Hiring Policies, Labor Market Institutions, and Labor Market Flows - 2005

Macroeconomics of labour markets

Pavitra Kanagaraj

Barcelona School of Economics Macroeconomic Policy and Financial Markets

January 23, 2024



Table of Contents

Introduction

2 Model

Policy analysis



Introduction

To make efficient use of labour, we need:

- Job turnover reallocation of jobs from less efficient establishments to more efficient ones
- Worker turnover reallocation of workers across existing jobs to obtain matches between workers and jobs.

What research gap does the paper aim to fill?

While the levels of job creation and destruction are roughly similar, worker turnover in the US is 2-3 times greater than in Europe.





Building blocks

- Degree of selectivity in firms' hiring practices is the primary determinant of the level of worker turnover (2 facts support this)
- ullet Jovanovic (1979) learning model + Pissarides (1985) matching model
- Job flows idiosyncratic shocks to job productivity; worker turnover stochastic accumulation of information about match quality



European style policies

- Minimum wages and dismissal costs both significantly affect worker turnover.
- Interactions between the various policies can be significant. Eg: the
 effects of minimum wages on hiring practices are exacerbated by the
 presence of payroll taxes, even though payroll taxes by themselves
 have minimal effect.
- The welfare costs of lowering worker turnover via changes in hiring practices are significant. The steady-state welfare cost of a policy that lowers worker turnover by 20 percent exceeds 2.4 percent of output.



Differences

- Consider a minimum wage that is 15 percent higher.
- For the case of dismissal costs we consider a cost that is three months' worth of the lowest wage observed in the calibrated equilibrium.
- For unemployment insurance benefits, we consider an increase in benefits that corresponds to 20 percent of the lowest observed wage in the calibrated benchmark equilibrium.
- we believe that a tax of 3–4 percent on expected output reflects the relevant range of differences between the United States and Europe.



Comparative analysis

TABLE 1
EFFECTS OF INDIVIDUAL LABOR MARKET POLICIES

	Benchmark	Minimum Wage	Dismissal Cost	Unemployment Insurance	Taxes
p	.60	.47	.56	.46	.47
$rac{p}{ar{\pi}}$.21	.31	.28	.24	.22
$1-H(\bar{\pi})$.50	.34	.38	.45	.48
$E(\pi \mid \pi > \bar{\pi})$.40	.47	.45	.42	.41
Unemployment					
duration	3.33	6.35	4.65	4.83	4.39
Annual job destruction					
(%)	11.3	11.1	11.3	11.0	11.0
Annual worker turn-					
over (%)	46.3	40.3	42.0	44.5	45.8
Separation rate at:					
1 month	8.5	7.6	8.0	8.3	8.5
12 months	4.0	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.9
36 months	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Employment rate	.94	.90	.93	.92	.92
Output	1.71	1.67	1.70	1.68	1.69
Welfare loss (%)	0	1.4	.18	.29	.29

End

THE END



