

# CCT College Dublin

## Assessment Cover Page

---

<b>Module Title:</b>	Data Preparation & Visualisation, Machine Learning for Data Analytics, Statistics for Data Analytics, Programming for Data Analytics
<b>Assessment Title:</b>	Construction Industry in Ireland and Europe
<b>Lecturer Name:</b>	David McQuaid, Dr. Muhammad Iqbal, Marina Iantorno, Sam Weiss
<b>Student Full Name:</b>	Paul Ryan
<b>Student Number:</b>	sbs23013
<b>Assessment Due Date:</b>	26/05/2023
<b>Date of Submission:</b>	26/05/2023
<b>Word Count</b>	3750
<b>GitHub Repository</b>	

---

### Declaration

By submitting this assessment, I confirm that I have read the CCT policy on Academic Misconduct and understand the implications of submitting work that is not my own or does not appropriately reference material taken from a third party or other source. I declare it to be my own work and that all material from third parties has been appropriately referenced. I further confirm that this work has not previously been submitted for assessment by myself or someone else in CCT College Dublin or any other higher education institution.

## Abstract

*A comparison of the Irish construction industry with wider Europe including predicting future trends using time series analysis. The Hours Worked Index and Number of Persons Employed Index in Ireland were compared against other European areas using parametric and non parametric tests. In all cases there were significant differences between Ireland and the other groups. Machine learning models predict future performance using time series analysis and support vector regression. A sentiment analysis also showed Ireland to have a more positive outlook on house prices than Europe in general. An interactive dashboard displayed the actual Hours Worked Index as well as the predicted values for each area, with a map highlighting the country and offering extra information.*

**Keywords:** non-parametric tests, time-series analysis, support vector regression, sentiment analysis

## Introduction

This is an analysis of the Irish construction industry, looking at different indicators, measured as index values, across a range of European countries. It involves loading a dataset from the Eurostat website, controlled by the European Union. After a small amount of preparation, the dataset was explored and visualised. The values were tested using both parametric and non parametric statistical tests to compare Ireland to other groups across different value index's. Machine learning models are created to attempt to predict future values, using both time series, and support vector regression models. These models will have their hyperparameters tuned, to deliver the best accuracy. The results will be interpreted. In addition, a sentiment analysis will be performed on text pulled from the r/Ireland and r/Europe subreddits from the Reddit discussion platform.

As part of the analysis, an interactive dashboard will be created to allow the user to see the Hours Worked Index for a selected Country, with a dynamic line chart showing both the actual past values as well as future predicted values.

There is also a discussion at the end of the report on testing and optimisation of the code and a comparison of two different data manipulation libraries in Python.

## Materials

### Overview

A .tsv file is downloaded from the Eurostat website, via API. The file is compressed using gzip so after loading it needs to be extracted using the gzip package. It is then loaded into a data frame for further modification.

In the format it is downloaded, the quarterly values are stored in separate columns. The melt function is used to combine the quarters and respective values into two columns.

There are records in the “Value” column with no value, but they are represented with a ‘.’. To enable calculations on this column, they are set to NaN, and the ‘Value’ column is then set to a data type of float, which will allow for results using decimal points such as 1.5.

As the data contains information around countries, it will likely be visualised via a map or choropleth plot. To allow for this there needs to be compatible values representing each country. The ISO3 country codes are one such format. The ‘pycountry’ package allows for the conversion of the existing two character country codes to the ISO3 three character country codes. These are mapped and assigned to a new column named ‘Country\_Codes’.

Finally two new columns are created; ‘pvalue’ and ‘normal’. These are indicators based on the possible groupings of the values, with a p-value corresponding to each, indicating if that particular segment is normally distributed. If the values are normally distributed the ‘normal’ column will have ‘True’, if not, it will have ‘False’.

## Methods

### Exploratory Data Analysis

#### *Data Exploration*

For the overall data set, the first 5 rows are printed using the `‘.head’` function. Columns and the standard statistical information is then shown using the `‘.describe(include=‘all’)` function. The same descriptive statistics are shown at a group wide level using the `‘.groupby’` function in conjunction with the `‘.describe’` function.

The rows relating to Ireland are then separated into a different dataframe named `‘IE’`. The same techniques are applied to this dataset, including listing the columns and observations, statistical values overall, and statistical values for grouped values within the dataset.

#### *Visualisations*

First a choropleth plot is created showing the Numbers of Persons Employed Index for each country over time. This is an interactive plot that allows you to filter by quarter.

After this the IE data frame is focused on. Histograms of the `‘Value’` column for each indicator and seasonal adjustment option are created, with differentiation for whether the values are part of a normal distribution or not. They are displayed using the `‘FacetGrid’` function which is part of the `‘seaborn’` visualisation library.

Then the data is divided further and instances where the data is normally distributed are visualised using histograms, split on the indicator type and seasonal adjustment option. This data is also shown using boxplots spread across indicator types, split on the seasonal adjustment option.

## Statistics

### *Confidence Intervals*

Confidence intervals were calculated for values grouped by seasonality, country and value index. The confidence intervals for just Ireland were also calculated. The Ireland confidence interval is also visualised.

### *T-Test*

A T-Test was performed to determine if the number of persons employed index for Ireland, differed significantly from the Numbers of Persons Employed Index (IS-EPI) for Europe overall. This test was chosen as the distribution for both groups was found to be normal. As the variances between groups are unequal, this will be a Welch's T-Test. The assumptions are:

- The two samples are independent.
- The populations from which the samples are drawn follow approximately normal distributions.
- The variances of the two populations may not be equal.

The Hypothesis test is as follows:

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The mean of the IS-EPI in Ireland is equal to the mean of the IS-EPI in Europe.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The mean of the IS-EPI in Ireland differs significantly from the mean of the IS-EPI in Europe.

With an alpha (Significance level)  $\alpha$  : 0.05

### *One - Way Anova*

According to Weiss and Weiss (2017, p.720), a One-Way Anova allow for the comparison of more than two means. The test was performed to understand if there were significant differences in the mean of the IS-EPI for a range of European countries. The assumptions are:

- The samples are independent.
- The populations from which the samples are drawn follow approximately normal distributions.
- The populations have equal variances.

The Hypothesis test is as follows:

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The mean of the IS-EPI in Ireland is equal to the mean of the IS-EPI in other European countries.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The mean of the IS-EPI in Ireland differs significantly from the mean of the IS-EPI in other European countries.

With an alpha (Significance level)  $\alpha$  : 0.05

Post Hoc tests were performed to determine which groups are significantly different from each other; “the Tukey multiple-comparison method allows us to elaborate on this conclusion” (Weiss and Weiss, 2017, p.744).

### *Two - Way Anova*

A Two-Way Anova was performed to understand if there were significant differences in the mean of the IS-EPI for a range of European countries, and if there were differences between the seasonally and non-seasonally adjusted data. The assumptions were:

- The samples are independent.
- The populations from which the samples are drawn follow approximately normal distributions.
- The populations have equal variances.
- The observations are randomly and independently assigned to the groups.

The Hypothesis test is as follows:

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The mean of the IS-EPI in Ireland is equal to the mean of the IS-EPI in other European countries, and there is no difference between seasonally adjusted and non-seasonally adjusted values.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): At least one of the means of the IS-EPI in Ireland and other European countries is significantly different, and there is a difference between seasonally adjusted and non-seasonally adjusted values.

With an alpha (Significance level)  $\alpha$  : 0.05

Post-Hoc tests were performed to determine any interaction and which groups are significantly different from each other.

### *Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test*

A Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test was performed to understand if there was a significant difference in the distribution of the Hours Worked Index (IS-HWI) for Ireland, when compared to Europe overall. The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test is suitable as the data is not

normally distributed, therefore necessitating a non-parametric test, and this “is a nonparametric test for the one-sample location problem” (Lovric, 2011, p.1658). The assumptions were:

- The paired observations are independent of each other.
- The population distributions of the paired observations are identical.
- The data is not normally distributed

The Hypothesis test is as follows:

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The distribution of the IS-HWI values in Ireland is the same as the distribution of the IS-HWI values in Europe.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The distribution of the IS-HWI values in Ireland differs significantly from the distribution of the IS-HWI values in Europe.

With an alpha (Significance level)  $\alpha$  : 0.05

### *Kruskal Wallis*

A Kruskal Wallis test was performed to understand if there were significant differences in the distribution of the IS-HWI for a range of European countries. Kruskal Wallis is chosen as “it does not require that the distributions be normal or have any other specific shape” (Weiss and Weiss, 2017, p.750) The assumptions are:

- The samples from each country are independent of each other.
- The observations within each country are independent and identically distributed.

The Hypothesis Test is as follows:

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The distributions of the IS-HWI values are the same across European countries.

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The distributions of the IS-HWI values differ significantly across European countries.

With an alpha (Significance level)  $\alpha$  : 0.05

Post Hoc tests were also performed to further examine pairwise comparisons between the European countries, this was accomplished using Dunn's test.

## Machine Learning - Sentiment Analysis

### *Model Overview / Data Processing*

Sentiment Analysis is a machine learning algorithm that allows for the scoring of words or groups of words based on whether the meaning is positive or negative. This is achieved through “processing text, also known as natural language processing (NLP)” (Müller and Guido, 2017, p.355).

The data is gathered from Reddit, a social messaging platform where users can post news and opinions and have discussions in comments sections. Using the PRAW API wrapper and an access point set up via a Reddit profile, a for loop is used to collect posts from the ‘Ireland’ community subreddit, and the ‘Europe’ community subreddit, using ‘house prices’ as the search query. These are stored as a list and then converted to a dataframe.

### *Sentiment Analysis*

Within the dataframe a new column named ‘polarity’ is created. A lambda function is used to apply the .sentiment.polarity function from the TextBlob package to the text and store the results in the ‘polarity’ column. The results are then printed and compared to see which area is more or less positive in regards to house prices.

## Machine Learning - Time Series Analysis

### *Model Overview / Data Processing*

A Time Series analysis is a problem where “observations are collected at regular time intervals and there are correlations among successive observations” (University of Cambridge, p. iii). The data concerning the construction industry is spread across multiple quarters and can be approached as a time series problem. The data is first divided to focus on the Hours Worked Index in Ireland. Multiplicative and Additive Decomposition are performed and plotted and an Augmented Dickey-Fuller test is performed to check if the data is stationary or not.

### *Time Series Analysis*

The data is split into training and test sets and a SARIMAX model is fit on the training set. The Mean Absolute error (MAE) and Root Mean Square error (RMSE) are calculated from the test set and printed as well as the predictions being plotted against the actual values.

### *Hyperparameter Tuning*

To attempt to improve the accuracy of the model, the hyperparameters are tuned. For time series analysis these are the p, d and q parameters, as well as the seasonal order parameters. The different possible options are stored in lists and then using a for loop they are all tested



by fitting each combination on the training data, with the best results being stored and then printed. These are then applied to a SARIMAX model and the MAE and RSME are printed with the predicted values plotted against the actual values.

## Machine Learning - Support Vector Regression

### *Model Overview / Data Processing*

Support Vector Regression (SVR) is a regression technique that uses similar principles to Support Vector Machines. It trains using “a symmetrical loss function which equally penalizes high and low misestimates” (Awad and Rahul Khanna, 2015, p.67). To prepare the data for the SVR, the values are scaled using the StandardScaler function. The feature and target columns are selected, and one-hot encoding is used to transform the categorical columns to numerical representations.

### *Support Vector Regression*

The data is split into training and testing sets. The SVR model is created and fitted on the training set. The model is then used to make predictions on the test set. The predicted results are compared against the actual results and the MSE and R-squared values are printed.

### *Hyperparameter Tuning*

The hyperparameters are tuned in an effort to improve the accuracy of the model. This is done using the GridSearchCV package to run through a grid of possible parameters, testing each combination on the model to return the best parameters for the models accuracy. These hyperparameters are then used on a new SVR model, and the MSE and R-Squared score are again printed. The actual and predicted values are then plotted against a diagonal line to display the accuracy.

## Interactive Dashboard

### *Overview / Data Processing*

To allow users to easily access the results of the time series analysis, specifically the projections of future performance, an interactive dashboard is created using the ‘Dash’ and ‘plotly.express’ packages. These allow for different plots to be created and placed on a dashboard which is accessed via a web browser. The predicted data is created using a for loop where a dataframe containing the actual and predicted values for each country are stored. Data is also added to give better functionality, this includes full country names, mapped to the country codes, and the maximum and minimum values and their respective quarters for each country. In addition, longitude and latitude information is loaded via the ‘geopy’ package for the corresponding country codes.

## *Dashboard*

A line chart showing the actual values for the past three years and the predicted values for the next year is created, as well as a choropleth map plot. The Dash package allows for the layout of the dashboard, including the title, a filter using radio style selection buttons, and then the map and line plots. An 'update\_charts' function is created to update the title and plots based on which country is selected, as well as what information is displayed. Finally the command to run the dashboard allows it to be created and displayed. The back

## Results

### Exploratory Data Analysis

#### *Data Exploration*

The data frame contained 11 columns with features representing time ('Quarter'), value ('Value'), country ('geo\\TIME\_PERIOD') and whether or not the data was seasonally adjusted ('s\_adj'), as well as a 'indic' column which denoted the index being measured ('Hours Worked', 'Number of Persons Employed', etc.).

The separated IE dataframe contained the same columns, 1730 rows of data with 804 null values. Examining the data, the majority of these null values occurred pre 1999. Any analysis taken should focus on a timeframe after this period.

#### *Visualisation*

A choropleth map with values animated by quarter shows that for the majority of countries, 2000 or later was the period when values were recorded, again this would lead to focusing analysis on this period.




Figure 1: Choropleth of non-seasonally adjusted IS-HWI, Europe, Q1 2010 (Source: [europa.eu/eurostat](http://europa.eu/eurostat))

Histograms of the IE dataframe faceted by the Index type show that the 'Number of Persons Employed Index' (IS-EPI) is normally distributed, while the other four indexes are non-normally distributed. When comparing Ireland to other countries, this should be taken into account when deciding whether to use parametric or non-parametric tests.




Figure 2: Histogram of IS-EPI faceted by seasonal adjustment, Ireland, (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Box plots show that the 'Building Permits Index' (IS-PEI) has the largest range of values, and that the distribution of seasonally and non-seasonally adjusted data is similar for all indexes.




Figure 3: Boxplots of Value Indexes, Ireland, (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)



## Statistics

### *Confidence Intervals*

The seasonally adjusted Buildings permit index (IS-PEI) has the largest variation in the estimate (44.76, 799.89), while the non-seasonally adjusted number of persons employed index had the smallest variation in the estimate (76.16, 200.13).

		0.025	0.975
indic	s_adj		
IS-EPI	NSA	76.1550	200.1250
	SCA	75.2750	199.7475
IS-HWI	NSA	72.8400	200.9550
	SCA	71.8775	200.0900
IS-IP	NSA	70.4000	346.7625
	SCA	76.6025	345.3350
IS-PEI	NSA	44.8875	786.4000
	SCA	44.7575	799.8850
IS-WSI	NSA	72.0750	216.4225
	SCA	71.8950	211.1325

Figure 4: Confidence intervals of Value Indexes by Seasonal Adjustment, Ireland, (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Plotting the intervals shows this and displays that there is very little variation between the seasonally and non-seasonally adjusted data.




Figure 5: Confidence interval plot of Value Indexes by Seasonal Adjustment, Ireland, (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

### *T-Test*

Ireland had a sample mean of 129.13 and a standard deviation of 38.59.

Europe had a sample mean of 111.118 and a standard deviation of 8.26.

The t-statistic was calculated by comparing the means and came to -3.96.

The degrees of freedom were calculated using the Welch-Satterwaite and were 81.86

The p-value associated with the t-statistic was 0.0002. As this is less than the alpha of 0.5, we reject the null hypothesis.

The difference between the means is statistically significant.

### *One - Way Anova*

Ireland had a sample mean of 129.52 with a standard deviation of 34.91

Denmark had a sample mean of 118.43 with a standard deviation of 17.15

Europe had a sample mean of 114.7 with a standard deviation of 12.52

The F-statistic calculated from comparing the means came to 11.20, with degrees of freedom of 2.

The p-value associated with the F-statistic was found to be 0.000. Since the p-value is less than the alpha of 0.05, we reject the null hypothesis.

The differences between the means is statistically significant.




Figure 6: Distribution of IS-EPI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland, Denmark and Europe (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Tukeys Range post hoc test showed a p-value of 0.49 between Denmark and Europe, the difference in means is not statistically significant. Ireland is the group with a different mean, with a p-value of less than 0.05 when compared to either group.



Multiple Comparison of Means - Tukey HSD, FWER=0.05						
group1	group2	meandiff	p-adj	lower	upper	reject
DK	EU28	-3.7321	0.4864	-11.3992	3.935	False
DK	IE	11.084	0.0022	3.4169	18.7511	True
EU28	IE	14.816	0.0	7.1489	22.4831	True

Figure 7: Pairwise comparison of means for IS-EPI non-seasonlly adjusted , Ireland, Denmark and Europe (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

### Two - Way Anova

The F-statistic was calculated by comparing the means of the different groups and factors.

F-statistic for the main effect of seasonality was 0.00

F-statistic for the main effect of country was 86.81

F-statistic for the interaction between seasonality and country was 0.00

The degrees of freedom for the main effect of seasonality was 1

The degrees of freedom for the main effect of country was 2

The degrees of freedom for the interaction effect was 1

The p-value for the main effect of seasonality is 0.99, and as it is larger than the alpha of 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that there is no statistically significant difference in means.

The p-value for the main effect of countries is 0.00, and as it is smaller than the alpha of 0.05, we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is a statistically significant difference in means.

The p-value for the interaction effect between seasonality and country is 1, and as it is larger than the alpha of 0.05, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that there is no interaction effect between factors.




Figure 8: Distribution of means for IS-EPI, Ireland, Belgium, Poland and Slovakia (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Performing the post hoc test shows that of the country groups, Ireland is the one that has a statistically significant difference in means when compared to the other two countries, with Poland and Slovakia having a p-value of 0.139, which, being larger than the alpha of 0.05, leads to failing to reject the null hypothesis that there is no statistically significant difference in their means.

Multiple Comparison of Means - Tukey HSD, FWER=0.05

group1	group2	meandiff	p-adj	lower	upper	reject
IE	PL	-25.508	0.0	-31.2876	-19.7283	True
IE	SK	-30.1858	0.0	-35.9654	-24.4062	True
PL	SK	-4.6778	0.139	-10.4575	1.1018	False

Figure 9: Pairwise comparison of means for IS-EPI , Ireland, Poland and Slovakia (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

From the two anova tests performed, Ireland was the group causing the rejection of the null hypothesis with a difference in its mean from the other groups tested.

### *Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test*

The test statistic for this test was calculated by looking at the difference between the two groups. In this case the t-statistic came to 1300.50.

The p-value associated with the t-statistic was 0.0062, which is smaller than the alpha of 0.05, therefore leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis, which was that there was no statistically significant difference between the distributions.




Figure 10: Distribution of means for non-seasonally adjusted IS-HWI , Ireland and Italy (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

### *Kruskall Wallis*

The test statistic for this test was calculated by looking at the differences between the distributions of the groups. In this case the t-statistic came to 8.93.

The p-value associated with the t-statistic was 0.0115, which is smaller than the alpha of 0.05, therefore leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis, which was that there was no statistically significant difference between the distributions.




Figure 11: Distribution of means for non-seasonally adjusted IS-HWI , Ireland, Finland and Italy (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

The Dunn's post hoc tests showed that there were significant differences in the distribution of Finland and Ireland.

## Machine Learning - Sentiment Analysis

### *Sentiment Analysis Results*

The sentiment analysis showed a polarity of 0.0481 for the Ireland subreddit and 0.0214 for Europe. Both areas were positive overall about house prices, however only by a small measure, they were close to neutral. Ireland was more positive than Europe, but again only by a small measure. Ireland did have the most positive post with a polarity of 0.9, but it also contained the most negative sentiment with a polarity of -0.3125.

## Machine Learning - Time Series Analysis

### *Initial Model Results*



Figure 12: Non-seasonally adjusted Hours Worked Index, Ireland (Source: [europea.eu/eurostat](https://europea.eu/eurostat))

The SARIMAX model returned a result of a MAE of 20.51 and an RMSE of 24.31. Looking at the plot of the predicted versus the actual values, it is clear that the accuracy is weak.




Figure 13: Initial Predicted vs Actual Values for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

### Hyperparameter Tuning Results

The hyperparameter tuning results showed that a pdq value of 0, 1, 0 respectively was the best selection, with seasonal parameters of 0, 1, 0, 4.

When applied to the SARIMAX model the results are a MAE of 17.13 and an RMSE 20.85. The new plot shows the predicted values corresponding more closely to the actual values, although there are still variances.




Figure 14: Tuned Model Predicted vs Actual Values for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Future predictions are made and plotted for the next four quarters. This same process is applied to each country in the dataframe for the 'Hours Worked Index'




Figure 15: Predicted future values for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland (Source: [europa.eu/eurostat](https://europa.eu/eurostat))

## Machine Learning - Support Vector Regression

### *Initial Model Results*

The initial MSE is 0.72 with an R-squared value of 0.29. When plotted it can be seen that there is a block of correctly predicted data, but this is skewed by larger, unpredictable actual value outliers.




Figure 16: Initial predicted vs actual values for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

### *Hyperparameter Tuning Results*

After a long tuning process, the best hyperparameters were chosen as a 'C' of 100, 'gamma' of 0.1 and a linear 'kernel'. When applied to a new SARIMAX model it resulted in a MSE of 0.67 and an R-squared value of 0.33. Both were improved from the previous model but only slightly. The plotted values show a better range of predicted values, which align more with the actual values.






Figure 17: Tuned model predicted vs actual values for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Ireland (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

Even after hyperparameter tuning the results were not optimal, in subsequent analysis a different model might be used.

### Interactive Dashboard

The resulting dashboard shows a map side by side with a line plot which has two different styles of line, one for actual values and one for predicted values. The background of the line chart is set as white in an attempt to “show the data” (Tufte, 2007, pg 13). Above the plots there are single select buttons with full country names.

When a country is selected the map highlights and focuses on the country, and the line plot shows the actual and predicted values for the Hours Worked Index of the selected country.

Hovering over the country gives the name as well as the minimum and maximum values with the corresponding quarters. Hovering over the line gives the corresponding Quarter and Value.

Setting this information as part of the tooltips avoids cluttering the display with too much information or junk data, in this way “maximising the data ink-ratio”, while also “Eras[ing] non-data-ink” (Tufte, 2007, pg 96), that is, the relevant information of interest to the viewer of the graphic.




Figure 18: Interactive Dashboard for IS-HWI non-seasonally adjusted, Europe (Source: europa.eu/eurostat)

## Discussion

### Testing & Optimisation

Following a systematic approach to testing and optimisation can improve the precision of the code and ensure the computational resources are being used in the most efficient way for the problem being addressed.

Testing can be broken into two stages, Unit Testing and Integration Testing.

Unit testing is taking a small piece of code that performs a specific function, and then writing a 'unit test' to verify the correctness of the function. Verifying each building block of code works the way it is intended helps identify errors early in the analysis. According to Ashwin Pajankar (2017) these unit tests can be automated using a framework package such as 'unittest' or 'pytest'.

Integration testing is described by Makai (2012) as testing two or more parts of an application at the same time, including the interaction between them to check if they are functioning as intended. It can identify issues in the interfaces between separate units as they call each other and pass data.

Optimisation can be performed by reviewing the code to attempt to find 'bottlenecks', a term for areas that take a long time to process. Tools that measure execution time or memory usage can be employed, in this case the time each cell takes to run is displayed. Efficient data structures can also enhance performance.

Trade-offs in optimisation are often around how fast the code runs versus how easily read and understood the code is. It is also sometimes necessary to use more computational resources to perform more complex calculations and algorithms. Tuning the hyperparameters of the SVR model took over 10 minutes to run, and led to a very small increase in the model accuracy. Unless the preceding code, or the data being used, changes, that piece does not need to be run again, but if it was receiving constantly different data, other options may need to be looked at.

### Data Library Comparison

#### *Pandas*

For the European construction data, which is loaded from a zipped csv file, a large amount of the processing happened using Pandas. Pandas library provides high-performance data manipulation and analysis tools. It has an array of methods for reading, writing, filtering and transforming the data. Processing the data was done using its data frame object, which stored

the tsv data, and then the data was transformed, for example by melting the quarter columns into one.

Once the data is filtered, Pandas can also perform a number of aggregate functions such as 'min', 'max' and 'mean', all of which we used multiple times throughout the analysis. Pandas also offers the 'groupby' function which can group the data on specific columns and apply functions to those groups. This was extremely useful when looking at mean values in the data frame at a country and value index level.

### *Numpy*

Numpy is a library for scientific computing. It allows for efficient multidimensional array objects and functions designed to perform mathematical functions on arrays. Many of the calculations performed in Pandas are adopted from Numpy.

The “biggest difference is that pandas is designed for working with tabular or heterogeneous data, NumPy by contrast, is best suited for working with homogeneous numerical array data” (Mckinney, 2017).

As the tsv file is tabular, Pandas was the natural choice for most of the operations.

### *NLTK*

This library has a set of tools for text processing and analysis. With the data downloaded from the Reddit API its functions were used for tokenisation, stemming, frequency distribution amongst others. The functions cover the main areas needed to process the text data, extracting relevant features.

After processing the data NLTK gives access to information such as word frequency counts and sentiment analysis, however it does not provide functions specifically for data aggregation.

### *TextBlob*

TextBlob is built on top of NLTK and so offers similar features but at a higher level. The preprocessing happens mostly in the background which is good for a simpler user experience, but offers less detail and control over the process.

TextBlob does offer data aggregation, in the analysis it was used to aggregate the text data based on sentiment polarity, with the results easily interpreted.

Both libraries were used to perform a full exploration of the data.

## Conclusion

When grouped into its various areas, seasonality and value index, the majority of groups had non normally distributed data. There was also a lack of values for the majority of the groups for quarters before the year 2000.

A t-test and one-way ANOVA showed that there was a difference in the means for Ireland, Denmark and Europe for the IS-EPI. A two-way ANOVA showed that Ireland had a statistically different mean than Poland and Slovakia, but there was no interaction effect from seasonal adjustment. Post hoc tests showed that Ireland was responsible for the difference. The IS-HWI for Ireland was compared to Italy with a Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test and there was a difference in the distribution, and a Kruskal Wallis test showed the same when including Finland. In the Kruskal Wallis test Ireland was again the group that caused the difference.

Ireland was the group that was different to the others in the tests carried out, and although not all combinations were tested, it warrants further investigation as to why Ireland differs from other areas in the construction industry.

Sentiment Analysis showed that Ireland was slightly more positive than Europe when it came to house prices, and both groups were slightly positive. A time series analysis of Ireland's hours worked index resulted in a MAE of 20.51, which was improved to 17.12 after hyperparameter tuning. The SVR model had a MSE of 0.72, and after tuning with GridSearchCV this was improved to 0.67. The time series analysis was more suited to the data than the SVR.

The dashboard makes it clear which area is being referenced in the line chart by displaying the country name and highlighting the area on the corresponding map plot. Having the buttons as the method of swapping between countries gives a clear overview of the choicers at all times and does not cover the data as an expanded dropdown would do.

## References

Ashwin Pajankar (2017). *Python Unit Test Automation*. Apress.

Awad, M. and Rahul Khanna (2015). *Efficient Learning Machines Theories, Concepts, and Applications for Engineers and System Designers*. Berkeley, Ca Apress, p.67.

Lovric, M. (2011). *International encyclopedia of statistical science*. Berlin ; New York: Springer, p.1658.

Makai, M. (2012). *Integration Testing*. [online] [www.fullstackpython.com](http://www.fullstackpython.com). Available at: <https://www.fullstackpython.com/integration-testing.html> [Accessed 25 May 2023].

Mckinney, W. (2017). *Python for Data Analysis, 2nd Edition*. 2nd ed. O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Müller, A.C. and Guido, S. (2017). *Introduction to machine learning with Python : a guide for data scientists*. 1st ed. Beijing: O'reilly, p.355.

Schelter, B., Winterhalder, M. and Timmer, J. (2006). *Handbook of Time Series Analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, p.4.

Tufte, E.R. (2007). *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information*. 2nd ed. Cheshire, Conn.: Graphics Press.

University of Cambridge (n.d.). *Time Series*. [online] University of Cambridge, p.iii. Available at: <http://www.statlab.cam.ac.uk/~rrw1/timeseries/t.pdf> [Accessed 24 May 2023].

Weiss, N.A. and Weiss, C.A. (2017). *Introductory statistics*. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited, p.720.