In this document, we review some basic and relevant results on the mathematical background behind the geometric quantization process — primarily the background on the categories Symp of symplectic manifolds with arrows given by symplectomorphisms and Hilb of Hilbert spaces with arrows given by unitary maps. In particular, we provide a basic introduction to symplectic geometry in the context of classical Hamiltonian mechanics and a basic introduction to Hilbert space theory in the context of quantum mechanics (viz. the Dirac-von Neumann axioms). We also outline the three main steps of the quantization process:

- Pre-quantization, in which we construct an associated prequantum Hilbert space out of a given symplectic manifold by considering the space of sections of the associated complex line bundle (along with an appropriate vector bundle connection); this process is a canonical one, but unfortunately the resulting Hilbert space still contains some properties that fail to agree with physical theory. This leads to the next step of the process, in which we choose a polarization.
- Polarizations are a kind of Lagrangian distribution on the tangent bundle of the phase space; by a choice of polarization, we are able to "cut down" the number of allowable states by half in order to enforce a physicality condition on the states of the associated quantum system.
- Finally, we present a metaplectic correction, where we modify the space of states by tensoring it with half-forms to ensure squareintegrability on the states and to make sure that the quantized operators have spectra matching physical intuition².

Symplectic Manifolds and Classical Mechanics

In this section we define symplectic manifolds and maps between them (symplectomorphisms), along with providing some structural information on Symp the category of symplectic manifolds and some motivation for their ubiquity in classical mechanics (via Hamiltonian mechanics).

Symplectic Vector Spaces

We first consider the flat case, i.e. a symplectic vector space³, in which we have the following definition:

Definition 1. A vector space V over a field F along with a bilinear map $\Omega: V \times V \to F$ is said to be symplectic if the map Ω is alternating, i.e. ³ A symplectic vector space is analogous to an inner product space, albeit with an important caveat — instead of a symmetric bilinear form, we have an alternating form, and the intuition is not that the symplectic form measures volume in some sense but rather that it measures "symplectic" volume. To fully explain this is outside the scope of the current conversation, though an important theorem to keep in mind for the general manifold case is the celebrated non-squeezing theorem of Gromov; essentially, there is no symplectomorphism from a sphere to a cylinder with radius smaller than that of the sphere.

^{1 ...} Assuming a few nice (co-)homological conditions on the mani-

² Although this too is often insufficient; see the relevant chapter on the metaplectic correction for more information.

 $\forall v \in V, \Omega(v,v) = 0$ as well as non-degenerate, i.e. $\Omega(v,w) = 0 \forall w \in V$ $V \rightarrow v = 0$.

In this scenario, the bilinear map Ω *is called the* symplectic form *on* V.

For the purpose of illustration, we have the following examples of symplectic vector spaces:

• Let

 $\Omega =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix; then Ω is called the *standard* symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

• Let V be any finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} , with dual space V^* . Then the direct sum $V \oplus V^*$ is a symplectic vector space with the symplectic form given by:

$$\omega(x \oplus \phi, y \oplus \psi) := \psi(x) - \phi(y).$$

In fact, it can be shown that every finite-dimensional symplectic vector space is of this form (up to isomorphism).

We will mainly be concerned with symplectic vector spaces and manifolds over real and complex numbers.

Now there are some special subspaces of symplectic vector spaces; we are mainly concerned with Lagrangian, (co-)isotropic, and symplectic subspaces of symplectic vector spaces. We have the following definitions:

Definition 2. Let (V,Ω) be a symplectic vector space. For a subspace $F \subset V$, the symplectic complement F^{\perp} of F is defined as the space of vectors "perpendicular" (in analogy to an inner product space) to every vector in F:

$$F^{\perp} := \{ x \in V | \forall y \in F, \Omega(x, y) = 0 \},$$

and F is said to be:

- Lagrangian *if* $F = F^{\perp}$;
- isotropic (resp., co-isotropic) if $F \subset F^{\perp}$ (resp., $F^{\perp} \subset F$); and
- symplectic if $F \cap F^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

Note that the Lagrangian subspaces necessarily have half the dimension of the overall space; in fact, an intuitive picture of Lagrangian subspaces are embeddings of the physical configuration space into the phase space⁴. This will gain further relevance in the

 $^{^4}$ Indeed, it is a theorem that for Vsymplectic there exists Lagrangian E such that $V = E \oplus E^*$.

context of polarizations, in which a Lagrangian distribution is chosen to "filter out" certain sections of the prequantum line bundle.

Before moving on, we make the quick remark that if there exists a symplectic form on a (finite-dimensional) vector space, the dimension of the vector space is necessarily even — for if not, then we would have an odd-dimensional matrix representation of the symplectic form, which is necessarily singular due to the antisymmetry.

Symplectic Manifolds

We now introduce the geometric analogue of symplectic vector spaces, i.e. symplectic manifolds. We call a smooth manifold and 2-form pair (M, ω) a symplectic manifold if it has a symplectic structure:

Definition 3. A differential 2-form ω on a manifold M^{2n} gives a symplectic structure if it is both closed, i.e. $d\omega = 0$ where d is the exterior *derivative, and* non-degenerate, *i.e.* $\omega \wedge ... \wedge \omega(n \text{ times}) \neq 0$.

We have the following examples:

- (Kähler Sphere.) Consider $\mathbb{S}^2 \cong \mathbb{CP}^1$; there are natural complex, symplectic, and Riemannian structures on this manifold, and manifolds that admit these three structures with each being compatible with the others (in some suitable sense) are called Kähler.
- (Cotangent Bundles.) Let Q be a smooth manifold of dimension n (not necessarily even); then the cotangent bundle T^*Q carries with it a natural symplectic structure, as follows: consider the tautological one-form — also known as the symplectic potential given by

$$\theta = \sum_{i} p_{i} \mathrm{d}q^{i},$$

where the (p_i, q^i) are canonical coordinates; then the exterior derivative of this one-form is a two-form (known as the canonical, or Poincare, two-form):

$$\omega = -\mathrm{d}\theta = \sum_i \mathrm{d}q^i \wedge \mathrm{d}p_i.$$

One may verify that this is closed and non-degenerate.

Hamiltonian Mechanics

At this point it becomes relevant to introduce the physical importance of symplectic geometry; while we will not introduce all of Hamiltonian mechanics (see the relevant chapter of the appendix for a quick cursory overview), we will make the following definitions:

Definition 4. • A configuration space refers to an n-dimensional smooth manifold Q for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$; physically, the manifold forms a space of classical states (e.g., positions in space). The term phase space refers to the cotangent bundle T^*Q , which corresponds to a space of all possible states of the physical system. Unlike general symplectic manifolds, cotangent bundles possess global canonical coordinates.

(As an example of a non-Euclidean configuration space, the configuration space of an asymmetric rigid body in three dimensions could be modelled by $\mathbb{R}^3 \times SO(3)$ — where the left factor corresponds to location in space and the right factor corresponds to rotation.)

• By a Hamiltonian we refer to a specified function $H: T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the energy of the physical system; as a path in phase space (parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$) represents a change in state over time, we have the following equations governing the dynamics on a physical system, based on the HamiltonianThough it is outside the scope of this writing, the Hamiltonian, usually expressed in the form H = T + V separating out the kinetic energy function from the potential energy function, is obtained in practice from a combination of theoretical prediction based on physical intuition and experimental practice — the relative amount of each differs based on the physical system.:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}q_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i},$$

where the coordinates are canonical coordinates. The pair of equations above are known as Hamilton's equations of motion.

• Observable quantities on phase space are modelled by smooth functions in $C^{\infty}(T^*Q)$; furthermore, there a construction on $C^*\infty(T^*Q)$ known the Poisson bracket, defined as:

$$\{f,g\} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{i}} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{i}},$$

that when combined with Hamilton's equations above give the evolution

$${f,H} = \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Additionally, an important theorem of Darboux provides a way of working locally.

Theorem 1. (Darboux.) Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold; for any point $p \in M$, there is a local chart U_p with coordinates x_i, y_i such that

$$\omega = \sum_{i} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

In other words, there is a local representation of the symplectic form as a wedge product of exterior derivatives of coordinates around each point; symplectic manifolds are locally isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{2n} with the standard symplectic

Recall that the symplectic form of a cotangent bundle has a global representation via the canonical coordinates; this is not true for symplectic manifolds in general, but Darboux' theorem tells us that it is at least possible on a local level.

Symmetries

We also have the following definition of a symmetry of a symplectic manifold:

Definition 5. Let (M^{2n}, ω) be a symplectic manifold and suppose a Lie group G acts on (M, ω) symplectically, i.e. each $g \in G$ respects the symplectic form:

$$\forall m \in M, \omega_m(v, w) = \omega_{g \cdot m}(v, w)$$

Then G is said to be a group of symmetries, and each $g \in G$ is said to be a symmetry of the system represented by (M, ω) .

Every symmetry gives rise to a symplectomorphism $\phi_g: M \to M$, i.e. $\phi_q^*\omega = \omega$. Hence, each group of symmetries has a represention by a subgroup of $Sp(M, \omega)$, the group of symplectomorphisms on M.

Concluding Remarks

Finally, we conclude by remarking that there is no general agreement on which maps should be used as the morphisms in a category where the objects are symplectic manifolds. Certainly, symplectomorphisms (i.e. those maps where $f^*(\omega_1) = \omega_2$) are closed under composition, but another proposal (by Weinstein, see [weinstein-CanonicalReln]) argued that canonical relations were the maps to consider with a symplectic category, but canonical relations are not necessarily closed under composition.

The question becomes even more hairy in the context of the theory of quantization; one proposal is a category where objects are pairs of Poisson manifolds with a symplectic manifold as intermediary — see chapter 10. Alternatively, perhaps it makes more sense to categorify "horizontally", i.e. what is known as "oid-ification" — see chapter 9 for a discussion of symplectic groupoids.

Hilbert Spaces and Quantum Mechanics

In this section, we will review the rudiments of the standard Hilbert space formalism behind (non-relativistic) quantum mechanics.

Hilbert Spaces

In general, the following ingredients are necessary for the mathematical structure behind quantum mechanics: for a given physical system, we have \mathcal{H} , a (separable) Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} the complex numbers, along with

- states being given by either equivalence classes of elements of \mathcal{H} (up to scalar multiples) — i.e., classes of the form $[\psi] = \{\lambda \cdot \psi :$ $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0$ — or equivalently, elements of the projective Hilbert space **P** \mathcal{H} , which is given by the map $\pi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbf{P}\mathcal{H}$, $\phi \mapsto [\phi]$.
- observables being given by self-adjoint (i.e., Hermitian) linear operators $\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$; since they are self-adjoint, all eigenvalues are real (by the same proof as for Hermitian matrices). Results of physical measurements on observables are given by the eigenvalues of the observable in question.

As for the dynamics, there are two main interpretations stemming from equivalent physical viewpoints: the Heisenberg picture, where one views the observables as the dynamical objects, or the Schrödinger picture, where one views the states as the dynamic objects.

We have a special observable that defines the dynamics on the system, called the *Hamiltonian*, denoted either *H* (in Schrödinger picture) or H(t) (in Heisenberg picture), and the following equations determining time-evolution:

• for the Heisenberg picture — assuming states are time-independent and observables are time-varying — we have

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{O}(t) = [\mathcal{O}(t), H(t)];$$

• for the Schrödinger picture — assuming states are time-varying and observables are constant — we have

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = H\psi(t).$$

We note that dynamics are not often considered in "vanilla" geometric quantization; rather, the process focuses on finding a mapping between symplectic manifolds and Hilbert spaces, i.e. between the phase space and the state space of the classical and quantum systems, respectively.

Symmetries on a Hilbert Space

We also have the following definition of a *symmetry* on a Hilbert space, due to E. Wigner:

Definition 6. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space; then a linear bijective map $U: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is called a (quantum) symmetry iff the "transition probabilities" are preserved under U, i.e.

$$\forall \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}, \frac{|\langle U(\psi), U(\phi) \rangle|^2}{||U(\phi)||^2 \cdot ||U(\psi)||^2} = \frac{|\langle \psi, \phi \rangle|^2}{||\phi||^2 \cdot ||\psi||^2}.$$

Intuitively, symmetries may be thought of as unitary or antiunitary operators: recall that $U: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a *unitary operator* if

$$\forall \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}, \langle U(\psi), U(\phi) \rangle = \langle \psi, \phi \rangle,$$

and $A: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is an anti-unitary operator if

$$\forall \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}, \langle U(\psi), U(\phi) \rangle = \langle \phi, \psi \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that all unitary and anti-unitary operators are symmetries. The converse is known as Wigner's theorem:

Theorem 2 (Wigner's Theorem). Let $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ be a symmetry on a Hilbert space H.

Category of Hilbert Spaces

What is the appropriate way to consider the collection of Hilbert spaces as a category? The following are some considerations:

- The category of *finite-dimensional* Hilbert spaces,
- The theory of Hilbert spaces has resisted categorification in some ways, though
- Hilbert spaces with bounded linear operators can be viewed as a dagger-category

We will not mention much more about the theory of Hilbert spaces here; for further details, consult the appendix and the references.

Overview of the Geometric Quantization Process

We now give an overview of the geometric quantization of symplectic manifolds. The process is done in three steps, each with subtleties in their own right:

- The prequantization step does indeed provide us with a Hilbert space, but this space possesses un-physical properties in many cases⁵, necessitating the choice of a polarization; further, not all arbitrary symplectic manifolds may be prequantized — prequantizability is decided by the Bohr-Sommerfeld condition, a cohomological constraint.
- The notion of a polarization "cuts down" the dependency on the extraneous variables that the states have, through the choice of a Lagrangian distribution (i.e. an isotropic distribution of dimension half that of the symplectic manifold); however, we end up with states that are not square-integrable or otherwise do not belong in the quantized Hilbert space. This also leads to observables with spectra that do not match their physics.
- Finally, the metaplectic "correction" the term "correction" stemming from historical reasons — ameliorates this last issue by tensoring the state space by a space of half-forms so as to render the states (square-)integrable and to correct the spectra of the quantized operators, thought it requires the introduction of new structures: the metaplectic group is the Lie group that double-covers the symplectic group, and the covering map induces a lift from a symplectic structure to a metaplectic structure, which is a symplectic analogue of a spin structure in Riemannian geometry. A detailed discussion of the structures involved is discussed in the section on the metaplectic correction.

A motivating desire is to construct a functorial correspondence from Symp — the category of symplectic manifolds and symplectomorphisms — to Hilb — the category of Hilbert spaces and unitary maps. As we will see, this is not necessarily natural in the sense that the geometric quantization construction may not be applicable to all symplectic manifolds, and not all observables $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ are quantizable. Further, these may not even be the right categories; we examine the theory of quantization in the case of symplectic groupoids in chapter 9, and in chapter 10 we consider a category where the objects consist of triples $P_1 \leftarrow M \rightarrow P_2$ — called (Weinstein) dual pairs where $P_{1,2}$ are Poisson manifolds and M is a symplectic manifold. Finally, in chapter 12 we consider the state of development of the "quantization functor" in a modern context.

We first give an overall summary of the geometric quantization process in this section; we will elaborate with intuition and theorems that justify the definition of each portion of the process in the corresponding section.

Definition 7. Let (M^n, ω) be a symplectic manifold with ω having integral

⁵ For example, obtaining quantum wavefunctions that depend on more variables than the dimension of the configuration space.

cohomology class, i.e. for all cocycles S,

$$\int_{S} \omega \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then:

• (*Prequantization.*) Let $\pi: L \to M$ be some complex line bundle over M, and let $\Gamma(M,L)$ be the space of smooth sections $s:M\to L$. Further suppose we have a connection whose curvature 2-form is equivalent to ω and we have a hermitian metric h on the line bundle. Then we have the prequantum Hilbert space given by the completion of the collection of square integrable sections, where $\psi \in \Gamma(M, L)$ is square integrable if

$$\int_{M} h(\psi, \psi) \omega^{n} < \infty.$$

- (*Polarization.*) Let $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ be the complexified tangent bundle of M, i.e. $T^{\mathbb{C}}M = TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. A polarization of (M, ω) is an involutive, Lagrangian sub-bundle $\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow T^{\mathbb{C}}M$.
- (Metaplectic Correction.) The metaplectic group is the double cover of the symplectic group; from the double-covering map, we get a lift of structures to obtain a metaplectic structure on the symplectic manifold M, allowing us to define half-densities; sections are tensored with halfdensities to guarantee square-integrability.

From these definitions we may construct the following:

• The quantized Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is defined to be the (metric completion of the) complex inner product space generated by elements of the form $s \otimes \sigma$, where

$$s \in \Gamma(M, L), \sigma \in \Gamma(M, N^{1/2}), \nabla_X s = 0, \mathcal{L}_X \sigma = 0 \forall X$$

where X is any locally Hamiltonian vector field in $\mathcal{P} \cup \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ for \mathcal{P} some choice of polarization.

The inner product of this Hilbert space is given by

$$\langle s_1 \otimes \sigma_1, s_2 \otimes \sigma_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle.$$

The space of states is defined to be $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{H}$, the projectivization of \mathcal{H} .

• We remark that, given a choice of polarization, not all classical observables can be quantized; it is a condition that the observable's associated Hamiltonian vector field must preserve the polarization in question, i.e. for $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ with associated Hamiltonian vector field X_f , f is quanti*zable if and only if* $[X_f, \mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Suppose f is quantizable. Then we define the quantized observable associated to f, $O_f^{\mathcal{P}}$, by its action on the generator states:

$$O_f^{\mathcal{P}}(s \otimes \sigma) = (O_f^{preq}s) \otimes \sigma + i \cdot s \otimes (\mathcal{L}_{X_f}\sigma),$$

where O_f^{preq} is the prequantized operator associated to f, given by

$$O_f^{preq}\psi=i\hbar\nabla_{X_f}\psi+f\cdot\psi,$$

the sum of the parallel transport of ψ through f's associated vector field, and the product of f with ψ .

The historical truth is that the Hilbert space was not defined as such in one attempt; rather, the latter two steps of the process above were successive improvements and corrections to the pre-quantum Hilbert space (what one may think of as a naive first attempt at defining a Hilbert space from which one may canonically recover the geometry of M). This series of improvements will be discussed in the following chapters.