal aware and focal impaired awareness seizures, depending on whether the patient is aware during the seizure or not. and by onset features (motor vs non-motor). Focal seizures may evolve to bilateral tonic–clonic seizures.
2. **Generalized Seizures:** Generalized seizures begin in both cerebral hemispheres and include motor (e.g. tonic–clonic, myoclonic, atonic) and non-motor (absence) types.

(Fisher et al., 2017). **Note:** Tonic-clonic seizures describe a type of seizure starting with a brief tonic phase of sustained muscle contraction followed by a clonic phase of followed by a clonic phase of rhythmic jerking; they commonly cause loss of consciousness and carry increased risk of injury and SUDEP (Hesdorffer et al., 2011).

2.2 Wearable Technology

Wearable technology refers to electronic devices (armbands, watches, chest straps) that are worn on the body, often equipped with sensors to monitor heart rate, acceleration, respiration, skin conductance and blood pressure. Detection performance of wearables for generalized tonic-clonic seizures(GTCS) is already quite high, due to the fact that these seizures produce large stereotyped motor patterns as well as heart-rate and EDA changes. Several of these devices have regulatory clearances for GTCS detection (Miron et al., 2025; Seth et al., 2023).

However focal seizures often lack large body movements and less pronounced heart-rate and EDA, emphasizing the need for improved wearable seizure detection methods.

2.3 Machine Learning

Machine learning on wearable biosignals (ECG/HRV, EDA, ACC, PPG) typically frames seizure detection and prediction as standard classification. Classical models (e.g., SVM, RF, kNN) on HRV/EDA/ACC features achieve solid detection and some prediction, but often in retrospective designs (Mason et al., 2024; Seth et al., 2023).

Deep architectures (1D-CNN, LSTM) and multimodal fusion remain the most promising improving robustness and phase classification, especially when combining ECG/EDA/ACC with attention/fusion layers (Pordoy et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2022).

ECG-focused studies add interpretable features and out-of-distribution evaluation but emphasize non-stationarity, class imbalance, and scarce prospective validation as key limitations (Abtahi et al., 2025; Kalousios et al., 2024).

2.4 Patient-Specific Fine-Tuning

Inter-patient variability in autonomic responses and preictal dynamics is substantial; the most informative features often differ by patient, which degrades population-trained models. Patient-specific fine-tuning typically improves sensitivity and lowers false alarms in ambulatory, alarm-based settings (Andrade et al., 2024).

2.5 Datasets and Synthetic Data

Public datasets for seizure detection/prediction are largely EEG-centric with auxiliary ECG (TUH, EPILEPSIAE, RPAH, CHB-MIT), while truly wearable multimodal corpora are scarce; one of the few open non-EEG sources is the Open Seizure Database (ACC+HR) (Pordoy et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2022). Cross-dataset and pseudo-prospective evaluation across TUH→RPAH/EPILEPSIAE and across EPILEPSIAE/CHB-MIT/AES/Epilepsy Ecosystem exposes domain shift and favors alarm-based over sample-based metrics (Andrade et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2022). Given rare events, imbalance, and noisy labels, studies use augmentation (time-warping, jitter, scaling) and synthetic data to balance preictal/ictal segments, with patient-preserving splits and external validation to avoid device/site overfitting (Kalousios et al., 2024; Seth et al., 2023).

3 Methodology

1. **Scope:** human studies in journals (2015–2025) on non-EEG wearable/autonomic biosignals (ECG/HRV, PPG, EDA, ACC) for seizure detection or prediction.
2. **Search:** Google Scholar (scoping), IEEE Xplore (all queries), PubMed and Scopus (targeted); predefined query strings; results deduplicated.
3. **Screening:** title/abstract screen, then full-text eligibility; backward/forward citation chasing on key papers.
4. **Extraction:** modality; dataset/type (wearable vs clinical); dataset name/availability; task (detection vs prediction); evaluation design (retrospective/pseudo-prospective/prospective); metrics (sensitivity, FAR/h, AUC); personalization; cohort size/class balance.
5. **Quality flags:** sample- vs alarm-based evaluation; patient-preserving splits; cross-dataset/external validation.
6. **Synthesis:** narrative grouping by modality and task with emphasis on ambulatory feasibility and edge constraints.

4 Overview of literature Review

Literatur

- Abtahi, A., Ryvlin, P., & Aminifar, A. (2025). Identification of Relevant ECG Features for Epileptic Seizure Prediction Using Interpretable Machine Learning. *IEEE Access*, 13, 111293–111303. DOI: [10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3583461](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3583461) (siehe S. 3).
- Andrade, I., Teixeira, C., & Pinto, M. (2024). On the performance of seizure prediction machine learning methods across different databases: The sample and alarm-based perspectives. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 18. DOI: [10.3389/fnins.2024.1417748](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2024.1417748) (siehe S. 3).
- Beghi, E. (2019). The Epidemiology of Epilepsy. *Neuroepidemiology*, 54(2), 185–191. DOI: [10.1159/000503831](https://doi.org/10.1159/000503831) (siehe S. 1).
- Chen, Z., Rollo, B., Antonic-Baker, A., Anderson, A., Ma, Y., O'Brien, T. J., Ge, Z., Wang, X., & Kwan, P. (2020). New Era of Personalised Epilepsy Management. *bmj*, 371. Verfügbar 21. November 2025 unter URL: <https://www.bmjjournals.com/content/371/bmj.m3658.long> (siehe S. 1).
- Fisher, R. S., Cross, J. H., D'Souza, C., French, J. A., Haut, S. R., Higurashi, N., Hirsch, E., Jansen, F. E., Lagae, L., Moshé, S. L., Peltola, J., Roulet Perez, E., Scheffer, I. E., Schulze-Bonhage, A., Somerville, E., Sperling, M., Yacubian, E. M., & Zuberi, S. M. (2017). Instruction manual for the ILAE 2017 operational classification of seizure types. *Epilepsia*, 58(4), 531–542. DOI: [10.1111/epi.13671](https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.13671) (siehe S. 2).
- Hesdorffer, D. C., Tomson, T., Benn, E., Sander, J. W., Nilsson, L., Langan, Y., Walczak, T. S., Beghi, E., Brodie, M. J., Hauser, A., & for the ILAE Commission on Epidemiology; Subcommission on Mortality. (2011). Combined analysis of risk factors for SUDEP: Combined SUDEP Analysis. *Epilepsia*, 52(6), 1150–1159. DOI: [10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02952.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02952.x) (siehe S. 1, 2).
- Kalousios, S., Müller, J., Yang, H., Eberlein, M., Uckermann, O., Schackert, G., Polanski, W. H., & Leonhardt, G. (2024). ECG-based Epileptic Seizure Prediction: Challenges of Current Data-driven Models. *Epilepsia Open*, 10(1), 143–154. DOI: [10.1002/epi4.13073](https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.13073) (siehe S. 3).
- Kwan, P., & Brodie, M. J. (2000). Early Identification of Refractory Epilepsy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 342(5), 314–319. DOI: [10.1056/NEJM200002033420503](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200002033420503) (siehe S. 1).
- Mason, F., Scarabello, A., Taruffi, L., Pasini, E., Calandra-Buonaura, G., Vignatelli, L., & Bisulli, F. (2024). Heart Rate Variability as a Tool for Seizure Prediction: A Scoping Review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 13(3), 747. DOI: [10.3390/jcm13030747](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13030747) (siehe S. 2).
- Miron, G., Halimeh, M., Jeppesen, J., Loddenkemper, T., & Meisel, C. (2025). Autonomic biosignals, seizure detection, and forecasting. *Epilepsia*, 66 Suppl 3, 25–38. DOI: [10.1111/epi.18034](https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.18034) (siehe S. 2).
- Pordoy, J., Jones, G., Mattoorian, N., Evans, M., Dadashiserej, N., & Zolgharni, M. (2025). Enhanced Non-EEG Multimodal Seizure Detection: A Real-World Model for Identifying Generalised Seizures Across the Ictal State. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 29(5), 3329–3342. DOI: [10.1109/JBHI.2025.3532223](https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2025.3532223) (siehe S. 2, 3).
- Seth, E. A., Watterson, J., Xie, J., Arulsamy, A., Md Yusof, H. H., Ngadimon, I. W., Khoo, C. S., Kadirvelu, A., & Shaikh, M. F. (2023). Feasibility of Cardiac-based

Seizure Detection and Prediction: A Systematic Review of Non-invasive Wearable Sensor-based Studies. *Epilepsia Open*, 9(1), 41–59. doi: [10.1002/epi4.12854](https://doi.org/10.1002/epi4.12854) (siehe S. 2, 3).

Yang, Y., Truong, N. D., Eshraghian, J. K., Maher, C., Nikpour, A., & Kavehei, O. (2022). A Multimodal AI System for Out-of-Distribution Generalization of Seizure Identification. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 26(7), 3529–3538. doi: [10.1109/JBHI.2022.3157877](https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2022.3157877) (siehe S. 2, 3).