Number Theory Notebook

Paul Schulze

January 22, 2021

1 Chapter 1

Divisibility and congruence

Theorem 1.1. Let a, b, and c be integers. If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid (b+c)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1.1.1) & \exists \ d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \ni b = a \cdot d_b & \text{because } a \mid b \\ (1.1.2) & \exists \ d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \ni c = a \cdot d_c & \text{because } a \mid c \\ (1.1.3) & b + c = a \cdot d_b + a \cdot d_c & \text{by (1.1.1) and (1.1.2)} \\ (1.1.4) & b + c = a \cdot (d_b + d_c) & \text{by distributive property} \\ (1.1.5) & d_b + d_c \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{because } d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & a \mid (b + c) & \text{by def'n of divides} \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.2. Let a, b, and c be integers. If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid (b-c)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1.2.1) & \exists \ d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \ni b = a \cdot d_b & \text{because } a \mid b \\ (1.2.2) & \exists \ d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \ni c = a \cdot d_c & \text{because } a \mid c \\ (1.2.3) & b - c = a \cdot d_b - a \cdot d_c & \text{by (1.2.1) and (1.2.2)} \\ (1.2.4) & b - c = a \cdot (d_b - d_c) & \text{by distributive property} \\ (1.2.5) & d_b - d_c \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{because } d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & a \mid (b - c) & \text{by def'n of divides} \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.3. Let a, b, and c be integers. If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid bc$.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (1.3.1) & \exists \ d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \ni b = a \cdot d_b & \text{because } a \mid b \\ (1.3.2) & \exists \ d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \ni c = a \cdot d_c & \text{because } a \mid c \\ (1.3.3) & bc = (a \cdot d_b) \cdot (a \cdot d_c) & \text{by } (1.3.1) \text{ and } (1.3.2) \\ (1.3.4) & bc = a \cdot (a \cdot d_b \cdot d_c) & \text{by associativity and commutativity} \\ (1.3.5) & a \cdot d_b \cdot d_c \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{because } d_b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } d_c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & a \mid bc & \text{by def'n of divides} \end{array}$$

Question 1.4. Can you weaken the hypothesis of the previous theorem and still prove the conclusion? Can you keep the same hypothesis, but replace the conclusion by the stronger conclusion that $a^2|bc$ and still prove the theorem?

Yes. You can remove the a|c condition to weaken the hypothesis, or with both a|b and a|c you can show $a^2|bc$.

Question 1.5. Can you formulate your own conjecture along the lines of the above theorems and then prove it to make it your theorem?

Yes.

Paul's Conjecture. Let a, b, and c be integers. If a|b and a|c, then $a^2|bc$.

Proof. First, take lines (1.3.1) through (1.3.4) of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Then,

$$d_b \cdot d_c \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 because $d_b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_c \in \mathbb{Z}$ by def'n of divides

Theorem 1.6. Let a, b, and c be integers. If a|b, then a|bc.

Proof.

$$(1.6.1) \exists d \in \mathbb{Z} \ni ad = b because a|b$$

(1.6.2)
$$bc = adc$$
 by (1.6.1)

Exercise 1.7. Answer each of the following questions, and prove that your answer is correct.

1. Is
$$45 \equiv 9 \pmod{4}$$
?
Yes. $4 \cdot 9 = 36 = 45 - 9$.

2. Is
$$37 \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$
?
Yes. $5 \cdot 7 = 35 = 37 - 2$.

3. Is
$$37 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$
?
No. $37 - 3 = 34$ which is not a multiple of 5.

4. Is
$$37 \equiv -3 \pmod{5}$$
?
Yes. $5 \cdot 8 = 40 = 37 - (-3)$.

Exercise 1.8. For each of the following congruences, characterize all the integers m that satisfy that congruence.

1.
$$m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

 $m \in \{3z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

2.
$$m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

 $m \in \{3z + 1 \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

3.
$$m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $m \in \{3z + 2 \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

4.
$$m \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$$

 $m \in \{3z \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

5.
$$m \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$$

 $m \in \{3z+1 \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Theorem 1.9. Let a and n be integers with n > 0. Then $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.9.1) 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(1.9.2) n \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$(1.9.3)$$
 By def'n of divides

$$(1.9.4) a-a=0$$

(1.9.5)
$$n|(a-a)$$
 By (1.9.3) and (1.9.4) $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$ By def'n of modular congruence

Theorem 1.10. Let a, b, and n be integers with n > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.10.1) a \equiv b \pmod{n} Given$$

(1.10.2)
$$\exists d \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd = a - b$$
 By def'n of modular congruence

(1.10.3)
$$-1nd = -1 \cdot (a - b)$$
 By multiplicative property of equality

(1.10.4)
$$n \cdot (-d) = b - a$$
 By various algebra

$$(1.10.5) -d \in \mathbb{Z} By multiplicative closure of \mathbb{Z}$$

(1.10.6)
$$n|(b-a)$$
 By (1.10.4), (1.10.5)

$$b \equiv a \pmod{n}$$
 By def'n of modular congruence

Theorem 1.11. Let a, b, and n be integers with n > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.11.1) n|a-b By a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

$$(1.11.2) n|b-c \text{By } b \equiv c \pmod{n}$$

(1.11.3)
$$\exists d_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd_1 = a - b$$
 By (1.11.1)

(1.11.4)
$$\exists d_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd_2 = b - c$$
 By (1.11.2)

(1.11.5)
$$nd_1 + nd_2 = (a-b) + (b-c)$$
 By additive property of equality

$$(1.11.6) n(d_1 + d_2) = a - c By various algebra$$

(1.11.7)
$$d_1 + d_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 By closure of integers under addition

(1.11.8)
$$n|(a-c)$$
 By def'n of divides $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ By def'n of modular congruence

Theorem 1.12. Let a, b, c, d, and n be integers with n > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.12.1) n|(a-b) By a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

(1.12.2)
$$\exists d_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd_1 = a - b$$
 By def'n divides

(1.12.3)
$$n|(c-d)$$
 By $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$

$$(1.12.4) \exists d_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd_2 = c - d By def'n divides$$

$$(1.12.5) nd_1 + nd_2 = (a-b) + (c-d) By additive property of equality$$

(1.12.6)
$$n \cdot (d_1 + d_2) = (a+c) - (b+d)$$
 By various algebra

$$(1.12.7) d_1 + d_2 \in \mathbb{Z} By additive closure of \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(1.12.8)$$
 $n|((a+c)-(b+d))$ By def'n of divides

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$$
 By def'n of modular congruence

Theorem 1.13. Let a, b, c, d, and n be integers with n > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then $a - c \equiv b - d \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Notice -c and -d are integers, and $-c \equiv -d \pmod{n}$ (glossing over the proof of that for now). Then simply cite 1.12 and we're done.

Theorem 1.14. Let a, b, c, d, and n be integers with n > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.14.1) n|(a-b) By a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

$$(1.14.2) \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni a - b = nk_1$$

$$(1.14.3) a = nk_1 + b$$

(1.14.4)
$$n|(c-d)$$
 By $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$

$$(1.14.5) \exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \ni c - d = nk_2$$

$$(1.14.6) c = nk_2 + d$$

(1.14.7)
$$ac = (nk_1 + b)(nk_2 + d)$$
 By (1.14.3) and (1.14.6)

$$(1.14.8) ac = n^2 k_1 k_2 + nk_1 d + nk_2 b + bd$$

$$(1.14.9) ac - bd = n \cdot (nk_1k_2 + k_1d + k_2b)$$

(1.14.10)
$$n|(ac-bd)$$
 Since $nk_1k_2 + k_1d + k_2b \in \mathbb{Z}$
$$ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$$

Exercise 1.15. Let a, b, and n be integers with n > 0. Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

(1.15.1)
$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 Given
(1.15.2) $a \cdot a \equiv b \cdot b \pmod{n}$ 1.14 $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n}$

Exercise 1.16. Let a, b, and n be integers with n > 0. Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a^3 \equiv b^3 \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.16.1) a \equiv b \pmod{n} Given$$

(1.16.2)
$$a^2 \equiv b^2 \; (\bmod \; n)$$
 1.15

(1.16.3)
$$a \cdot a^2 \equiv b \cdot b^2 \pmod{n}$$
 By 1.14 on (1.16.1) and (1.16.2)
$$a^3 \equiv b^3 \pmod{n}$$

Exercise 1.17. Let a, b, k, and n be integers with n > 0 and k > 1. Show that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $a^{k-1} \equiv b^{k-1} \pmod{n}$, then $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$.

Proof.

$$(1.17.1) a \equiv b \pmod{n} Given$$

$$(1.17.2) a^{k-1} \equiv b^{k-1} \pmod{n} 1.15$$

(1.17.3)
$$a \cdot a^{k-1} \equiv b \cdot b^{k-1} \pmod{n}$$
 By 1.14 on (1.17.1) and (1.17.2)
$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$$

Theorem 1.18. Let a, b, k, and n be integers with n > 0 and k > 0. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$

Proof. Our base case is 1.9. Our induction hypothesis is "a, b, k, and n are integers with n > 0 and k > 1 such that $\forall j \ni 0 < j < k$, we find $a^j \equiv b^j \pmod{n}$. Notice our induction hypothesis fulfills the criteria for 1.17, and in fact 1.17 covers our induction step.

Exercise 1.19. Illustrate each of Theorems 1.12 - 1.18 with an example using actual numbers

1.12 $2 \equiv 12 \pmod{1}0$ and $5 \equiv 15 \pmod{1}0$ imply $7 \equiv 27 \pmod{1}0$.

1.13 $7 \equiv 27 \pmod{1}0$ and $12 \equiv 2 \pmod{1}0$ imply that $-5 \equiv 25 \pmod{1}0$.

1.14 $2 \equiv 7 \pmod{5}$ and $3 \equiv 8 \pmod{5}$ imply that $6 \equiv 56 \pmod{5}$.

1.15 $2 \equiv 7 \pmod{5}$ implies that $4 \equiv 49 \pmod{5}$.

1.16 $1 \equiv 3 \pmod{2}$ implies that $1 \equiv 27 \pmod{2}$.

1.17 $1 \equiv 3 \pmod{2}$ and $1 \equiv 27 \pmod{2}$ imply that $1 \equiv 81 \pmod{2}$.

1.18 $1 \equiv 3 \; (\bmod \; 2) \; \text{implies that} \; 1 \equiv 81 \; (\bmod \; 2).$

Question 1.20. Let a, b, c, and n be integers for which $ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$. Can we conclude that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$? If you answer "yes", try to give a proof. If you answer "no", try to give a counterexample.

No. Notice $1 \cdot 0 \equiv 2 \cdot 0 \pmod{5}$ and yet $1 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{5}$.

Theorem 1.21. Let a natural number n be expressed in base 10 as

$$n = a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0$$

If $m = a_k + a_{k-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0$ then $n \equiv m \pmod{3}$.

First, a Lemma that will help us later.

Lemma 1.21.1. Let a be an integer and j a natural number. Then $a \equiv a \cdot 10^{j} \pmod{3}$.

Proof. Notice that $1 \equiv 10 \pmod{3}$. Then, by 1.18, we find $1^j \equiv 10^j \pmod{3}$ and thus that $1 \equiv 10^j \pmod{3}$. Then, since $a \equiv a \pmod{3}$ (by 1.9), we invoke 1.14 to find $a \cdot 1 \equiv a \cdot 10^j \pmod{3}$, implying that $a \equiv a \cdot 10^j \pmod{3}$.

Now we begin our proof of the theorem in full.

Proof. Notice that n can be written as $a_k \cdot 10^k + a_{k-1} \cdot 10^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$, or more easily as

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i \cdot 10^i$$

Now notice that

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i$$

By 1.21.1, we notice that $\forall i \ a_i \equiv a_i \cdot 10^i \pmod{3}$. Thus, n and m are sums of terms that are congruent modulo 3. By repeatedly invoking 1.12, we eventually find that the two strings of congruent sums are themselves are congruent, i.e. that $n \equiv m \pmod{3}$.

Theorem 1.22. If a natural number is divisible by 3, then, when expressed in base 10, the sum of its digits is divisible by 3.

Proof. Let the natural number be n, and the sum of its digits m. We're given by the theorem $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and by 1.21 we know $n \equiv m \pmod{3}$, so we can cite 1.11 and conclude $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, i.e. m is divisible by 3.

Theorem 1.23. If the sum of the digits of a natural number expressed in base 10 is divisible by 3, then the number is divisible by 3 as well.

Proof. Let the natural number be n, and the sum of its digits m. We're given by the theorem $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and by 1.21 we know $n \equiv m \pmod{3}$, so we can cite 1.11 and conclude $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, i.e. n is divisible by 3.

Exercise 1.24. Devise and prove other divisibility criteria similar to the preceding one.

A number is divisible by 2 if and only if its last digit is divisible by 2, because any (base 10) number $n = a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0 = a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$, and 2|10 so $2|\dots \cdot 10$. Thus, $2|\dots \cdot 10 + a_0$ iff $2|a_0$.

Similar proofs can be done for 5 and the last digit, 4 and the last 2 digits, 8 and the last 3 digits, 16 and the last 4 digits, 32 and the last 5 digits, etc.

The Division Algorithm

Exercise 1.25. Illustrate the division algorithm for:

1.
$$m = 25$$
, $n = 7$.
 $25 = 7 \cdot 3 + 4$.

2.
$$m = 277$$
, $n = 4$. $277 = 4 \cdot 69 + 1$.

3.
$$m = 33$$
, $n = 11$. $33 = 11 \cdot 3 + 0$.

4.
$$m = 33$$
, $n = 45$. $33 = 44 \cdot 0 + 33$.

Theorem 1.26. Prove the existence part of the Division Algorithm. In other words, given natural numbers n and m, show their exist integers q and r such that m = nq + r and $0 \le r \le n - 1$.

Proof. Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid nx > m\}$. By the Well-Ordering Axiom, S has a smallest element: call it s. Let q = s - 1. This definition gives us two important properties:

- 1. $nq \le m$, for if nq > m then $q \in S$ with q < s, which is impossible since s is the smallest element of S.
- 2. m < n(q+1) = nq + n, for q+1 = s and sx > m because $s \in S$.

Now, we define r = m - nq, so that by definition m = nq + r. Since $nq \le m$, we know $r \ge 0$. Since m < nq + n, and yet m = nq + r, implying $nq + r < nq + n \implies r < n \implies r \le n - 1$.

Thus, we have found q, r such that m = nq + r and $0 \le r \le n - 1$.

Theorem 1.27. Prove the uniqueness part of the Division Algorithm. In other words, given natual numbers n and m, if there are 4 integers q, q', r, and r', such that m = nq + r = nq' + r' with $0 \le r, r' \le n - 1$ then q = q' and r = r'.

Proof. Notice that nq + r = nq' + r' implies that $nq - nq' = r' - r \implies n(q - q') = r' - r$.

Since $0 \le r, r' \le n-1$, we conclude that $-n+1 \le r'-r \le n-1$. By our previous equality, then, $-n+1 \le n(q-q') \le n-1 \implies -n < n(q-q') < n$. Since n is a natural number, we can divide by n to get -1 < q-q' < 1. Since q and q' are integers, q-q' must also be an integer. The only integer between -1 and 1 is 0, so we conclude $q-q'=0 \implies q=q'$.

Once we have q = q', we see that $nq + r = nq' + r' \implies nq + r = nq + r' \implies r = r'$.

Theorem 1.28. Let a, b, and n be integers with n > 0. Then $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if a and b have the same remainder when divided by n. Equivalently, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if when $a = nq_1 + r_1 \pmod{0} \leq r_1 \leq n - 1$ and $b = nq_2 + r_2 \pmod{0} \leq r_2 \leq n - 1$ then $r_1 = r_2$.

First, we will show that $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies r_1 = r_2$.

Proof. Notice by the definition of modular congruence that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ implies that n|(b-a), or $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z} \ni nd = b-a$. Using $a = nq_1 + r_1$ and $b = nq_2 + r_2$ we get $nd = nq_1 + r_1 - nq_2 - r_2 = n(q_1 - q_2) + r_1 - r_2$. Then we get $nd - n(q_1 - q_2) = r_1 - r_2$ or $n(d - q_1 + q_2) = r_1 - r_2$.

Since $0 \le r_1, r_2 \le n-1$ we find that $-n+1 \le r_1-r_2 \le n-1 \implies -n < r_1-r_2 < n$. Using our previous equation with r_1-r_2 we get that $-n < n(d-q_1+q_2) < n$, and dividing by n (which we can do because n>0) we get $-1 < d-q_1+q_2 < 1$. Since d, q_1 , and q_2 are all integers, $d-q_1+q_2$ is also an integer, and the only integer between -1 and 1 is 0 so we find $d-q_1+q_2=0$.

Plugging this back in to $n(d-q_1+q_2)=r_1-r_2$, we find $n\cdot 0=r_1-r_2$, which implies $0=r_1-r_2$, or $r_1=r_2$. \square

Second, we will show that $r_1 = r_2 \implies a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Notice $a - b = nq_1 + r_1 - (nq_2 + r_2)$. With some simple rearranging, we obtain $a - b = n(q_1 - q_2) + r_1 - r_2$. Since we know $r_1 = r_2$, we know $r_1 = r_2$, we know $r_1 = r_2$ and plugging this in we obtain $a - b = n(q_1 - q_2)$.

Since q_1 and q_2 are integers, $q_1 - q_2$ is also an integer. Thus, n times some integer is a - b: in other words, n|(a - b).

Then, by the definition of modular congruence, we obtain $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Greatest common divisors and linear Diophantine equations

Question 1.29. Do every two integers have at least one common divisor?

Yes. For any two integers a and b, $1 \cdot a = a$ and $1 \cdot b = b$ so 1|a and 1|b, making 1 a common divsor of a and b.

Question 1.30. Can two integers have infinitely many common divisors?

No, if the two integers are distinct. Any nonzero integer n can only have finitely many divisors, as any integer d such that d < -|n| or d > |n| cannot be a divisor (since 1d and -1d have a greater absolute value than n, and $0d = 0 \neq n$). In other words, only the numbers f such that $-n \leq f \leq n$ are "eligibile" to be divisors of n, so there can only be finitely many divisors of n.

Exercise 1.31. Find the following greatest common divisors. Which pairs are relatively prime?

- 1. (36, 22)2
- 2. (45, -15)15
- 3. (-296, -88)
- 4. (0,256)256
- 5. (15,28) 1 (relatively prime)
- 6. (1, -2436)1 (relatively prime)

Theorem 1.32. Let a, n, b, r, and k be integers. If a = nb + r and k|a and k|b, then k|r.

Proof. Let $a = d_a k$ and $b = d_b k$, where d_a and d_b are the integers guaranteed by the facts that k|a and k|b. Then, we have $d_a k = n d_b k + r$. Isolating r, we get $r = d_a k - n d_b k = k(d_a - n d_b)$. Since n, d_a , and d_b are all integers, we know $d_a - n d_b$ is an integer. Thus, we've found r is equal to k times some integer, so k|r.