

## Workshop 1 – Domain Model. Peer review for Grade 2.

*Reviewer: Paulius Zukas (pz222as)*

*Workshop authors: Jovydas Urbanavicius, Mantas Remeika, Jean-Pierre Salum*

**Question:** As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

**Answer:** In my opinion, some parts of domain model according to task specifications are missing. However, parts that are present are easy to understand and follow, thus for me as a developer this domain model would help in the process.

**Question:** Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

**Answer:** I believe yes. The model clearly represents software as a real life process which is easy to understand even without skills in this particular field.

**Question:** What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

**Answer:** Domain model is easy to follow. Names of the classes are correct and meaningful. I as well like the fact that it not only makes sense in software point of view, but reality as well, since it is an actual process that is done in real life.

**Question:** What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

**Answer:** Some parts of your model are described as classes, however they should be named as attributes. To add to that, as mentioned above, some parts of the required model are missing.

**Question:** Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

**Answer:** In my opinion your domain model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria.

## References

1. Tutorial Point, UML tutorial,  
[http://www.tutorialspoint.com/uml/uml\\_basic\\_notations.htm](http://www.tutorialspoint.com/uml/uml_basic_notations.htm)
2. Larman C. Applying UML and Patterns 3rd edition, ISBN: 0-13-148906-2