

**Practice Questions – Chapter 9**  
**AS.180.334.SP19**

**Question 1**

The R-squared from estimating the model

$$\log(\text{salary}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{sales}) + \beta_2 \log(\text{mktval}) + \beta_3 \text{profmarg} + \beta_4 \text{ceoten} + \beta_5 \text{comten} + u,$$

is  $R^2 = 0.353$  ( $n = 177$ ). When  $\text{ceoten}^2$  and  $\text{comten}^2$  are added,  $R^2 = 0.375$ . Is there evidence of functional form misspecification in this model?

**Question 2**

Let  $\text{math10}$  denote the percentage of students at a Michigan high school receiving a passing score on a standardized math test. We are interested in estimating the effect of per student spending on math performance. A simple model is

$$\text{math10} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{expend}) + \beta_2 \log(\text{enroll}) + \beta_3 \text{poverty} + u,$$

where  $\text{poverty}$  is the percentage of students living in poverty.

- (i) The variable  $\text{lnchprg}$  is the percentage of students eligible for the federally funded school lunch program. Why is this a sensible proxy variable for poverty?
- (ii) The table that follows contains OLS estimates, with and without  $\text{lnchprg}$  as an explanatory variable.

Dependent Variable: <i>math10</i>		
Independent Variables	(1)	(2)
$\log(\text{expend})$	11.13 (3.30)	7.75 (3.04)
$\log(\text{enroll})$	.022 (.615)	-1.26 (.58)
<i>lnchprg</i>	—	-.324 (.036)
<i>intercept</i>	-69.24 (26.72)	-23.14 (24.99)
Observations	428	428
R-squared	.0297	.1893

© Cengage Learning, 2013

Explain why the effect of expenditures on  $\text{math10}$  is lower in column (2) than in column (1). Is the effect in column (2) still statistically greater than zero?

- (iii) Does it appear that pass rates are lower at larger schools, other factors being equal? Explain.
- (iv) Interpret the coefficient on  $\text{lnchprg}$  in column (2).
- (v) What do you make of the substantial increase in  $R^2$  from column (1) to column (2)?

### Question 3

The following equation explains weekly hours of television viewing by a child in terms of the child's age, mother's education, father's education, and number of siblings:

$$tvhours^* = \beta_0 + \beta_1*age + \beta_2*age^2 + \beta_3*motheduc + \beta_4*fatheduc + \beta_5*sibs + u.$$

We are worried that  $tvhours^*$  is measured with error in our survey. Let  $tvhours$  denote the reported hours of television viewing per week.

- (i) What do the classical errors-in-variables (CEV) assumptions require in this application?
- (ii) Do you think the CEV assumptions are likely to hold? Explain.