

## Translating from English to Logic

**Example 2:** Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: “Some student in this class has taken a course in Java.”

**Solution:**

First decide on the domain  $U$ .

**Solution 1:** If  $U$  is all students in this class, translate as

$$\exists x J(x)$$

**Solution 2:** But if  $U$  is all people, then translate as

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$$

$\exists x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$  is not correct. What does it mean?

## Returning to the Socrates Example

- Introduce the propositional functions  $Man(x)$  denoting “ $x$  is a man” and  $Mortal(x)$  denoting “ $x$  is mortal.” Specify the domain as all people.
- The two premises are:  $\forall x Man(x) \rightarrow Mortal(x)$   
 $Man(Socrates)$
- The conclusion is:  $Mortal(Socrates)$
- Later we will show how to prove that the conclusion follows from the premises.

## Equivalences in Predicate Logic

- Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are *logically equivalent* if and only if they have the same truth value
  - for every predicate substituted into these statements and
  - for every domain of discourse used for the variables in the expressions.
- The notation  $S \equiv T$  indicates that  $S$  and  $T$  are logically equivalent.
- Example:  $\forall x \neg\neg S(x) \equiv \forall x S(x)$

## Thinking about Quantifiers as Conjunctions and Disjunctions

- If the domain is finite, a universally quantified proposition is equivalent to a conjunction of propositions without quantifiers and an existentially quantified proposition is equivalent to a disjunction of propositions without quantifiers.
- If  $U$  consists of the integers 1, 2, and 3:

$$\forall x P(x) \equiv P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3)$$

$$\exists x P(x) \equiv P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3)$$

- Even if the domains are infinite, you can still think of the quantifiers in this fashion, but the equivalent expressions without quantifiers will be infinitely long.

## Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider  $\forall x J(x)$   
 “Every student in your class has taken a course in Java.”  
 Here  $J(x)$  is “x has taken a course in calculus” and  
 the domain is students in your class.
- Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case  
 that every student in your class has taken Java.” This  
 implies that “There is a student in your class who has  
 not taken calculus.”  
 Symbolically  $\neg \forall x J(x)$  and  $\exists x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

## Negating Quantified Expressions *(continued)*

- Now Consider  $\exists x J(x)$   
 “There is a student in this class who has taken a course in  
 Java.”  
 Where  $J(x)$  is “x has taken a course in Java.”
- Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case  
 that there is a student in this class who has taken Java.”  
 This implies that “Every student in this class has not  
 taken Java”  
 Symbolically  $\neg \exists x J(x)$  and  $\forall x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

## De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers

- The rules for negating quantifiers are:

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.

Negation	Equivalent Statement	When Is Negation True?	When False?
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every $x$ , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every $x$ .

- The reasoning in the table shows that:

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

- These are important. You will use these.

## Translation from English to Logic

### Examples:

- “Some student in this class has visited Mexico.”

**Solution:** Let  $M(x)$  denote “ $x$  has visited Mexico” and  $S(x)$  denote “ $x$  is a student in this class,” and  $U$  be all people.

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge M(x))$$

- “Every student in this class has visited Canada or Mexico.”

**Solution:** Add  $C(x)$  denoting “ $x$  has visited Canada.”

$$\forall x (S(x) \rightarrow (M(x) \vee C(x)))$$

## Some Fun with Translating from English into Logical Expressions

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$

$F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleegle

$S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd

$T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob

Translate “Everything is a fleegle”

**Solution:**  $\forall x F(x)$

## Translation (cont)

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$

$F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleegle

$S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd

$T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob

“Nothing is a snurd.”

**Solution:**  $\neg \exists x S(x)$  What is this equivalent to?

**Solution:**  $\forall x \neg S(x)$

## Translation (cont)

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$   
 $F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleegle  
 $S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd  
 $T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob  
“All fleegles are snurds.”

**Solution:**  $\forall x (F(x) \rightarrow S(x))$

## Translation (cont)

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$   
 $F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleegle  
 $S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd  
 $T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob  
“Some fleegles are thingamabobs.”

**Solution:**  $\exists x (F(x) \wedge T(x))$

## Translation (cont)

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$

$F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleagle

$S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd

$T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob

“No snurd is a thingamabob.”

**Solution:**  $\neg \exists x (S(x) \wedge T(x))$  What is this equivalent to?

**Solution:**  $\forall x (\neg S(x) \vee \neg T(x))$

## Translation (cont)

- $U = \{\text{fleegles, snurds, thingamabobs}\}$

$F(x)$ :  $x$  is a fleagle

$S(x)$ :  $x$  is a snurd

$T(x)$ :  $x$  is a thingamabob

“If any fleagle is a snurd then it is also a thingamabob.”

**Solution:**  $\forall x ((F(x) \wedge S(x)) \rightarrow T(x))$

## System Specification Example

- Predicate logic is used for specifying properties that systems must satisfy.
- For example, translate into predicate logic:
  - “Every mail message larger than one megabyte will be compressed.”
  - “If a user is active, at least one network link will be available.”
- Decide on predicates and domains (left implicit here) for the variables:
  - Let  $L(m, y)$  be “Mail message  $m$  is larger than  $y$  megabytes.”
  - Let  $C(m)$  denote “Mail message  $m$  will be compressed.”
  - Let  $A(u)$  represent “User  $u$  is active.”
  - Let  $S(n, x)$  represent “Network link  $n$  is state  $x$ .
- Now we have:
 
$$\forall m(L(m, 1) \rightarrow C(m))$$

$$\exists u A(u) \rightarrow \exists n S(n, \text{available})$$



Charles Lutwidge Dodgson  
(AKA Lewis Carroll)  
(1832-1898)

## Lewis Carroll Example

- The first two are called *premises* and the third is called the *conclusion*.
  - “All lions are fierce.”
  - “Some lions do not drink coffee.”
  - “Some fierce creatures do not drink coffee.”
- Here is one way to translate these statements to predicate logic. Let  $P(x)$ ,  $Q(x)$ , and  $R(x)$  be the propositional functions “ $x$  is a lion,” “ $x$  is fierce,” and “ $x$  drinks coffee,” respectively.
  - $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
  - $\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg R(x))$
  - $\exists x (Q(x) \wedge \neg R(x))$
- Later we will see how to prove that the conclusion follows from the premises.

## Some Predicate Calculus Definitions (*optional*)

- An assertion involving predicates and quantifiers is *valid* if it is true
  - for all domains
  - every propositional function substituted for the predicates in the assertion.

**Example:**  $\forall x \neg S(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \exists x S(x)$

- An assertion involving predicates is *satisfiable* if it is true
  - for some domains
  - some propositional functions that can be substituted for the predicates in the assertion.

Otherwise it is *unsatisfiable*.

**Example:**  $\forall x(F(x) \leftrightarrow T(x))$  not valid but satisfiable

**Example:**  $\forall x(F(x) \wedge \neg F(x))$  unsatisfiable

## More Predicate Calculus Definitions (*optional*)

- The *scope* of a quantifier is the part of an assertion in which variables are bound by the quantifier.

**Example:**  $\forall x(F(x) \vee S(x))$   $x$  has wide scope

**Example:**  $\forall x(F(x)) \vee \forall y(S(y))$   $x$  has narrow scope

# Nested Quantifiers

Section 1.5

## Section Summary

- Nested Quantifiers
- Order of Quantifiers
- Translating from Nested Quantifiers into English
- Translating Mathematical Statements into Statements involving Nested Quantifiers.
- Translated English Sentences into Logical Expressions.
- Negating Nested Quantifiers.

## Nested Quantifiers

- Nested quantifiers are often necessary to express the meaning of sentences in English as well as important concepts in computer science and mathematics.

**Example:** “Every real number has an inverse” is

$$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$$

where the domains of  $x$  and  $y$  are the real numbers.

- We can also think of nested propositional functions:

$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 0)$  can be viewed as  $\forall x Q(x)$  where  $Q(x)$  is  $\exists y P(x, y)$  where  $P(x, y)$  is  $(x + y = 0)$

## Thinking of Nested Quantification

- Nested Loops

- To see if  $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$  is true, loop through the values of  $x$ :
  - At each step, loop through the values for  $y$ .
  - If for some pair of  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $P(x, y)$  is false, then  $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$  is false and both the outer and inner loop terminate.

$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$  is true if the outer loop ends after stepping through each  $x$

- To see if  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$  is true, loop through the values of  $x$ :
  - At each step, loop through the values for  $y$ .
  - The inner loop ends when a pair  $x$  and  $y$  is found such that  $P(x, y)$  is true.
  - If no  $y$  is found such that  $P(x, y)$  is true the outer loop terminates as  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$  has been shown to be false.

$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$  is true if the outer loop ends after stepping through each  $x$

- If the domains of the variables are infinite, then this process can not actually be carried out.

## Order of Quantifiers

**Examples:**

1. Let  $P(x,y)$  be the statement " $x + y = y + x$ ." Assume that  $U$  is the real numbers. Then  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$  and  $\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$  have the same truth value.
2. Let  $Q(x,y)$  be the statement " $x + y = 0$ ." Assume that  $U$  is the real numbers. Then  $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$  is true, but  $\exists y \forall x P(x,y)$  is false.

## Questions on Order of Quantifiers

**Example 1:** Let  $U$  be the real numbers,

Define  $P(x,y) : x \cdot y = 0$

What is the truth value of the following:

1.  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** False

2.  $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** True

3.  $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** True

4.  $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** True

## Questions on Order of Quantifiers

**Example 2:** Let  $U$  be the real numbers,

Define  $P(x,y) : x / y = 1$

What is the truth value of the following:

1.  $\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** False

2.  $\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** True

3.  $\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** False

4.  $\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$

**Answer:** True

## Quantifications of Two Variables

Statement	When True?	When False
$\forall x \forall y P(x,y)$	$P(x,y)$ is true for every pair $x,y$ .	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is false.
$\forall y \forall x P(x,y)$		
$\forall x \exists y P(x,y)$	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true.	There is an $x$ such that $P(x,y)$ is false for every $y$ .
$\exists x \forall y P(x,y)$	There is an $x$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true for every $y$ .	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x,y)$	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x,y)$ is true.	$P(x,y)$ is false for every pair $x,y$
$\exists y \exists x P(x,y)$		