## Comparison chart

## Written by Pavel Sobolev and located here

Used abbreviations:

KIC - ID from Kepler Input Catalog:

KID - Kernel Identifier;

KL – Kernel Link (for george);
CNL – Notebook Link (celerite);

GNL - Notebook Link (celerite) GNL - Notebook Link (george):

TP - 'True' value of the period of a star [days];

IP - Inferred value of the period obtained by minimizing the negative marginalized likelihood [days];

RCL – Result Link (PDF, celerite):

RGL - Result Link (PDF, george)

KIC	KID	KL	CNL	GNL	TP	IP	RCL	RGL
1430163	Base	link	link	link	$3.88 \pm 0.58$	$\approx 3.7897$	link	link
	DS	link	link	link	$3.88 \pm 0.58$	≈ 3.8751	link	link

## Base

$$k(\tau) = \frac{a}{2+b}e^{-\tau/c}\left[\cos\left(\frac{2\pi\tau}{P}\right) + (1+b)\right]$$

```
Parameters:
a → log_amp;
```

 $a \longrightarrow log\_amp;$   $b \longrightarrow log\_factor;$   $c \longrightarrow log\_timescale;$  $P \longrightarrow log\_period$ 

MCMC (george): nwalkers: 8; nburn: 50; nsteps: 500

Execution time:

Sigma clipping: 10m 18s; MCMC sampling: 7h 50m 15s Bounds:

log\_amp: (-10.0, 0.0); log\_timescale: (1.5, 5.0); log\_period: (-3.0, 5.0); log\_factor: (-5.0, 5.0)

MCMC (celerite): nwalkers: 32:

nburn: 500; nsteps: 5000

Execution time:

Sigma clipping: 7.46s; MCMC sampling: 1m 56.7s

## DS

$$k(\tau) = e^{-a\tau} cos\left(\frac{2\pi\tau}{p}\right)$$

nsteps: 500

Execution time:

Sigma clipping: 5 m 16 s; MCMC sampling: 10 h 3 m 32 s

Bounds:

log\_a: (-5.0, -1.5); log\_p: (-3.0, 5.0);

MCMC (celerite): nwalkers: 32; nburn: 500; nsteps: 5000

Execution time: Sigma clipping: 6.20s;

Sigma clipping: 6.20s; MCMC sampling: 1m 29.5s