

Question 1-3 were graded by Lan Wei <lwei3@hawk.iit.edu>

Question 4-7 were graded by Suyog Bachhav <sbachhav@hawk.iit.edu>

Question 8-10 were graded by Nanda Kishore Reddy Velugoti <nvelugoti@hawk.iit.edu>

1).[8 = 4 * 2 points]

- a. $p \rightarrow q$
- b. $p \rightarrow q$
- c. $q \rightarrow p$
- d. $q \rightarrow p$

2). [4 = 2*2 points]

- a). Yes. Syntactic Equality Implies Semantic Equality
- b). No. $1 + 1 = 2$ while $1 + 1 \neq 2$

3).[6 = 3 * 2 points]

- a). well formed, improper. Reason: It is missing a binding for z (even though the value of z is irrelevant).
- b). well-formed, proper, runtime error. Reason: cannot execute sqrt(-4)
- c). well-formed, proper, runtime error. Reason: denominator evaluates to 0.

4).

$$\begin{aligned} & p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \rightarrow q \wedge r \rightarrow \neg p \\ \Leftrightarrow & p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \rightarrow (\neg(q \wedge r) \vee \neg p) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \neg(p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r)) \vee (\neg(q \wedge r) \vee \neg p) \\ \Leftrightarrow & (\neg p \vee (q \wedge r)) \vee (\neg(q \wedge r) \vee \neg p) \\ \Leftrightarrow & (q \wedge r) \vee \neg(q \wedge r) \vee \neg p \vee \neg p \\ \Leftrightarrow & T \vee \neg p \vee \neg p \\ \Leftrightarrow & T \end{aligned}$$

Defn \rightarrow

Defn \rightarrow

DeMorgan's law (on $\neg(\dots \wedge \dots)$) and $\neg\neg$
 \vee associative and commutative
Excluded Middle
Domination

5) $\neg(\forall x. (\exists y. x \leq y) \vee \exists z. x \geq z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow & \exists x. \neg((\exists y. x \leq y) \vee \exists z. x \geq z) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \exists x. \neg(\exists y. x \leq y) \wedge \neg \exists z. x \geq z \\ \Leftrightarrow & \exists x. (\forall y. x > y) \wedge \neg \exists z. x \geq z \\ \leq & \\ \Leftrightarrow & \exists x. (\forall y. x > y) \wedge \forall z. x < z \\ \geq & \end{aligned}$$

DeMorgan's Law ($\neg\forall \Leftrightarrow \exists \neg$)

DeMorgan's Law ($\neg\vee \Leftrightarrow \neg\wedge \neg$)

DeMorgan's Law ($\neg\exists \Leftrightarrow \forall \neg$) and \neg of

DeMorgan's Law ($\neg\exists \Leftrightarrow \forall \neg$) and \neg of

6)

- a. $((((p \wedge (\neg r)) \wedge s) \rightarrow (((\neg q) \vee r) \rightarrow (\neg p)))) \leftrightarrow ((\neg s) \rightarrow t))$
- b. $(\exists m. ((0 \leq m < n) \wedge (\forall j. ((0 \leq j < m) \rightarrow (b[0] \leq b[j] \leq b[m])))))$

7).

- a. $\neg(p \vee q) \vee r \rightarrow \neg q \vee r \rightarrow p \vee \neg r \vee q \wedge s$
- b. $\exists i. 0 \leq i < m \wedge (\forall j. m \leq j < n \rightarrow b[i] = b[j])$
- c. $\forall x. (\exists y. p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \forall z. q \vee r \wedge s$

8)

- a. no
- b. No
- c. no
- d. No

9)

- a. Contingency

p	q	r	$((p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \leftrightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r))$
F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	T	T	T

- b. Tautology

10)

$GT(b, x, m, k) \equiv \forall i. m \leq i < m+k \rightarrow x > b[i]$ is one solution. $\forall j. 0 \leq i < k \rightarrow x > b[m+j]$ is another.