SHPZKN Exercise 7

November 30, 2017

1 Model Selection

In this programming assignment we examine techniques for model selection on classification and regression tasks. In particular, we first explore the effect of model hyperparameters on the bias and variance of the prediction. In the second part of the assignment we utilize the bias-variance decomposition to perform automatic hyperparameter selection. Several classes and methods are provided in the utils.py file:

1.0.1 Datasets

- utils.Housing(): This regression dataset is available at http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing and loaded from scikit-learn's inbuilt representation. This data is used for regression. A description of the dataset can be found here http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/housing/housing.names. This data is in a 506x13 matrix and the labels in a array of length 506.
- utils.Yeast(): This classification dataset is available at https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Yeast. This data is used for classification. A description of the dataset can be found here https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/yeast/yeast.names. This data is in a 1484x8 matrix and the labels (class probabilities) are in a 1484x7 matrix where targets[i,j] = 1 if example i is of class j and 0 otherwise. For example, if we have a dataset of 4 examples which belong to following classes: [1, 0, 0, 2] the label matrix would look like this: T = [[0, 1, 0], [1, 0, 0], [1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1]].

1.0.2 Predictors

We provide two simple classes of predictors, one for regression and one for classification:

- utils.ParzenRegression: A regression method based on Parzen window. The hyperparameter corresponds to the scale of the Parzen window. A large scale creates a more rigid model. A small scale creates a more flexible one.
- utils.ParzenClassification: A classification method based on Parzen window. The hyperparameter corresponds to the scale of the Parzen window. A large scale creates a more rigid model. A small scale creates a more flexible one. Note that instead of returning a single class for a given data point, it outputs a probability distribution over the set of possible classes.

Each class of predictor implements the following three methods:

- __init__(self,parameter): Create an instance of the predictor with a certain scale parameter.
- fit(self,X,T): Fit the predictor to the data (a set of data points X and targets T).
- predict(self,X): Compute the output values arbitrary inputs X.

1.0.3 Bias Variance Decomposition

As we have seen in the theoretical exercise, there are several possible bias-variance decomposition for different tasks (e.g. classification, or regression).

- utils.biasVarianceRegression(): Perform the usual bias-variance decomposition of the mean square error. Reminder: given *Y* the (random) estimator and *T* the target, the decomposition is computed as follows:
- Bias $(Y)^2 = (\mathbb{E}_Y[Y T])^2$
- $Var(Y) = \mathbb{E}_Y[Y \mathbb{E}_Y[Y])^2$
- Error(Y) = $\mathbb{E}_Y[(Y T)^2]$

1.0.4 Sampler

To compute the bias and variance estimates, we require *multiple samples* from the training set for a single set of observation data. To acomplish this, we utilize the Sampler class provided. The sampler is initialized with the training data and passed to the method for estimating bias and variance, where its function sampler.sample() is called repeatedly in order to fit multiple models and create an ensemble of prediction for each test data point.

1.1 Part 1: Implementing Bias-Variance Decomposition for Classification (20 P)

Implement a function which computes the bias, variance and error given the true labels of the training data and the predicted values. Bias, Variance and Error for classification are defined as:

- Bias $(Y) = D_{KL}(T||R)$
- $Var(Y) = \mathbb{E}_Y[D_{KL}(R||Y)]$
- $\operatorname{Error}(Y) = \mathbb{E}_{Y}[D_{\mathrm{KL}}(T||Y)]$

where *R* is the distribution that minimizes its expected KL divergence from the estimator of probability distribution *Y* (see the theoretical exercise for how it is computed exactly), and where *T* is the target class distribution. Note that we consider here the Kullback-Leibler divergence as a measure of classification error, which is commonly done in practice in order to have a smooth objective function.

Tasks:

• Implement the KL-based Bias-Variance Decomposition defined above (10 P)


To get started, you can take inspiration from the readily implemented function utils.biasVarianceRegression(), which does the following:

- Iterate for a certain number of times the following:
 - Acquire a subsample of the training data by invoking sampler.sample()
 - Using the predictor (which will either be a Parzen Regressor or Parzen Classifier depending on the task), fit the model on the sample and determine the prediction for the observation data (*N* examples disjoint from the training data). Note that the dimension of the outputs matches the dimension of the targets, so for regression you will get an array of length *N* and for classification a matrix of shape *N* × #classes containing the class distributions.
- Having computed a number of different predictions, determine the bias, variance and error
 comparing the predictions to the true labels. Check that the decomposition is correct (i.e.
 bias + variance = error) using an assert statement, and return the bias and variance.
- Once the method is implemented, run Test 1 and Test 2 provided below (10 P)

```
In [6]: import numpy
        #Kullback Leibler divergence
        def Kullback_Leibler_Divergence(p,q,axis=1):
            return numpy.sum(p*numpy.log(p/q),axis=axis)
        def biasVarianceClassification(sampler, predictor, X, T, nbsamples=25):
            # calculation of Y
            Y = numpy.array([predictor.fit(*sampler.sample()
                                           ).predict(X) for _ in range(nbsamples)])
            # calculation of R
            numerator = numpy.exp(numpy.mean(numpy.log(Y),axis=0))
            R = numerator/numerator.sum(axis=1)[:,None]
            # calculation of the bias, variance and error by Kullback Leibler divergence
            bias = numpy.mean(Kullback_Leibler_Divergence(T,R))
            variance = numpy.mean(Kullback_Leibler_Divergence(R,Y,axis=2))
            error = numpy.mean(Kullback_Leibler_Divergence(T,Y,axis=2))
            # Stopping criteria
            assert(numpy.abs(((bias + variance)/error)-1)<1e-4)</pre>
            return bias, variance
In [7]: ### TEST 1
        import utils,numpy
        %matplotlib inline
        utils.plotBVE(utils.Housing,numpy.logspace(-6,3,num=30),
```

```
utils.ParzenRegressor,
utils.biasVarianceRegression,'SHPZKN: Housing Regression')
```





SHPZKN: Yeast Classification

— Error
— Bias
— Variance

10¹

 10^{3}

1.2 Part 2: Implementing a Parameter Selection Procedure (30 P)

 10^{-3}

In this part of the exercise, we would like to find what is the best hyperparameter of the model for predicting the Housing regression data. A 5-fold cross-validation procedure is already implemented and that allows to compute error bars.

 10^{-1}

Parameters

You need to extend this basic cross-validation procedure by a nested loop of 4-fold cross-validation that selects the best hyperparameters based on some criterion (cost function) to be determined. The nested loop is depicted below:

The full procedure for evaluation and hyperparameter selection procedure is shown in the diagram below with the part that you need to implement highlighted in red.

Tasks:

2.00

1.75

1.50

1.25

1.00

0.75


0.50

0.25

0.00

 10^{-5}

• Implement the inner loop of 4-fold cross-validation, helping you from the diagram above (20 P)



Nested

```
Build several selection / test splits

Build several training / validation splits from the selection set

Loop over training / validation splits

Loop over model parameters

Train several models with various random samples of the training set

Compute the bias and variance of these models on the validation set

Compute cost(bias,variance)

Return the best parameter for this split (i.e. with the smallest associated cost)

Use as parameter the geometric mean of best parameters for each split

Train several models with various random samples of the selection set

Compute the bias and variance of these models on the test set

Compute error bars (average and standard deviation) for bias, variance and error.
```

Procedure

For this part, use the following settings:

- Range of parameters to test: 15 parameters logarithmically spaced between 1e-5 and 1e5.
- The returned parameter is the geometric mean of the best parameter found for each split.
- The best parameter for each split is the one that minimizes the costfunction specified as argument.
- The bias and variance estimates are obtained by sampling 10 times from the training distribution.
- Verify your implementation by running Test 3 (10 P)

```
In [9]: import numpy , utils
        def getbestparameter(Xselect, Tselect, costfunction):
            # Split dataset
            splits = [([1,2,3],0), ([0,2,3],1),
                      ([0,1,3],2), ([0,1,2],3)]
            # Range of parameters to test: 15 parameters logarithmically spaced between 1e-5 and
            parameters = numpy.logspace(-5,5,num=15)
            bestparameters = []
            # Loop over training/validation splits
            for inds_select, ind_test in splits:
                Xtrain = [Xselect[ind] for ind in inds_select]
                Ttrain = [Tselect[ind] for ind in inds_select]
                Xvalidate = Xselect[ind_test]
                Tvalidate = Tselect[ind_test]
                # Loop over model parameters
                for p in parameters:
                    # train several models with varios random samples of the training set
                    predictor = utils.ParzenRegressor(p)
                    sampler = utils.Sampler(numpy.concatenate(Xtrain,axis=0),
                                            numpy.concatenate(Ttrain,axis=0))
                    # compute the bias and variance of the validation set
                    bias,variance = utils.biasVarianceRegression(
                        sampler,predictor,Xvalidate,Tvalidate, nbsamples=10)
                    # compute cost
                    cost = costfunction(bias, variance)
                    # return the best parameters of this split
                    if p == parameters[0]:
                        bestparameter = p
```

```
former_cost = cost
                    elif cost < former_cost:</pre>
                        bestparameter = p
                    former_cost = cost
                # add the best parameter to the bestparameters list
                bestparameters.append(bestparameter)
            # use as parameter the geometric mean of the best parameters for each split
            return numpy.prod(bestparameters) ** (1./len(bestparameters))
In [10]: import numpy,utils
         def evaluateModel(X,T,costfunction):
             # X: partitioned input
             # T: partitioned targets
             # costfunction: the function for evaluate how good/bad a hyperparameter is
             # Create splits
             splits = [([1,2,3,4],0), ([0,2,3,4],1),
                       ([0,1,3,4],2), ([0,1,2,4],3),
                       ([0,1,2,3],4)
             testbiases, testvariances, testerrors, bestparameters = [],[],[],[]
             #Loop over selection/test splits
             for inds_select,ind_test in splits:
                 Xselect = [X[ind] for ind in inds_select]
                 Tselect = [T[ind] for ind in inds_select]
                 Xtest = X[ind_test]
                 Ttest = T[ind_test]
                 bestparam = getbestparameter(Xselect, Tselect, costfunction)
                 # Evaluate bias and variance with this best parameter
                 predictor = utils.ParzenRegressor(bestparam)
                         = utils.Sampler(numpy.concatenate(Xselect,axis=0),
                                           numpy.concatenate(Tselect,axis=0))
                 bias,variance = utils.biasVarianceRegression(sampler,predictor,
                                                              Xtest,Ttest, nbsamples=20)
                 testbiases += [bias]
                 testvariances += [variance]
                 testerrors += [bias+variance]
                 bestparameters += [bestparam]
             # Output results of model evaluation
```

```
print('bias:
                                \%8.5f +/- \%8.5f'\%(numpy.mean(testbiases),
                                                   numpy.std(testbiases)))
             print('variance: %8.5f +/- %8.5f'%(numpy.mean(testvariances),
                                                   numpy.std(testvariances)))
             print('error:
                                \%8.5f +/- \%8.5f'\%(numpy.mean(testerrors),
                                                   numpy.std(testerrors)))
             print('parameter: %8.5f +/- %8.5f'%(numpy.mean(bestparameters),
                                                   numpy.std(bestparameters)))
In [11]: ### TEST 3
         import numpy, utils
         costfunctions = [
                  ('Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low bias', lambda b,v: 9*b+v),
                  ('Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low error', lambda b, v: b+v),
                  ('Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low variance', lambda b, v: b+9*v),
         ]
         # Load and partition the data
         X,T = utils.Housing()
         n = len(X)
         X = [X[n*i//5:n*(i+1)//5] \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(5)]
         T = [T[n*i/5:n*(i+1)/5] \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(5)]
         print "SHPZKN"
         for name, costfunction in costfunctions:
             print('\n\n%s\n'%name)
             evaluateModel(X,T,costfunction)
SHPZKN
Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low bias
bias:
           39.66191 +/- 5.88229
variance: 16.67878 +/- 6.33608
           56.34070 +/- 7.92399
error:
parameter: 0.00063 +/- 0.00063
Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low error
bias:
           46.60049 +/- 6.36437
          4.07180 +/- 1.56910
variance:
           50.67229 +/- 6.55041
parameter: 0.00664 + / - 0.00261
```

Parameter Selection Criterion: favor low variance

bias: 63.86186 +/- 10.34826 variance: 0.52327 +/- 0.09477 error: 64.38513 +/- 10.30343 parameter: 0.15402 +/- 0.03189