



Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2023 September 29; 381(6665): 1461–1467. doi:10.1126/science.adf8972.

A *Candida auris*-specific adhesin, *SCF1*, governs surface association, colonization, and virulence

Darian J. Santana^{1,2}, Juliet A. E. Anku^{1,3,4}, Guolei Zhao¹, Robert Zarnowski^{5,6}, Chad J. Johnson^{5,6}, Haley Hautau⁷, Noelle D. Visser^{1,†}, Ashraf S. Ibrahim^{7,8}, David Andes^{5,6}, Jeniel E. Nett^{5,6}, Shakti Singh^{7,8}, Teresa R. O'Meara^{1,*}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

²Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

³West African Centre for Cell Biology of Infectious Pathogens, WACCBIP, Accra, Ghana

⁴Department of Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana

⁵Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

⁶Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

⁷Division of Infectious Disease, The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Medical Center, Torrance, CA

⁸David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, CA

Abstract

Candida auris is an emerging fungal pathogen responsible for healthcare-associated outbreaks that arise from persistent surface and skin colonization. We characterized the arsenal of adhesins used by *C. auris* and discovered an uncharacterized adhesin, *Surface Colonization Factor (SCF1)*, and a conserved adhesin, *IFF4109*, that are essential for colonization of inert surfaces and mammalian hosts. *SCF1* is apparently specific to *C. auris* and its expression mediates adhesion to inert and biological surfaces across isolates from all five clades. Unlike canonical fungal adhesins, which function through hydrophobic interactions, *SCF1* relies on exposed cationic residues for surface

*Corresponding author. tromea@umich.edu.

†Current Address: Department of Biology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: DJS, TRO

Methodology: DJS, JAEA, GZ, RZ, CJJ, ASI, DA, JEN, SS, TRO

Investigation: DJS, JAEA, GZ, RZ, CJJ, HH, NDV, SS

Visualization: DJS, RZ, CJJ

Funding acquisition: DJS, DA, JEN, SS, ASI, TRO

Project administration: DJS, TRO

Supervision: TRO, DA, JEN, SS, ASI

Writing – original draft: DJS, TRO, SS, CJJ, RZ, JAEA, GZ

Writing – review & editing: DJS, JAEA, GZ, RZ, CJJ, HH, NDV, ASI, DA, JEN, SS, TRO

Competing interests: TRO and DJS are inventors on U.S. Provisional Patent 63/502,704 filed 05/17/23 and U.S. Provisional Patent 63/514,470 filed 07/19/23 related to this work.

Data and materials availability: Data from Illumina sequences are available in the NCBI SRA under BioProject accession number PRJNA904261 (RNA-Seq data) or PRJNA904262 (AtMT mutant whole genome sequencing). Strains and constructs generated in this study will be provided for research purposes upon request. All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

association. *SCF1* is required for *C. auris* biofilm formation, skin colonization, virulence in systemic infection, and colonization of inserted medical devices.

One-Sentence Summary:

Surface attachment, colonization, and disease caused by an emerging human pathogen is mediated by a lineage-specific adhesion factor.

Since initial reports of its discovery in 2009, the emerging fungal pathogen *Candida auris* has become an increasingly common source of life-threatening infection worldwide (1, 2). *C. auris* is frequently reported in association with nosocomial outbreaks, a characteristic rarely described with other *Candida* species, and is of urgent concern for public health authorities (3-7). *C. auris* outbreaks are characterized by persistent colonization of patient skin and abiotic surfaces, which can remain positive for extensive periods and serve as a source of contaminative transmission (8-14). *C. auris* also colonizes indwelling medical devices, which act as a risk factor for the development of invasive disease (15-21). Lapses in diagnostic screening and infection prevention measures are thought to contribute to the increasing rate of *C. auris* outbreaks (20). The ability of *C. auris* to robustly colonize a range of living and abiotic substrates is central to its emergence as a global health threat.

Colonization requires the initial physical association and attachment between fungal cells and substrate. For fungal pathogens, attachment is largely mediated by cell surface-exposed adhesin proteins (22). In *Candida* species, genetic expansion has resulted in the formation of adhesin families containing genes similar in sequence and domain architecture, with adhesive functions that are redundant or specific across family members (23, 24). *C. auris* encodes genes similar to members of the conserved *ALS* and *IFF/HYR* adhesin families found across the genus, although these genes may have expanded independently in *C. auris* and lack clear one-to-one homology with adhesins from well-characterized species. Moreover, their phenotypic importance in *C. auris* is not well understood (24-26).

To interrogate the role of individual *C. auris* adhesins in colonization phenotypes, we measured the adhesion between fungal cells and polymer substrates as a model for surface association. We found that *C. auris* does not primarily rely on conserved adhesins for surface attachment. Instead, we identified *Surface Colonization Factor (SCF1)*, an adhesin specific to *C. auris*. *SCF1* is necessary and sufficient for robust attachment of *C. auris* cells to polymer substrates. *C. auris* isolates from diverse and similar genetic lineages exhibit striking divergence in terms of substrate association, and this phenotypic plasticity is tightly correlated with strain-specific transcriptional control of *SCF1*. The nonspecific surface association driven by *SCF1* does not occur through canonical hydrophobic interactions, but rather through cation-substrate interactions. To explore the clinical relevance of these findings, we investigated the importance of *SCF1* in long-term colonization models. *SCF1* is critical for biofilm formation *in vitro*, robust colonization of *in vivo* central venous catheters, colonization of both human and murine skin, and virulence in disseminated infection. These findings offer insight into the genetic and molecular mechanisms by which *C. auris* mediates surface association, a trait critical to the increasing disease burden of this emerging pathogen.

Results

Polymer surface attachment by the adhesin SCF1

C. auris encodes twelve genes homologous to members of the characterized *ALS* and *IFF/HYR* adhesin families (24, 25, 27). We generated individual deletion mutants in the clade I AR0382 background for each adhesin gene to model their impact on surface association. We employed a flow cytometric adhesion assay that measures the ability of cells to attach to dispersed polystyrene microspheres in suspension (Fig. S1) (28). Of the twelve adhesin mutants, only deletion of *IFF4109* (B9J08_004109) conferred an adhesive defect, while still failing to completely ablate attachment (Fig. 1A). To investigate the possibility that there were occult adhesive factors, we screened a library of 2,560 insertional mutants, prioritizing mutants exhibiting the most significant defects (Fig. 1B). The greatest loss of adhesive capacity was observed in *tnSWII* (B9J08_003460) and *tnBCY1* (B9J08_002818) mutants (Fig. 1B, Fig. 1C, Fig. S2). Compared to the AR0382 parent, the *tnSWII* mutant exhibited no significant transcriptional dysregulation of the *ALS* or *IFF/HYR* adhesins, suggesting alternative mediators of adhesion (Fig. 1D). The strongest, most significantly dysregulated gene in *tnSWII* was an uncharacterized ORF (B9J08_001458), which had no significant primary sequence homology to characterized genes (Fig. 1D). This gene, however, exhibited a putative three-domain architecture consistent with canonical GPI-anchored fungal adhesins (Fig. 1E) (23). Notably, this same gene was also strongly downregulated in the *tnBCY1* mutant while *IFF4109* was not (Fig. S2). Deletion of the B9J08_001458 ORF in AR0382 conferred a substantial adhesive defect, thus we refer to the gene as *Surface Colonization Factor (SCF1)* (Fig. 1F). Complementation with an epitope-tagged *SCF1* allele in the endogenous locus rescued the adhesive defect, and the epitope-tagged Scf1 protein localized to the cell surface, consistent with its role as an adhesin (Fig. 1F, Fig. 1G). Notably, deletion of *IFF4109* in the *scf1* background did not significantly reduce attachment beyond deletion of *SCF1* alone, suggesting non-additive roles for these adhesins (Fig. 1F).

The specific reliance on *SCF1* and *IFF4109* for adhesion despite potential redundancy with other adhesins is reminiscent of other fungal pathogens. For instance, loss of *ALS1* alone reduces *Candida albicans* adhesion, despite the presence of seven other *ALS* genes (Fig. S3A) (29). In *C. auris*, adhesins exhibit structural and transcriptional variation, which may explain their functional specificity (Fig. S3B) (25, 26). However, *IFF4892* encodes the entire canonical adhesin architecture and shows similar expression to *IFF4109*, but of the two, only *IFF4109* is required for adhesion, suggesting individual adhesins mediate specific adhesive mechanisms (Fig. 1A, Fig. S3B) (25). Such functional specificity is shown by increased flocculation and aggregation associated with overexpression of *ALS4112*, while these phenotypes are not associated with *SCF1*, despite its transcriptional expression being among the highest 2.5% of all genes in this strain background (Fig. S3B, Fig. S3C, Fig. S3D) (30, 31). These findings suggest functional specificity for surface association for *SCF1* and *IFF4109*.

C. auris relies on SCF1 for adhesive plasticity

While many *Candida* and *Saccharomyces* adhesins belong to conserved gene families, we identified homologs of *SCF1* only in *C. auris* and the closely related *Candida haemulonii* species and not in other members of the *haemulonii* complex (Fig. 2A). *SCF1* is encoded in a genomic locus in *C. auris* and *C. haemulonii* that is syntenic, lacking an *SCF1* homolog, even to distantly related species (Fig. 2A). Although the *C. haemulonii* *SCF1* homolog functionally complements *scf1* in *C. auris*, it is not essential for adhesion in *C. haemulonii* and shows poor expression across isolates, indicating reliance on *SCF1* for adhesion is specific to *C. auris* (Fig. S4).

To investigate the generalizability of the reliance on *SCF1* and the variability between *C. auris* strains, we measured adhesion for 23 *C. auris* isolates representing all five clades and diverse geographic origins of *C. auris*. These strains exhibited substantial adhesive variation, regardless of clade ($p=2 \times 10^{-16}$, $F=35.06$, one-way ANOVA) (Fig. 2B). In contrast, a similar analysis of 19 genetically diverse *C. albicans* clinical isolates showed no significant adhesive variation ($p=0.054$, $F=1.856$, one-way ANOVA), indicating the surface association strategies of *C. auris* are more plastic than *C. albicans* (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, substantial variation in adhesion was observed even between genetically similar isolates of *C. auris*, e.g., AR0382 and AR0387, which differ by only 206 coding SNPs (Fig. 2B). In the poorly adhesive AR0387, *SCF1* was the most down-regulated gene compared to the highly adhesive AR0382, reminiscent of the poorly adhesive *tnSWII* mutant (Fig. S5A, Fig. 1D). The transcriptome of AR0387 showed little overlap with that of the *tnSWII* strain, however, indicating dysregulation of *SCF1* in AR0387 is not caused by a *SWI/SNF* complex defect (Fig. S5B). Furthermore, we observed no nucleotide variants in the *SCF1* ORF or neighboring intragenic regions between AR0382 and AR0387.

Transcript abundance of *SCF1* was tightly positively correlated with adhesion across isolates, regardless of clade ($r=0.87$, $p=8.4 \times 10^{-8}$) (Fig. 2D). In contrast, we observed no association between transcriptional control of *IFF4109* and adhesion ($r=0.3$, $p=0.25$) (Fig. 2D). Experimentally, transcriptional overexpression of *SCF1* was sufficient to elevate adhesion in the otherwise poorly adhesive isolate AR0387 (Fig. 2E). Importantly, the magnitude of overexpression using the *TEF1* promoter (approximately 2^8 -fold increase) was similar to and did not exceed the naturally varying magnitude of expression difference between the two wild type isolates AR0382 and AR0387 (approximately 2^9 -fold change) (Fig. 2D, Fig. 2E). These data show that adhesive variation between *C. auris* isolates is associated with *SCF1* expression variation.

In AR0382 and AR0387, the *SCF1* locus is invariant, but other isolates exhibit allelic variation in, primarily concentrated in the low complexity tandem repeats (Table S1). We tested whether allelic variation also contributed to the adhesive variation among isolates. Overexpression of the native *SCF1* allele in AR0381, a poorly adhesive clade II isolate, was sufficient to increase attachment (Fig. S6A). However, overexpression of the clade I *SCF1* allele from AR0382 further elevated adhesion, despite similar levels of overexpression (Fig. S6A). Interestingly, in the clade I AR0382 background, which relies strongly on *SCF1* for adhesion, complementation of the *scf1* mutant with either the clade I or the clade II *SCF1* allele resulted in similar levels of rescue of the adhesive phenotype (Fig. S6B). These

findings show that sequence variation between these two *SCF1* alleles does not intrinsically contribute to functional differences in adhesion, and that other factors may also influence adhesive capacity.

SCF1 and IFF4109 have distinct nonspecific mechanisms

The reliance on *SCF1* for surface association is complicated by the genetic interaction with *IFF4109*, where deletion of both does not result in a more severe adhesive defect than deletion of *SCF1* alone (Fig. 1F). Loss of one adhesin did not result in dysregulation of the other, suggesting the interaction is not a regulatory one (Fig. S7). One possibility is that the two genes contribute to adhesion through distinct but complementary physical mechanisms. For other *Candida* species, adhesion to abiotic substrates is often nonspecific, with adhesins promoting affinity for hydrophobic substrates (32-34). The highly adhesive AR0382 strain exhibited elevated cell surface hydrophobicity compared to the poorly adhesive AR0387 (Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B). Deletion of the *IFF4109* adhesin in AR0382 reduced cell surface hydrophobicity, which was rescued to wild type levels by complementation (Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B). In contrast, deletion or overexpression of *SCF1* did not significantly impact cell surface hydrophobicity in either AR0382 or AR0387 (Fig. 3A, Fig. 3B).

Elevated cell surface hydrophobicity likewise promotes affinity for hydrophobic substrates (33). We measured the adhesion of *C. auris* isolates to both an untreated hydrophobic polystyrene surface and a polystyrene surface modified using a vacuum plasma treatment to become strongly hydrophilic. Both *IFF4109* and *SCF1* mediated adhesion to the hydrophobic substrate (Fig. 3C). However, only *SCF1* mediated adhesion to the hydrophilic substrate, showing that *SCF1* is not dependent on hydrophobicity (Fig. 3D). Notably, AR0382 and AR0387 still exhibited differential adhesion to the hydrophilic surface, indicating hydrophobic interactions are not primarily responsible for the differential strain phenotypes (Fig. 3D).

To investigate the mechanism of Scf1 adhesion, we examined the apical N-terminal domain using AlphaFold2, which suggested this domain contains a core Fibronectin-type III fold similar to the *FLO11* family of adhesins characterized in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and conserved throughout *Ascomycota* (Fig. S8A) (32, 35). However, *SCF1* does not exhibit significant primary sequence homology to *S. cerevisiae* *FLO11* and lacks conservation of the canonical aromatic bands responsible for adhesive functions in true *FLO11* homologs (Fig. S8A, Fig. S8B) (32, 35). Furthermore, model confidence dwindles outside the Fibronectin fold, suggesting substantial variation from Flo11 adhesins (Fig. S9). In its primary sequence, the *SCF1* N-terminal domain exhibits an enrichment of arginine and lysine residues compared to other yeast adhesins (Table S2). Adhesive systems in many marine organisms rely on similarly cation-rich proteins, which act through displacement of hydrated ions at the surface-liquid interface or direct cation- π interactions with substrates (36-40). We reasoned that if Scf1 relied on such interactions, adhesion could be inhibited by a saturating concentration of cations at the substrate interface that could not be competitively displaced by *SCF1*. Consistent with this hypothesis, high concentrations of arginine in solution were sufficient to ablate AR0382 adhesion (Fig. 4A). Similar concentrations of NaCl or other non-cationic amino acids did not produce the same effect, while exogenous lysine produced

a more modest inhibition of attachment, consistent with lysine's weaker ability to form electrostatic interactions (Fig. 4B) (41).

We next investigated whether specific cationic regions or residues were critical for Scf1 activity. We generated point mutations in cationic residues in different areas of the N-terminal domain, focusing on residues that clustered with aromatic groups, as this pattern potentiates electrostatic adhesion (Fig. S10A) (42). Several mutations had no adhesive impact, but an R54A R55A mutant exhibited a modest adhesive defect, while showing no discernable effect on *SCF1* transcription, protein expression, or localization (Fig. S10). Mutating the entire cation-aromatic cluster, H52 H53 R54 R55, resulted in a similar adhesive defect (Fig. 4C, Fig. 4D, Fig. S11). Notably, a nearby cation-aromatic cluster, K44-K49, which was modeled to be less surface-exposed, was not required for adhesion (Fig. 4C, Fig. 4D, Fig. S11). To determine whether surface exposure of the HHRR cluster (res. 52-55) would be sufficient to promote adhesion, we synthesized peptides corresponding to Scf1 residues 50-62 with the intact wild-type cluster or the HHRR residues mutated. The wild-type peptide adhered to polystyrene microspheres, but mutation of the HHRR cluster completely ablated this ability (Fig. 4E, Fig. 4F). Interestingly, similar patterns of cation-aromatic clusters are also abundant in some lipid-binding proteins (40, 43). The wild type Scf1 peptide was similarly able to adhere to phosphatidylcholine microparticles, and *SCF1* expression potentiated lipid particle binding by *C. auris* cells, suggesting *SCF1* may also contribute to association with biotic substrates (Fig. S12).

SCF1 promotes long-term colonization and virulence

We next investigated the impact of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* on other aspects of surface colonization. We measured the importance of these adhesins for biofilm growth, which can promote prolonged environmental persistence (11, 44-46). The two adhesins were functionally redundant, and deletion of both was required to ablate biofilm formation in AR0382, suggesting the partial adhesive contributions of each is sufficient to establish colonization (Fig. S13A). Expression of *SCF1* alone in otherwise biofilm-incompetent isolates was sufficient to establish biofilm colonization (Fig. S13B, Fig. S13C, Fig. S13D, Fig. S13E). This pattern continued for *in vivo* biofilms, where loss of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* ablated the ability of AR0382 to colonize the luminal surface of a polyethylene rat central venous catheter, and overexpression of *SCF1* was sufficient to potentiate AR0387 colonization (Fig. 5A, Fig. 5B).

We then investigated whether biological surface association followed the same reliance on these adhesins. Again, we observed that loss of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* diminished the ability of AR0382 to colonize *ex vivo* human skin explants and *in vivo* murine skin, while overexpression of *SCF1* potentiated skin colonization by AR0387 (Fig. 5C, Fig. 5D, Fig. S14). Given this potential for interaction with host tissues, we also investigated the importance of these adhesins in disseminated infection. Histopathological examination of tissues collected from mice 7 days after intravenous *C. auris* infection revealed that loss of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* reduced AR0382 dissemination to the kidneys and heart, while overexpression of *SCF1* in AR0387 was sufficient to increase fungal lesions (Fig. 5E, Fig. 5F, Fig. S15). Loss of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* substantially attenuated the virulence of AR0382,

with the wild type causing 100% mortality within 12 days of infection and the mutant causing 20% mortality after 21 days (Fig. 5G). Similarly, overexpression of *SCF1* reduced the median survival of mice infected with AR0387 from 18.5 days to 11.5 days and ablated the difference in overall survival between the less virulent AR0387 and the more virulent AR0382 (Fig. 5G).

Discussion

C. auris encodes genes similar to the conserved *ALS* and *IFF/HYR* adhesin families, and proposed models suggest differential utilization of these adhesins may contribute to epidemiological differences among isolates (25, 27). Our findings suggest the *C. auris*-specific adhesin *SCF1* and the conserved adhesin *IFF4109* are the principal mediators of association with abiotic surfaces, and additionally contribute substantially to infection and long-term colonization of both biological and abiotic surfaces. Interestingly, the other conserved adhesin genes appeared to not mediate surface association. Whether this is the product of functional or regulatory divergence remains to be explored. Notably, we observed widespread differential regulation of *SCF1* among *C. auris* isolates regardless of clade, suggesting transcriptional control of this adhesin has adapted more recently than clade separation. The widespread plasticity around a single genetic element responsible for diverse clinically-relevant phenotypes could be problematic in outbreak settings. While *SCF1* and *IFF4109* contribute to host infection and colonization, the mechanisms of their interaction with host systems remain unclear. Understanding how variable adhesion allows *C. auris* to mediate infection is likely to offer therapeutic insights. Prior work suggests vaccination or monoclonal antibody therapy targeting Als or Iff/Hyr adhesins may offer protection against lethal *C. auris* infection (27, 47). Furthermore, the complementary function of *SCF1* and *IFF4109* with divergent mechanisms suggests *C. auris* has evolved the capacity for promiscuous surface association and colonization. Mediation of hydrophobic interactions is largely conserved among fungal adhesins, consistent with the adhesive mechanism of the conserved *IFF4109* (32-34). The cation rich *SCF1*, however, appears to functionally resemble proteins from bivalve, barnacle, and *Vibrio* adhesion systems. For these organisms, cation-dependent surface interactions promote adhesion in aqueous and highly ionic environments (36-39). *C. auris* has been isolated from the coastal wetlands of the Andaman Islands and from a Colombian estuary, suggesting a possible marine natural habitat, and this ecological niche may have conferred similar selective pressures on adhesion mechanisms (48, 49). Development of unique adhesion biology may in part explain the tenacity of this organism on medically-relevant substrates. Still, differential utilization of *SCF1* by different isolates suggests an unknown selective pressure may govern its expression. Understanding this adaptation and its clinical consequences more fully may offer important insights into the outbreak potential of this pathogen.

Overall, our work characterizes of the adhesin machinery used by *C. auris* for surface association and colonization. The identification of *SCF1* and the characterization of the genetic determinants of adhesion add to the growing understanding of the pathobiology this emerging organism.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

We thank Jonathan Sexton (University of Michigan) for consultation on the development of a label-free high throughput imaging-based adhesion assay. We thank Mohammad Siddiq (University of Michigan) for consultation in investigating *SCF1* homology and variants. We also thank Adam Abraham (University of Michigan) for consultation on surface modification and assistance with vacuum plasma treatment experiments.

Funding:

National Institutes of Health grant R21AI169186 (DJS, TRO)

National Institutes of Health grant T32AI007528 (DJS)

National Institutes of Health grant F31AI169823 (DJS)

National Institutes of Health grant T32AI007413 (GZ)

National Institutes of Health grant R01AI073289 (DA)

National Institutes of Health grant R01AI145939 (JEN)

National Institutes of Health grant R21AI159583 (JEN)

American Heart Association grant 938451 (SS)

National Institutes of Health UCLA CTSI grant KL2TR001882 (SS)

National Institute of Health grant R01AI141202 (ASI)

WACCBIP-World Bank ACE Masters Fellowship WACCBIP-NCDs:Awandare (JAEA)

References

1. Chakrabarti A, Sood P, On the emergence, spread and resistance of *Candida auris*: host, pathogen and environmental tipping points. *J. Med. Microbiol* 70 (2021), doi:10.1099/jmm.0.001318.
2. Akinbobola AB, Kean R, Hanifi SMA, Quilliam RS, Environmental reservoirs of the drug-resistant pathogenic yeast *Candida auris*. *PLoS Pathog.* 19, e1011268 (2023). [PubMed: 37053164]
3. Ashkenazi-Hoffnung L, Rosenberg Danziger C, Navigating the New Reality: A Review of the Epidemiological, Clinical, and Microbiological Characteristics of *Candida auris*, with a Focus on Children. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 9 (2023), doi:10.3390/jof9020176.
4. Chow NA, Gade L, Tsay SV, Forsberg K, Greenko JA, Southwick KL, Barrett PM, Kerins JL, Lockhart SR, Chiller TM, Litvintseva AP, US *Candida auris* Investigation Team, Multiple introductions and subsequent transmission of multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* in the USA: a molecular epidemiological survey. *Lancet Infect. Dis* 18, 1377–1384 (2018). [PubMed: 30293877]
5. Roberts SC, Zembower TR, Ozer EA, Qi C, Genetic Evaluation of Nosocomial *Candida auris* Transmission. *J. Clin. Microbiol* 59 (2021), doi:10.1128/JCM.02252-20.
6. Vallabhaneni S, Kallen A, Tsay S, Chow N, Welsh R, Kerins J, Kemble SK, Pacilli M, Black SR, Landon E, Ridgway J, Palmore TN, Zelzany A, Adams EH, Quinn M, Chaturvedi S, Greenko J, Fernandez R, Southwick K, Furuya EY, Calfee DP, Hamula C, Patel G, Barrett P, MSD, Lafaro P, Berkow EL, Moulton-Meissner H, Noble-Wang J, Fagan RP, Jackson BR, Lockhart SR, Litvintseva AP, Chiller TM, Investigation of the First Seven Reported Cases of *Candida auris*, a Globally Emerging Invasive, Multidrug-Resistant Fungus - United States, May 2013-August 2016. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep* 65, 1234–1237 (2016). [PubMed: 27832049]

7. WHO fungal priority pathogens list to guide research, development and public health action. Geneva: World Health Organization (2022) (available from <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240060241>).
8. Sexton DJ, Bentz ML, Welsh RM, Derado G, Furin W, Rose LJ, Noble-Wang J, Pacilli M, McPherson TD, Black S, Kemble SK, Herzegh O, Ahmad A, Forsberg K, Jackson B, Litvintseva AP, Positive correlation between *Candida auris* skin-colonization burden and environmental contamination at a ventilator-capable skilled nursing facility in Chicago. *Clin. Infect. Dis* 73, 1142–1148 (2021). [PubMed: 33978150]
9. Proctor DM, Dangana T, Sexton DJ, Fukuda C, Yelin RD, Stanley M, Bell PB, Baskaran S, Deming C, Chen Q, Conlan S, Park M, NISC Comparative Sequencing Program, Welsh RM, Vallabhaneni S, Chiller T, Forsberg K, Black SR, Pacilli M, Kong HH, Lin MY, Schoeny ME, Litvintseva AP, Segre JA, Hayden MK, Integrated genomic, epidemiologic investigation of *Candida auris* skin colonization in a skilled nursing facility. *Nat. Med* 27, 1401–1409 (2021). [PubMed: 34155414]
10. Adams E, Quinn M, Tsay S, Poirot E, Chaturvedi S, Southwick K, Greenko J, Fernandez R, Kallen A, Vallabhaneni S, Haley V, Hutton B, Blog D, Lutterloh E, Zucker H, *Candida auris* Investigation Workgroup, *Candida auris* in Healthcare Facilities, New York, USA, 2013–2017. *Emerg. Infect. Dis* 24, 1816–1824 (2018). [PubMed: 30226155]
11. Dire O, Ahmad A, Duze S, Patel M, Survival of *Candida auris* on environmental surface materials and low-level resistance to disinfectant. *J. Hosp. Infect* 137, 17–23 (2023). [PubMed: 37116661]
12. de Almeida JN Jr, Brandão IB, Francisco EC, Luis S de Almeida R, de Oliveira Dias P, Pereira FM, Santos Ferreira F, Souza de Andrade T, de Miranda Costa MM, de Souza Jordão RT, Meis JF, Colombo AL, Favarello LM, Lima SL, Lima R, Miranda IO, de Jesus Lopes TL, Soares da Silva DC, Nobre de Moura L, Ribeiro LC, Carlos de Albuquerque Bandeira A, Moreira Uripia T, Rubia Gonçalves M, de Groot T, The *Candida auris* Brazilian Study Group, Axillary Digital Thermometers uplifted a multidrug-susceptible *Candida auris* outbreak among COVID-19 patients in Brazil. *Mycoses* 64, 1062–1072 (2021). [PubMed: 34009677]
13. Eyre DW, Sheppard AE, Madder H, Moir I, Moroney R, Quan TP, Griffiths D, George S, Butcher L, Morgan M, Newnham R, Sunderland M, Clarke T, Foster D, Hoffman P, Borman AM, Johnson EM, Moore G, Brown CS, Walker AS, Peto TEA, Crook DW, Jeffery KJM, A *Candida auris* Outbreak and Its Control in an Intensive Care Setting. *N. Engl. J. Med* 379, 1322–1331 (2018). [PubMed: 30281988]
14. Patterson CA, Wyncoll D, Patel A, Ceesay Y, Newsholme W, Chand M, Mitchell H, Tan M, Edgeworth JD, Cloth Lanyards as a Source of Intermittent Transmission of *Candida auris* on an ICU. *Crit. Care Med* 49, 697–701 (2021). [PubMed: 33395069]
15. Vila T, Montelongo-Jauregui D, Ahmed H, Puthran T, Sultan AS, Jabra-Rizk MA, Comparative Evaluations of the Pathogenesis of *Candida auris* Phenotypes and *Candida albicans* Using Clinically Relevant Murine Models of Infections. *mSphere*. 5 (2020), doi:10.1128/mSphere.00760-20.
16. Vinayagamoorthy K, Pentapati KC, Prakash H, Prevalence, risk factors, treatment and outcome of multidrug resistance *Candida auris* infections in Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) patients: A systematic review. *Mycoses*. 65, 613–624 (2022). [PubMed: 35441748]
17. Rajni E, Jain A, Gupta S, Jangid Y, Vohra R, Risk Factors for Candidemia in Intensive Care Unit: A Matched Case Control Study from North-Western India. *Acta Medica* . 65, 83–88 (2022). [PubMed: 36735885]
18. Mulet Bayona JV, Tormo Palop N, Salvador García C, Guna Serrano MDR, Gimeno Cardona C, *Candida auris* from colonisation to candidemia: A four-year study. *Mycoses* 00, 1–9 (2023).
19. Allaw F, Haddad SF, Habib N, Moukarzel P, Naji NS, Kanafani ZA, Ibrahim A, Zahreddine NK, Spornovasilis N, Poulakou G, Kanj SS, COVID-19 and *C. auris*: A Case-Control Study from a Tertiary Care Center in Lebanon. *Microorganisms*. 10 (2022), doi:10.3390/microorganisms10051011.
20. Lyman M, Forsberg K, Sexton DJ, Chow NA, Lockhart SR, Jackson BR, Chiller T, Worsening Spread of *Candida auris* in the United States, 2019 to 2021. *Ann. Intern. Med* 176, 489–495 (2023). [PubMed: 36940442]

21. Benedict K, Forsberg K, Gold JAW, Baggs J, Lyman M, Candida auris—Associated Hospitalizations, United States, 2017–2022. *Emerg. Infect. Dis* 29, 1485–1487 (2023). [PubMed: 37347923]
22. de Groot PWJ, Bader O, de Boer AD, Weig M, Chauhan N, Adhesins in human fungal pathogens: glue with plenty of stick. *Eukaryot. Cell* 12, 470–481 (2013). [PubMed: 23397570]
23. Essen L-O, Vogt MS, Mösch H-U, Diversity of GPI-anchored fungal adhesins. *Biol. Chem* 401, 1389–1405 (2020). [PubMed: 33035180]
24. Smoak RA, Snyder LF, Fassler JS, He BZ, Parallel Expansion and Divergence of an Adhesin Family in Pathogenic Yeasts. *Genetics* 223 (2023), doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad024.
25. Muñoz JF, Welsh RM, Shea T, Batra D, Gade L, Howard D, Rowe LA, Meis JF, Litvintseva AP, Cuomo CA, Clade-specific chromosomal rearrangements and loss of subtelomeric adhesins in *Candida auris*. *Genetics* 218 (2021), doi:10.1093/genetics/iyab029.
26. Oh S-H, Schliep K, Isenhower A, Rodriguez-Bobadilla R, Vuong VM, Fields CJ, Hernandez AG, Hoyer LL, Using Genomics to Shape the Definition of the Agglutinin-Like Sequence (ALS) Family in the Saccharomycetales. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol* 11, 794529 (2021). [PubMed: 34970511]
27. Singh S, Uppuluri P, Mamouei Z, Alqarihi A, Elhassan H, French S, Lockhart SR, Chiller T, Edwards JE Jr, Ibrahim AS, The NDV-3A vaccine protects mice from multidrug resistant *Candida auris* infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 15, e1007460 (2019). [PubMed: 31381597]
28. Silva-Dias A, Miranda IM, Rocha R, Monteiro-Soares M, Salvador A, Rodrigues AG, Pina-Vaz C, A novel flow cytometric protocol for assessment of yeast cell adhesion. *Cytometry A*. 81, 265–270 (2012). [PubMed: 22076919]
29. Finkel JS, Xu W, Huang D, Hill EM, Desai JV, Woolford CA, Nett JE, Taff H, Norice CT, Andes DR, Lanni F, Mitchell AP, Portrait of *Candida albicans* adherence regulators. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002525 (2012). [PubMed: 22359502]
30. Pelletier C, Brown AJP, Lorenz A, *Candida auris* undergoes adhesin-dependent and - independent cellular aggregation. *bioRxiv* p. 2023.04.21.537817 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.04.21.537817.
31. Bing J, Guan Z, Zheng T, Zhang Z, Fan S, Ennis CL, Nobile CJ, Huang G, Clinical isolates of *Candida auris* with enhanced adherence and biofilm formation due to genomic amplification of ALS4. *PLoS Pathog.* 19, e1011239 (2023). [PubMed: 36913408]
32. Kraushaar T, Brückner S, Veelders M, Rhinow D, Schreiner F, Birke R, Pagenstecher A, Mösch H-U, Essen L-O, Interactions by the Fungal Flo11 Adhesin Depend on a Fibronectin Type III-like Adhesin Domain Girdled by Aromatic Bands. *Structure*. 23, 1005–1017 (2015). [PubMed: 25960408]
33. El-Kirat-Chatel S, Beaussart A, Derclaye S, Alsteens D, Kucharíková S, Van Dijk P, Dufrêne YF, Force Nanoscopy of Hydrophobic Interactions in the Fungal Pathogen *Candida glabrata*. *ACS Nano*. 9 1648–1655 (2015). [PubMed: 25621738]
34. Valotteau C, Prystopiuk V, Cormack BP, Dufrêne YF, Atomic Force Microscopy Demonstrates that *Candida glabrata* Uses Three Epa Proteins To Mediate Adhesion to Abiotic Surfaces. *mSphere*. 4 (2019), doi:10.1128/mSphere.00277-19.
35. Brückner S, Schubert R, Kraushaar T, Hartmann R, Hoffmann D, Jelli E, Drescher K, Müller DJ, Oliver Essen L, Mösch H-U, Kin discrimination in social yeast is mediated by cell surface receptors of the Flo11 adhesin family. *Elife*. 9 (2020), doi:10.7554/eLife.55587.
36. Maier GP, Rapp MV, Waite JH, Israelachvili JN, Butler A, BIOLOGICAL ADHESIVES. Adaptive synergy between catechol and lysine promotes wet adhesion by surface salt displacement. *Science*. 349, 628–632 (2015). [PubMed: 26250681]
37. Li Y, Liang C, Gao L, Li S, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Cao Y, Hidden complexity of synergistic roles of Dopa and lysine for strong wet adhesion. *Mater. Chem. Front* 1, 2664–2668 (2017).
38. Liang C, Strickland J, Ye Z, Wu W, Hu B, Rittschof D, Biochemistry of Barnacle Adhesion: An Updated Review. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 6 (2019), doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00565.
39. Kim S, Yoo HY, Huang J, Lee Y, Park S, Park Y, Jin S, Jung YM, Zeng H, Hwang DS, Jho Y, Salt Triggers the Simple Coacervation of an Underwater Adhesive When Cations Meet Aromatic π Electrons in Seawater. *ACS Nano*. 11, 6764–6772 (2017). [PubMed: 28614666]

40. Huang X, Nero T, Weerasekera R, Matej KH, Hinbest A, Jiang Z, Lee RF, Wu L, Chak C, Nijjer J, Gibaldi I, Yang H, Gamble N, Ng W-L, Malaker SA, Sumigray K, Olson R, Yan J, *Vibrio cholerae* biofilms use modular adhesins with glycan-targeting and nonspecific surface binding domains for colonization. *Nat. Commun* 14, 2104 (2023). [PubMed: 37055389]
41. Sokalingam S, Raghunathan G, Soundrarajan N, Lee S-G, A study on the effect of surface lysine to arginine mutagenesis on protein stability and structure using green fluorescent protein. *PLoS One*. 7, e40410 (2012). [PubMed: 22792305]
42. Fan H, Wang J, Tao Z, Huang J, Rao P, Kurokawa T, Gong JP, Adjacent cationic-aromatic sequences yield strong electrostatic adhesion of hydrogels in seawater. *Nat. Commun* 10, 5127 (2019). [PubMed: 31719537]
43. McLaughlin S, Wang J, Gambhir A, Murray D, PIP2 and Proteins: Interactions, Organization, and Information Flow. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct* 31, 151–175 (2003).
44. Kean R, McKloud E, Townsend EM, Sherry L, Delaney C, Jones BL, Williams C, Ramage G, The comparative efficacy of antiseptics against *Candida auris* biofilms. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 52, 673–677 (2018). [PubMed: 29775686]
45. Kean R, Delaney C, Sherry L, Borman A, Johnson EM, Richardson MD, Rautemaa-Richardson R, Williams C, Ramage G, Transcriptome Assembly and Profiling of *Candida auris* Reveals Novel Insights into Biofilm-Mediated Resistance. *mSphere*. 3 (2018), doi:10.1128/mSphere.00334-18.
46. Short B, Brown J, Delaney C, Sherry L, Williams C, Ramage G, Kean R, *Candida auris* exhibits resilient biofilm characteristics in vitro: implications for environmental persistence. *J. Hosp. Infect* 103, 92–96 (2019). [PubMed: 31226270]
47. Singh S, Barbarino A, Youssef EG, Coleman D, Gebremariam T, Ibrahim AS, Protective Efficacy of Anti-Hyr1p Monoclonal Antibody against Systemic Candidiasis Due to Multi-Drug-Resistant *Candida auris*. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 9 (2023), doi:10.3390/jof9010103.
48. Arora P, Singh P, Wang Y, Yadav A, Pawar K, Singh A, Padmavati G, Xu J, Chowdhary A, Environmental Isolation of *Candida auris* from the Coastal Wetlands of Andaman Islands, India. *MBio*. 12 (2021), doi:10.1128/mBio.03181-20.
49. Escandón P, Novel Environmental Niches for *Candida auris*: Isolation from a Coastal Habitat in Colombia. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 8 (2022), doi:10.3390/jof8070748.
50. Lutgring JD, Machado M-J, Benahmed FH, Conville P, Shawar RM, Patel J, Brown AC, FDA-CDC Antimicrobial Resistance Isolate Bank: a Publicly Available Resource To Support Research, Development, and Regulatory Requirements. *J. Clin. Microbiol* 56, e01415–17 (2018). [PubMed: 29118174]
51. Veri AO, Miao Z, Shapiro RS, Tebbji F, O'Meara TR, Kim SH, Colazo J, Tan K, Vyas VK, Whiteway M, Robbins N, Wong KH, Cowen LE, Tuning Hsf1 levels drives distinct fungal morphogenetic programs with depletion impairing Hsp90 function and overexpression expanding the target space. *PLoS Genet*. 14, e1007270 (2018). [PubMed: 29590106]
52. Santana DJ, O'Meara TR, Forward and reverse genetic dissection of morphogenesis identifies filament-competent *Candida auris* strains. *Nat. Commun* 12, 7197 (2021). [PubMed: 34893621]
53. Park SO, Frazer C, Bennett RJ, An Adjuvant-Based Approach Enables the Use of Dominant HYG and KAN Selectable Markers in *Candida albicans*. *mSphere*. 7, e0034722 (2022). [PubMed: 35968963]
54. Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Grüning BA, Guerler A, Hillman-Jackson J, Hiltmann S, Jalili V, Rasche H, Soranzo N, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A, Blankenberg D, The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 46, W537–W544 (2018). [PubMed: 29790989]
55. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 30, 2114–2120 (2014). [PubMed: 24695404]
56. Li H, Durbin R, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 25 (2009), pp. 1754–1760. [PubMed: 19451168]
57. Lee DW, Hong CP, Kang HA, An effective and rapid method for RNA preparation from non-conventional yeast species. *Anal. Biochem* 586, 113408 (2019). [PubMed: 31469977]

58. Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden TL, Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 13, 134 (2012). [PubMed: 22708584]
59. Martin M, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* 17, 10–12 (2011).
60. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 29, 15–21 (2013). [PubMed: 23104886]
61. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 30, 923–930 (2014). [PubMed: 24227677]
62. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol*. 15, 550 (2014). [PubMed: 25516281]
63. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682 (2012). [PubMed: 22743772]
64. Lamprecht MR, Sabatini DM, Carpenter AE, CellProfiler™: free, versatile software for automated biological image analysis. *Biotechniques*. 42, 71–75 (2007). [PubMed: 17269487]
65. Bray M-A, Carpenter A, Imaging Platform, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, "Advanced Assay Development Guidelines for Image-Based High Content Screening and Analysis" in Assay Guidance Manual, Markossian S, Sittampalam GS, Grossman A, Brimacombe K, Arkin M, Auld D, Austin CP, Baell J, Caaveiro JMM, Chung TDY, Coussens NP, Dahlin JL, Devanaryan V, Foley TL, Glicksman M, Hall MD, Haas JV, Hoare SRJ, Inglese J, Iversen PW, Kahl SD, Kales SC, Kirshner S, Lal-Nag M, Li Z, McGee J, McManus O, Riss T, Saradjian P, Trask OJ Jr, Weidner JR, Wildey MJ, Xia M, Xu X, Eds. (Eli Lilly & Company and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Bethesda (MD), 2017; <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23469374>).
66. Basenko EY, Pulman JA, Shanmugasundram A, Harb OS, Crouch K, Starns D, Warrenfeltz S, Aurrecoechea C, Stoeckert CJ Jr, Kissinger JC, Roos DS, Hertz-Fowler C, FungiDB: An Integrated Bioinformatic Resource for Fungi and Oomycetes. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 4, e4010039 (2018).
67. Maguire SL, ÓhÉigeartaigh SS, Byrne KP, Schröder MS, O'Gaora P, Wolfe KH, Butler G, Comparative genome analysis and gene finding in *Candida* species using CGOB. *Mol. Biol. Evol* 30, 1281–1291 (2013). [PubMed: 23486613]
68. Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M, ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* 19, 679–682 (2022). [PubMed: 35637307]
69. van Kempen M, Kim SS, Tumescheit C, Mirdita M, Lee J, Gilchrist CLM, Söding J, Steinegger M, Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat. Biotechnol* (2023), doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0.
70. Rosenberg M, Gutnick D, Rosenberg E, Adherence of bacteria to hydrocarbons: A simple method for measuring cell-surface hydrophobicity. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett* 9, 29–33 (1980).
71. Li Y, Pham JQ, Johnston KP, Green PF, Contact angle of water on polystyrene thin films: effects of CO(2) environment and film thickness. *Langmuir*. 23, 9785–9793 (2007). [PubMed: 17685637]
72. Gulati M, Lohse MB, Ennis CL, Gonzalez RE, Perry AM, Bapat P, Arevalo AV, Rodriguez DL, Nobile CJ, In Vitro Culturing and Screening of *Candida albicans* Biofilms. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol* 50, e60 (2018). [PubMed: 29995344]
73. Andes D, Nett J, Oschel P, Albrecht R, Marchillo K, Pitula A, Development and characterization of an in vivo central venous catheter *Candida albicans* biofilm model. *Infect. Immun* 72, 6023–6031 (2004). [PubMed: 15385506]
74. Eix EF, Johnson CJ, Wartman KM, Kernien JF, Meudt JJ, Shanmuganayagam D, Gibson ALF, Nett JE, Ex vivo human and porcine skin effectively model *C. auris* colonization, differentiating robust and poor fungal colonizers. *J. Infect. Dis* 225, 1791–1795 (2022). [PubMed: 35267041]
75. Horton MV, Johnson CJ, Kernien JF, Patel TD, Lam BC, Cheong JZA, Meudt JJ, Shanmuganayagam D, Kalan LR, Nett JE, *Candida auris* Forms High-Burden Biofilms in Skin Niche Conditions and on Porcine Skin. *mSphere*. 5 (2020), doi:10.1128/mSphere.00910-19.

76. Nakamura Y, Oscherwitz J, Cease KB, Chan SM, Muñoz-Planillo R, Hasegawa M, Villaruz AE, Cheung GYC, McGavin MJ, Travers JB, Otto M, Inohara N, Núñez G, Staphylococcus δ -toxin induces allergic skin disease by activating mast cells. *Nature*. 503, 397–401 (2013). [PubMed: 24172897]
77. Pacilli M, Kerins JL, Clegg WJ, Walblay KA, Adil H, Kemble SK, Xydis S, McPherson TD, Lin MY, Hayden MK, Froilan MC, Soda E, Tang AS, Valley A, Forsberg K, Gable P, Moulton-Meissner H, Sexton DJ, Jacobs Slifka KM, Vallabhaneni S, Walters MS, Black SR, Regional Emergence of *Candida auris* in Chicago and Lessons Learned From Intensive Follow-up at 1 Ventilator-Capable Skilled Nursing Facility. *Clin. Infect. Dis* 71, e718–e725 (2020). [PubMed: 32291441]




Fig. 1. Surface Colonization Factor (SCF1) mediates *C. auris* adhesion to polymer surfaces. (A) Adhesion of wild type AR0382 or mutants lacking one of twelve genes from *ALS* or *IFF/HYR* adhesin families. (B) 2,560 insertional mutants in the AR0382 strain background were screened for adhesion defects by measuring the proportion of cells able to remain attached to a cyclic olefin polymer surface after 3 washes with PBS. Strains are ordered by Z-score rank. Mutants with a Z-score more negative than -3 were considered to have a significant adhesive defect. (C) Adhesion of AR0382 and an insertional *SWII* mutant. (D) RNA-seq comparing the transcriptome of *tnSWII* to AR0382. *SCF1* (B9J08_001458) is the

strongest dysregulated gene. **(E)** Predicted domain architecture of Scf1, based on the clade I primary sequence, is consistent with canonical fungal adhesins. **(F)** Adhesion of adhesin mutants and complements compared to AR0382 **(G)** Immunofluorescence microscopy using an α -FLAG antibody. Representative images shown for WT AR0382 and AR0382 *scf1* + *SCF1-FLAG*. Scale bar = 5 μ m. Statistical differences were assessed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test (A), student's t-test (C), or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test (G); *p 0.05; **p 0.01; ***p 0.001; ns: p > 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 2. *C. auris* uniquely relies on *SCF1* for adhesive plasticity.

(A) Synteny schema depicting *SCF1* and the conservation and orientation of adjacent ORFs. Genomic loci are shown in comparison to *C. auris*. Putative *SCF1* homologs were only identified in *C. auris* and *C. haemulonii*. (B) Adhesion of 23 *C. auris* clinical isolates from all 5 clades. (C) Adhesion of 19 *C. albicans* clinical isolates from five clades. FC = Fingerprint Clade. (D) *SCF1* transcript abundance (top panel) but not *IFF4109* transcript abundance (bottom panel) is associated with adhesion to polystyrene in the same 23 *C. auris* isolates from (A). Log₂FC are expressed relative to AR0382. Each point signifies the mean of three biological replicates. Pearson correlation coefficient and p-value indicated. Isolates that do not encode *IFF4109* are not indicated in the bottom panel. (E) Comparison of adhesion between two Clade I isolates: AR0382 and AR0387. Overexpression of *SCF1* using the strong *TEF1* promoter (right panel) is sufficient to drive adhesion in the poorly

adhesive AR0387 background (left panel). Statistical differences were assessed using one-way ANOVA (A) and (B), with Tukey's post-hoc test (D) or student's t-test (D); * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ns: $p > 0.05$.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 3. *IFF4109*, but not *SCF1*, mediates adhesion through cell surface hydrophobicity.

(A) Representative images from Microbial Attachment to Hydrocarbons (MATH) assay. Hydrophobic cells are sequestered from the aqueous phase (Aq) to the aqueous-hydrocarbon interface (Int) after mixing with the hydrocarbon phase (HC). (B) Proportion of cells sequestered out of the aqueous phase during MATH assay. (C), (D) Cells were allowed to attach to a hydrophobic, untreated polystyrene surface (C) or a hydrophilic, vacuum plasma treated polystyrene surface (D) for 1 hour. The surface was washed and the proportion of cells that remained attached after washing was measured. Statistical differences were assessed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test (B), (C), and (D) or student's t-test (C) and (D); *p 0.05; **p 0.01; ***p 0.001; ns: p > 0.05.




Fig. 4. Specific cationic residues are critical for Scf1-mediated surface association (A), (B) WT AR0382 adhesion in the presence of increasing concentrations of arginine (A) or 1 M additives (B). (C) Predictive model of the Scf1 N-terminal domain with two neighboring cationic-aromatic clusters highlighted. (D) Adhesion of wild type AR0382, a mutant lacking *SCF1*, or AR0382 $\Delta scf1$ + *SCF1-FLAG* mutants encoding the wild type *SCF1* allele or alleles containing the indicated mutations. (E) TMR-labelled 13-amino acid peptides corresponding to the wild type Scf1 sequence (residues 50-62) or the same sequence with the indicated mutations incubated with the same polystyrene microspheres used to measure adhesion in (D). Scale Bar = 5 μ m. (F) Quantification (MFI) of peptide binding to individual polystyrene microspheres as in (E) measured by TMR epifluorescence, corrected for background fluorescence. Each point represents an individual microsphere. Colored points represent averages of individual experiments, used for statistical analysis. Statistical differences were assessed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test

(A), (B) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test (D) and (F); *p 0.05; **p 0.01; ***p 0.001; ns: p > 0.05.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 5. *SCF1* mediates host colonization and infection phenotypes.

(A), (B) Polyethylene central venous catheters were set in rat jugular veins and inoculated intraluminally with *C. auris*. Representative scanning electron microscopy images of the luminal catheter surface are shown from catheters collected 24 hrs after infection. Scale bars: 400 μ m (100x), 40 μ m (1000x), 5 μ m (5000x). (C), (D) Full thickness human skin explants were colonized with *C. auris* for 24 hrs before washing to remove unassociated cells. Representative scanning electron microscopy images of the skin surface following washing are shown. Scale bars: 20 μ m (1000x), 4 μ m (5000x). (E), (F), (G) Immunosuppressed mice were infected intravenously (via tail vein injection) with 5×10^7 *C. auris* cells. Histopathology sections of the kidneys 7 days post infection (E), (F) were stained with PAS. Magenta color indicates lesion areas. Ten infected mice for each strain were monitored for survival for 21 days (G). Statistical comparisons of overall survival were

assessed using the Mantel-Haenszel log-rank test with Benjamini-Hochberg correction. * p 0.05; ** p 0.01; *** p 0.001; ns $p > 0.05$.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript