Dear Paul,

I have reviewed the newest draft of the paper. Overall, it is in good shape; the discussion of the experiment is much improved and the error bars on the DFs seem more realistic.

The biggest technical concern is that I would like to see a bit more discussion of what the results actually mean, in addition to my other comments in the attached file.

The biggest non-technical concern is that the references are in a very poor state and will need substantial effort to get ready for submission; see my comments in the file for an incomplete list of issues. The problems are so big that you can decide whether to get your EndNote style file fixed or just copy the text to the file and edit the references manually. It would probably be better to get EndNote configured properly for the future.

Best regards,

Prof. Folden