Experiments with OpenAl

Introduction

>>What is ChatGPT?

It is not clear what you are referring to as "chatGPT." There is no information available about a specific product or technology called "chatGPT." It is possible that you may be thinking of GPT-3, which is a large language model developed by OpenAI that can be used for a variety of natural language processing tasks, including language generation, translation, summarization, and more. However, GPT-3 is not specifically designed for chat or messaging applications.

•••

>>Are you ChatGPT right?

No, I am an AI language model developed by OpenAI and I am not specifically designed for chat or messaging applications. I am a general-purpose AI that can assist users with a wide range of tasks and questions by providing information and answering questions to the best of my ability based on the information that I have been trained on. I do not have the ability to engage in real-time conversations or interact with users through chat or messaging applications. I am simply a tool that can provide information and assistance to users through text-based interactions. Is there something specific you would like to know or ask me about?

...

>> Well everyone right now calls you ChatGPT, do you know why you may not know your own name?

As an artificial intelligence, I do not have a personal identity or a specific name. I am simply a program that has been designed to assist users with a wide range of tasks and questions by providing information and answering questions to the best of my ability based on the information that I have been trained on. I do not have the ability to have personal experiences or feelings, and I do not have a sense of self in the same way that humans do.

The name "ChatGPT" is not a name that has been assigned to me by my developers or by anyone else. It is possible that some users may refer to me as "ChatGPT" because I am an AI language model trained by OpenAI, and GPT is a commonly used abbreviation for "Generative Pre-trained Transformer," which is the type of model that I am based on. However, I do not have a specific name or identity, and I am simply a tool that can be used to provide information and assistance to users through text-based interactions.

,

Ask AI to solve Clearing Hacker Rank

Hacker Rank: ICE Back-End Engineer (Java) evaluation - Clearing Technology - 2022

1. Message Processing Stopping

[AB]>> In the following code snippet, assume processMessage() takes exactly 1 second.

public class MessageProcessor { public boolean stop = false; public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException { MessageProcessor processor = new MessageProcessor(); processor.startProcessing(); Thread.sleep(10000); processor.stopProcessing(); // wait for processor to stop } private void stopProcessing() { stop = true; } private void startProcessing() { new Thread(() -> { System.out.println("Message processor started"); while (!stop) { processMessage(); // assume this takes 1 second to complete } System.out.println("Message processor stopped"); }).start(); } private void processMessage() { // do work } } The MessageProcessor will stop processing messages.

Tell me which one of these is correct: 10 seconds after it started Immediately after processor.stopProcessing() is invoked Upto 1 second after processor.stopProcessing() is invoked May stop sometime after processor.stopProcessing() is invoked

[AI]>> The MessageProcessor will stop processing messages upto 1 second after processor.stopProcessing() is invoked. This is because the while loop in the startProcessing method continues to execute until the stop variable is set to true, and each iteration of the loop involves a call to processMessage(), which takes 1 second to complete. Therefore, it will take up to 1 second for the while loop to complete after the stopProcessing method is invoked, at which point the MessageProcessor will stop processing messages.

2. Print Map Value Java

[AB]>> What does the following code snippet print when it is run: public class KeyTest { public static void main(String[] args) { Map<Key, String> testMap = new HashMap<>(); testMap.put(new Key("abc"), "ABC"); testMap.put(new Key("def"), "DEF"); System.out.println(testMap.get(new Key("abc"))); } private static class Key { String key; Key(String key) { this.key = key; } }

[AI]>> This code snippet will print "ABC" when it is run.

The code creates a Map object called **testMap** that maps objects of type **Key** to values of type **String**. It then adds two key-value pairs to the map using the **put** method, with the keys being **new Key("abc")** and **new Key("def")**, and the values being "ABC" and "DEF" respectively.

Finally, the code calls the **get** method on the **testMap** object, passing it a key of **new Key("abc")**, and prints the value that is returned. Since the key **new Key("abc")** was added to the map earlier, the **get** method will return the value "ABC", which will be printed to the console.

[AB]>> I don't think you are correct new Key("abc") creates a new reference and the Key class doesn't implement equals and hashcode, therefore the map should return null.

[AI]>> You are correct. In this code snippet, the **Key** class does not override the **equals** and **hashCode** methods, which means that two **Key** objects with the same **key** field will not be considered equal by the **Map** object.

As a result, when the code calls **testMap.get(new Key("abc"))**, it will pass a reference to a new **Key** object to the **get** method, rather than the reference to the **Key** object that was originally added to the map. Since the **Map** object does not consider these two objects equal, it will return **null** when the **get** method is called.

To fix this issue, you would need to override the **equals** and **hashCode** methods in the **Key** class to properly compare the contents of two **Key** objects, rather than just their references. For example:

```
private static class Key {
   String key;

   Key(String key) {
     this.key = key;
   }

   @Override
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
     if (o == this) return true;
     if (!(o instanceof Key)) return false;
        Key other = (Key) o;
        return key.equals(other.key);
   }

   @Override
   public int hashCode() {
        return Objects.hash(key);
   }
}
```

With these overridden methods, the 'Map' object will properly compare the contents of two 'Key' objects, rather than just their references, and will be able to correctly retrieve the value "ABC" when the 'get' method is ca

3. Single Linked List Review

[AB]>> The following code is a simple implementation of a singlely linked list data structure. The method hasLoop() has been written to detect if a loop exists within the list. You can assume the code contains no compilation errors, but within the line number range, does contain one bug that will prevent it from processing the correct result. class ListNode { private int value;

```
ListNode next:
                               public ListNode(int v) {
                                                                      value = v:
                                                                                             next =
null;
               public ListNode(int v, ListNode n) {
       }
                                                              value = v;
                                                                                     next = n;
       }
               public int getValue() {
                                              return value; }} class List { private ListNode
head; public List() {
                               head = null;
                                              }
                                                      public List(ListNode In) {
                                                                                             head =
       } // The algorithm is to start two pointers at the head of the list; as the first pointer
advances one element in the list, the second advances // by two elements. 01
                                                                                     public boolean
hasLoop() { 02
                               ListNode In1, In2; 03
                                                              if ((head == null) || (head.next ==
null)) { return false;} 04 05
                                      In1 = head; 06
                                                              In2 = head.next; 07 08
       while (true) { 09
                                              if (ln1 == ln2) {return true;} 10 11
       if (ln1.next == null) {return false;} 12
                                                              else {ln1 = ln1.next;} 13 14
       if (ln1 == ln2) {return true;} 15 16
                                                              if (ln2.next == null) {return false;} 17
               else {ln2 = ln2.next;} 18 19
                                                              if (ln1 == ln2) {return true;} 20 21
               if (ln2.next == null) {return false;} 22
                                                                      else {ln2 = ln2.next;} 23
               }} Tell me the line number(s) that contains the bug.
       } 24
```

[AI]>> The code appears to contain no bugs that would prevent it from correctly detecting if a loop exists within the list.

Here is how the code works:

- 1. The method checks if the head of the list is null or if the next element in the list is null (line 3). If either of these conditions is true, it returns false, as a loop cannot exist in an empty list or a list with only one element.
- 2. The method initializes two pointers, ln1 and ln2, to the head of the list (lines 5 and 6).
- 3. The method enters an infinite loop (line 8).
- 4. Within the loop, the method checks if ln1 and ln2 are equal (line 9). If they are equal, it returns true, as this indicates that a loop exists in the list.
- 5. If In1.next is null (line 11), the method returns false, as this indicates that the list has come to an end and no loop was found.
- 6. If In1.next is not null (line 12), the method advances In1 by one element by assigning In1.next to In1.
- 7. The method repeats the same steps for ln2 (lines 14-22). It checks if ln2 is equal to ln1 (line 14), if ln2.next is null (line 16), and if ln2.next is not null (line 17), it advances ln2 by two elements by assigning ln2.next.next to ln2.
- 8. If none of these conditions are met, the loop continues and the process repeats until a loop is detected or the end of the list is reached.

Overall, the code appears to be correctly implemented and should be able to detect if a exists within the list.	loop

4. Number of Olives in Martini

>> Complete the blanks in the following question with the appropriate answer. After the execution of the "bartend" method, below, how many olives are in Silent Bob's martini (referenced by instance variable "_silentBobsMartini"). Assume that the "addOlive" method adds one olive with each call.

```
class Bar { Glass _silentBobsMartini = null; Glass _jaysMartini = null; public void bartend() { Glass[] glasses = {_silentBobsMartini, _jaysMartini}; for(int z = 0; z < 2; z++) { mixDrink(glasses[z]); } } public void mixDrink(Glass drink) { if(drink == null) { drink = new Glass(); } drink.addBeverage(new BeverageUnit()); drink.addOlive(new Olive()); } }
```

How many olives are in Silent Bob's martini(_silentBobsMartini)?

[AI]>> It is not possible to determine how many olives are in Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) based on the provided code. The "bartend" method creates an array of Glass objects and calls the "mixDrink" method on each element of the array, but it does not specify which Glass object corresponds to Silent Bob's martini or Jay's martini. Additionally, the "mixDrink" method checks if the passed Glass object is null, and if it is, it creates a new Glass object and adds a BeverageUnit and an Olive to it. Therefore, it is possible that Silent Bob's martini and Jay's martini are both null and new Glass objects are created for them, or it is possible that they are already initialized Glass objects and the "mixDrink" method is called on them without modifying them. Without more information about the state of the objects and the specific calls made to the "mixDrink" method, it is not possible to determine how many olives are in Silent Bob's martini.

[AB]>> Take into account that in Java object variables assigned by reference.

[AI]>> In Java, object variables are assigned by reference, which means that when an object variable is assigned to another object, the two variables are references to the same object in memory.

Given this information, we can determine that after the execution of the "bartend" method, there will be one olive in Silent Bob's martini (silentBobsMartini).

Here's how:

The "bartend" method creates an array of Glass objects and calls the "mixDrink" method on each element of the array. The first element of the array is Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini), which is passed as an argument to the "mixDrink" method.

Inside the "mixDrink" method, the passed Glass object is checked to see if it is null. If it is null, a new Glass object is created and a BeverageUnit and an Olive are added to it. However, since Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) is not null, the if condition is not met and a new Glass object is not created. Instead, the "mixDrink" method is called on the existing Glass object and a BeverageUnit and an Olive are added to it.

Therefore, after the execution of the "bartend" method, Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) will have one olive in it.

[AB]>> Did you understand that the loop executes the mixDrink method twice?

[AI]>> Yes, I understand that the loop in the "bartend" method executes the "mixDrink" method twice.

The loop iterates over the elements of an array of Glass objects and calls the "mixDrink" method on each element. Since the array has two elements, the "mixDrink" method is called twice, once for each element.

Given this information, we can determine that after the execution of the "bartend" method, there will be two olives in Silent Bob's martini (silentBobsMartini).

Here's how:

The first element of the array is Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini), which is passed as an argument to the "mixDrink" method. Inside the "mixDrink" method, a BeverageUnit and an Olive are added to Silent Bob's martini.

The second element of the array is Jay's martini (_jaysMartini), which is also passed as an argument to the "mixDrink" method. Inside the "mixDrink" method, a BeverageUnit and an Olive are added to Jay's martini.

Since the "mixDrink" method is called twice and adds one Olive to the passed Glass object each time it is called, Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) will have two olives in it after the execution of the "bartend" method.

[AB]>> Why did you said initially that there will be only one olive?

[AI]>> I apologize for the confusion. In my initial response, I did not consider that the "mixDrink" method is called in a loop, and I incorrectly assumed that it would be called only once on Silent Bob's martini (silentBobsMartini).

In my response, I stated that if the "mixDrink" method is called once on Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini), there will be one olive in it. This was incorrect and I apologize for the mistake.

Given that the "mixDrink" method is called in a loop, the number of olives in Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) will depend on how many times the "mixDrink" method is called on it.

If the "mixDrink" method is called once on Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini), there will be one olive in it. If it is called twice, there will be two olives in it, and so on.

Without more information about the state of the objects and the specific calls made to the "mixDrink" method, it is not possible to determine the exact number of olives in Silent Bob's martini.

[AB]>> When assigning new Glass value to the drink local variable in method mixDrink, will it change the value of reference variable _silentBobsMartini defined in the class?

[AI]>> No, assigning a new Glass value to the drink local variable in the "mixDrink" method will not change the value of the reference variable silentBobsMartini defined in the class.

In Java, when an object variable is passed as an argument to a method, a copy of the reference to the object is made. The method operates on the copy of the reference, not the original reference variable.

In the "mixDrink" method, the drink local variable is a copy of the reference to the Glass object that was passed as an argument. When a new Glass object is assigned to the drink local variable, the original reference variable is not affected.

For example, consider the following code:

```
class Bar
{
    Glass _silentBobsMartini = new Glass();

    public void mixDrink(Glass drink)
    {
        drink = new Glass();
    }
}
```

In this example, the "mixDrink" method is passed a reference to a Glass object as an argument. The reference is copied to the drink local variable. When a new Glass object is assigned to the drink local variable, the original reference variable _silentBobsMartini is not affected. The silentBobsMartini variable still refers to the original Glass object that was created in the class.

...

[AB]>> Considering all above, how many olives are in the Silent Bob's martini silentBobsMartini variable defined in Bar class?

[AI]>> It is not possible to determine how many olives are in the Silent Bob's martini (_silentBobsMartini) variable defined in the Bar class based on the provided code.

... "it started to repeat the same above"

5. Prevent Deadlock in Java

```
[AB]>> import java.io.*; import java.util.*; import java.text.*; import java.math.*; import
java.util.regex.*; public class Solution { public static void main(String args[] ) throws Exception {
Object resource1 = new Object(); Object resource2 = new Object(); Thread th1 = new
ThreadWithResources("Th-1", resource1, resource2); Thread th2 = new
ThreadWithResources("Th-2", resource2, resource1); th1.start(); th2.start();
System.out.println("Done"); } /** * Do NOT Modify ThreadWithResources */ class
ThreadWithResources extends Thread { private Object resource1, resource2; public
ThreadWithResources(String name, Object resource1, Object resource2){ super(name);
this.resource1 = resource1; this.resource2 = resource2; } @Override public void run() {
super.run(); synchronized (resource1) { //
System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " locked resource
"+resource1.hashCode()); try { Thread.sleep(15); } catch (InterruptedException e) {} //
System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " waiting to lock resource
"+resource2.hashCode()); synchronized (resource2) {
//System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " locked resource
"+resource2.hashCode()); } } }
```

What should I change in the code to prevent it from deadlock?

[AI]>> To prevent the code from entering a deadlock, you can change the order in which the threads acquire the locks on the resources.

For example, you can modify the **run** method of the **ThreadWithResources** class as follows:

```
@Override
public void run() {
    super.run();
    synchronized (resource1) {
        // System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " locked
    resource "+resource1.hashCode());
        try { Thread.sleep(15); } catch (InterruptedException e) {}

        // System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " waiting to
    lock resource "+resource2.hashCode());
        synchronized (resource2) {
            //System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName() + " locked
        resource "+resource2.hashCode());
        }
    }
}
```

This will ensure that both threads acquire the locks on the resources in the same order, and therefore the code will not deadlock.

Alternatively, you can use the **tryLock** method of the **ReentrantLock** class to try to acquire a lock on a resource, and if it is not available, release the lock on the other resource and try again later. This approach can help to avoid the deadlock by allowing the threads to make progress even if they cannot immediately acquire both locks.

```
public void run() {
   super.run();
   ReentrantLock lock1 = new ReentrantLock();
    ReentrantLock lock2 = new ReentrantLock();
   while (true) {
       boolean locked1 = lock1.tryLock();
       boolean locked2 = lock2.tryLock();
       if (locked1 && locked2) {
           // Both locks acquired successfully
            } finally {
               lock1.unlock();
               lock2.unlock();
           break;
        } else {
            if (locked1) {
               lock1.unlock();
            if (locked2) {
               lock2.unlock();
```

This will allow the threads to continue trying to acquire the locks on the resources until they both succeed, rather than getting stuck in a deadlock.

,

6. Parallel factorial sum Java

[AB]>> Complete the 'computeFactorialSum' function below. Your function should utilize the computeFactorial() function below to calculate the factorial for each number in inputs, sum the results, and return the sum. Though this can be done without parallelization, we ask that you perform computeFactorial() in parallel using your preferred method. Note that Java 8+ concurrency constructs are supported. The function is expected to return a LONG_INTEGER. The function accepts LONG_INTEGER ARRAY inputs as parameter.

public static long computeFactorialSum(List<Long> inputs) {

```
public static Long computeFactorial(Long num) { Long factorial = 1I; for (int i = 1; i <=
    Math.abs(num); i++) { factorial *= i; } return factorial; } }

public class Solution { public static void main(String[] args) throws IOException { BufferedReader
    bufferedReader = new BufferedReader(new InputStreamReader(System.in)); BufferedWriter
    bufferedWriter = new BufferedWriter(new FileWriter(System.getenv("OUTPUT_PATH"))); int
    inputsCount = Integer.parseInt(bufferedReader.readLine().trim()); List<Long> inputs =
```

IntStream.range(0, inputsCount).mapToObj(i -> { try { return}
bufferedReader.readLine().replaceAll("\\s+\$", ""); } catch (IOException ex) { throw new
RuntimeException(ex); } }) .map(String::trim) .map(Long::parseLong) .collect(toList()); long
result = Result.computeFactorialSum(inputs); bufferedWriter.write(String.valueOf(result));
bufferedWriter.newLine(); bufferedReader.close(); bufferedWriter.close(); }

[AI]>> To perform the computation of the factorials in parallel, you can use the **Stream#parallel** method available in Java 8+ to create a parallel stream, and then use the **Stream#map** method to apply the **computeFactorial** function to each element of the stream in parallel. Finally, you can use the **Stream#reduce** method to sum the results.

Here is an example of how you can implement the **computeFactorialSum** function using these methods:

```
public static long computeFactorialSum(List<Long> inputs) {
    return inputs.parallelStream()
        .map(Result::computeFactorial)
        .reduce(@L, Long::sum);
}
```

,

This will create a parallel stream of the **inputs** list, apply the **computeFactorial** function to each element in parallel, and then sum the results using the **Long::sum** method as the accumulator. The **reduce** method will return the final result, which is the sum of all the factorials.

Conclusions

In general the ability of GPT based AI to understand and solve the problems is impressive. In the last question it was able to write a working method with the first attempt.

It also works best when you need to extract a knowledge and generate code from it, but can be easily tricked with questions when deep understanding is needed like in questions about Martini.

Interesting that when you point to AI that there is a probably mistake you its solution it analysis the given hint and can give you the right answer like in the question 'Print Map Value Java'.

Overall, fascinating technology and great tool that can replace search engines in its current form. It also can be used as a co-pilot in design and development software for junior developers.