OV25

Urtica dioica-Cirsium arvense community

Constant species

Cirsium arvense, Urtica dioica.

Physiognomy

The Urtica dioica-Cirsium arvense community typically has a rather open or patchy cover of U. dioica, usually grown tall by mid-summer but not so densely developed as to exclude other associates. Among these companions, large thistles and coarse grasses are the most conspicuous, with Cirsium arvense and C. vulgare both occurring frequently, and often in some abundance, and Dactylis glomerata, Elymus repens, Holcus lanatus and Arrhenatherum elatius very common in various of the sub-communities. Galium aparine is occasional, its shoots sprawling over the taller herbs, and there can be scattered plants of Lamium purpureum, Leucanthemum vulgare, Epilobium hirsutum and Carduus acanthoides.

Sub-communities

Holcus lantus-Poa annua sub-community. Elymus repens and Holcus lanatus with, somewhat less frequently, Poa annua and Agrostis stolonifera give a distinctly grassy look to this vegetation and there is often some Sonchus asper, S. oleraceus, Senecio vulgaris, Daucus carota, Rumex obtusifolius and Echium vulgare. Locally, Pteridium aquilinum can figure. Smaller associates in more open places among this tall and coarse herb cover include Cerastium fontanum, Trifolium repens, T. pratense and Myosotis arvensis and Vicia sativa is an occasional climber.

Rumex obtusifolius-Artemisia vulgaris sub-community. Elymus repens, Dactylis glomerata and Arrhenatherum elatius are frequent here, along with the nettle and thistles, but the most distinctive feature is the common occurrence of Artemisia vulgaris and Heracleum sphondylium with occasional Calystegia sepium, Malva sylvestris and Conium maculatum.

Lolium perenne-Papaver rhoeas sub-community. Dactylis and Arrhenatherum remain common in this sub-community but more striking is the frequent occurrence of Lolium perenne and Papaver rhoeas along with occasional Bromus mollis, B. sterilis, Matricaria maritima, Silene vulgaris, Sisymbrium officinale and Anthriscus sylvestris. Rubus fruticosus agg. can be patchily abundant and stands among fens may have Phragmites australis.

Habitat

The *Urtica-Cirsium* community is characteristic of disturbed areas of nutrient-rich loamy soils within badlymanaged pastures and leys, on abandoned arable land, waysides, verges and waste ground, and woodland clearings.

The most obvious floristic difference between this kind of nettle vegetation and the *Urtica-Galium* community is the more consistent frequency and abundance here of the two thistles, *Cirsium arvense* and *C. vulgare*, and this reflects some contrast in the characteristic habitats of the two assemblages. These thistles both get a hold and thrive best on open areas of bare soil as on molehills in pastures, places where long-lying dung pats have smothered grassy swards, on newly-seeded verges, on abandoned ground and dumped soil, and on derelict land.

C. arvense produces seed where can germinate in the autumn after flowering, although the warming and fluctuating temperatures of spring are especially favourable to its establishment. The first tap root quickly puts out laterals on which buds produce new vertical vegetative or flowering shoots: these can thus give rise to a patch of thistles and horizontal spread can occur with formidable speed, up to 12 m or more in a single season. With such a successful form of vegetative establishment, it matters less that the flowering heads of single individuals or clones are usually functionally dioecious and the inflorescences sterile. When both male and female plants occur in close proximity, however, viable fruits are freely

produced and readily dispersed by wind (Salisbury 1964).

C. vulgare is a biennial when its life cycle proceeds unhindered, and it reproduces entirely by seed, though predation by herbivores can thicken up clumps where stock or rabbits devour flowering stems and stimulate the production of secondary shoots (Klinkhamer & de Jong 1993). In fact, the prickly vegetative shoots are unpalatable to most stock and grazing of surrounding vegetation can greatly help establishment on small areas of bare ground by keeping potential competitors to the thistle rosettes in check.

Both Cirsium spp. can colonise soils of varying texture and moisture content although they perform best on circumneutral loams that are free-draining but not prone to drought. Growing together here with Urtica dioica, the favoured situations are nutrient-rich, such as fertilised pastures and arable land and disturbed top soil.

The various sub-communities are characteristic to some extent of different habitats although the details of the particular conditions of each are uncertain. The Lolium-Papaver type occurs on verges and derelict pastures which have been disturbed, on dumped soil and waste ground and in the fairly early stages of colonisation of abandoned arable land. The Elymus-Artemisia sub-community is seen on waste ground and in disturbed woodland clearances or young plantations. The Holcus-Poa type is characteristic of dumped soil and waste ground.

Zonation and succession

The community occurs typically with other weed vegetation or among various grasslands and scrub communities. Invasion by brambles, shrubs and trees can continue or restore a succession to woodland.

Among neglected, disturbed or ill-managed pastures and leys, this kind of vegetation can occur very fragmentarily around molehills and patches of broken ground, passing sharply to the *Lolio-Cynosuretum* or Lolio-Plantaginion leys. On grassy waysides and verges, stands can be larger and the context is usually communities like

the *Lolium-Dactylis* assemblage or the *Arrhenatheretum*. Along road edges, it can give way to the *Poa-Matricaria* perforata community.

On wasteland, the Urtica-Cirsium community can occur with a variety of other weed vegetation like the Matricaria perforata-Stellaria or Stellaria-Capsella assemblages, in various sub-communities of which both stinging nettle and thistles can remain prominent. Such vegetation types can precede the development of the Urtica-Cirsium community on abandoned arable land or waste ground. Subsequent stages in succession can be seen where ground remains undisturbed or unmanaged. Then, Rubus fruticosus agg. can become more patchily prominent among the Urtica-Cirsium vegetation, thicken up into Rubus-Holcus underscrub and this in turn progress to Crataegus-Hedera scrub. Mosaics of such communities are a common feature of abandoned waste ground and grossly-disturbed woodland clearings and margins. Eventual development of Quercus-Pteridium-Rubus or Fagus-Rubus woodland is the likely culmination of such succession on the kind of soils where the Urtica-Cirsium community occurs.

Distribution

The community is ubiquitous through the British low-

Affinities

This kind of vegetation presents various general features characteristic of a number of associations recognised in other parts of Europe where *U. dioica* plays a prominent role: the *Urtico-Convolvuletum sepium* Görs & Müller 1969, the *Urtico-Aegopodietum podagrariae* (R.Tx. 1963) Oberdorfer 1964 in Görs 1968 and the *Urtico-Cruciatetum laevipedis* Dierschke 1974. It comes closest in some ways to the last type, though lacks many of the woodland fringe taxa characteristic there. These associations are variously grouped in the Galio-Alliarion (Oberdorfer 1957) Lohmeyer & Oberdorfer in Oberdorfer *et al.* 1967 or the Aegopodion podagrariae R.Tx. 1967, alliances now placed in the Galio-Urticetea Passarge ex Kopecký 1969.

Floristic table OV25

	L L		25
	1 1	, ,	IV (1–6)
V (1–6)	IV (2–6)	IV (3–6)	IV (1–6)
IV (3-5)	V (3-8)		III (3–8)
II (2–4)	IV (3–5)	IV (3-4)	III (2–5)
IV (2-5)	I (4)	I (4)	II (2–5)
III (1-3)	II (3)	II (2-4)	II (1-4)
III (4–7)		II (3–4)	II (3–7)
III (2–5)			II (2-5)
III (2–3)			I (2-3)
II (1-3)		I (2)	I (1-3)
II (1-5)		I (1)	I (1-5)
			I (3)
		` ,	I (4–5)
			I (5–6)
			I (3-5)
			I (1-3)
			I (1–5)
II (2–4)			I (2-4)
II (3)	III (1–4)	I (3)	II (1-4)
. ,	• •		II (2–8)
		,	II (3–4)
I (3)			II (2–5)
. ,		I (7)	I (3–7)
	• •		II (2–7)
		,	I (3–10)
	I (3–5)		I (3-5)
II (4–5)	II (3–4)	V (3-6)	III (3–6)
	III (2-4)	IV (2–6)	III (2–6)
	• •	IV (2-6)	II (2–6)
, ,	I (4)	II (3–7)	II (3–7)
I (3)	, ,	II (3-4)	II (3-4)
. ,	I (3)	, ,	II (3–4)
		, ,	II (2–4)
	, -	, ,	II (2–6)
			I (3-5)
			I (2-5)
I (3)	, ,		I (2-3)
. /	I (2-4)	II (4)	I (2-4)
	• /	II (2–3)	I (2-3)
		·	I (3–5)
			I (3)
		* /	I (3–8)
		· ·	I (3–4)
		(- ·)	(- ·)
	II (2-4) IV (2-5) III (1-3) III (4-7) III (2-5) III (2-3) II (1-3) II (1-5) II (3) II (4-5) II (5-6) II (3-5) II (1-3) II (1-3) II (1-5)	IV (1-4) V (1-6) IV (2-6) IV (2-6) IV (3-5) II (2-4) IV (3-5) IV (3-5) IV (2-5) II (4) III (1-3) III (4-7) III (2-5) III (2-3) II (1-3) II (1-5) II (3) II (4-5) II (3-5) II (1-3) II (1-5) II (2-4) II (3) II (4-8) III (3-4) II (3) II (2-7) II (3-5) II (3-5) II (4-5) II (3-5) II (4-7) II (3-7) II (IV (1-4)

Dipsacus fullonum			I (2)	I (2)
Amaranthus albus			I (5)	I (5)
Carduus nutans			I (3)	I (3)
Malva neglecta			I (4)	I (4)
Cirsium vulgare	II (3–4)	II (3–4)	II (3-4)	II (3–4)
Lamium purpureum	II (1)	II (3–4)	I (4)	II (1 -4)
Galium aparine	II (3–4)	I (3)	II (2-3)	II (2-4)
Leucanthemum vulgare	I (3)	I (3)	I (3)	I (3)
Centaurea scabiosa	I (4)	I (3)	I (3)	I (3-4)
Atriplex prostrata	I (3)	I (5)		I (3-5)
Epilobium hirsutum	I (2)	I (4–5)		I (2-5)
Carduus acanthoides		I (2-4)	I (5)	I (2-5)
Plantago lanceolata		I (3)	I (4)	I (3-4)
Geranium molle	I (3)		I (3)	I (3)
Senecio jacobaea	I (1)		I (3)	I (1-3)
Aster tripolium	I (2)		I (4)	I (2-4)
Avena fatua	I (3)		I (4)	I (3-4)
Glechoma hederacea	I (3)		I (2)	I (2–3)
Number of samples	8	11	8	27
Number of species/sample	16 (9–31)	11 (8–19)	17 (10–31)	14 (8–31)

a Holcus lanatus-Poa annua sub-community

b Rumex obtusifolius-Artemisia vulgaris sub-community

c Lolium perenne-Papaver rhoeas sub-community

²⁵ Urtica dioica-Cirsium arvense community (total)