

Array Element Assignments

CS 536: Science of Programming, Fall 2022

2022-11-03: pp.2, 3; 2022-11-22: p.3

A. Why?

- Array assignments aren't like assignments to plain variables because the actual item to change can't be determined until runtime. We can handle this by extending our notion of assignment and/or substitution.

B. Outcomes

After this class, you should

- Know how to perform textual substitution to replace an array element.
- Know how to calculate the wp of an array element assignment.

C. Array Element Assignments

- An array assignment $b[e_0] := e_1$ (where e_0 and e_1 are expressions) is different from a plain variable assignment because the exact element being changed may not be known at program annotation time. E.g., compare these two triples:

- **Valid:** $\{T\} x := y; y := y+1 \{x < y\}$
- **Invalid:** $\{T\} b[k] := b[j]; b[j] := b[j]+1 \{b[k] < b[j]\}$

- The problem is what happens if $k = j$ at runtime: What is

$wp(b[j] := b[j]+1, b[k] < b[j])$?

- The answer should be something like "If $k \neq j$ then $b[k] < b[j]+1$ else $b[j]+1 < b[j]+1$ ". (Note the else clause is false.)
- There are two alternatives for handling array assignments. The one we'll use involves defining the wp of an array assignment using an extended notion of textual substitution:

$$wp(b[e_0] := e_1, p) \equiv p[e_1/b[e_0]] \text{ and } \{p[e_1/b[e_0]]\} b[e_0] := e_1 \{p\}$$

- Of course, we need to figure out what syntactic substitution for an array indexing expression means: $(predicate)[expression / b[e_0]]$
- Side note: The other way to handle array assignments, the Dijkstra / Gries technique, is to introduce a new kind of expression and view the array assignment $b[e_0] := e_1$ as short for $b :=$ this new kind of expression.

D. Substitution for Array Elements

- We'll need to substitute into expressions and predicates. We'll tackle expressions first; below.

- If b and d are different arrays, then a substitution like $(b[m])[6/d[2]]$ should simply $\equiv b[m]$. The situation can be more complicated: The substitution $(b[e])[6/d[2]]$ has to recursively look for substitutions to do inside e .
 - $(b[e_2])[e_0/d[e_1]] \equiv b[e_2']$ where $e_2' \equiv (e_2)[e_0/d[e_1]]$. [2022-11-03]
- When the the array names match, as in $(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]]$, we have to check the indexes k and e_0 for equality at runtime; to do that, we can use a conditional expression.
- **Definition (Substitution for an Array Element) — Simpler situation**
 - At runtime, if $k = e_1$, then $(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]] = e_0$. If $k \neq e_1$, then $(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]] = b[k]$. (The sense of “ $=$ ” here is that the two expressions evaluate to the same value.)
 - Textually, $(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]] \equiv \text{if } k = e_1 \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi}$.
- **Example 1:** $(b[k])[5/b[0]] \equiv (\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi})$
- **Example 2:** $(b[k])[e_0/b[j]] \equiv (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi})$
- **Example 3:** $(b[k])[b[j]+1/b[j]] \equiv (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } b[j]+1 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi})$
 - Note: In $(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]]$, we don’t substitute into e_0 , even if it involves b .
- **Example 4:** $(b[k])[b[i]/b[j]] \equiv (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } b[i] \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi})$

The General Case for Array Element Substitution

- When e_2 is not just a simple variable or constant, then in $(b[e_2])[e_0/b[e_1]]$, we have to check e_2 for uses of $b[\dots]$ and substitute for them also.
- **Definition (Substitution for an Array Element) — General Case**

$$(b[e_2])[e_0/b[e_1]] \equiv \text{if } e_2' = e_1 \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[e_2'] \text{ fi where } e_2' \equiv (e_2)[e_0/b[e_1]].$$
- This subsumes the earlier case, since if $e_2 \equiv k$ then $e_2' \equiv k[e_0/b[e_1]] \equiv k$. We get

$$(b[k])[e_0/b[e_1]] \equiv \text{if } k = e_1 \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi}$$

Example 5

- Consider $(b[b[k]])[5/b[0]]$ — how should it behave? The inner, nested $b[k]$ should behave like 5 if $k = 0$, otherwise it should behave like $b[k]$ as usual. The outer $b[\dots]$ should behave like 5 if its index behaves like 0, otherwise it should behave as $b[\dots]$.
- Following the definition above, we get

$$(b[b[k]])[5/b[0]] \equiv \text{if } e_2' = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[e_2'] \text{ fi}$$

$$\text{where } e_2' \equiv (b[k])[5/b[0]] \equiv (\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi})$$
- Substituting the (textual) value of e_2' gives us

$$(b[b[k]])[5/b[0]] \equiv \text{if } (\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi}) = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi}] \text{ fi}$$
- After optimization, this is equivalent to $\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } b[5] \text{ else if } b[k] = 0 \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } b[b[k]] \text{ fi fi}$.

E. Optimization of Static Cases

- Because $e[b[e_1]]$ can result in a complicated piece of text, it can be useful to shorten it using various optimizations, similarly to how compilers can optimize code.
- All the optimizations below are intended to be done “statically” (at compile time) — we inspect the text of an expression before the code ever runs.
- For the easiest examples, if we know whether or not $k = e_1$, the index of b we're looking for, then we can optimize **if** $k = e_0$ **then** e_1 **else** e_2 **fi** to just the true branch or the false branch.
- **Notation:** $e_1 \mapsto e_2$ (“ e_1 optimizes to e_2 ”) means we can replace expression e_1 with e_2 .

General Principle (Static Optimizations)

- (Restricted case): For $(b[k])[e_0 / b[e_1]]$
 - If $k = e_1$, then $(b[k])[e_0 / b[e_1]] \mapsto e_0$.
 - If $k \neq e_1$, then $(b[k])[e_0 / b[e_1]] \mapsto b[k]$.
- (General case): For $(b[e_2])[e_0 / b[e_1]]$, let $e_2' = (e_2)[e_0 / b[e_1]]$
 - If $e_2' = e_1$, then $(b[e_2])[e_0 / b[e_1]] \mapsto e_0$.
 - If $e_2' \neq e_1$, then $(b[e_2])[e_0 / b[e_1]] \mapsto b[k]$.
- **Example 6:** $(b[0])[e_1 / b[2]] \equiv \text{if } 0 = 2 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } b[0] \text{ fi} \mapsto b[0]$.
- **Example 7:** $(b[2])[e_1 / b[2]] \equiv \text{if } 2 = 2 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } b[2] \text{ fi} \mapsto e_1$.
- **Example 8:**
 - $(b[0])[e_0 / b[1]] \equiv \text{if } 0 = 1 \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[0] \text{ fi} \mapsto b[0]$. [2022-11-22]
 - $(b[1])[e_0 / b[1]] \equiv \text{if } 1 = 1 \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[1] \text{ fi} \mapsto e_0$. [2022-11-22]
 - $(b[1])[3 / b[2]] \equiv \text{if } 1 = 2 \text{ then } 3 \text{ else } b[1] \text{ fi} \mapsto b[1]$.
 - $(b[x])[e_0 / b[x]] \equiv \text{if } x = x \text{ then } e_0 \text{ else } b[x] \text{ fi} \mapsto e_0$. [2022-11-03]

F. Rules for Simplifying Conditional Expressions

- Let's identify some general rules for simplifying conditional expressions and predicates involving them. This will let us simplify calculation of wp for array assignments.
 - $(\text{if } T \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto e_1$
 - $(\text{if } F \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto e_2$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \text{ fi}) \mapsto e$
 - If $(B \rightarrow e_1 = e_2)$, then $(\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto e_2$
 - If $(\neg B \rightarrow e_1 = e_2)$, then $(\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto e_1$

¹ The fuller version is “If we know that ... then ... \mapsto ...”

- Let \ominus be a unary operator or relation and \oplus be a binary operation or relation
 - $\ominus(\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto (\text{if } B \text{ then } \ominus e_1 \text{ else } \ominus e_2 \text{ fi})$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \oplus e_3 \mapsto (\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \oplus e_3 \text{ else } e_2 \oplus e_3 \text{ fi})$
 - $b[\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}] \mapsto \text{if } B \text{ then } b[e_1] \text{ else } b[e_2] \text{ fi}$
 - For any function $f(\dots)$, $f(\text{if } B \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}) \mapsto \text{if } B \text{ then } f(e_1) \text{ else } f(e_2) \text{ fi}$
- If B , B_1 , and B_2 are boolean expressions, then
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } F \text{ fi}) \Leftrightarrow (B \wedge B_1)$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } F \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) \Leftrightarrow (\neg B \wedge B_2)$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } T \text{ fi}) \Leftrightarrow (B \rightarrow B_1) \Leftrightarrow (\neg B \vee B_1)$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } T \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) \Leftrightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow B_2) \Leftrightarrow (B \vee B_2)$
 - $(\text{if } B \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) \Leftrightarrow ((B \rightarrow B_1) \wedge (\neg B \rightarrow B_2)) \Leftrightarrow ((B \wedge B_1) \vee (\neg B \wedge B_2)).$
- [2022-11-03] We can also do reordering of *if-else-if* chains. E.g.,
 - if* B_1 *then* e_1 *else if* B_2 *then* e_2 *else* e_3 *fi* evaluates e_1 if B_1 (regardless of B_2); it evaluates e_2 if $\neg B_1 \wedge B_2$; and it evaluates e_3 if $\neg B_1 \wedge \neg B_2$.
 - So we (for example) swap e_2 and e_3 by changing the test slightly:
 - if* B_1 *then* e_1 *else if* B_2 *then* e_2 *else* e_3 *fi*
 $\mapsto \text{if } B_1 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else if } \neg B_2 \text{ then } e_3 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi}$
 - or
 - $\mapsto \text{if } \neg B_1 \wedge B_2 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else if } B_1 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_3 \text{ fi}$
 - and so on.
- [2022-11-03] Similarly, we can move an inner *if-else* from the true branch of an outer *if-else* to the false branch of the outer *if-else*, in order to make an *if-else-if* chain. For example,
 - if* B_1 *then if* B_2 *then* e_1 *else* e_2 *fi else* e_3 *fi*
 $\mapsto \text{if } \neg B_1 \text{ then } e_3 \text{ else if } B_2 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \text{ fi fi}$

• **Example 9:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{wp}(b[j] := b[j] + 1, b[k] < b[j]) \\
 &= (b[k] < b[j])[b[j] + 1 / b[j]] \\
 &= (b[k])[b[j] + 1 / b[j]] < (b[j])[b[j] + 1 / b[j]] \\
 &= \text{if } k = j \text{ then } b[j] + 1 \text{ else } b[k] \text{ fi} < b[j] + 1 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{if } k = j \text{ then } b[j] + 1 < b[j] + 1 \text{ else } b[k] < b[j] + 1 \text{ fi} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{if } k = j \text{ then } F \text{ else } b[k] < b[j] + 1 \text{ fi} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow k \neq j \wedge b[k] < b[j] + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the following correctness triple:

$$\{k \neq j \wedge b[k] < b[j] + 1\} \quad b[j] := b[j] + 1 \quad \{b[k] < b[j]\}$$

G. Swapping Array Elements

- To illustrate the use of array references, let's look at the problem of swapping array elements.
- To swap simple variables x and y using a temporary variable u , we can use logical variables c and d and prove

$$\{x = c \wedge y = d\} u := x; x := y; y := u \{x = d \wedge y = c\}$$

- We can prove this program correct by expanding to a full proof outline; here we're using *wp*.

$$\begin{aligned} &\{x = c \wedge y = d\} \\ &\{y = d \wedge x = c\} u := x; \\ &\{y = d \wedge u = c\} x := y; \\ &\{x = d \wedge u = c\} y := u \\ &\{x = d \wedge y = c\} \end{aligned}$$

- **Example 10:** For swapping $b[m]$ and $b[n]$, we want to prove

$$\{b[m] = c \wedge b[n] = d\} u := b[m]; b[m] := b[n]; b[n] := u \{b[m] = d \wedge b[n] = c\}$$

As with simple variables, we can prove this holds by using *wp* to expand to the full proof outline.

Let $p \equiv b[m] = c \wedge b[n] = d$ and $q \equiv b[m] = d \wedge b[n] = c$, then we can prove

$$\{p\} \{q_3\} u := b[m]; \{q_2\} b[m] := b[n]; \{q_1\} b[n] := u \{q\}$$

by using

- $q_1 \equiv wp(b[n] := u, q) \equiv q[u/b[n]]$,
- $q_2 \equiv wp(b[m] := b[n], q_1) \equiv q_1[b[n]/b[m]]$
- $q_3 \equiv wp(u := b[m], q_2) \equiv q_2[b[m]/u]$
- (and hopefully) $p \rightarrow q_3$

We'll do this in steps.

- $q_1 \equiv q[u/b[n]]$
 $\equiv (b[m] = d \wedge b[n] = c)[u/b[n]]$
 $\equiv (b[m] = d)[u/b[n]] \wedge (b[n] = c)[u/b[n]]$
 $\equiv (b[m])[u/b[n]] = d \wedge (b[n])[u/b[n]] = c$
 $\equiv (\text{if } m = n \text{ then } u \text{ else } b[m] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge u = c \quad // \text{ Stop here for a purely syntactic result}$
- $q_2 \equiv q_1[b[n]/b[m]]$
 $\equiv ((\text{if } m = n \text{ then } u \text{ else } b[m] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge u = c)[b[n]/b[m]]$
 $\equiv (\text{if } m = n \text{ then } u \text{ else } (b[m])[b[n]/b[m]] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge u = c$
 $\equiv (\text{if } m = n \text{ then } u \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge u = c$
- $q_3 \equiv q_2[b[m]/u]$
 $\equiv ((\text{if } m = n \text{ then } u \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge u = c)[b[m]/u]$
 $\equiv (\text{if } m = n \text{ then } b[m] \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge b[m] = c$
 $// \text{ Continuing with logical manipulation}$

$\Leftrightarrow (\text{if } m = n \text{ then } b[n] \text{ else } b[n] \text{ fi}) = d \wedge b[m] = c$ // if $m = n$ then $b[m] = b[n]$

$\Leftrightarrow b[n] = d \wedge b[m] = c$

- Since $p \equiv b[m] = c \wedge b[n] = d$, we get $p \rightarrow q_3$. (End of Example 10)