

Question 1-3 were graded by Nanda Kishore Reddy Velugoti

<nvelugoti@hawk.iit.edu>

Question 4-6 were graded by Lan Wei <lwei3@hawk.iit.edu>

Question 7-9 were graded by Suyog Bachhav <sbachhav@hawk.iit.edu>

Q1

- a. illegal: true (T) and false (y) cases evaluate to different types
- b. legal: resulting expression would be a boolean (true/false)
- c. illegal: since b3 is 2D data structure, we can't add 1D array type with an element

Q2

- a. well-formed
- b. not well-formed: w has reference to an identifier u
- c. not well-formed: t has reference to identifiers r,s

Q3

- a. $\sigma = \{ x = 2, b = \{ (0,7), (1,12), (2,3), (4,0) \} \}$
- b. $\sigma = \{ x = 2, b[0] = 7, b[1] = 12, b[2] = 3, b[3] = 0 \}$

Q4 (6 = 3*2 points)

$x = 100, y = 20, z = 5, b = (1,3,4)$

Q5 (8 = 4*2 points)

- a. well-formed, proper, terminate correctly
- b. Well-formed, improper, misses the binding of b, k and b[k]
- c. Well-formed, improper, misses the binding of b[k]
- d. Well-formed, proper, runtime error on b[b[k]]

Q6 (9 points, d[3 points])

- a. No difference. Because no binding of z in σ_0
- b. Different. The first is well-formed, while the second is ill-formed.
- c. $\sigma_1[b[0] \mapsto \sigma_1(b[2])] = \sigma_1[b[0] \mapsto 4] = \{x= 5, y = 4, b = (4, 0, 4, 2)\}$.
- d. $t[b[1] \mapsto \sigma_1(b[1]) + 8] = t[b[1] \mapsto 8] = \{x = 5, y = 4, b = (4, 8, 4, 2)\}$

Q7. (6 = 3 * 2 points)

(a). Does $\{x = 4, y = 7, b = (5, 4, 8)\} \models (\exists x. \exists m. b[m] < x < y)$? If not, why?

Ans:

Yes, 6 and 1 are witness values for x and m respectively.

Let $\sigma = \{x = 4, y = 7, b = (5, 4, 8)\}$

So, $\sigma[x \mapsto 6][m \mapsto 1] \models b[m] < x < y$

(b). Does $\{x = 1, b = (2, 8, 9)\} \models (\forall x. \forall k. 0 < k < 3 \rightarrow x < b[k])$? If not, why?

Ans:

No, 12 for x and 1 for k are counterexample values.

Let $\sigma = \{x = 1, b = (2, 8, 9)\}$

So, $\sigma[x \mapsto 12][k \mapsto 1] \models 0 < k < 3 \rightarrow x < b[k]$

(c). Does $\{x = 0, b = (5, 3, 6)\} \models (\forall x. \forall k. 0 < k < 3 \wedge x < b[k])$? If not, why?

Ans:

No, similarly 12 for x and 1 for k are counterexample values.

Let $\sigma = \{x = 0, b = (5, 3, 6)\}$

So, $\sigma[x \mapsto 12][k \mapsto 1] \models 0 < k < 3 \wedge x < b[k]$

Q8. (9 = 3 * 3 points)

(a). $\models (\forall x \in V. (\exists y \in U. P(x, y)) \wedge (\forall z \in U. Q(x, z)))$

Ans:

iff for **some** σ state σ , for **some** $\alpha \alpha \in V$, and for **every** $\beta \beta \in U$, we have $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha][y \mapsto \beta] \models P(x, y)$

or

for **some** $\alpha \alpha \in V$ and for **some** $\delta \delta \in U$, we have $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha][z \mapsto \delta] \models Q(x, z)$

(b). $\models \forall y \in V. ((\exists x \in W. P(x, y)) \rightarrow (\exists y \in U. Q(y, y)))$

Ans:

iff for **some** σ state σ , for **some** $\alpha \alpha \in V$, if for **some** $\beta \beta \in W$, $\sigma[y \mapsto \alpha][x \mapsto \beta] \models p(x, y)$, **and** for **every** $\delta \delta \in U$, $\sigma[y \mapsto \alpha][z \mapsto \delta] \models p q(y, y)$.

because the negation of $(\forall x. ((\exists y \dots) \rightarrow (\exists z \dots)))$ is $(\exists x. ((\exists y \dots) \wedge \neg(\exists z \dots)))$.

(c). $\sigma \models (\exists x \in W. (\forall y \in U. P(x, y)))$

Ans:

iff for **this** σ state σ , for **every** $\alpha \alpha \in W$, and for **some** $\beta \beta \in U$, we have $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha][y \mapsto \beta] \models P(x, y)$.

Q9. (6 points)

$\text{size}(b1) \leq \text{size}(b2) \wedge 0 \leq x < \text{size}(b1) \wedge 0 \leq y < \text{size}(b2) \wedge (\forall 0 \leq i \leq x. (\exists 0 \leq j \leq y. b1[i] = b2[j]))$