

Formalisms Every Computer Scientist Should Know: Homework Solutions

1 Homework 1

Theorem 1.1. *If there is a one-to-one function on a set A to a subset of a set B and there is also a one-to-one function on B to a subset of A , then A and B are equipollent.*

Proof. We need to show that there exists a bijection between A and B .

Assume such two functions exist. Fix the two functions $\hat{f} : A \rightarrow B$ and $\hat{g} : B \rightarrow A$ be one-to-one functions.

Then there exist bijections $\hat{f}^{-1} : \hat{f}(A) \rightarrow A$ and $\hat{g}^{-1} : \hat{g}(B) \rightarrow B$.

Define $A_C \subseteq A$ as the set of such elements $a \in A$ that $(\hat{g} \circ \hat{f})^n a = a$ for some integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (zero is not in \mathbb{N}).

In the same way, define $B_C = \{b \in B \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : (\hat{f} \circ \hat{g})^n b = b\}$.

For a given element $a \in A$, define a sequence of ancestors $X(a) = (a, \hat{g}^{-1}(a), \hat{f}^{-1}(\hat{g}^{-1}(a)), \dots)$.

The sequence is finite if the last element is in $A \setminus \hat{g}(B)$ or in $B \setminus \hat{f}(A)$, and infinite otherwise. In the same way, for $b \in B$, define $X(b) = (b, \hat{f}^{-1}(b), \hat{g}^{-1}(\hat{f}^{-1}(b)), \dots)$.

Define $A_I = \{a \in A \mid X(a) \text{ is infinite}\}$, $A_E = \{a \in A \mid |X(a)| \text{ is even}\}$, $A_O = \{a \in A \mid |X(a)| \text{ is odd}\}$. Observe that A_I, A_E, A_O are disjoint and cover A . Similarly, define B_I, B_E, B_O .

Notice that $A_C \subseteq A_I$, since for any $a \in A_C$, $X(a)$ is periodic and infinite.

I claim that \hat{f} maps A_I bijectively onto B_I . To see this, we need to show that for any $b \in B_I$, there exists a preimage $a \in A_I$ such that $\hat{f}(a) = b$. Such a is the second element of $X(b)$, $a = \hat{f}^{-1}(b)$. Then $\hat{f}(a) = b$. a is indeed in A_I , because $X(a) = (a = \hat{f}^{-1}(b), \hat{g}^{-1}(\hat{f}^{-1}(b)), \dots)$ is also infinite.

Next, show that \hat{f} maps A_E bijectively onto B_O . To see this, we need to show that for any $b \in B_O$, there exists a preimage $a \in A_E$ such that $\hat{f}(a) = b$. Such a is the second element of $X(b)$, $a = \hat{f}^{-1}(b)$. Then $\hat{f}(a) = b$. a is indeed in A_E , because $X(a) = (a = \hat{f}^{-1}(b), \hat{g}^{-1}(\hat{f}^{-1}(b)), \dots)$. Then $|X(a)| = |X(b)| - 1$ is even.

In the same way, \hat{g} maps B_E bijectively onto A_O . Therefore, \hat{g}^{-1} maps A_O bijectively onto B_E .

Define a map $h : A \rightarrow B$:

$$h(a) = \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(a), & a \in A_I \\ \widehat{f}(a), & a \in A_E, \\ \widehat{g}^{-1}(a), & a \in A_O \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

which is the desired bijection between A and B . \square

2 Homework 2

Theorem 2.1 (Knaster-Tarski). *Let (A, \sqsubseteq) be a complete lattice and let F be a monotone function on A . Further, let $\hat{y} = \bigsqcup \{x \mid x \sqsubseteq F(x)\}$ and $\hat{z} = \bigsqcap \{x \mid F(x) \sqsubseteq x\}$. It holds, that:*

1. \hat{y} and \hat{z} are fixpoints of F ,
2. for all fixpoints x of F , $\hat{z} \sqsubseteq x \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$

Proof. Suppose (A, \sqsubseteq) is a complete lattice and let F be a monotone function on A . Let U be the set of elements $x \in A$ for which $x \sqsubseteq F(x)$ and let D be the set of elements $x \in A$ for which $x \sqsupseteq F(x)$. Then as described in the lecture let us denote the join and meet of these two sets respectively as $\hat{y} = \bigsqcup U$ and $\hat{z} = \bigsqcap D$ (this meet and join exist because the considered lattice is complete). We shall prove:

1. \hat{y} and \hat{z} are fixpoints of F .
2. For all fixpoints x of F the following holds $\hat{z} \sqsubseteq x \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$.

1. Let us first prove that \hat{y} is a fixpoint of F . Let us pick an arbitrary element $u \in U$, then by definition of \hat{y} we have $u \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$. Because F is monotone this implies $F(u) \sqsubseteq F(\hat{y})$. And because u was picked arbitrarily from U this means that $F(\hat{y})$ is an upper bound on U . But \hat{y} is the least upper bound of U and thus $\hat{y} \sqsubseteq F(\hat{y})$. Again by applying the monotonicity of F we get $F(\hat{y}) \sqsubseteq F(F(\hat{y}))$ and we can conclude $F(\hat{y}) \in U$. But because \hat{y} is the join of U and $F(\hat{y})$ is an element of U it follows $F(\hat{y}) \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$. Together with the inequality $\hat{y} \sqsubseteq F(\hat{y})$ this gives us $F(\hat{y}) = \hat{y}$, hence \hat{y} is a fixpoint of F . The proof that \hat{z} is a fixpoint of F can be done along the same lines by considering \sqsupseteq instead of \sqsubseteq and the set D instead of U .

2. Suppose \hat{x} is a fixpoint of F . Then clearly $\hat{x} \in U$ and hence $\hat{x} \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$ as \hat{y} is the join of U . Similarly $\hat{x} \in D$ and hence $\hat{x} \sqsupseteq \hat{z}$ as \hat{z} is the meet of D . So it follows that $\hat{z} \sqsubseteq \hat{x} \sqsubseteq \hat{y}$ and the proof is done. \square

3 Homework 4

3.1 Sorting

Definition 3.1 (ordered). A function $\text{ordered} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ is defined as follows. $\text{ordered}(\varepsilon) = \text{true}$, $\text{ordered}(a) = \text{true}$, $\text{ordered}(abx) = (a \leq b) \wedge \text{sorted}(bx)$, where $a \in \Sigma$, $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Lemma 3.1. $\text{sorted}(\text{ms}(x)) = \text{true}$.

Proof. We will use induction on the length of x . Obviously, $\text{sorted}(\text{ms}(\varepsilon)) = \text{sorted}(\text{ms}(a)) = \text{true}$.

Recall that $\text{ms}(x) = \text{merge}(\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x)), \text{ms}(\text{even}(x)))$. By the induction assumption, we know that $\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x)), \text{ms}(\text{even}(x))$ are sorted, since their length is less than the length of x . Now it is enough to show that $\text{sorted}(\text{merge}(y, z)) = \text{true}$ if y and z are sorted. Consider $\text{sorted}(\text{merge}(ay, bz))$. Without loss of generality, let $a \leq b$, so $\text{sorted}(\text{merge}(ay, bz)) = \text{sorted}(\text{amerge}(y, bz)) = \text{true}$, since a is less or equal to both b and the next element of y , and $\text{sorted}(\text{merge}(y, bz)) = \text{true}$ holds by induction. \square

Definition 3.2 (permutation). For all natural n , the function $\text{permutation} : \Sigma^n \times \Sigma^n \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ is defined as follows. $\text{permutation}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = \text{true}$, $\text{permutation}(a, b) = (a == b)$, $\text{permutation}(ax, yaz) = \text{permutation}(x, yz)$, and if $a \notin y$ then $\text{permutation}(ax, y) = \text{false}$, where $a, b \in \Sigma$, $x, y, z \in \Sigma^*$.

Lemma 3.2. $\text{permutation}(x, \text{ms}(x)) = \text{true}$.

Proof. We will use induction on the length of x . Obviously, $\text{permutation}(\varepsilon, \text{ms}(\varepsilon)) = \text{permutation}(a, \text{ms}(a)) = \text{true}$.

First, let's show that $\text{merge}(x, y)$ is a permutation of xy . Indeed, if, for instance, $a \leq b$, then $\text{permutation}(\text{merge}(ax, by), axby) = \text{permutation}(\text{amerge}(x, by), axby) = \text{permutation}(\text{merge}(x, by), xby) = \text{true}$ by induction.

Finally, $\text{ms}(x) = \text{merge}(\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x)), \text{ms}(\text{even}(x)))$. By the induction assumption, we know that $\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x)), \text{ms}(\text{even}(x))$ are permutations of $\text{odd}(x), \text{even}(x)$ respectively, since their length is less than the length of x . Moreover, as we have already shown above, $\text{merge}(\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x)), \text{ms}(\text{even}(x)))$ is a permutation of $\text{ms}(\text{odd}(x))\text{ms}(\text{even}(x))$, which is a permutation of $\text{odd}(x)\text{even}(x)$. Finally, it is sufficient to prove that $\text{odd}(x)\text{even}(x)$ is a permutation of x . Using induction, $\text{permutation}(\text{odd}(ax)\text{even}(ax), ax) = \text{permutation}(a\text{even}(x)\text{odd}(x), ax) = \text{permutation}(\text{even}(x)\text{odd}(x), x) = \text{permutation}(\text{odd}(x)\text{even}(x), x) = \text{true}$. Here, we used the induction hypothesis and the fact that xy is a permutation of yx (also straightforwardly proved with induction, if needed). \square

3.2 The human and monkeys theorem

Let's have a relation $<$, defined as follows: $x < y$ if $\text{parent}(x, y)$ or there exists z such that $x < z$ and $\text{parent}(z, y)$. We will assume that this relation is well-founded. Also, for all x , either $\text{human}(x)$ or $\text{monkey}(x)$.

Theorem 3.3. *If $x < y$, and **human**(y), and **monkey**(x), then there exist z_1, z_2 such that **parent**(z_1, z_2), and **human**(z_2), and **monkey**(z_1).*

Proof. Define a sequence w_1, w_2, \dots inductively like this: $w_1 = y$, w_{n+1} is such that **parent**(w_{n+1}, w_n), and $x < w_{n+1}$. If $w_n = x$, then terminate the sequence. Obviously, $w_1 > w_2 > \dots$. Since $<$ is well-founded, the sequence has to be finite: $y = w_1 > w_2 > \dots > w_k = x$.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose, there is no such i , that **parent**(w_{i+1}, w_i), and **human**(w_i), and **monkey**(w_{i+1}). Then, by induction, all w_i are humans. This contradicts the fact that w_k is a monkey. Therefore, the desired z_1, z_2 exist among some w_{i+1}, w_i , as requested. \square