
SM19

Blysmus rufus salt-marsh community

Blysmetum rufi (G. E. & G. Du Rietz 1925) Gillner 1960

Synonymy

Juncus gerardii-*Carex extensa* Association Birks 1973 *p.p.*

Constant species

Blysmus rufus, *Agrostis stolonifera*, *Glaux maritima*, *Juncus gerardii*, *Triglochin maritima*.

Rare species

Blysmus rufus.

Physiognomy

The *Blysmetum rufi* is a species-poor association, generally dominated by *Blysmus rufus* but often with abundant *Agrostis stolonifera*, *Glaux maritima* and *Juncus gerardii*. *Triglochin maritima*, *Festuca rubra*, *Plantago maritima* and *Carex extensa* are all frequent but rarely present in quantity. Some stands may have an extensive algal mat and certain bryophytes may be abundant: *Amblystegium riparium*, *A. serpens*, *Calliergon cuspidatum*, *Campyllum stellatum*, *C. polygamum*, *Drepanocladus aduncus* and *Cratoneuron filicinum*. Cover may be somewhat open, especially on gravelly or rocky substrates, and stands are usually small (10–20 m²), though at a number of Scottish sites the association covers hundreds of square metres.

Habitat

The association occurs on a variety of substrates but sites are often either poorly-drained or subject to flushing by brackish or fresh-water. The characteristic situation is in small depressions in the upper marsh. In some cases, the *Blysmetum* may develop in old upper-marsh pans, especially where these have a shingle base, and it is sometimes present along path edges (Gillner 1960, Tyler 1969b, Beeftink 1977a). In west Scotland, small stands are widespread within rocky flushes in the salt-marsh/mire transition on raised beaches and also among coastal rocks (Gillham 1957b, Birks 1973, Adam *et al.*

1977). The majority of occurrences are on grazed salt-marshes, although *B. rufus* itself does not appear to be much eaten.

Zonation and succession

Although *B. rufus* sometimes occurs as scattered shoots within the *Juncetum gerardi* (notably at Caerlaverock NNR, Dumfries & Galloway; Martin 1977), stands of the *Blysmetum* are usually rather sharply defined from the *Juncetum gerardi* which is the usual surrounding vegetation. Freshwater flushing over gravel sometimes allows the association to develop at fairly low levels in the marsh but it is unlikely that the *Blysmetum* plays any role in succession.

Distribution

B. rufus is one of the very few species constituting a northern element in the British salt-marsh flora (Ratcliffe 1977) and the association is locally distributed along the west coast from mid-Wales northwards, being commonest in west Scotland. Its generally small stands render it vulnerable to local extinction following habitat disturbance but, though there is some evidence for the loss of *B. rufus* in the southern part of its range (Perring & Walters 1962, Martin 1977, Ratcliffe 1977), there is nothing to suggest a large-scale contraction in distribution.

Affinities

The *Blysmetum rufi* is not discussed in the early descriptions of British salt-marshes which were mainly concerned with communities in south-east England. It has, however, been referred to in more recent accounts from northern and western Britain (Gillham 1957b, Greenwood 1972, Birks 1973, Adam *et al.* 1977) and the association is widely described on the Continent, where it is especially widespread in southern Scandinavia.

The *Blysmetum* shows clear affinities with the *Juncetum gerardi* and some accounts of the community

regard it as part of that association (e.g. Birks 1973) or as a closely-related association within the *Armerion maritimae* (e.g. Beeftink 1965, 1977a). An alternative treatment is to place the association alongside the *Eleocharitetum uniglumis*, which is similar in its physiognomy and its habitat to the *Blysmetum*, and which is

itself the centre of a separate alliance, the *Eleocharion uniglumis* (Siira 1970, Tyler *et al.* 1971).

There are some ecological similarities between the *Blysmetum* of the Scottish raised-beach flushes and certain of the *Caricion davallianae* communities *sensu* Wheeler (1980b).

Floristic table SM19

<i>Blysmus rufus</i>	V (3–9)
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	V (2–7)
<i>Glaux maritima</i>	V (2–7)
<i>Juncus gerardii</i>	V (2–6)
<i>Triglochin maritima</i>	IV (1–5)
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	III (2–6)
<i>Plantago maritima</i>	III (2–5)
<i>Carex extensa</i>	III (2–5)
<i>Aster tripolium</i> (rayed)	II (2–3)
<i>Armeria maritima</i>	II (2–5)
Algal mat	II (3–7)
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	II (2–5)
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	II (1–6)
<i>Eleocharis uniglumis</i>	II (4–9)
<i>Alopecurus geniculatus</i>	I (3–5)
<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	I (2–3)
<i>Leontodon autumnalis</i>	I (2–3)
<i>Eleocharis quinqueflora</i>	I (5)
<i>Triglochin palustris</i>	I (2–3)
<i>Amblystegium riparium</i>	I (3–4)
<i>Amblystegium serpens</i>	I (2–4)
<i>Carex nigra</i>	I (3–4)
<i>Calliergon cuspidatum</i>	I (3–7)
<i>Cochlearia anglica</i>	I (2)
<i>Campylium polygamum</i>	I (2–5)
<i>Oenanthe lachenalii</i>	I (3)
<i>Puccinellia maritima</i>	I (1–4)
<i>Carex lepidocarpa</i>	I (2–3)
<i>Campylium stellatum</i>	I (3–5)
Number of samples	23
Mean number of species/sample	10 (5–17)
Mean vegetation height (cm)	17 (6–25)
Mean total cover (%)	90 (50–100)

