"This is how society defends itself against unaccountable machines."

Who Decides for Society?

Why Automated Systems Must Be Democratically Governed

A civic framework for protecting human rights, public trust, and democratic authority in the age of AI.

Author

Pavan Dev Singh Charak

Founder & Architect: Deterministic Governance Systems

Index

1. Executive summary

Across the world, software systems increasingly decide:

- who gets access to services,

- who is flagged for surveillance,

- who receives benefits,

- who is denied opportunities,

- and who is prioritized or ignored.

These decisions are often made by:

- algorithms,

- automated scoring systems,

- AI models,

- and digital platforms.

Yet most people affected by these systems:

- do not know how decisions are made,

- cannot challenge outcomes,

- and cannot identify who is responsible.

This paper argues that society faces a new form of power:

Invisible, automated authority without democratic governance.

---

2. The rise of invisible power

Historically, power was exercised by:

- governments,

- courts,

- institutions,

- and identifiable individuals.

Today, power is increasingly exercised by:

- recommendation systems,

- risk models,

- automated moderation,

- digital identity systems.

This power is:

- real,

- systemic,

- and largely **unaccountable.**

People experience outcomes, but cannot see authority.

---

3. Why society cannot see automated authority

Automated systems are opaque because:

- decision logic is hidden in code,

- models operate probabilistically,

- responsibility is distributed across teams,

- and explanations are technical, not civic.

This creates a dangerous condition:

Society is governed by systems
that cannot explain themselves in human terms.

Power becomes:

- invisible,

- unchallengeable,

- and structurally insulated from public scrutiny.

---

4. The missing layer: Democratic Decision Systems

What is missing is a civic layer where:

- automated decisions are:
    - explicitly defined,
    - traceable to human authority,
    - immutably recorded,
    - and open to challenge.

This introduces a new principle:

Digital systems must be democratically governable, not just efficient.

Not only transparent.
But **controllable.**

---

5. Deterministic Governance Model

A deterministic governance system enforces:

No invisible authority

All decisions have explicit human or legal sources.

Only decisions change reality

Algorithms cannot silently exercise power.

Human rights are structural

Appeal and escalation are built into the system.

Public auditability

All authority is traceable and replayable.

This creates:

- digital due process,
- civic accountability,
- and institutional memory.

6. Human rights and digital due process

Human rights require:

- the right to explanation,

- the right to appeal,

- the right to human review,

- the right to know who decided.

These rights are meaningless if:

- systems cannot prove decisions,

- authority cannot be identified,

- or responsibility cannot be enforced.

Deterministic governance turns human rights into: **technical system properties.** Not policy promises. But **runtime guarantees.**

7. From transparency to control

Most AI governance today focuses on:

"Making systems transparent."

But transparency alone is not enough. Society does not just need to see power. It needs to **control power.**

The shift must be from:

- explaining outcomes
  to:

- **governing authority.**

8. Strategic insight for civil society

The core insight is this:

The greatest threat of AI is not surveillance.
It is **unaccountable authority at scale.**

Civil society should demand:

- decision traceability,

- formal human oversight,

- immutable audit trails,

- enforceable rights.

Not as afterthoughts.
But as **architectural requirements.**

9. Long-term democratic infrastructure

In the long run, deterministic governance systems become:

- the digital constitution of society,

- the technical foundation of digital rights,

- the enforcement layer of democratic control,

- the memory of institutional power.

Just as democracy required:

- written constitutions,

- independent courts,

- public accountability,

digital society requires:

governed decision systems.

10. Final reflection

The central question of the digital age is not:

Can machines think?

But:

Who decides when machines act?

If automated systems continue to exercise power without governance, society will lose:

- accountability,

- legitimacy,

- and ultimately, sovereignty.

Deterministic governance systems offer a way to ensure that: **even in a world of AI, humans remain the ultimate source of authority.**

About the Author

Author: Pavan Dev Singh Charak
Title: Founder & Architect, Deterministic Governance Systems

Pavan Dev Singh Charak is a systems architect and product founder focused on building deterministic governance layers for enterprise software and AI systems.

His work centers on formal decision models, human-in-the-loop architectures, and provable intent systems designed to make automated systems legally accountable, auditable, and safe by design.

His current focus is the development of **Decision Backbone architectures** a new infrastructure layer that treats decisions as first-class, immutable, and governed objects.

How you can engage and add value

For Journalists

Use this framework to investigate automated power structures.

For Civil Society Organizations

Advocate for decision governance as a digital rights standard.

For Activists and NGOs

Demand human authority in automated public systems.

Open invitation

If you care about democracy, human rights, and public trust in the digital age, this is not a technical issue.

It is a **civilizational one.**

The future will not be decided by how intelligent machines become, but by **who is allowed to decide for society.**