

---

# **Python and C++ Containers**

***Release 0.3.0***

**Paul Ross**

**Feb 11, 2022**



## CONTENTS:

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	A Problematic Example	1
1.2	Why This Project	2
1.3	Hand Written Functions	3
1.3.1	Converting a Python tuple or list to a C++ <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code>	3
1.3.2	Partial Specialisation to Convert a Python list to a C++ <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code>	4
1.4	Generated Functions	4
1.4.1	Usage	5
1.4.2	Converting a C++ <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code> to a Python tuple or list	6
1.4.3	Alternatives	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Using this Library in Your C++ Code</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	The Basics	7
2.1.1	Code Generation	7
2.1.2	Build Configuration	7
2.1.3	Source Inclusion	7
2.1.4	Errors	8
2.2	Examples	8
2.2.1	Using C++ to Double the Values in a Python List of <code>float</code>	9
2.2.2	Reversing a tuple of bytes in C++	10
2.2.3	Incrementing dict values in C++	11
2.3	Testing	11
2.3.1	Testing With C++	11
2.3.2	Testing With Python	13
2.4	Documentation	13
2.4.1	Sphinx	13
2.4.2	Doxygen	13
<b>3</b>	<b>C++ API</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Include File and Namespace	15
3.2	Python Containers to C++	15
3.2.1	Error Indication	15
3.2.2	Python tuple to <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code>	15
3.2.3	Python list to <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code>	16
3.2.4	Python set to <code>std::unordered_set</code>	17
3.2.5	Python frozenset to <code>std::unordered_set</code>	17
3.2.6	Python dict to <code>std::unordered_map</code> or <code>std::map</code>	18
3.3	C++ Containers to Python	19
3.3.1	Error Indication	19
3.3.2	C++ <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> to Python tuple	19

3.3.3	C++ <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> to Python list	20
3.3.4	C++ <code>std::unordered_set</code> to Python set	20
3.3.5	C++ <code>std::unordered_set</code> to Python <code>frozenset</code>	21
3.3.6	C++ <code>std::unordered_map</code> or <code>std::map</code> to a Python dict	22
<b>4</b>	<b>User Defined Types</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	User Defined Types in a C Extension	24
4.1.1	The C++ Class Declared in the File <code>cUserDefined.h</code>	24
4.1.2	The Python Equivalent in the File <code>cUserDefined.cpp</code>	24
4.1.3	Adding Conversion Code in <code>cUserDefined.cpp</code>	25
4.1.4	Template Specialisation Declarations in <code>cUserDefined.h</code>	26
4.1.5	Template Specialisation Definitions in <code>cUserDefined.cpp</code>	27
4.1.6	Using the Conversion Functions in C++	27
4.1.7	Example of Round-trip Conversion	28
4.1.8	Supporting <code>dict[int, cUserDefined.Custom]</code>	29
4.2	User Defined Types From Pure Python Types	32
<b>5</b>	<b>Design</b>	<b>33</b>
5.1	Source Files <code>python_object_convert.h</code> and <code>python_object_convert.cpp</code>	33
5.2	<code>python_container_convert.h</code> and <code>python_container_convert.cpp</code>	33
5.3	<code>python_convert.h</code>	34
5.4	Python list and tuple	34
5.4.1	Conversion From C++ to Python	34
5.4.2	Conversion From Python to C++	37
5.5	Python set and <code>frozenset</code>	39
5.5.1	Conversion From C++ to Python	39
5.5.2	Conversion From Python to C++	40
5.6	Python dict	41
5.6.1	Conversion From C++ to Python	41
5.6.2	Conversion From Python to C++	42
5.7	Code Generation	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Performance</b>	<b>45</b>
6.1	C++ Performance Tests	45
6.1.1	Conversion of Fundamental Types	46
6.1.2	Python List to and from a C++ <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code>	47
6.1.3	Python Tuple to and from a C++ <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code>	51
6.1.4	Python Set to and from a C++ <code>std::unordered_set&lt;T&gt;</code>	52
6.1.5	Python Dict to and from a C++ <code>std::unordered_map&lt;K, V&gt;</code>	56
6.1.6	Summary	57
6.2	Round-trip Python to C++ and back to Python	57
6.2.1	Python Lists	58
6.2.2	Python Sets	62
6.2.3	Python Dictionaries	65
6.2.4	Summary	68
6.3	Memory Use	68
6.3.1	Python List of bytes	69
6.3.2	Python List of floats	69
6.3.3	Python Set of bytes	70
6.3.4	Python Dictionary of bytes or <code>str</code>	71
6.3.5	Containers of Just One Object	73
6.4	Summary	74
<b>7</b>	<b>Indices and tables</b>	<b>75</b>

## INTRODUCTION

Python is well known for its ability to handle *heterogeneous* data in containers such as lists. But what if you need to interact with C++ containers such as `std::vector<T>` that require *homogeneous* data types?

This project is about converting Python containers (list, dict, set, frozenset, tuple) containing homogeneous types (bool, int, float, complex, bytes, str) to and from their C++ equivalent.

## 1.1 A Problematic Example

Suppose that you have a Python list of floats and need to pass it to a C++ library that expects a `std::vector<double>`. If the result of that call modifies the C++ vector, or creates a new one, you need to return a Python list of floats from the result.

Your code might look like this:

```
PyObject *example(PyObject *op) {
    std::vector<double> vec;
    // Populate the vector, function to be defined...
    write_to_vector(op, vec);
    // Do something in C++ with the vector
    // ...
    // Convert the vector back to a Python list.
    // Function to be defined...
    return read_from_vector(vec);
}
```

What should the implementation of `write_to_vector()` and `read_from_vector()` look like?

The answer seems fairly simple; firstly `write_to_vector` converting a Python list to a C++ `std::vector<double>`:

```
void write_to_vector(PyObject *op, std::vector<double> &vec) {
    vec.clear();
    for (Py_ssize_t i = 0; i < PyList_Size(op); ++i) {
        vec.push_back(PyFloat_AsDouble(PyList_GET_ITEM(op, i)));
    }
}
```

And the inverse, `read_from_vector` creating a new Python list from a C++ `std::vector<double>`:

```
PyObject *read_from_vector(const std::vector<double> &vec) {
    PyObject *ret = PyList_New(vec.size());
    for (size_t i = 0; i < vec.size(); ++i) {
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

        PyList_SET_ITEM(ret, i, PyFloat_FromDouble(vec[i]));
    }
    return ret;
}

```

There is no error handling here and all errors are runtime errors.

However if you need to support other object types, say lists of `int`, `str`, `bytes` then each one needs a pair of hand written functions. It gets worse when you want to support other containers such as (`tuple`, `list`, `set`, `frozenset`, `dict`). Then you have to write individual conversion functions for all the combinations of object types *and* containers. This is tedious and error prone.

## 1.2 Why This Project

This project makes extensive use of C++ templates, partial template specialisation and code generation to reduce dramatically the amount of hand maintained code. It also converts many runtime errors to compile time errors.

This project supports two way conversion of this set of containers:

Table 1: Supported Containers.

C++ Container	Python Equivalent
<code>std::vector</code>	<code>tuple</code> or <code>list</code>
<code>std::list</code>	<code>tuple</code> or <code>list</code>
<code>std::unordered_set</code>	<code>set</code> or <code>frozenset</code>
<code>std::unordered_map</code>	<code>dict</code>
<code>std::map</code>	<code>dict</code>

Which contain any of this set of types:

Table 2: Supported Object types.

C++ Type	Python Equivalent
<code>bool</code>	<code>True</code> , <code>False</code>
<code>long</code>	<code>int</code>
<code>double</code>	<code>float</code>
<code>std::complex&lt;double&gt;</code>	<code>complex</code>
<code>std::vector&lt;char&gt;</code>	<code>bytes</code>
<code>std::string</code>	<code>str</code>

The number of possible conversion functions is worse than the cartesian product of the types and containers as in the case of a `dict` the types can appear as either a key or a value.

The tables above would normally require 216 conversion functions to be written, tested and documented<sup>1</sup>.

This project simplifies this by using a mix of C++ templates and code generators to reduce this number to just **six** hand written templates for all 216 cases.

- Two C++ templates for Python `tuple` / `list` two way conversions for all types.

<sup>1</sup> TODO: The calculation of 216. There are four unary containers (`tuple`, `list`, `set`, `frozenset`) and six types (`bool`, `int`, `float`, `complex`, `bytes`, `str`). Each container/type combination requires two functions to give two way conversion from Python to C++ and back. Thus 4 (containers) \* 6 (types) \* 2 (way conversion) = 48 required functions. For `dict` there are six types either of which can be the key or the value so 36 possible variations (any 2 out of 6). With two way conversion this means another 72 functions. Thus is a total of 120 functions.

- Two C++ templates for Python set / frozenset two way conversions for all types.
- Two C++ templates for Python dict two way conversions for all type combinations.

These templates are fairly simple, comprehensible and, for simplicity, code generation is done with a Python script is used to create the final, instantiated, 216 functions.

## 1.3 Hand Written Functions

There are only six non-trivial hand written functions along with a much larger of generated functions that successively specialise these functions.

As an example, here how the function is developed that converts a Python list of float to a C++ `std::vector<double>`.

### 1.3.1 Converting a Python tuple or list to a C++ `std::vector<T>`

This generic function that converts unary Python indexed containers (tuple and list) to a C++ `std::vector<T>` or `std::list<T>` for any type has this signature:

```
template<
    template<typename ...> class ListLike,
    typename T,
    int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *),
    T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject *),
    int (*PyUnaryContainer_Check)(PyObject *),
    Py_ssize_t (*PyUnaryContainer_Size)(PyObject *),
    PyObject *(*PyUnaryContainer_Get)(PyObject *, size_t)>
int even_more_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, ListLike<T> &list_
↳ like) {
```

This template has these parameters:

Table 3: `even_more_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like()` template parameters.

Template Parameter	Notes
ListLike	The C++ container type, either a <code>std::vector&lt;T&gt;</code> or <code>std::list&lt;T&gt;</code> .
T	The C++ type of the objects in the target C++ container.
PyObject_Check	A pointer to a function that checks that any <code>PyObject *</code> in the Python container is the correct type, for example that it is a bytes object.
PyObject_Convert	A pointer to a function that converts any <code>PyObject *</code> in the Python container to the C++ type, for example from bytes -> <code>std::vector&lt;char&gt;</code> .
PyUnaryContainer_Check	A pointer to a function that checks that the <code>PyObject *</code> argument is the correct container type, for example a tuple.
PyUnaryContainer_Size	A pointer to a function that returns the size of the Python container.
PyUnaryContainer_Get	A pointer to a function that gets a <code>PyObject *</code> from the Python container at a given index.

And the function has the following parameters.

Table 4: `generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_vector()` parameters.

Type	Name	Notes
<code>PyObject *</code>	<code>op</code>	The Python container to read from.
<code>ListLike&lt;T&gt; &amp;</code>	<code>list_like</code>	The C++ list like container to write to.

The return value is zero on success or non zero if there is a runtime error. These errors could be:

- `PyObject *op` is not a container of the required type.
- An member of the Python container can not be converted to the C++ type `T` (`PyObject_Check` fails).

### 1.3.2 Partial Specialisation to Convert a Python list to a C++ `std::vector<T>`

This template can be partially specialised for converting Python *lists* of any type to C++ `std::vector<T>` or `std::list<T>`. This is hand written code but it is trivial by wrapping a single function call. In the case of a `std::vector` we can use `.reserve()` to avoid excessive re-allocations.

Note the use of the function pointers to `py_list_check`, `py_list_len` and `py_list_get`. These are thin wrappers around existing functions or macros in "Python.h".

```
template<typename T, int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *), T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject_
↪*)>
int generic_py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::vector<T> &container) {
    // Reserve the vector, but only if it is a list. If not then ignore it as
    // even_more_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like() will error
    if (py_list_check(op)) {
        container.reserve(py_list_len(op));
    }
    return even_more_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like<
        std::vector, T, PyObject_Check, PyObject_Convert, &py_list_check, &py_list_
↪len, &py_list_get
        >(op, container);
}
```

## 1.4 Generated Functions

These are created by a script that takes the cartesian product of object types and container types and creates functions for each container/object. For example, to convert a Python list of float to a C++ `std::vector<double>` the following are created:

A base declaration in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`:

```
template<typename T>
int
py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::list<T> &container);
```

And a concrete declaration for each C++ target type `T` in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`:


```
template <>
int
py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<double>(PyObject *op, std::list<double> &container);
```



And the concrete definition is in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`:

```
template <
int
py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<double>(PyObject *op, std::vector<double> &container) {
    return generic_py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<double, &py_float_check, &py_float_to_
    ↪ cpp_double>(op, container);
}
```

This is the function hierarchy for the code that converts Python lists and tuples to C++ `std::vector<T>` for all object types. Here is the function hierarchy for converting lists to C++ `std::vector<T>`:



### 1.4.1 Usage

Using the concrete function is as simple as this:

```
using namespace Python_Cpp_Containers;
// Create a PyObject* representing a list of Python floats.
PyObject *op = PyList_New(3);
PyList_SetItem(op, 0, PyFloat_FromDouble(21.0));
PyList_SetItem(op, 1, PyFloat_FromDouble(42.0));
PyList_SetItem(op, 2, PyFloat_FromDouble(3.0));

// Create the output vector...
std::vector<double> cpp_vector;

// Template specialisation will automatically invoke the appropriate
// function call.
// It will be a compile time error if the container/type function
// is not available.
// At run time this will return zero on success, non-zero on failure,
// for example if op is not a Python tuple or members of op can not be
// converted to C++ doubles.
int err = py_list_to_cpp_std_vector(op, cpp_vector);
// Handle error checking...
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
// Now convert back.  
// Again this will be a compile time error if the C++ type is not supported.  
PyObject *new_op = cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(cpp_vector);  
// new_op is a Python list of floats.  
// new_op will be null on failure and a Python exception will have been set.
```

## 1.4.2 Converting a C++ `std::vector<T>` to a Python tuple or list

The generic function signature looks like this:

```
template<typename T,  
        PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &),  
        PyObject *(*PyUnaryContainer_New)(size_t),  
        int(*PyUnaryContainer_Set)(PyObject *, size_t, PyObject *)>  
PyObject *  
generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary(const std::vector<T> &vec);
```

## 1.4.3 Alternatives

If your use case can be solved by using any of the following then this project is not for you:

`numpy`

The `Buffer` protocol

Python's `multiprocessing.shared_memory`

## USING THIS LIBRARY IN YOUR C++ CODE

### 2.1 The Basics

#### 2.1.1 Code Generation

If necessary run the code generator:

```
cd src/py
python code_gen.py
```

Which should give you something like:

```
venv/bin/python src/py/code_gen.py
Target directory "src/cpy"
Writing declarations to "src/cpy/auto_py_convert_internal.h"
Wrote 2654 lines of code with 220 declarations.
Writing definitions to "src/cpy/auto_py_convert_internal.cpp"
Wrote 2384 lines of code with 216 definitions.

Process finished with exit code 0
```

#### 2.1.2 Build Configuration

You need to compile the following C++ files by adding them to your makefile or CMakeLists.txt:

```
src/cpy/auto_py_convert_internal.cpp
src/cpy/python_container_convert.cpp
src/cpy/python_object_convert.cpp
```

#### 2.1.3 Source Inclusion

Your pre-processor needs access to the header files with the compiler flag `-I src/cpy`.

Then in your C++ code include:

```
#include "python_convert.h"
```

Which gives you access to the whole API in the namespace `Python_Cpp_Containers`.

## 2.1.4 Errors

If using this library in C++ there will be a linker error if you specify a template type that is not supported. For example here is some code that tries to copy a Python list of unsigned integers. The two conversion functions are not defined for unsigned int.

```
static PyObject *
new_list_unsigned_int(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<unsigned int> vec;
    if (!py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(arg, vec)) {
        return cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(vec);
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

A C++ tool chain will complain with a linker error such as:

```
Undefined symbols for architecture x86_64:
  "_object* Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list<unsigned int>(std::__
  ↪_1::vector<unsigned int, std::__1::allocator<unsigned int> > const&)", referenced from:
    new_list_unsigned_int(_object*, _object*) in cPyCppContainers.cpp.o
  "int Python_Cpp_Containers::py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<unsigned int>(_object*, std::__
  ↪_1::vector<unsigned int, std::__1::allocator<unsigned int> >&)", referenced from:
    new_list_unsigned_int(_object*, _object*) in cPyCppContainers.cpp.o
ld: symbol(s) not found for architecture x86_64
```

If you are building a Python extension this will, most likely, build but importing the extension will fail immediately with something like:

```
>>> import cPyCppContainers
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
ImportError: dlopen(cPyCppContainers.cpython-39-darwin.so, 2): Symbol not found: __
  ↪ZN21Python_Cpp_Containers25cpp_std_list_like_to_py_listIjEEP7_objectRKNS3__16vectorIT_
  ↪NS3_9allocatorIS5_EEEE
  Referenced from: cPyCppContainers.cpython-39-darwin.so
  Expected in: flat namespace
  in cPyCppContainers.cpython-39-darwin.so
```

## 2.2 Examples

There are some examples of using this library in *src/ext/cPyCppContainers.cpp*. This extension is built by *setup.py* and tested with *tests/unit/test\_cPyCppContainers.py*.

To build this extension:

```
$ python setup.py develop
```

And to use it:

```
import cPyCppContainer
```

## 2.2.1 Using C++ to Double the Values in a Python List of float

Here is one of those examples in detail; doubling the values of a Python list of floats.

At the beginning of the extension C/C++ code we have:

```
#include "cpy/python_convert.h"
```

For convenience we use the namespace that the conversion code is within:

```
using namespace Python_Cpp_Containers;
```

Here is the C++ function that we want to call that multiplies the values of a `std::vector<double>` in-place by 2.0:

```
/** Double the values of a vector in-place. */
static void
vector_double_x2(std::vector<double> &vec) {
    for (size_t i = 0; i < vec.size(); ++i) {
        vec[i] *= 2.0;
    }
}
```

And here is the code that takes a Python list of floats, then calls the C++ function and finally converts the C++ `std::vector<double>` back to a new Python list of floats:

```
/** Create a new list of floats with doubled values. */
static PyObject *
list_x2(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<double> vec;
    // py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like() will return non-zero if the Python
    // argument can not be converted to a std::vector<double>
    // and a Python exception will be set.
    if (!py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(arg, vec)) {
        // Double the values in pure C++ code.
        vector_double_x2(vec);
        // cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list() returns NULL on failure
        // and a Python exception will be set.
        return cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(vec);
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

The vital piece of code is the declaration `std::vector<double> vec;` and that means:

- If a `py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like()` implementation does not exist for double there will be a compile time error.
- Giving `py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like()` anything other than a list of floats will create a Python runtime error.
- If `cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list()` fails for any reason there will be a Python runtime error.

## Using the Extension

Once the extension is built you can use it thus:

```
>>> import cPyCppContainers
>>> cPyCppContainers.list_x2([1.0, 2.0, 4.0])
[2.0, 4.0, 8.0]
```

You can verify that the returned list is a new one rather than modifying the input in-place: .. code-block:: python

```
>>> a = [1.0, 2.0, 4.0]
>>> b = cPyCppContainers.list_x2(a)
>>> hex(id(a))
'0x1017150c0'
>>> hex(id(b))
'0x101810dc0'
```

If the values are not floats or the container is not a list a `ValueError` is raised:

```
>>> cPyCppContainers.list_x2([1, 2, 4])
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
ValueError: Python value of type int can not be converted
>>> cPyCppContainers.list_x2((1.0, 2.0, 4.0))
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
ValueError: Can not convert Python container of type tuple
```

## 2.2.2 Reversing a tuple of bytes in C++

Here is another example, suppose that we have a function to to reverse a tuple of bytes in C++:

```
/** Returns a new vector reversed. */
template<typename T>
static std::vector<T>
reverse_vector(const std::vector<T> &input){
    std::vector<T> output;
    for (size_t i = input.size(); i-- > 0;) {
        output.push_back(input[i]);
    }
    return output;
}
```

Here is the extension code that call this:

```
/** Reverse a tuple of bytes in C++. */
static PyObject *
tuple_reverse(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<std::string> vec;
    if (!py_tuple_to_cpp_std_vector(arg, vec)) {
        return cpp_std_vector_to_py_tuple(reverse_vector(vec));
    }
}
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

    return NULL;
}

```

Once again the declaration `std::vector<std::string> vec;` ensures that the correct instantiations of conversion functions are called.

When the extension is built it can be used like this:

```

>>> import cPyCppContainers
>>> cPyCppContainers.tuple_reverse((b'ABC', b'XYZ'))
(b'XYZ', b'ABC')

```

## 2.2.3 Incrementing dict values in C++

Here is an example of taking a Python dict of `[bytes, int]` and creating a new dict with the values increased by one. The C++ code in the extension is this:

```

/** Creates a new dict[bytes, int] with the values incremented by 1 in C++ */
static PyObject *
dict_inc(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::unordered_map<std::string, long> dict;
    /* Copy the Python structure to the C++ one. */
    if (!py_dict_to_cpp_std_unordered_map(arg, dict)) {
        /* Increment. */
        for(auto &key_value: dict) {
            key_value.second += 1;
        }
        /* Copy the C++ structure to a new Python dict. */
        return cpp_std_unordered_map_to_py_dict(dict);
    }
    return NULL;
}

```

Once the extension is built this can be used thus:

```

>>> import cPyCppContainers
>>> cPyCppContainers.dict_inc({b'A' : 65, b'Z' : 90})
{b'Z': 91, b'A': 66}

```

There are several other examples in `src/ext/cPyCppContainers.cpp` with tests in `tests/unit/test_cPyCppContainers.py`.

## 2.3 Testing

### 2.3.1 Testing With C++

#### Debug Build

Building the C++ code and running with `main()` will execute all functional tests when built as a debug build. This takes a couple of minutes or so.

[illegible]

## Release Build

With a release build this will run the performance tests as well. This can require 10Gb of memory and can take 20 minutes or so.

[illegible]



## 2.3.2 Testing With Python

### Unit Tests

Running the basic unit tests on the `cPyCppContainers` extension that exercises all the code:

```
$ pytest tests/
```

This takes two or three seconds.

### Extra Tests

There are a couple of options that can be added:

- `--runslow` will run slow tests including performance test. Use the `-s` option to obtain the performance output.
- `--pymemtrace` will run memory tracing tests. This requires `pymemtrace` to be installed.

For the full set of tests use:

```
$ pytest tests/ -vs --runslow --pymemtrace
```

This can take around 30 minutes to complete.

## 2.4 Documentation

To create the documentation with `Sphinx` or `doxygen`.

### 2.4.1 Sphinx

To build the HTML and PDF documentation from the project directory:

```
$ cd docs/sphinx
$ make html latexpdf
$ open build/html/index.html
$ open build/latex/PythonCppContainers.pdf
```

### 2.4.2 Doxygen

To build the HTML Doxygen documentation from the project directory:

```
$ cd docs
$ doxygen PythonCppContainers.dox
$ open doxygen/html/index.html
```



## 3.1 Include File and Namespace

```
#include "python_convert.h"
```

All these APIs are in the namespace `Python_Cpp_Containers`.

## 3.2 Python Containers to C++

### 3.2.1 Error Indication

All of the conversion functions from Python to C++ return an integer which is zero on success, non-zero otherwise. Reasons for failure can be:

- The `PyObject *` is not the expected Python container, for example passing a Python tuple when a list is expected.
- A member of the Python container can not be converted to C++ type `<T>`.

In the error case a `PyErr_...` will be set.

### 3.2.2 Python tuple to `std::vector` or `std::list`

#### API

```
template<typename T>
int
py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::vector<T> &container);

template<typename T>
int
py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::list<T> &container);
```

## Arguments

Argument op	Argument container	Return value
A Python tuple containing values convertible to type <T>.	The <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> to write to.	0 on success, non-zero on failure in which case the container will be empty. The causes of failure can be; op is not a tuple or a member of the op can not be converted to type <T>.

## Example

Process a tuple of Python float:

```
void tuple_float_to_cpp(PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<double> vec;
    if (! py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(arg, vec)) {
        // Handle error...
    }
    // Use vec...
}
```

### 3.2.3 Python list to std::vector or std::list

#### API

```
template<typename T>
int
py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::vector<T> &container);

template<typename T>
int
py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::list<T> &container);
```

## Arguments

Argument op	Argument container	Return value
A Python list containing values convertible to type <T>.	The <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> to write to.	0 on success, non-zero on failure in which case the container will be empty. The causes of failure can be; op is not a list or a member of the op can not be converted to type <T>.

## Example

Process a list of Python float:

```

void list_float_to_cpp(PyObject *arg) {
    std::list<double> list;
    if (! py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(arg, list)) {
        // Handle error...
    }
    // Use vec...
}

```

## 3.2.4 Python set to std::unordered\_set

### API

```

template<typename T>
int
py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &container);

```

### Arguments

Argument op	Argument container	Return value
A Python set containing values convertible to type <T>.	The std::unordered_set to write to.	0 on success, non-zero on failure.

## Example

Process a set of Python float:

```

void set_float_to_cpp(PyObject *arg) {
    std::unordered_set<double> set;
    if (! py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(arg, set)) {
        // Handle error...
    }
    // Use set...
}

```

## 3.2.5 Python frozenset to std::unordered\_set

### API

```

template<typename T>
int
py_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &container);

```

## Arguments

Argument op	Argument container	Return value
A Python frozenset containing values convertible to type <T>.	The std::unordered_set to write to.	0 on success, non-zero on failure.

## Example

Process a frozenset of Python float:

```
void frozenset_float_to_cpp(PyObject *arg) {
    std::unordered_set<double> frozenset;
    if (! py_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(arg, frozenset)) {
        // Handle error...
    }
    // Use frozenset...
}
```

### 3.2.6 Python dict to std::unordered\_map or std::map

#### API

```
template<typename K, typename V>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(PyObject *op, std::unordered_map<K, V> &container);

template<typename K, typename V>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(PyObject *op, std::map<K, V> &container);
```

## Arguments

Argument op	Argument container	Return value
A Python dict containing keys convertible to type <K> and values convertible to type <V>.	The std::unordered_map or std::map to write to.	0 on success, non-zero on failure.

## Example

Process a dict of Python [int, float]:

```
void dict_int_float_to_cpp(PyObject *arg) {
    std::unordered_map<long, double> map;
    if (! py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(arg, map)) {
        // Handle error...
    }
    // Use map...
}
```

## 3.3 C++ Containers to Python

### 3.3.1 Error Indication

All of the conversion functions from C++ to Python return an `PyObject *`. If this is non-NULL it is a *new reference* and it is the responsibility of the caller to dispose of it.

On failure these functions will return NULL. Reasons for failure can be:

- The new Python container can not be created with the CPython API, perhaps for memory reasons.
- A C++ object can not be converted to a Python object. I can not imagine how this would be the case.
- The converted C++ object can not be inserted into the Python container. I can not imagine how this would be the case.

In the failure case a `PyErr_...` will be set.

### 3.3.2 C++ `std::vector` or `std::list` to Python tuple

#### API

To convert to a Python tuple:

```
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::vector<T> &container);

template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::list<T> &container);
```

#### Arguments

Argument container	Return value
A <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> of type <code>&lt;T&gt;</code> convertible to an appropriate Python type.	The new Python container, NULL on failure in which case a <code>PyErr</code> will be set.

#### Example

Create a tuple of Python float:

```
PyObject *vector_double_to_tuple() {
    std::vector<double> vec;
    // Populate vec
    // ...
    return cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(vec);
}
```

### 3.3.3 C++ `std::vector` or `std::list` to Python list

#### API

To convert to a Python list:

```
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(const std::vector<T> &container);

template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(const std::list<T> &container);
```

#### Arguments

Argument container	Return value
A <code>std::vector</code> or <code>std::list</code> of type <code>&lt;T&gt;</code> convertible to an appropriate Python type.	The new Python container, <code>NULL</code> on failure in which case a <code>PyErr</code> will be set.

#### Example

Create a list of Python float:

```
PyObject *vector_double_to_list() {
    std::vector<double> vec;
    // Populate vec
    // ...
    return cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(vec);
}
```

### 3.3.4 C++ `std::unordered_set` to Python set

#### API

```
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set(const std::unordered_set<T> &container);
```



## Arguments

Argument container	Return value
A <code>std::unordered_set</code> of type <code>&lt;T&gt;</code> convertible to an appropriate Python type.	The new Python container, <code>NULL</code> on failure in which case a <code>PyErr</code> will be set.

## Example

Create a set of Python float:

```
PyObject *vector_double_to_list() {
    std::unordered_set<double> set;
    // Populate set
    // ...
    return cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set(set);
}
```

### 3.3.5 C++ `std::unordered_set` to Python `frozenset`

## API

```
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_frozenset(const std::unordered_set<T> &container);
```

## Arguments

Argument container	Return value
A <code>std::unordered_set</code> of type <code>&lt;T&gt;</code> convertible to an appropriate Python type.	The new Python container, <code>NULL</code> on failure in which case a <code>PyErr</code> will be set.

## Example

Create a frozenset of Python float:

```
PyObject *vector_double_to_list() {
    std::unordered_set<double> set;
    // Populate set
    // ...
    return cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_frozenset(set);
}
```

### 3.3.6 C++ `std::unordered_map` or `std::map` to a Python dict

#### API

```
template<typename K, typename V>
PyObject *
cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(const std::unordered_map<K, V> &container);

template<typename K, typename V>
PyObject *
cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(const std::map<K, V> &container);
```

#### Arguments

Argument container	Return value
A <code>std::unordered_map</code> or <code>std::map</code> of type <code>&lt;K, V&gt;</code> convertible to appropriate Python types.	The new Python container, NULL on failure in which case a <code>PyErr</code> will be set.

#### Example

Create a dict of Python [int, float]:

```
PyObject *map_double_to_list() {
    std::unordered_map<long, double> map;
    // Populate map
    // ...
    return cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(map);
}
```

## USER DEFINED TYPES

### Contents

- *User Defined Types in a C Extension*
  - *The C++ Class Declared in the File `cUserDefined.h`*
  - *The Python Equivalent in the File `cUserDefined.cpp`*
  - *Adding Conversion Code in `cUserDefined.cpp`*
  - *Template Specialisation Declarations in `cUserDefined.h`*
  - *Template Specialisation Definitions in `cUserDefined.cpp`*
  - *Using the Conversion Functions in C++*
  - *Example of Round-trip Conversion*
  - *Supporting `dict[int, cUserDefined.Custom]`*
- *User Defined Types From Pure Python Types*

This shows how to support conversion of containers of user defined types between Python and C++. This is probably best done by example.

There are several steps:

- Have the definitions of both the C++ and CPython equivalent objects for two way conversion. This only has to be done once regardless of how many containers are to be supported.
- Define the functions to check a Python object and the two way conversion functions between CPython and C++ objects. This only has to be done once regardless of how many containers are to be supported.
- For each container conversion declare the template specialisation and definition. These will be one-liner calls to this project's generic functions.

## 4.1 User Defined Types in a C Extension

This example will demonstrate supporting the conversion of `std::vector`s of a user defined C++ class with a list of Python equivalents.

### 4.1.1 The C++ Class Declared in the File `cUserDefined.h`

Here is the user defined C++ class:

```
#include <string>

class CppCustomObject {
public:
    CppCustomObject(
        const std::string &first,
        const std::string &last,
        long number) : m_first(first), m_last(last), m_number(number) {}
    // Accessors
    const std::string &first() const { return m_first; }
    const std::string &last() const { return m_last; }
    long number() const { return m_number; }
    std::string name() { return m_first + " " + m_last; }
    // Other methods here...
private:
    std::string m_first;
    std::string m_last;
    long m_number;
};
```

### 4.1.2 The Python Equivalent in the File `cUserDefined.cpp`

This is based on [the example in the Python documentation](#) This is varied slightly for the example in that:

- The module name is `cUserDefined` (rather than `custom` in the original example).
- The code for the C extension is in `cUserDefined.cpp`.

In this example a `CustomObject` class is created in `cUserDefined.cpp`:

```
typedef struct {
    PyObject_HEAD
    PyObject *first; /* first name */
    PyObject *last;  /* last name */
    int number;
} CustomObject;
```

This also has a method `name()` that combines the first and last names. Once the module is built it can be used in Python like this:

```
>>> import cUserDefined
>>> custom_object = cUserDefined.Custom('François', 'Truffaut', 21468)
>>> custom_object.name()
'François Truffaut'
```

### 4.1.3 Adding Conversion Code in `cUserDefined.cpp`

In the Python C extension add the verification and conversion code between the Python `CustomObject` and the C++ `CppCustomObject`.

First the include files:

```
#include "cUserDefined.h"
#include "cpy/python_object_convert.h"
```

#### Checking the Python Type

The the code to verify the Python type and its contents.

```
int py_custom_object_check(PyObject *op) {
    if (Py_TYPE(op) != &CustomType) {
        return 0;
    }
    CustomObject *p = (CustomObject *) op;
    if (!Python_Cpp_Containers::py_unicode_check(p->first)) {
        return 0;
    }
    if (!Python_Cpp_Containers::py_unicode_check(p->last)) {
        return 0;
    }
    return 1;
}
```

#### From C++ to Python

The code to convert from a C++ `CppCustomObject` to a Python `CustomObject`:

```
PyObject *
cpp_custom_object_to_py_custom_object(const CppCustomObject &obj) {
    CustomObject *op = (CustomObject *) Custom_new(&CustomType, NULL, NULL);
    if (op) {
        op->first = Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_string_to_py_unicode(obj.first());
        op->last = Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_string_to_py_unicode(obj.last());
        op->number = obj.number();
    }
    return (PyObject *) op;
}
```

## From Python to C++

The code to convert from a Python CustomObject to a C++ CppCustomObject:

```
CppCustomObject py_custom_object_to_cpp_custom_object(PyObject *op) {  
    // Check type, could throw here.  
    assert(py_custom_object_check(op));  
    CustomObject *p = (CustomObject *) op;  
    return CppCustomObject(  
        Python_Cpp_Containers::py_unicode_to_cpp_string(p->first),  
        Python_Cpp_Containers::py_unicode_to_cpp_string(p->last),  
        p->number  
    );  
}
```

### 4.1.4 Template Specialisation Declarations in cUserDefined.h

In the file, cUserDefined.h, include this project's header file and then in this project's namespace declare the specialisations to convert to and from a std::vector of these objects:

```
#include "cpy/python_convert.h"
```

## From C++ to Python

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {  
    // Specialised declaration  
    // C++ to Python  
    template<>  
    PyObject *  
    cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list<CppCustomObject>(  
        const std::vector<CppCustomObject> &container  
    );  
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

## From Python to C++

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {  
    // Specialised declaration  
    // Python to C++  
    template<>  
    int  
    py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<CppCustomObject>(  
        PyObject *op, std::vector<CppCustomObject> &container  
    );  
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

### 4.1.5 Template Specialisation Definitions in cUserDefined.cpp

In the file `cUserDefined.cpp` implement the specialisations, these are just one-liners calling the generic conversion code.

#### From C++ to Python

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {
    // Specialised implementations
    template<
    PyObject *
    cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list<CppCustomObject>(
        const std::vector<CppCustomObject> &container
    ) {
        return generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list<
            CppCustomObject, &cpp_custom_object_to_py_custom_object
        >(container);
    }
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

#### From Python to C++

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {
    template<
    int
    py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<CppCustomObject>(
        PyObject *op, std::vector<CppCustomObject> &container
    ) {
        return generic_py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like<
            CppCustomObject,
            &py_custom_object_check,
            &py_custom_object_to_cpp_custom_object
        >(op, container);
    }
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

Now you have all the code needed to convert sequences of these objects between C++ and Python.

### 4.1.6 Using the Conversion Functions in C++

#### From C++ to Python

Here is an example of converting a C++ `std::vector<CppCustomObject>` to a Python list of `CustomObject`:

```
std::vector<CppCustomObject> vec_cpp_custom_object;
// Populate the C++ vector
// ...
// Convert to a new Python list of Python CustomObject. This will return NULL on failure.
return Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(vec_cpp_custom_object);
```

## From Python to C++

Here is an example of converting a Python list of CustomObject to a C++ `std::vector<CppCustomObject>`:

```
// op is a PyObject* which is a list of Python CustomObject
// Convert to C++
std::vector<CppCustomObject> vec_cpp_custom_object;
// Populate this C++ vector from the Python list
if (! Python_Cpp_Containers::py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(op, vec_cpp_custom_object)) {
    // Converted successfully, use the vec_cpp_custom_object
    // ...
} else {
    // Handle error condition
    // ...
}
```

### 4.1.7 Example of Round-trip Conversion

Here is a complete example that takes a list of Python CustomObject and creates a list of C++ CppCustomObject with the first name and last name reversed in C++. Then it converts that C++ `std::vector` of CppCustomObject back to a new list of Python CustomObject.

In `cUserDefined.cpp`:

```
static PyObject *
reverse_list_names(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<CppCustomObject> input;
    // Convert to a C++ vector
    if (! Python_Cpp_Containers::py_list_to_cpp_std_list_like(arg, input)) {
        // Create a new C++ vector with names reversed.
        std::vector<CppCustomObject> output;
        for (const auto &object: input) {
            // Note: reversing names.
            output.emplace_back(
                CppCustomObject(object.last(), object.first(), object.number())
            );
        }
        // Convert to a new Python list.
        return Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(output);
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

Add this function to the module, in `cUserDefined.cpp`:

```
// Module functions
static PyMethodDef cUserDefinedMethods[] = {
    {"reverse_list_names", reverse_list_names, METH_O,
     "Take a list of cUserDefined.Custom objects"
     " and return a new list with the name reversed."},
    {NULL, NULL, 0, NULL} /* Sentinel */
};
```

Build the `cUserDefined` module and try it out:



```
>>> import cUserDefined
>>> list_of_names = [cUserDefined.Custom('First', 'Last', 21), cUserDefined.Custom(
↳ 'François', 'Truffaut', 21468)]
>>> list_of_names
[<cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x103d43450>, <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x103f520f0>
↳]
>>> [v.name() for v in list_of_names]
['First Last', 'François Truffaut']
```

Now reverse the names in C++, the objects returned are new objects (compare with above):

```
>>> result = cUserDefined.reverse_list_names(list_of_names)
>>> result
[<cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x103d43720>, <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x103f52e40>
↳]
```

And the names are reversed:

```
>>> [v.name() for v in result]
['Last First', 'Truffaut François']
```

#### 4.1.8 Supporting dict[int, cUserDefined.Custom]

It takes very little additional work to support conversion between a C++ `std::map<long, CppCustomObject>` to a Python `dict[int, cUserDefined.Custom]`.

First add two specialised declarations in `cUserDefined.h`:

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {
    // Specialised declarations
    // C++ to Python
    template<>
    PyObject *
    cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<std::map, long, CppCustomObject>(
        const std::map<long, CppCustomObject> &map
    );

    // Python to C++
    template<>
    int
    py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<std::map, long, CppCustomObject>(
        PyObject* op, std::map<long, CppCustomObject> &map
    );
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

And their definitions in `cUserDefined.cpp`. Again these are just one-liners to this project's generic functions (expanded for clarity).

## From C++ to Python

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {
    // Specialised definitions
    // C++ to Python
    template<>
    PyObject *
    cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<std::map, long, CppCustomObject>(
        const std::map<long, CppCustomObject> &map
    ) {
        return generic_cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<
            std::map,
            long,
            CppCustomObject,
            &cpp_long_to_py_long,
            &cpp_custom_object_to_py_custom_object
        >(map);
    }
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

## From Python to C++

```
namespace Python_Cpp_Containers {
    // Python to C++
    template<>
    int
    py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<std::map, long, CppCustomObject>(
        PyObject* op, std::map<long, CppCustomObject> &map
    ) {
        return generic_py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<
            std::map,
            long,
            CppCustomObject,
            &py_long_check,
            &py_custom_object_check,
            &py_long_to_cpp_long,
            &py_custom_object_to_cpp_custom_object
        >(op, map);
    }
} // namespace Python_Cpp_Containers
```

Here is an example of using both of them in a similar way to above by creating a new dict with the names reversed in C++.

In `cUserDefined.cpp`:

```
static PyObject *
reverse_dict_names(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    std::map<long, CppCustomObject> input;
    if (! Python_Cpp_Containers::py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(arg, input)) {
        std::map<long, CppCustomObject> output;
        for (const auto &iter: input) {
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

        output.emplace(
            std::make_pair(
                iter.first,
                CppCustomObject(
                    iter.second.last(), iter.second.first(), iter.second.number()
                )
            )
        );
    }
    return Python_Cpp_Containers::cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(output);
}
return NULL;
}

```

Add this in to the module methods so they look like this:

```

// Module functions
static PyMethodDef cUserDefinedMethods[] = {
    {"reverse_list_names", reverse_list_names, METH_O,
     "Take a list of cUserDefined.Custom objects"
     " and return a new list with the name reversed."},
    {"reverse_dict_names", reverse_dict_names, METH_O,
     "Take a dict of [int, cUserDefined.Custom] objects"
     " and return a new dict with the name reversed."},
    {NULL, NULL, 0, NULL} /* Sentinel */
};

```

Rebuild the module and try it:

```

>>> import cUserDefined
>>> d = {
    0 : cUserDefined.Custom('First', 'Last', 17953),
    1 : cUserDefined.Custom('François', 'Truffaut', 21468),
}
>>> d
{0: <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x10e0ec6f0>, 1: <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x10e0ec450>}

```

Create a new dict with the names reversed in C++ code. The IDs show that we have new objects:

```

>>> e = cUserDefined.reverse_dict_names(d)
>>> e
{0: <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x10e2fb4e0>, 1: <cUserDefined.Custom object at 0x10e2fb1b0>}

```

Check that the names have been reversed:

```

>>> {k: v.name() for k, v in e.items()}
{0: 'Last First', 1: 'Truffaut François'}

```

## 4.2 User Defined Types From Pure Python Types

---

**Todo:** Add in version 0.4.0

---

## DESIGN

This library uses C++ templates but not in a particularly complex way. There are six essential C++ templates and a Python script is used to to auto-generate the partial template specialisations and their instantiations.

As described in the previous chapter new types can be added pretty easily, alternatively the code generator can be manipulated to do this.

### 5.1 Source Files `python_object_convert.h` and `python_object_convert.cpp`

These are hand written files that contains implementations of functions to convert Python types to and from their C++ equivalent. There are three functions to each type:

- Convert a C++ value to a new Python object.
- Convert a Python object to a C++ value.
- Check that a Python object is of the expected type.

For example here are the three functions for Python `int` and C++ `long`:

```
PyObject *cpp_long_to_py_long(const long &l);  
long py_long_to_cpp_long(PyObject *op);  
int py_long_check(PyObject *op);
```

The implementations of these are just one line wrappers around functions or macros in the Python C API.

### 5.2 `python_container_convert.h` and `python_container_convert.cpp`

This is a hand written file that contains implementations of functions to create and access Python unary containers (`list`, `tuple`, `set`). There are a number off functions to each container, for example a `list`:

- Check that a Python object is of the expected type.
- Create a new Python container.
- Find the length of a Python container.
- Set a value in a Python container.
- Get a value from a Python container.

For example here are the three functions for Python lists:

```
int py_list_check(PyObject *op);
PyObject *py_list_new(size_t len);
Py_ssize_t py_list_len(PyObject *list_p);
int py_list_set(PyObject *list_p, size_t pos, PyObject *op);
PyObject *py_list_get(PyObject *list_p, size_t pos);
```

The implementations of these are just one line wrappers around functions or macros in the Python C API.

## 5.3 python\_convert.h

This is a hand written file that contains templates that convert containers to and fro between Python and C++. It includes `python_object_convert.h` and `python_container_convert.h`, declares the templates then includes `auto_py_convert_internal.h`.

## 5.4 Python list and tuple

There are several levels of specialisation here as we want to support conversion from Python `list` and `tuple` to and from `std::vector` and `std::list`.

These functions are described in detail and, for brevity, the functions that handle sets and dicts that follow the same pattern are describe in less detail.

### 5.4.1 Conversion From C++ to Python

This provides conversion From a `std::vector<T>` or a `std::list<T>` to a Python List or Tuple. Firstly there is a highly generic handwritten function in `python_convert.h`:

```
template<
    template<typename ...> class ListLike,
    typename T,
    PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &),
    PyObject *(*PyUnaryContainer_New)(size_t),
    int(*PyUnaryContainer_Set)(PyObject *, size_t, PyObject *)>
PyObject *
very_generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary(const ListLike<T> &list_like) {
    // Handwritten code...
}
```

Table 1: Convert a `std::vector` or `std::list` to a Python tuple or list.

Type	Description
ListLike	The C++ container, for example a <code>std::vector</code> or a <code>std::list</code> .
typename T	The C++ type of each object in the container.
PyObject *(*Convert)(const T &)	A pointer to a function that takes a type T and returns a new Python PyObject*.
PyObject *(*PyUnary_New)(size_t)	A pointer to a function that returns a new Python container of the given length.
int(*PyUnary_Set)(PyObject *, size_t, PyObject *)>	Sets a Python object in the Python container at the given position.

This template is then partially specified four ways for both Python tuple and list from both C++ `std::vector<T>` and `std::list<T>`. This is handwritten code in `python_convert.h` but they are, effectively, just one-liners:

```
// C++ std::vector<T> to a Python tuple
template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::vector<T> &container) {
    return very_generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary<
        std::vector, T, ConvertCppToPy, &py_tuple_new, &py_tuple_set
    >(container);
}

// C++ std::list<T> to a Python tuple
template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::list<T> &container) {
    return very_generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary<
        std::list, T, ConvertCppToPy, &py_tuple_new, &py_tuple_set
    >(container);
}

// C++ std::vector<T> to a Python list
template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(const std::vector<T> &container) {
    return very_generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary<
        std::vector, T, ConvertCppToPy, &py_list_new, &py_list_set
    >(container);
}

// C++ std::list<T> to a Python list
template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_list(const std::list<T> &container) {
    return very_generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_unary<
        std::list, T, ConvertCppToPy, &py_list_new, &py_list_set
    >(container);
}
```

Then these are specialised by auto-generated code in `auto_py_convert_internal.h` for the specific types `bool`,

long, double, std::vector<char> and std::string.

For brevity only the declarations and definitions are shown for the type long. For example to create a Python tuple from a C++ std::vector the base declaration for any type T is:

```
// Base declaration
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::vector<T> &container);
```

And the declaration for type long in auto\_py\_convert\_internal.h is:

```
// Instantiations
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>(const std::vector<long> &container);
```

The definitions are auto-generated in auto\_py\_convert\_internal.cpp, for example for C++ type long. These are just one-liners:

```
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>(const std::vector<long> &container) {
    return generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>, &cpp_long_to_py_long>(container);
}
```

That is for std::vector, for std::list the declarations and definitions are very similar. Firstly in auto\_py\_convert\_internal.h, again just showing for long:

```
// Base declaration
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple(const std::list<T> &container);

// Instantiations
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>(const std::list<long> &container);

// And so on...
```

And the declarations auto-generated in auto\_py\_convert\_internal.cpp:

```
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>(const std::list<long> &container) {
    return generic_cpp_std_list_like_to_py_tuple<long>, &cpp_long_to_py_long>(container);
}

// And so on...
```



## 5.4.2 Conversion From Python to C++

This covers conversion From a Python list or tuple to a C++ `std::vector<T>` or `std::list<T>`. It follows a similar pattern as described above.

Firstly there is a highly generic handwritten function in `python_convert.h`:

```
template<
    template<typename ...> class ListLike,
    typename T,
    int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *),
    T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject *),
    int(*PyUnaryContainer_Check)(PyObject *),
    Py_ssize_t(*PyUnaryContainer_Size)(PyObject *),
    PyObject *(*PyUnaryContainer_Get)(PyObject *, size_t)>
int very_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, ListLike<T> &list_like) {
    // Handwritten code
}
```

Template parameters are:

Table 2: Template to convert a `std::vector` or `std::list` to a Python tuple or list.

Type	Description
ListLike	The C++ container, for example a <code>std::vector</code> or a <code>std::list</code> .
typename T	The C++ type of the object.
int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *)	A pointer to a function returns true if Python object can be converted to a C++ object of type T.
T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject *)	A pointer to a function to convert a Python object to a C++ object of type T.
int(*PyUnaryContainer_Check)(PyObject *)	A pointer to a function returns true if the Python container is of the relevant type (list or tuple in this case).
Py_ssize_t(*PyUnaryContainer_Size)(PyObject *)	A pointer to a function that returns the size of the Python container.
PyObject *(*PyUnaryContainer_Get)(PyObject *, size_t)	Gets a Python object in the Python container at the given position.

Parameters are:

Table 3: Function to convert a `std::vector` or `std::list` to a Python tuple or list.

Parameter	Description
op	The Python container.
list_like	The C++ container. This will be empty on failure.

This returns zero on success, non-zero on failure. Failure reasons can be:

- The Python object is not the expected container type.
- A Python object in the container is NULL.
- A Python object in the container can not be converted to a C++ type T.

This template is then partially specified with handwritten code. Here is the handwritten code in `python_convert.h` for Python tuple to a C++ `std::vector` or `std::list`. They are basically one-liners, the interesting variation is for the `std::vector` where we exploit `.reserve()` to reduce reallocations.

```
template<typename T, int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *), T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject_
↪*)>
int generic_py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::vector<T> &container) {
    // Reserve the vector, but only if it is a tuple. If not then ignore it as
    // very_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like() will error
    if (py_tuple_check(op)) {
        container.reserve(py_tuple_len(op));
    }
    return very_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like<
        std::vector, T, PyObject_Check, PyObject_Convert,
        &py_tuple_check, &py_tuple_len, &py_tuple_get
    >(op, container);
}

template<typename T, int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *), T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject_
↪*)>
int generic_py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::list<T> &container) {
    return very_generic_py_unary_to_cpp_std_list_like<
        std::list, T, PyObject_Check, PyObject_Convert,
        &py_tuple_check, &py_tuple_len, &py_tuple_get
    >(op, container);
}
```

The declarations for Python tuple to a C++ `std::vector` are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`. Here shown just for long:

```
// Base declaration
template<typename T>
int
py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like(PyObject *op, std::vector<T> &container);

// Instantiations
template <>
int
py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like<long>(PyObject *op, std::vector<long> &container);
```

The definitions are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`, here shown just for long:

```
template <>
int
py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like<long>(PyObject *op, std::list<long> &container) {
    return generic_py_tuple_to_cpp_std_list_like<
        long, &py_long_check, &py_long_to_cpp_long
    >(op, container);
}
```

## 5.5 Python set and frozenset

Here is the handwritten code in `python_convert.h` supports the conversion too and from a Python set or frozenset to and from a C++ `std::unordered_set`.

### 5.5.1 Conversion From C++ to Python

```
template<
    typename T,
    PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &),
    PyObject *(*PyContainer_New)(PyObject *)
>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set_or_frozenset(const std::unordered_set<T> &set) {
    // Handwritten
}
```

Here is the handwritten specialisations in `python_convert.h` supports the conversion too and from a Python set and frozenset. They are basically one-liners.

```
template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set(const std::unordered_set<T> &set) {
    return generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set_or_frozenset<
        T, ConvertCppToPy, &PySet_New
    >(set);
}

template<typename T, PyObject *(*ConvertCppToPy)(const T &)>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_frozenset(const std::unordered_set<T> &set) {
    return generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set_or_frozenset<
        T, ConvertCppToPy, &PyFrozenSet_New
    >(set);
}
```

Then declarations are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`, here shown just for a Python set containing long:

```
// Base declaration
template<typename T>
PyObject *
cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set(const std::unordered_set<T> &container);

// Instantiations
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set<long>(const std::unordered_set<long> &container);

// And so on..
```

The definitions are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`, here shown just for a Python set containing long:

```

template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set<long>(const std::unordered_set<long> &container) {
    return generic_cpp_std_unordered_set_to_py_set<long, &cpp_long_to_py_long>
        (container);
}

// And so on..

```

## 5.5.2 Conversion From Python to C++

```

template<
    typename T,
    int (*PyContainer_Check)(PyObject *),
    int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *),
    T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject *)
>
int generic_py_set_or_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(
    PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &set
) {
    // Handwritten
}

```

```

template<typename T, int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *), T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject_
    *)>
int generic_py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &set) {
    return generic_py_set_or_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set<
        T, &py_set_check, PyObject_Check, PyObject_Convert
    >(op, set);
}

template<typename T, int (*PyObject_Check)(PyObject *), T (*PyObject_Convert)(PyObject_
    *)>
int generic_py_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &
    set) {
    return generic_py_set_or_frozenset_to_cpp_std_unordered_set<
        T, &py_frozenset_check, PyObject_Check, PyObject_Convert
    >(op, set);
}

```

The declarations are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`, here shown just for a Python set containing long:

```

// Base declaration
template<typename T>
int
py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set(
    PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<T> &container
);

// Instantiations

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

template <>
int
py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set<long>(
    PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<long> &container
);

// And so on..

```

The definitions are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`, here shown just for a Python set containing long:

```

template <>
int
py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set<long>(
    PyObject *op, std::unordered_set<long> &container
) {
    return generic_py_set_to_cpp_std_unordered_set<
        long, &py_long_check, &py_long_to_cpp_long
    >(op, container);
}

// And so on..

```

## 5.6 Python dict

This supports the two-way conversion from a Python dict to and from a C++ `std::unordered_map` or a `std::map`.

### 5.6.1 Conversion From C++ to Python

A handwritten function in `python_convert.h` provides the basis for specialisation:

```

template<
    template<typename ...> class Map,
    typename K,
    typename V,
    PyObject *(*Convert_K)(const K &),
    PyObject *(*Convert_V)(const V &)
>
PyObject *
generic_cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(const Map<K, V> &map) {
    // Handwritten function.
}

```

The specialised declarations are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`, here shown just for a Python dict from a `std::unordered_map` or a `std::map` containing long, long:

```

// Base declaration
template<template<typename ...> class Map, typename K, typename V>
PyObject *

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict(const Map<K, V> &map);

// Instantiations
template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<std::unordered_map, long, long>(
    const std::unordered_map<long, long> &map
);

template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<std::map, long, long>(
    const std::map<long, long> &map
);

```

The definitions are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`, here shown just for a Python dict from a `std::unordered_map` containing `long`, `long`:

```

template <>
PyObject *
cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<std::unordered_map, long, long>(
    const std::unordered_map<long, long> &map
) {
    return generic_cpp_std_map_like_to_py_dict<
        std::unordered_map,
        long, long,
        &cpp_long_to_py_long, &cpp_long_to_py_long
    >(map);
}

```

## 5.6.2 Conversion From Python to C++

The reverse, converting from Python to C++, is accomplished by a single handwritten template in `python_convert.h`:

```

template<
    template<typename ...> class Map,
    typename K,
    typename V,
    int (*Check_K)(PyObject *),
    int (*Check_V)(PyObject *),
    K (*Convert_K)(PyObject *),
    V (*Convert_V)(PyObject *)
>
int generic_py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(PyObject *dict, Map<K, V> &map) {
    // Handwritten function.
}

```

The declarations are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.h`, here shown just for a Python dict from a `std::unordered_map` or `std::map` containing `long`, `long`:

```

// Base declaration
template<template<typename ...> class Map, typename K, typename V>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like(PyObject *op, Map<K, V> &map);

// Instantiations
template <>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<std::unordered_map, long, long>(
    PyObject* op, std::unordered_map<long, long> &map
);

template <>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<std::map, long, long>(
    PyObject* op, std::map<long, long> &map
);

```

The definitions are auto-generated in `auto_py_convert_internal.cpp`, here shown just for a Python dict from a `std::unordered_map` containing `long, long`:

```

template <>
int
py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<std::unordered_map, long, long>(
    PyObject* op, std::unordered_map<long, long> &map
) {
    return generic_py_dict_to_cpp_std_map_like<
        std::unordered_map,
        long, long,
        &py_long_check, &py_long_check,
        &py_long_to_cpp_long, &py_long_to_cpp_long
    >(op, map);
}

```

## 5.7 Code Generation





## PERFORMANCE

Here are some benchmarks for converting Python containers to and from their C++ equivalents.

The C++ code was compiled with `-O3` and run on the following hardware:

Model Name:	MacBook Pro
Model Identifier:	MacBookPro15,2
Processor Name:	Intel Core i7
Processor Speed:	2.7 GHz
Number of Processors:	1
Total Number of Cores:	4
L2 Cache (per Core):	256 KB
L3 Cache:	8 MB
Hyper-Threading Technology:	Enabled
Memory:	16 GB
System Version:	macOS 10.14.6

### 6.1 C++ Performance Tests

These tests are in `src/cpy/tests/test_performance.h` and `src/cpy/tests/test_performance.cpp`. There are a number of macros `TEST_PERFORMANCE_*` there that control which tests are run. Running all tests takes about 900 seconds.

### 6.1.1 Conversion of Fundamental Types

These C++ functions test the cost of converting ints, floats and bytes objects between Python and C++. These test are executed if the macro `TEST_PERFORMANCE_FUNDAMENTAL_TYPES` is defined.

Operation	C++ to Python (μs)	Python to C++ (μs)	Notes
C++ bool <-> Python bool	0.0027	0.0016	The mean is around 400m/s
C++ long <-> Python int	0.0146	0.0046	The mean is around 50m/s. Converting C++ to Python is around x3 times the reverse.
C++ double <-> Python float	0.0086	0.0027	The mean is around 200m/s. Converting C++ to Python is around x3 times the reverse.
C++ <code>std::complex&lt;double&gt;</code> <-> Python <code>complex</code>	0.0122	0.0049	The mean is around 125m/s. Converting C++ to Python is around x2.5 times the reverse.

For a single C++ `std::vector<char>` to and from Python bytes of different lengths:

Length	C++ to Python (μs)	Python to C++ (μs)	Notes
2	0.0173	0.0047	
16	0.0169	0.0040	
128	0.0201	0.0641	
1024	0.0807	0.0671	Corresponds to about 14 Gb/s
8192	0.1317	0.1197	Corresponds to about 64 Gb/s
65536	1.567	1.551	Corresponds to about 41 Gb/s

Bytes conversion time from C++ to Python or the reverse takes asymptotically and roughly:  $t(\mu s) = 0.017 * \text{length} / 50,000$

For a single C++ `std::string` to and from Python `str` of different lengths:


Length	C++ to Python (μs)	Python to C++ (μs)	Notes
2	0.0309	0.0052	
16	0.0337	0.0045	
128	0.0301	0.0634	
1024	0.126	0.0667	Corresponds to about 8 to 15 Gb/s, Python to C++ being about twice as fast.
8192	0.435	0.122	Corresponds to about 20 to 65 Gb/s, Python to C++ being about thrice as fast.
65536	3.46	1.53	Corresponds to about 20 to 40 Gb/s, Python to C++ being about twice as fast.

String conversion time from C++ to Python or the reverse takes asymptotically and roughly:  $t(\mu s) = 0.015 * \text{length} / 24,000$ . This is about twice the time for bytes and `std::vector<char>`.

## 6.1.2 Python List to and from a C++ `std::vector<T>`

This as an extensive example of the methodology used for performance tests. Each container test is repeated 5 times and the min/mean/max/std. dev. is recorded. The min value is regarded as the most consistent one as other results may be affected by arbitrary context switching. The tests are run on containers of lengths up to 1m items.


For example here is the total time to convert a list of bool, int, float and complex Python values to C++ for various list lengths:



This time plot is not that informative apart from showing linear behaviour. More useful are *rate* plots that show the total time for the test divided by the container length. These rate plots have the following design features:

- For consistency a rate scale of  $\mu\text{s}/\text{item}$  is used.
- The extreme whiskers show the minimum and maximum test values.
- The box shows the mean time  $\pm$  the standard deviation, this is asymmetric as it is plotted on a log scale.
- The box will often extend beyond a minimum value where the minimum is close to the mean and the maximum large.
- The line shows the minimum time per object in  $\mu\text{s}$ .

Here is the rate of converting a list of bool, int, float and complex Python values to C++ for various list lengths:




These rate plots are used for the rest of this section.

### Lists of bool, int, float and complex

The rate plot is shown above, it shows that:

- int, float and complex take  $0.01 \mu\text{s}$  per object to convert.
- bool objects take around  $0.006 \mu\text{s}$  per object, roughly twice as fast.


And the reverse converting a list of bool, int, float and complex from C++ to Python:



This is broadly symmetric with the Python to C++ performance except that bool values are twice as quick.

## Lists of bytes

Another area of interest is the conversion of a list of `bytes` or `str` between Python and C++. In these tests a list of of `bytes` or `str` objects of lengths 2, 16, 128 and 1024 are used to convert from Python to C++.




This graph shows a characteristic rise in rate for larger list lengths of larger objects. This is most likely because of memory contention issues with the larger, up to 1GB, containers. This characteristic is observed on most of the following plots, particularly with containers of `bytes` and `str`.

In summary:

Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[2]	0.06	30	
bytes[16]	0.06	270	
bytes[128]	0.06	2,000	
bytes[1024]	0.15 to 0.4	2,500 to 6,800	

This is the inverse, converting a C++ `std::vector<std::vector<char>>` to a Python list of `bytes`:




Object	~Time per object ( $\mu$ s)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[2]	0.015 to 0.03	67 to 133	
bytes[16]	0.015 to 0.04	400 to 133	
bytes[128]	0.02 to 0.09	1,400 to 6,400	
bytes[1024]	0.1 to 0.6	1,600 to 10,000	

This shows that converting C++ to Python is about twice as fast as the other way around. This is in line with the performance of conversion of fundamental types described above.

Lists of `str`


Similarly for converting a a Python list of `str` to and from a C++ `std::vector<std::string>`. First Python  $\rightarrow$  C++:



Notably with small strings (2 and 16 long) are about eight times faster than for bytes. For larger strings this performance is very similar to Python bytes to a C++ `std::vector<std::vector<char>>`:

Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
str[2]	0.01	200	
str[16]	0.01	1600	
str[128]	0.07	1,800	
str[1024]	0.1 to 0.6	1,600 to 10,000	

And C++ -> Python:



Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
str[2]	0.03	70	
str[16]	0.03	500	
str[128]	0.03 to 0.1	1,300 to 4,000	
str[1024]	0.15 to 0.6	1,700 to 6,800	

Slightly slower than the twice the time for converting bytes especially for small strings this is about twice the time for converting bytes but otherwise very similar to Python bytes to a C++ `std::vector<std::vector<char>>`:

### 6.1.3 Python Tuple to and from a C++ `std::vector<T>`


This is near identical to the performance of a list for:

- The conversion of `bool`, `int`, `float` and `complex` for Python to C++ and C++ to Python.
- The conversion of `bytes` for Python to C++ and C++ to Python.
- The conversion of `str` for Python to C++ and C++ to Python.

## 6.1.4 Python Set to and from a C++ `std::unordered_set<T>`

### Set of `int`, `float` and `complex`

Here is the rate graph for converting a Python set to C++ `std::unordered_set<T>` for Python `int`, `float` and `complex` objects:




Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (µs)	list (µs)	Ratio	Notes
int	0.09	0.01	x9	
double	0.1	0.01	x10	
complex	0.1	0.01	x10	

The cost of insertion is  $O(N)$  for both list and set but due to the hashing needed for the set it is about 10x slower.

And the reverse, converting a C++ `std::unordered_set<T>` to a Python set to for Python `int`, `float` and `complex` objects:






The conversion and insertion of C++ to Python is significantly faster than from Python to C++. Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (µs)	list (µs)	Ratio	Notes
int	0.02	0.01	x2	
double	0.025	0.01	x2.5	
complex	0.04	0.01	x4	

## Set of bytes

Here is the rate graph for converting a Python set of bytes to C++ `std::unordered_set<std::vector<char>>`:




Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[16]	0.4	40	
bytes[128]	0.5	250	
bytes[1024]	1.0	1,000	

Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (μs)	list (μs)	Ratio	Notes
bytes[16]	0.4	0.06	x7	
bytes[128]	0.5	0.06	x8	
bytes[1024]	1.0	0.15 to 0.4	x2.5 to x7	

And the reverse, converting a C++ `std::unordered_set<std::vector<char>>` to a Python set of bytes:




Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[16]	0.05	320	
bytes[128]	0.1	1,280	
bytes[1024]	0.6	1,600	

Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (μs)	list (μs)	Ratio	Notes
bytes[16]	0.05	0.015 to 0.04	x3 to x1.25	
bytes[128]	0.1	0.02 to 0.09	x1 to x5	
bytes[1024]	0.6	0.1 to 0.6	x1 to x6	

## Set of str

Here is the rate graph for converting a Python set of str to C++ `std::unordered_set<std::string>`:




Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[16]	0.2	80	
bytes[128]	0.4	3000	
bytes[1024]	0.5 to 2.0	500 to 2,000	

Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (μs)	list (μs)	Ratio	Notes
bytes[16]	0.2	0.01	x20	
bytes[128]	0.4	0.07	x6	
bytes[1024]	0.5 to 2.0	0.1 to 0.6	~x5	

And the reverse, converting a C++ `std::unordered_set<std::string>` to a Python set of str:



Object	~Time per object (μs)	Rate Mb/s	Notes
bytes[16]	0.08	200	
bytes[128]	0.15	850	
bytes[1024]	0.8	1,300	

Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (μs)	list (μs)	Ratio	Notes
bytes[16]	0.08	0.03	x3	
bytes[128]	0.15	0.03	x5	
bytes[1024]	0.8	0.15	x5	

### 6.1.5 Python Dict to and from a C++ `std::unordered_map<K, V>`

Since dictionaries operate in much the same way as sets the performance is rather similar. For brevity the full results of dictionaries are not reproduced here, instead here is a summary of the performance of a dictionary compared to a set.

Object	Python to C++	C++ to Python	Notes
int, float, complex	Same as a set	Twice that of a set	
bytes	Slightly slower than a set	Twice that of a set	
str	Same as a set	Twice that of a set	

## 6.1.6 Summary

### Converting Individual Objects

- `bool`, `int`, `float`, `complex` from C++ to Python is around two to three times faster than from Python to C++.
- Converting `bytes` from C++ to Python is the same as from Python to C++. This is memory bound at around 50 Gb/s.
- With `str` then Python to C++ is about twice as fast as C++ to Python. With the former performance is twice as fast as `bytes`, for the latter it is broadly similar to `bytes` conversion.

### Converting Containers of Objects

- The performance of Python `lists` and `tuple` is the same.
- For Python `list` containers converting C++ to Python may be 2x faster in some cases compared to Python to C++.
- For Python `list` containing `bytes` and `str` objects are converted at a rate of 2 to 5 Gib/s, with some latency.
- Python `set` <-> C++ `std::unordered_set` and Python `dict` <-> C++ `std::unordered_map` conversion is typically x3 to x10 times slower than for `lists` and `tuples`.

## 6.2 Round-trip Python to C++ and back to Python

This uses some methods in the `cPyCppContainers` module that takes a Python container, converts it to a new C++ container and then converts that to a new Python container. Timing is done in the Python interpreter.

This template converts a Python list to C++ and back:

```
#include "cpy/python_convert.h"

using namespace Python_Cpp_Containers;

template<typename T>
static PyObject *
new_list(PyObject *arg) {
    std::vector<T> vec;
    if (!py_list_to_cpp_std_vector(arg, vec)) {
        return cpp_std_vector_to_py_list(vec);
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

Then the extension has the following instantiations for `bool`, `int`, `float`, `complex`, `bytes` and `str`:

```
static PyObject *
new_list_bool(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    return new_list<bool>(arg);
}

static PyObject *
new_list_float(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
    return new_list<double>(arg);
}

static PyObject *
new_list_int(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    return new_list<long>(arg);
}

static PyObject *
new_list_complex(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    return new_list<std::complex<double>>(arg);
}

static PyObject *
new_list_bytes(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    return new_list<std::vector<char>>(arg);
}


static PyObject *
new_list_str(PyObject *Py_UNUSED(module), PyObject *arg) {
    return new_list<std::string>(arg);
}
```

Similar code exists for Python sets and dicts of specific types. Since the tuple conversion C++ code is essentially identical to the list conversion code no performance tests are done on tuples. It might be that the Python C API for tuples is significantly different than for list but this is considered unlikely.

## 6.2.1 Python Lists

### Python List of bool, int, float and complex


Here is the *round trip* performance of a Python list of bool, int, float and complex numbers via a C++ `std::vector`:



These are typically *round trip* converted at:

- 0.01  $\mu\text{s}$  per object for booleans, say 100m objects a second.
- 0.025  $\mu\text{s}$  per object for int, float and complex, say 40m objects a second.

And the *round trip* performance of a Python list of bool, int, float and complex numbers via a C++ std::list:




These are typically *round trip* converted at:


- 0.1  $\mu\text{s}$  per object for booleans, say 100m objects a second. This is about 10x the cost of using a std::vector.

## Python List of bytes

And a Python list of bytes for different lengths; 2, 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long via a C++ `std::vector`:



And a Python list of bytes for different lengths; 2, 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long via a C++ `std::list`:




Given the size of each object this *round trip* time for lists can be summarised as:

Object	Time per object (μs)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
bytes[2]	0.1	10	20	
bytes[16]	0.1	10	160	
bytes[128]	0.1	10	1280	
bytes[1024]	0.4 to 2.0	0.5 to 2.5	500 to 2500	




## Python List of str

And a Python list of `str` for different lengths; 2, 16, 128 and 1024 via a `C++ std::vector`:



And via a `C++ std::list`:



Given the size of each object this *round trip* time for lists can be summarised as:


Object	Time per object (μs)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
str[2]	0.05 to 0.1	10 to 20	20 to 40	
str[16]	0.05 to 0.1	10 to 20	160 to 320	
str[128]	0.2 to 0.4	2.5 to 5	320 to 640	
str[1024]	0.4 to 1.5	0.7 to 2.5	700 to 2500	

Lists of `str` has, essentially, the same performance as a list of bytes.

## 6.2.2 Python Sets

### Python Set of int, float and complex

Here is the *round trip* performance of a Python set of int, float and complex numbers:



These are typically *round trip* converted at (for sets < 100,000 long):

- 0.15  $\mu$ s per object for int, say 6m objects a second.
- 0.2  $\mu$ s per object for float, say 5m objects a second.
- 0.3  $\mu$ s per object for complex, say 3m objects a second.

The *round trip* time for a list takes 0.025  $\mu$ s for int, float and complex so a set takes:


- 6x longer for an int
- 8x longer for a float.
- 12x longer for a complex number.

An explanation would be that the cost of hashing and insertion (and possible re-hashing the container) dominates the performance compared to the cost of object conversion.

The rise in rate towards larger sets also suggests that re-hashing becomes dominant with larger sets.

### Python Set of bytes

And a Python set of bytes for different lengths; 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long:



Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set ( $\mu$ s)	list ( $\mu$ s)	Ratio	Notes
bytes[16]	~0.6	0.1	x6	
bytes[128]	0.6 to 1.5	0.1	x6 to x15	
bytes[1024]	1.0 to 5.0	0.4 to 2	x2.5	

Again, the cost of hashing and insertion explains the difference.


Given the size of each object this *round trip* time for sets can be summarised as:

Object	Time per object ( $\mu$ s)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
bytes[16]	~0.6	1.7	27	
bytes[128]	0.6 to 1.5	0.7 to 1.7	90 to 220	
bytes[1024]	1.0 to 5.0	0.2 to 1	200 to 1000	

## Python Set of str

TODO:

And a Python set of `str` for different lengths; 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long:



This is near identical with bytes with small strings having a slight edge.

Here is the time per object compared with a list:

Object	set (μs)	list (μs)	Ratio	Notes
str[16]	0.3	0.05 to 0.1	x3 to x6	
str[128]	0.8	0.2 to 0.4	x2 to x4	
str[1024]	1.0 to 5.0	0.4 to 1.5	x1 to x10	

Again, the cost of hashing and insertion explains the difference.


Given the size of each object this *round trip* time for sets can be summarised as:

Object	Time per object (μs)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
bytes[16]	~0.6	1.7	27	
bytes[128]	0.6 to 1.5	0.7 to 1.7	90 to 220	
bytes[1024]	1.0 to 5.0	0.2 to 1	200 to 1000	


## 6.2.3 Python Dictionaries

### Python Dict of int, float and complex

Here is the round trip time for a Python dict to and from a C++ `std::unordered_map<long, long>`. This plots the *round trip cost per key/value pair* against dict size.



And for conversion via a C++ `std::map`:



These are typically *round trip* converted at:

TODO:


- 0.2  $\mu$ s per object for an int or float, say 5m objects a second.
- 0.25  $\mu$ s per object for a complex number, say 4m objects a second.

This is identical to the values for the set but includes the conversion time for both key and value. The hashing, insertion and potential re-hashing dominate the performance.


## Python Dict of bytes

TODO:

Here is the *round trip* time for a Python dict [bytes, bytes] to and from a C++ `std::unordered_map<std::vector<char>, std::vector<char>>` for different lengths; 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long. The key and the value are the same length.



And via a C++ `std::map`:




This *round trip* time for both keys and values for dicts can be summarised as:


Object	Time per object (µs)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
bytes[16]	0.5	2	32	
bytes[128]	0.6 to 2	0.5 to 1.5	64 to 256	
bytes[1024]	2 to 6	0.15 to 0.5	150 to 512	

## Python Dict of str

Here is the *round trip* time for a Python dict [str, str] to and from a C++ `std::unordered_map<std::string, std::string>` for different lengths; 16, 128 and 1024 bytes long. The key and the value are the same length.



And via a C++ `std::map`:



This *round trip* time for both keys and values for dicts can be summarised as:

Object	Time per object (µs)	Rate (million/s)	Rate (Mb/s)	Notes
str[16]	0.4 to 1	1 to 2.5	16 to 48	
str[128]	0.6 to 2	0.5 to 1.7	64 to 220	
str[1024]	2 to 8	0.125 to 0.5	125 to 500	

## 6.2.4 Summary

The fairly simple summary is that the round trip performance, as measured by the Python interpreter, agrees very closely with the total cost Python -> C++ and C++ -> Python. In some cases the performance is twice that figure but no more.

## 6.3 Memory Use

To examine the typical memory use a round-trip was made between Python to C++ and back to Python with a container (list, set or dict) of bytes. The container was 1m long and each member was 1k bytes, so a total of 1Gb to convert to C++ and back to a new Python container.

The creation/destruction was repeated 10 times and the memory profiled using `pymemtrace`.

The code to do this for a list is something like:

```
from pymemtrace import cPyMemTrace

import cPyCppContainers

with cPyMemTrace.Profile():
    for _r in range(10):
        original = [b' ' * 1024 for _i in range(1024 * 1024)]
        new_list = cPyCppContainers.new_list_bytes(original)
```

`pymemtrace` produces a log file of memory usage such as (not the actual data that created the plot below):

Event	dEvent	Clock	What	File	#line	Function
		RSS	dRSS			
NEXT: 0	+0	1.267233	CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	15	_test_new_
list_bytes		29384704	29384704			
PREV: 83	+83	1.267558	CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	26	<listcomp>
		29384704	0			
NEXT: 84	+84	1.268744	RETURN	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	26	<listcomp>
		29544448	159744			
PREV: 87	+3	1.268755	C_CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	28	new_list_
bytes		29544448	0			
NEXT: 88	+4	2.523796	C_RETURN	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	28	new_list_
bytes		1175990272	1146445824			
NEXT: 89	+1	2.647460	C_CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	29	perf_
counter		34713600	-1141276672			
PREV: 93	+4	2.647496	CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	26	<listcomp>
		34713600	0			
NEXT: 94	+5	2.648859	RETURN	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	26	<listcomp>
		34844672	131072			
NEXT: 95	+1	2.648920	C_CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	27	perf_
counter		34775040	-69632			

(continues on next page)




(continued from previous page)

PREV: 97	+2	2.648929	C_CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	28 new_list_
↳ bytes		34775040		0	
NEXT: 98	+3	3.906950	C_RETURN	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	28 new_list_
↳ bytes		1176018944		1141243904	
NEXT: 99	+1	4.041886	C_CALL	test_with_pymemtrace.py#	29 perf_
↳ counter		34713600		-1141305344	

### 6.3.1 Python List of bytes

The following is a plot of RSS and change of RSS over time:




This result is rather surprising. The maximum RSS should reflect that at some point the following are held in memory:

- Basic Python, say 30Mb
- The original Python list of bytes, 1024Mb.
- The C++ `std::vector<std::string>`, 1024Mb.
- The new Python list of bytes, 1024Mb.

This would be a total of 3102Mb. However we are seeing a maximum RSS of only around 2200Mb.

### 6.3.2 Python List of floats

For comparison here is the time/memory plot of round-tripping a list of Python float as a C++ `std::vector` or `std::list`:



The memory usage is not significantly different but using a `std::list` takes about twice as long.


### 6.3.3 Python Set of bytes

A similar test was made of a gigabyte sized Python set of bytes. Each key and value were 1024 bytes long and the set was 1m long. The Python set was round-tripped to a C++ `std::unordered_set<std::string>` and back to a new Python set.

The code looks like this:

```
with cPyMemTrace.Profile(4096 * 16):
    total_bytes = 2**20 * 2**10
    byte_length = 1024
    set_length = total_bytes // byte_length // 2
    random_bytes = [random.randint(0, 255) for _i in range(byte_length)]
    for _r in range(10):
        original = set()
        for i in range(set_length):
            k = bytes(random_bytes)
            original.add(k)
            # Shuffle is quite expensive. Try something simpler:
            # chose a random value and increment it with roll over.
            index = random.randint(0, byte_length - 1)
            random_bytes[index] = (random_bytes[index] + 1) % 256
    cPyCppContainers.new_set_bytes(original)
```

The following is a plot of RSS and change of RSS over time:



In the set case constructing the original set takes around 1500Mb. So on entry to `new_set_bytes` the RSS is typically 1700Mb. Constructing the `std::unordered_set<std::string>` and a new Python set takes an extra 1000Mb taking the total memory to around 2500MB. On exit from `new_set_bytes` the RSS decreases back down to 200Mb.

In theory the maximum RSS use should be:

- Basic Python, say 30Mb
- The original Python set, 1024Mb.
- The C++ `std::unordered_set<std::string>`, 1024Mb.
- The new Python dict, 1024Mb.

This would be a total of 3102Mb.

### 6.3.4 Python Dictionary of bytes or str

A similar test was made of a gigabyte sized Python dict of bytes. Each key and value were 1024 bytes long and the dictionary was 0.5m long. The Python dict was round-tripped to a C++ `std::unordered_map<std::vector<char>, std::vector<char>>` and back to a new Python dict.

The code looks like this:

```
with cPyMemTrace.Profile(4096 * 16):
    total_bytes = 2**20 * 2**10
    byte_length = 1024
    dict_length = total_bytes // byte_length // 2
    random_bytes = [random.randint(0, 255) for _i in range(byte_length)]
    for _r in range(10):
        original = {}
        for i in range(dict_length):
            k = bytes(random_bytes)
            original[k] = b' ' * byte_length
            # Shuffle is quite expensive. Try something simpler:
            # chose a random value and increment it with roll over.
```

(continues on next page)


(continued from previous page)

```

index = random.randint(0, byte_length - 1)
random_bytes[index] = (random_bytes[index] + 1) % 256
cPyCppContainers.new_dict_bytes_bytes(original)

```

The following is a plot of RSS and change of RSS over time:




In the dictionary case constructing the original dict takes around 1500Mb. So on entry to `new_dict_bytes_bytes` the RSS is typically 1700Mb. Constructing the `std::unordered_map<std::vector<char>, std::vector<char>>` and a new Python dict takes an extra 2500Mb taking the total memory to around 4200MB. On exit from `new_dict_bytes_bytes` the RSS decreases in two stages, destroying the `std::unordered_map<std::string, std::string>` frees 2000Mb then freeing the original gives back another 2000Mb. This brings the total RSS back down to 200Mb.

In theory the maximum RSS use should be:

- Basic Python, say 30Mb
- The original Python dict, 1024Mb.
- The C++ `std::unordered_map<std::vector<char>, std::vector<char>>`, 1024Mb.
- The new Python dict, 1024Mb.

This would be a total of 3102Mb. The fact that we are seeing around 4200Mb, 35% more, is probably due to over-allocation either any or all of the Python dict or bytes allocators or the C++ `std::unordered_map<T>` or `std::vector<char>` allocators.

Similar results are obtained for a Python dict was round-tripped to a C++ `std::map<std::string, std::string>` and back to a new Python dict.



This is broadly similar to the results for `std::unordered_map<std::vector<char>, std::vector<char>>`.

All these graphs show that there are no memory leaks.


### 6.3.5 Containers of Just One Object

This test was to create a list, set or dict with one entry of 1024 bytes and then convert it 10,000,000 times to a C++ container and then back to Python. The memory was monitored with `pymemtrace` set up to spot and changes in RSS of  $\geq 4096$  bytes.

For example here is the code for a list:

```
original = [b' ' * 1024]
with cPyMemTrace.Profile():
    for _r in range(10_000_000):
        cPyCppContainers.new_list_bytes(original)
    # Tends to force an event in pymemtrace.
    gc.collect()
```

The following is a plot of RSS and change of RSS over time for list, set, dict:



This graph shows that there are no memory leaks on container construction.

## 6.4 Summary

- Fundamental types (`bool`, `int`, `float`, `complex`) can be converted at around 100m objects/sec.
- Sequences of bytes or strings are converted at a memory rate of around 4,000 Mb/sec.
- Dicts and sets are about 3-10x slower than lists and tuples. This can be explained by, whilst both list and dict operations are  $O(1)$ , the list insert is much faster as an insert into a dict/set involves hashing.
- In some cases the performance of converting Python to C++ or the reverse is faster but the difference is  $\leq 2x$ .
- There are no memory leaks.

## INDICES AND TABLES

- `genindex`
- `modindex`
- `search`