

Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- The data was collected through the SpaceX public API and by web scrapping a Wikipedia article, the resulting dataset was then labeled adding a 'Class' field based on the landing outcome of the rockets.
- An Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) was performed through SQL queries, visualizations using Python, Folium maps and Dash to create an interactive Dashboard.
- Through Feature Engineering the relevant columns were selected and later turned the categorical fields into binary variables by one-hot-encoding them.
- Finally four classification models (Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machine, Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbors) were trained using GridSearchCV from the scikit-learn library to predict the landing outcome.
- The four classification models got the same score on the test data set, 83.33%, similarly the Recall and Precision (for True positives) were the same for all of them, 100% and 80% respectively.

Introduction

• The 'SpaceY' company has hired a Data scientist to analyze the data from SpaceX, since it is planning on open their own space transportation company. SpaceX charges 62 million dollars per mission, whereas its competitors charge up to 165 million dollars per mission, most of the savings in cost come from the reutilization of their stage 1 rockets.

Another useful task would be to perform an EDA of the SpaceX data to create valuable insights and answer questions like:

- What's Orbits have a higher success rate?
- What Booster Versions do better carrying specific payloads?
- Which Machine Learning model will be selected and why?



Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was collected using SpaceX API and web scraping from Wikipedia.
- Perform data wrangling
 - One-hot encoding was applied to categorical features
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - Four different models were trained and tuned using GridSearchCV.

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json_normalize().
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

Data Collection - SpaceX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.
- Click here for the notebook is


```
1. Get request for rocket launch data using API
          spacex url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
          response = requests.get(spacex url)
   2. Use json normalize method to convert json result to dataframe
In [12]:
           # Use json normalize method to convert the json result into a dataframe
           # decode response content as json
           static json df = res.json()
In [13]:
           # apply json normalize
           data = pd.json_normalize(static_json_df)
   3. We then performed data cleaning and filling in the missing values
In [30]:
          rows = data falcon9['PayloadMass'].values.tolist()[0]
          df rows = pd.DataFrame(rows)
           df rows = df rows.replace(np.nan, PayloadMass)
          data falcon9['PayloadMass'][0] = df rows.values
           data falcon9
```

Data Collection - Scraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- Click here for the notebook

```
1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page
        static url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches&oldid=1027686922"
          # use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
          # assign the response to a object
          html data = requests.get(static url)
          html_data.status_code
Out[5]: 200
    2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response
           # Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
           soup = BeautifulSoup(html_data.text, 'html.parser')
          Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly
           # Use soup.title attribute
           soup.title
          <title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
        Extract all column names from the HTML table header
          column_names = []
          # Apply find all() function with "th" element on first launch table
          # Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract column from header() to get a column name
          # Append the Non-empty column name ('if name is not None and Len(name) > \theta') into a list called column names
          element = soup.find all('th')
          for row in range(len(element)):
                 name = extract_column_from_header(element[row])
                 if (name is not None and len(name) > 0):
                     column names.append(name)
    4. Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables
    5. Export data to csv
```


Data Wrangling




- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- Click here for the full notebook

EDA with Data Visualization

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.





Click here for the notebook

EDA with SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
 - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
 - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
 - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- Click <u>here</u> for the notebook

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the Folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- Click <u>Here</u> to refer the Code.


Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- Click <u>here</u> for the jupyter Notebook

Results


The results for this project are further classified for the below categories, the illustrations are clearly explained using the pictures in the presentation.

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results



Flight Number vs. Launch Site


 From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



Payload vs. Launch Site




The greater the payload mass for launch site CCAFS SLC 40 the higher the success rate for the rocket.




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.




Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

• The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.




Payload vs. Orbit Type

 We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

 From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



All Launch Site Names

 We used the key word
 DISTINCT to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

Out[10]:	launchsite			
	0	KSC LC-39A		
	1	CCAFS LC-40		
	2	CCAFS SLC-40		
	3	VAFB SLC-4E		

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

11]:		FROM WHEN	ECT * 1 SpaceX RE Launc IT 5	hSite LIKE 'CC/							
[11]:		date	time	boosterversion	launchsite	payload	payloadmasskg	orbit	customer	missionoutcome	landingoutcom
	0	2010-04- 06	18:45:00	F9 v1.0 B0003	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit	0	LEO	SpaceX	Success	Failu (parachut
	1	2010-08-	15:43:00	F9 v1.0 B0004	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of	0	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS) NRO	Success	Failu (parachut
		12			40	Juli Juli		(155)			(paraeria)
	2	1.5	07:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C2	525	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS)	Success	575
	2	2012-05-	07:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC-		525 500	LEO		Success	No attemp

 We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

Total Payload Mass

 We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

```
Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

In [12]:

task_3 = '''

SELECT SUM(PayloadMassKG) AS Total_PayloadMass
FROM SpaceX
WHERE Customer LIKE 'NASA (CRS)'

'''

create_pandas_df(task_3, database=conn)

Out[12]:

total_payloadmass

0 45596
```

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

```
In [13]:
    task_4 = '''
        SELECT AVG(PayloadMassKG) AS Avg_PayloadMass
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE BoosterVersion = 'F9 v1.1'
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_4, database=conn)
```

Out[13]: avg_payloadmass

0 2928.4

First Successful Ground Landing Date

 We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

```
In [14]:
    task_5 = '''
        SELECT MIN(Date) AS FirstSuccessfull_landing_date
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE LandingOutcome LIKE 'Success (ground pad)'
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_5, database=conn)
Out[14]:

firstsuccessfull_landing_date

0 2015-12-22
```

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

 We used the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

Out[15]:	boosterversion			
	0	F9 FT B1022		
	1	F9 FT B1026		
	2	F9 FT B1021.2		
	3	F9 FT B1031.2		

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

```
In [16]:
          task 7a = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS SuccessOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Success%'
          task 7b = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS FailureOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Failure%'
          print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
          display(create pandas df(task 7a, database=conn))
          print()
          print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
          create pandas df(task 7b, database=conn)
         The total number of successful mission outcome is:
            successoutcome
                      100
         The total number of failed mission outcome is:
Out[16]:
            failureoutcome
```

 We used wildcard like '%' to filter for WHERE MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function. List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery

Out[17]:		boosterversion	payloadmasskg
	0	F9 B5 B1048.4	15600
	1	F9 B5 B1048.5	15600
	2	F9 B5 B1049.4	15600
	3	F9 B5 B1049.5	15600
	4	F9 B5 B1049.7	15600
	5	F9 B5 B1051.3	15600
	6	F9 B5 B1051.4	15600
	7	F9 B5 B1051.6	15600
	8	F9 B5 B1056.4	15600
	9	F9 B5 B1058.3	15600
	10	F9 B5 B1060.2	15600
	11	F9 B5 B1060.3	15600

2015 Launch Records

 We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015



Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad))


```
In [19]:
    task_10 = '''
        SELECT LandingOutcome, COUNT(LandingOutcome)
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE DATE BETWEEN '2010-06-04' AND '2017-03-20'
        GROUP BY LandingOutcome
        ORDER BY COUNT(LandingOutcome) DESC
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_10, database=conn)
```

Out[19]:		landingoutcome	count
	0	No attempt	10
	1	Success (drone ship)	6
	2	Failure (drone ship)	5
	3	Success (ground pad)	5
	4	Controlled (ocean)	3
	5	Uncontrolled (ocean)	2
	6	Precluded (drone ship)	1
	7	Failure (parachute)	1


- We selected Landing outcomes and the **COUNT** of landing outcomes from the data and used the **WHERE** clause to filter for landing outcomes **BETWEEN** 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the GROUP BY clause to group the landing outcomes and the ORDER BY clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.



All launch sites global map markers




Markers showing launch sites with color labels



Launch Site distance to landmarks




The four key places are a coastline (0.89 km), a highway (0.6 km), a railroad (1.72 km) and the city of Melbourne (51.33 km).



Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site




Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider




We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads

Section 6 **Predictive Analysis** (Classification)

Classification Accuracy


 The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy



- Since all models got the same score on the test dataset, 83.33%, It was necessary to look at other benefits each model brings to the table, that's why the best score on the training set is also graphed.
- In the end, I reckon it would be better to choose Decision Tree Classifier since it also offers the probability of a successful landing, which can be used as an estimation of the risk.

Confusion Matrix

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

We can conclude that:

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

