## CMSC 35400 / STAT 37710

## Spring 2020 Homework 1

Reading assignment: Bishop chapters 1, 2, & 3.

1. Which of the following matrices are positive semi-definite and hence valid covariance matrices?

$$\mathbf{a)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{b)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{c)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{d}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**SOLUTION:** (a), (d) are valid covariance matrices. (b) is not PSD, (c) is not symmetric.

**2.** Let  $D = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots (x_n, y_n)\}$  where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  are the training data that you are given. As you have to predict a continuous variable, one of the simplest possible model is linear regression.

Consider the following loss function

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \,\hat{L}(\theta) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \theta^{\top} x_i)^2. \tag{1}$$

Let us introduce the  $n \times p$  matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  with the  $x_i$  as rows and the vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of the scalars  $y_i$ . Then Eq. (1) can be equivalently re-written as

$$\underset{\theta}{\arg\min} \|X\theta - y\|^2$$

We refer to any  $\theta^*$  that attains the above minimum as a solution to the problem.

a) Show that if  $X^{\top}X$  is invertible, then there is a unique  $\theta^*$  that can be computed as  $\theta^* = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ .

**SOLUTION:** Note that

$$\hat{L}(\theta) = \|X\theta - y\|^2 = (X\theta - y)^\top (X\theta - y) = \theta^\top X^\top X \theta - 2\theta^\top X^\top y + y^\top y.$$

The gradient of this function is equal to

$$\nabla \hat{L}(\theta) = 2X^{\top} X \theta - 2X^{\top} y.$$

Because  $\hat{L}(\theta)$  is convex, its optima are exactly those points that have a zero gradient, i.e., those  $\theta^*$  that satisfy  $X^{\top}X\theta^* = X^{\top}y$ . Under the given assumption, the unique minimizer is indeed equal to  $\theta^* = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ .

**b)** Show that for n < p, Eq. (1) does not admit a unique solution. Furthermore, intuitively explain why this is the case.

**SOLUTION:** Consider the SVD  $X = U\Sigma V^{\top}$  where U is an unitary  $n \times n$  matrix, V is a unitary  $p \times p$  matrix and  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal  $n \times p$  matrix, with the singular values of X on the diagonal. We then have

$$\underset{\theta}{\arg\min} \, \hat{L}(\theta) = \underset{\theta}{\arg\min} \left[ \theta^\top V \Sigma^\top \Sigma V^\top \theta - 2 y^\top U \Sigma V^\top \theta \right].$$

Rotating  $\theta$  using V to  $z = V^{\top}\theta$ , we get

$$\underset{z}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ z^{\top} \Sigma^{\top} \Sigma z - 2 y^{\top} U \Sigma z \right] = \underset{z}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[ z_i^2 \sigma_i^2 - 2 (U^{\top} y)_i z_i \sigma_i \right]$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the *i*th entry in the diagonal of  $\Sigma$ . This problem decomposes into p independent optimization problems of the form

$$z_i = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_z \left[ z^2 \sigma_i^2 - 2 (U^\top y)_i z \sigma_i \right]$$

for i = 1, ..., p. Therefore, if  $\sigma_i \neq 0$ , we get

$$z_i = \frac{(U^\top y)_i}{\sigma_i}.$$

For the case n < p, X has at most rank n, and hence at most n of its singular values are nonzero. This means that there is at least one index j such that  $\sigma_j = 0$  and hence any  $z_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is a solution to the optimization problem. As a result, the set of optimal solutions for z, and consequently for w, is a linear subspace of at least one dimension. Therefore, no unique solution exists.

The intuition behind these results is that the linear system  $X\theta = y$  is under-determined as there are less data points than parameters that we want to estimate.

- **3.** We observe  $z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for i = 1, ..., n, and consider the problem of estimating  $\mu$ . We consider some estimators:
  - a)  $\hat{\mu}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m z_i$  for some m < n. What is the bias of this estimator? What is the variance of this estimator?

**SOLUTION:** bias = 0, variance =  $\sigma^2/m$ 

- b)  $\hat{\mu}_0 = 0$ . What is the bias of this estimator? What is the variance of this estimator? **SOLUTION:** bias =  $\mu$ , variance = 0.
- c)  $\hat{\mu}_{\lambda,m} = \lambda \hat{\mu}_m + (1 \lambda)\hat{\mu}_0$  for some  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . What is the bias of this estimator? What is the variance of this estimator? What is the best (in terms of minimizing MSE) value of  $\lambda$  for given values of  $\mu$  and m?

 $\lambda^2 \sigma^2/m$ . Taking the derivative with respect to  $\lambda$  and setting it to zero, we find  $\lambda^* = \frac{m\mu^2}{\sigma^2+1}$ . So when m is larger, we should favor  $\hat{\mu}_m$  more, but when  $\mu$  is smaller, we should favor  $\hat{\mu}_0$  more.

4. Consider a random observation vector

$$y = X\theta + \epsilon$$
.

where X is an  $n \times p$ ,  $p \ll n$ , deterministic matrix and

$$\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\theta}^2 I_p).$$

 $\epsilon$  is a random noise vector, independent of  $\theta$  given by

$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I_n).$$

a) Give an expression for the covariance  $R_{yy}$  of y in terms of X. **SOLUTION:** First note that

$$\mathbb{E}y = \mathbb{E}X\theta + \mathbb{E}\epsilon = 0.$$

Then

$$R_{yy} = \mathbb{E}[(y - \mathbb{E}[y])(y - \mathbb{E}[y])^{\top}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(X\theta + \epsilon)(X\theta + \epsilon)^{\top}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X\theta(X\theta)^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[X\theta\epsilon^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon(X\theta)^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon\epsilon^{\top}]$$

$$= X\mathbb{E}[\theta\theta^{\top}]X^{\top} + \mathbb{E}[X\theta\epsilon^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon(X\theta)^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon\epsilon^{\top}]$$

$$= \sigma_{\theta}^{2}XX^{\top} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}I_{n} + \mathbb{E}[X\theta]\mathbb{E}[\epsilon^{\top}] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon]\mathbb{E}[x^{\top}]$$

$$= \sigma_{\theta}^{2}XX^{\top} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}I_{n}.$$

b) Assuming the p columns of X are orthonormal vectors, determine the first p eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $R_{yy}$ . How are the eigenvectors and eigenvalues related to X? (HINT: What can we do to  $R_{yy}$  that exploits the fact that the columns of X are orthonormal?) **SOLUTION:** First recall that an eigenvector v of a matrix A satisfies  $Av = \lambda v$ , where  $\lambda$ , a scalar, is the eigenvalue of A associated with the eigenvector v. Then we examine

 $\lambda$ , a scalar, is the eigenvalue of A associated with the eigenvector v. Then we examine the following:

$$R_{yy}X = \sigma_{\theta}^2 X X^{\top} X + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I_n X = \sigma_{\theta}^2 X + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 X = (\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2) X,$$

where we use the fact that the columns of X are orthonormal. So we see that the columns of X are first p eigenvectors of  $R_{yy}$  with eigenvalues  $(\sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$ .

c) Let's put the ideas above into action. Generate 1000 random signals in noise and form the sample covariance matrix S according to the MATLAB code below:

```
M = 10000;
n = 32;
p = 2;
sig_t = .1;
sig_e = .01;
X = ones(n,p);
for f = 0:(p-2)
  X(:,f+2) = kron(ones(2^f,1),kron([1 -1]',ones(n/2^(f+1),1)));
end
X = normc(X);
S = zeros(n);
for m = 1:M
  theta = randn(p,1)*sig_t;
  y = X*theta + randn(n,1)*sig_e;
  S = S + y*y';
end;
S = S/M;
```

Use the built-in eigenvalue and eigenvector function (eig in MATLAB) to determine a small set of vectors that span a subspace that contains most of the data. How are these related to the columns of X?

## **SOLUTION:**

```
[V,Lambda] = eigs(S,2);
figure(3);clf; plot(V);
```

The columns of V span the same subspace as the columns of X.